





Digitized for Microsoft Corporation
by the Internet Archive in 2008.
From University of California Libraries.
May be used for non-commercial, personal, research, or educational purposes, or any fair use.
May not be indexed in a commercial service.

GRAMMAR

OF THE

LATIN LANGUAGE.

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

C. G. ZUMPT, Ph. D.

PROFESSOR IN THE UNIVERSITY, AND MEMBER OF THE ROYAL ACADEMY
OF BERLIN.

TRANSLATED FROM THE NINTH EDITION OF THE ORIGINAL,

AND ADAPTED TO THE USE OF ENGLISH STUDENTS.

RV

LEONHARD SCHMITZ, PH.D.

LATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF BONN,

WITH NUMEROUS ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS BY THE AUTHOR.



PRINTED FOR

LONGMAN, BROWN, GREEN, AND LONGMANS, PATERNOSTER-ROW.

Vale

24876

PA20

THE AUTHOR'S PREFACE

TO THE



In the year 1843 I received a letter from two English scholars suggesting to me the necessity of a new translation of my Latin grammar, and requesting my assistance in the undertaking. Until then I had not been aware of the fact that the existing translation, which had been made from the third edition of my work (of which however it was not an exact representation, as some portions of the original were omitted), had remained in its original condition, and although it had gone through several editions, yet had not been adequately improved and corrected, while the German original, by continued labour on my part, had, in its details, become quite a different work. This information was of course a sufficient reason for me to promise my best aid and co-operation in the new translation; for whatever considerations may have induced my learned translator to allow my work to be printed again and again in its first and imperfect form, it was to me a matter of the highest importance that a nation which so highly prizes the study of philology and takes so deep an interest in its progress, should be presented with my work in the best and most perfect form that I am able to give to it. It is unnecessary here to enter into the question why the plan of a new translation was not carried into effect by those gentlemen who originally proposed it to me, but I was happy to hear that ultimately the execution had been entrusted

to Dr. L. Schmitz, who, I feel convinced, has done all that can be desired, both in point of correctness and good taste.

The Latin language is so rich and happy in its organization, and has been so consistently developed by the energetic spirit of the Roman people as well as by the exquisite tact of the Roman authors, that a continued study of it is amply rewarded. It is now upwards of thirty years that I have been before the public as a writer on Latin grammar *; my varied studies have always led me back to this subject, and I may truly declare, that during each fresh revision of my grammar, when I was engaged in incorporating with my system the observations I had made in the meantime, and in considering the doubts and objections which had been raised in my mind, I have become more and more convinced of the inexhaustible mine of human wisdom which presents itself in the language of a happily organized nation like the Romans. I am not speaking here of the accidental matter contained in a grammar, nor of the accumulation of similar passages, —it will afford far greater pleasure to the pupil to discover for himself in the authors whose works he is reading passages which confirm or illustrate the rules he has learned, - nor of niceties of expression, for these are curiosities rather than any thing else, but I mean real philological discoveries and peculiarities, which arise from the organic structure of the language, derive their explanation from it, and in return throw light upon the whole fabric of the language itself; and the result of all this is, that the general principles are better ascertained and established. It is owing to these continued studies that even the present translation of the ninth edition of my Latin grammar has been enriched by some not unimportant improvements, which I have communicated in MS. to Dr. Schmitz, and it will henceforth be our united endeavour to remedy every deficiency that may yet be found.

^{*} The first foundation of the present work was laid in a book which I wrote for the use of my pupils under the title "Regeln der Lateinischen Syntax, mit zwei Anhängen über die Grundregeln und die nach einem neuen System geordneten unregelmässigen Verba," Berlin, 1814, 8vo.

My Latin grammar has met with great favour, or, as the phrase is, "has been a very successful book," as I must infer from the number of editions and copies that have been sold; but this success has not weakened my exertions in labouring without interruption for its improvement. An author is himself rarely able to point out that which has gained for his production the favour of the public; he is satisfied with being able to labour for the realisation of his own ideas; a comparison with the works of others does not concern him, nor would it be becoming to him. But he can state the principle which has guided him throughout his work; and in reference to the present grammar, this principle is no other than the desire to trace the facts and phenomena of the language to a philosophical or rational source. The facts as such must first be established, and in this respect it has been my endeavour to examine the texts of the authors, and not to allow myself to be misled, as has been so often the case, by erroneous traditions; further, to distinguish between the periods of the language, the different species of literary productions, the ancient and genuine from later and affected authors, and by this means to ascertain that which is essential and peculiar to the purest Latin idiom; but in so doing I have not left unnoticed those points which must be regarded as frequent or otherwise justifiable deviations from the ordinary rules. is only those things which do not grow forth from the living body of the language that must be passed over in silence. In order to separate that which is genuine and ancient from what is arbitrary or recent, I have adopted the method of distinguishing between text and notes, the one being printed in large and the other in small type, - a distinction which will, I think, be useful also to the teacher. Another great point which I have always endeavoured to keep in view has been a rational development of the rules from one another. By this, however, I do not mean a demonstration of the principles of universal grammar, that is, of those principles which are common to all languages. I value this branch of philology, as a sort of applied logic, indeed very highly, but my

opinion is that it can be studied with advantage only by those who are acquainted with the languages of different nations, both civilised and uncivilised, and I have confined myself to explaining the peculiarities of the Latin language and its characteristic differences from the modern European languages of Roman and Germanic origin, referring only now and then to its connection with the Greek. But it is my endeavour to reduce these peculiarities of the Latin language to simple and precise principles, to proceed from the simple to the complex, and to distinguish that which is in accordance with the rules from that which is of a mixed nature. What I here say refers more particularly to the syntax; for in regard to etymology, it ought not to be forgotten that the Latin language is something which has been handed down to us in a given form, and which is to be learned in this given form. It would have been easy to go back to certain primitive forms which constitute the first elements in the formation of the language, and thereby to explain many an irregularity in the mixture of forms; but in teaching a language which is learned not only for the purpose of training the intellect, but of using it in speaking and writing, the eye and memory of the pupil ought not to be troubled with hypothetical or assumed forms, which he is expected to forget, but frequently does not forget, and which he is rather apt to take for real forms. In etymology, a complete analogy alone can be of practical use; hence I have endeavoured to make the list of irregular verbs and the section on the formation of wordsimportant branches of grammar which had been much neglected by my predecessors — as complete as possible. In the syntax, on the other hand, it is right that there should be a philosophical development of the complex from the simple, taking that which is peculiarly Latin as the groundwork. This part of my grammar has arisen from dictations, which I made the basis of a course of lectures on Latin syntax; and I still believe that this method is best suited to teach pupils - not indeed the first beginners, but those who have already made some progress in the understanding of Latin sentences - the whole of the Latin syntax in a manner which is at once a training of their intellect

THE SEMILENT BY BY GIPSON

and their memory. Some example or other must be made the basis; it must be explained and impressed upon the memory as a model for imitation. The examples given in the text of the present grammar may serve this purpose; all have been selected with special care, and each contains a complete thought expressed in a classical form. The teacher must cause his pupils to form a number of other similar sentences, and make the pupils translate them from the vernacular tongue into Latin. It is desirable that such sentences should be chosen with taste or be carefully prepared for this purpose beforehand; but as their object is only to impress the rule upon the mind of the learner, it is advisable to pay attention to variety of expression rather than to particular neatness or elegance.

My Grammar further contains a section on the signification of the adverbs, prepositions, and conjunctions, which properly speaking does not belong to grammar, but to a dictionary. But it is nevertheless necessary, since the ordinary dictionaries are partly incorrect and partly incomplete in their explanations of these particles, which contain the life and soul of a language, and since special books on the particles, such as were formerly used in schools, are either no longer consulted or do not answer the purposes for which they were written. The Syntax has been enlarged by what is called Syntaxis ornata, and it is strange that for this part of my work I have been censured by several scholars, who thought it inconsistent with the strictly progressive spirit of the Grammar, and the philosophical development of the grammatical laws, because the observations which form the substance of the Syntaxis ornata are not given as necessary principles, but in the form of suggestions, which may be followed or not, at discretion. But this is the very point which I myself have expressly stated in the introduction to that part of my work, where I direct attention to the difference between the Syntaxis regularis and the Syntaxis ornata. But as those observations on style point out so much that is correct, ingenious, and peculiar to the Latin language, should they not be made at all, because their application is left to choice? or shall we allow them to stand in a somewhat looser connection.

UMAN IS IT - TALKED TO THE

and arrange the different observations under rational and intelligible heads? Surely the latter course must be preferred; and I see that my critics have, in fact, adopted the very same method, except that what I have discussed in separate chapters, on "Peculiarities in the Use of the Parts of Speech," on "Pleonasm," "Ellipsis," "Arrangement of Words, and Construction of Periods," is treated of by them under the heads of first, second, and third Appendices. The real appendices in the present work, on metres, measures, and weights, calendar, &c., are of a different nature; they do not indeed belong to grammar, but as they contain information on matters important and necessary for the understanding of the authors read in schools, and as this information is either not to be found elsewhere, or is not sufficiently correct, no one, I hope, will grudge it a place at the end of this Grammar.

I cannot part from the English reader without expressing my delight at the vigour and energy with which classical studies are prosecuted in Germany and England. In the former country a fresh impulse was given to these studies some thirty years ago, just at the time when the nation was on the point of losing its independence; in England the revival of classical studies must be dated, I believe, from the time that the contest between idealism and realism became settled; and these two branches of human knowledge have now arrived at a point where they recognize each other in peaceful harmony, the one exerting itself in exploring the treasures of nature, and the other those of mind. Germany owes her safety to her free schools and universities, and builds her hopes upon them; England, to the energy of her people and to her public institutions; and the two countries might with advantage exchange some of their excellencies. In England, the educational establishments and teachers appear to be fettered by old traditional and conventional forms; while in Germany, the sublimest truths which are promulgated from the professorial chair, die within the lecture rooms of the universities, and produce no fruit. But be the difference between the two countries ever so great, the characteristics of the educated men in both consist in their rising above the

immediate necessities of time, place, and occupation, and in their recognition of the connection existing between the individual and the spirit of all mankind. Hence a knowledge of antiquity, and of what it has produced, is necessary to every educated person, in proportion to the influence it has exercised upon subsequent ages, and the study of antiquity will ever have the most salutary effect upon man in elevating him above the trivial wants of ordinary life, and affording him the means of mental and intellectual culture. To those among my contemporaries, who are anxious to obtain these advantages, I offer the present work as a means of penetrating more deeply and more easily into the spirit of the Roman classics and of Roman antiquity.

C. G. ZUMPT.

Berlin, Feb. 23, 1845.

•

•••

÷

TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE.

When the honourable task of preparing a translation of the Ninth Edition of Professor Zumpt's Latin Grammar had been entrusted to me by the publishers, the Author himself most willingly consented to co-operate with me in endeavouring to present his work to the English public in as perfect a form as His professional engagements in the University of Berlin have enabled him continually to improve the successive editions of his Grammar, which has thus become infinitely superior to what it was when originally translated. Scarcely a year has elapsed since the publication of the ninth edition of the original, yet the Author's unceasing labours in this department of philology have enabled him already to collect a large number of corrections and additions for future use; and all these improvements he has been kind enough to communicate to me in manuscript for incorporation in the English translation, which hence possesses considerable advantages over the German work.

In the etymological part of the present Grammar, some additions might have been made here and there from English sources, and some English scholars may perhaps be inclined to censure me for having neglected to do so, since the etymology of the Latin language has been studied by a few scholars in this country more comprehensively than on the Continent. But Professor Zumpt has abstained, on principle, from introducing into his work etymological disquisitions which would have led his readers beyond the immediate objects of his Grammar, and it was impossible for me to set aside that principle, without

making material alterations in the first part of the present work. I may also add that, on the whole, I coincide with the Author's views on this point; and even if I did not, I should not think myself justified in introducing into his work that which he himself has purposely excluded. The few points on which I have added any explanatory remarks, are such as are regarded by the Author, in common with all other grammarians, as inexplicable difficulties or anomalies, although it appears to me that the language itself contains sufficient analogies for their explanation.

When I undertook the present translation, I expected, as was stated in the advertisement, that the Latin Grammar of Professor Madvig of Copenhagen, which had appeared about the same time as the last edition of Professor Zumpt's work, would furnish some more or less important improvements which might be advantageously embodied in the present translation; but a comparison of the two books soon showed me that all the new and valuable points in Madvig's Grammar were known to Professor Zumpt, and had received from him their due share of attention; Madvig having published his views on several grammatical questions in separate dissertations and elsewhere, previously to the appearance of his Grammar.

In conclusion, I venture to express my hope that the present translation of a work which enjoys the highest reputation in Germany may contribute also in this country towards a more accurate knowledge of the language of a nation which, above all others, deserves to engage the attention of every well-educated Englishman.

L. S.

London, April, 1845.

INTRODUCTION.

THE Latin language was once spoken by the Romans, at first only in a part of Middle Italy, but subsequently in all Italy and in other countries subject to the Romans. At present it can be learnt only from books and the monumental inscriptions of that

people.

The earliest Latin writings that we possess, were composed about 200 years before the birth of Christ, and in the sixth century after Christ Latin, as a spoken language, died entirely away. It had then become quite corrupted through the influence of the foreign nations which had settled in the Roman dominions, and it became so mixed up with the languages of the invaders that a number of new languages (Italian, French, Spanish, Portuguese,) were gradually formed out of it. All persons who wrote Latin in later times had learned it as a dead language.

During the long period in which the Latin language was spoken, it underwent various changes, not only in the number of its words and their meanings, in their forms and combinations, but, to some extent, in its pronunciation also. We shall in this Grammar describe the language, though not exclusively, such as it was spoken and written during the most important period of Roman literature, that is, about the time of Julius Caesar and Cicero, till shortly after the birth of Christ. That period is commonly called the *golden age*, and the subsequent one, till about A. D. 120, the *silver age* of the Latin language.

The Latin language in its origin is nearest akin to the Greek, and at the time when the Romans became acquainted with the literature, arts, and institutions of Greece, they adopted a great many single words, as well as constructions, from the Greek. Both languages, moreover, belong to the same family from which the English, German, northern, and many other languages have sprung.

guages nave sprung.



CONTENTS.

ELEMENTARY PART.

Page

Chap.

I. O	f the Vowels and C	onsonants	_	-	_	1
II. O	f Syllables	-	-	-	_	11
III. O	f the Length and S	hortness of	Syllables	-	-	12
IV. O	f the Accent of Wo	ords	-	-	-	22
	THE .	Accidence	1.			
v. D	ivision of Words ac	cording to	their Sign	ification	-	25
	ouns Substantive				_	26
VII. N	umber, Case, and I	Declension	-	-	_	30
	irst Declension	-	-	-		32
IX. G	reek Words in \bar{e} , $\bar{a}s$	s, and ēs	-	-	_	33
X. G	ender of the Nouns	of the Fir	st Declens	ion	_	35
XI. Se	econd Declension	-	-	-	_	35
	reek Words of the			-	_	38
XIII. G	ender of the Nouns	of the Sec	ond Decle	nsion	_	40
XIV. T	hird Declension	Genitive	-	-	_	41
XV. T	he remaining Cases	of the Thir	d Declens	ion	-	49
	reek Forms in Wor				-	58
XVII. G	ender of Words	of the	Third De	clension	_	
	Masculines	•	-	-	-	61
XVIII.	Feminines	-	-	-	-	62
XIX.	Neuters	-	-	-	-	65
	ourth Declension	-	-	-	-	67
	fth Declension	-	-	-	-	69
XXII. Ir	regular Declension.				-	70
XXIII.		Heteroc	elita.—Het	erogenea	-	77
	ouns Adjective.—7		ns.—Decle	ension	-	80
	omparison of Adject		-	-	-	84
	omparison of Adver			nparison	-	86
	regular and defective			-	-	87
	umerals.—I. Cardin		als	-	-	91
	Ordinal Numera		-	-	-	9 5
	I. Distributive Nu		-	-	-	96
XXXI. IV	. Multiplicative N	umerals	-	•	-	99
XXXII. V.	Proportional Nu	merals	-		-	100
		a		771		

Chap.				Page
	VI. Numeral Adverbs	-	-	- 100
XXXIV.	Pronouns and Pronominal Ad	ljectives	-	- 102
	Declension of Pronouns	-	-	- 105
XXXVI.	Declension of the Possessive	Pronouns	s and of Pr	.0-
	nominals -	-	-	- 111
XXXVII.	The Verb -	-	-	- 113
XXXVIII.	Moods.—Tenses -	-	-	- 116
	Numbers. — Persons	-	-	- 117
XL.	Formation of the Tenses	-	-	- 119
XLI.	The Verb esse -	-	-	- 123
XLII.	The four Conjugations	-	•	- 126
XLIII.	Remarks on the Conjugations	ş -		- 140
I rem on V	ERBS WHICH ARE IRREGULAT	TN THE	FORMAT	ion of
LIST OF V.	THEIR PERFECT AND		LOMMA	1011 01
XLIV.	First Conjugation -	_	-	- 149
	Second Conjugation -	-	-	- 151
	Third Conjugation.—1. Ver	bs which h	have a Vov	vel
	before o including those in		-	- 158
XLVII.	2. Verbs in do and to	-		- 162
	3. Verbs in bo and po		-	- 166
	4. Verbs with a Palatal Le	tter, g, c,	ct, h, qu, a	nd
	gu (in which u is not o			
	before o -	-	-	- 167
L.	5. Verbs which have l, m, n,	r before	0	- 17]
	6. Verbs in so and xo	-		- 174
LII.	Inchoatives -	-	-	- 176
LIII	Fourth Conjugation	_	-	- 179
	List of Deponent Verbs	-		- 181
	Deponents of the Second Con	njugation	-	- 188
	Deponents of the Third Conj		_	- 184
	Deponents of the Fourth Con		-	- 186
	Irregular Verbs -	_	-	- 187
	. Defective Verbs -		-	- 194
LX	Impersonal Verbs -	-	-	- 198
	Etymology of Nouns and Ve	rbs	-	- 200
	Etymology of Particles	-	-	- 222
	. Primitive Adverbs -	-	_	- 230
	. Comparison of Adverbs	-	-	- 239
	. Prepositions -		_	- 240
	Prepositions in Composition	•	-	- 256
	. Conjunctions -			- 261
	. Interjections	1	-	- 279

12/1 1/25/07

	CO	NTENTS.				xix	
Chap.	SY	NTAX.			1	Page	
I. Connection of Subject and Predicate.							
LXIX.	Subject and Predic	eate	-	-	-	280	
	II. On the	Use or	CASES				
T 3737		031 01	CADES.			200	
	Nominative Case	-	-	-		290	
	Accusative Case	-	•	•		291	
	Dative Case	-	•	-		304	
	Genitive Case	-	-	-		316	
	Ablative Case	-	-	-		331	
LXXV.	Vocative Case	-	-	-	-	354	
III. Use of the Tenses.							
LXXVI.	The Tenses	-	-	-	-	355	
	IV. Or	тне Мос	DDS.				
LXXVII.	Indicative Mood	_	-		-	372	
LXXVIII.	Subjunctive Mood	-	-	_	_	376	
	Imperative Mood	_	-	-	_	412	
	Infinitive Mood	_	-	-	_	415	
	Use of the Particip	oles		-	_	448	
	Use of the Gerund		-	-	-	453	
	Use of the Supine		-	-	-	459	
r	SYNT	AXIS OR	NATA.				
T 37373777	D1''('''(1	TT C.1	TD 4 6			400	
LAAAIV.	Peculiarities in the Pleonasm	e Use of th	ie Parts of	Speech		462	
LXXXVI.		•	•	•		502	
		Tanda and	- C4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		511	
LAAAVII	. Arrangement of V	vorus, and	Structure	or Periods	-	527	
APPENDIX	I. Of Metre, espe	ecially with	h regard	to the Lat			
	Poets	-	-	-		551	
	II. The Roman Cal			-		573	
	II. Roman Weights					576	
APPENDIX I	V. Notae sive Com tions of Wor		ripturae; •	or Abbrevi		580	

INDEX

- 583



LATIN GRAMMAR.

ELEMENTARY PART.

CHAPTER I.

OF THE VOWELS AND CONSONANTS.

[§ 1.] 1. The Vowels of the Latin language are, A, a; E, e; I, i; O, o; U, u (Y, y): and the diphthongs, AE, ae; OE, oe; AU, au, and EU, eu. Their ancient pronunciation did not differ in any essential point from that of the modern Italian or German; but the modern pronunciation varies in the different countries of Europe, though the length and shortness of the vowels are and ought to be observed everywhere. The Latin language has no signs to distinguish a long from a short vowel, such as we find in the Greek language, at least in the case of two vowels. The names of the vowels are mere imitations of their sounds, and not specific words, like the Greek alpha, iota, &c.

Note. The vowel y (called y psilon) occurs only in words which were introduced into the Latin language from or through the Greek, at a time when it was already developed, such as, syllaba, pyramis, Pyrrhus, Cyrus; whereas other words, the Greek origin of which leads us back to more ancient times, or has been obscured by changes of sound, have lost their original y; such as mus (from the Greek $\mu\bar{\nu}_{\mathcal{L}}$), silva (from $\tilde{\nu}\lambda\eta$), and lacrima (from $\tilde{\epsilon}\acute{\alpha}\kappa\rho\nu\nu\nu$). The word stilus, too, is better written with i, since practice did not acknowledge its identity with the Greek $\sigma\taui\lambda og$. The diphthong eu, if we except Greek words, occurs only in heus, heu, and eheu, in ceu, seu, and neu, and in neuter and neutiquam. The diphthongs containing an i, viz. ei, oi, and ui, have not been mentioned in our text as Latin diphthongs; because

they occur only in a few interjections, such as hei, eia, oiei, and hui, and in cosos where them, proin, huic, or cui, are contracted into one syllable, which is

commonly done in poetry.

The ancients in pronouncing a diphthong uttered the two vowels of which it consists more distinctly than we do. The word neuter, in particular, was pronounced in such a manner that the two vowels in eu, though united, were yet distinctly heard. In this manner we may reconcile the assertion of the grammarian Consentius, that it is a barbarism to pronounce neutrum as a word of two syllables, with those passages in Latin poetry which necessarily demand the diphthong. Neutiquam in the comic poets has its first syllable always short, as if it were nutiquam, from which we may infer that it was not so much the long diphthong as the two short vowels, that were heard. In like manner the diphthongs ae and oe were pronounced, and hence we find that in the early times ai and oi were pronounced and written in their stead, and that the Latins expressed the Greek at and or by ae and oe; for, if these diphthongs are pronounced in the manner above described, it will be perceived that the difference between the sounds of e and i is but slight. The Greek ϵi must likewise have been pronounced in such a manner that the two vowels were distinctly heard; for the Latins, in whose language this diphthong does not occur, use in its place sometimes eand sometimes i, or either of them indiscriminately. Before consonants we always find i, e.g., eclipsis, Nilus, Clitus, Heraclidae; and in Latin we must accordingly pronounce and write Polyclitus, and not Polycletus (see my remark on Cic. in Verr. iv. 3.); Hilotes or Hilotae (Ilotae, for the Greek is Εἴλωτες or Εἴλωται), and not Helotes. Before vowels, on the other hand, the Greek ϵi is sometimes changed into e, and sometimes into i; the eappears, for example, in Aeneas and Medea, and the i in Iphigenia and elegia, whereas Alexandrea and Alexandria, Thucydideus and Thucydidius are used indiscriminately. In Cicero the forms Ariopagus and Ariopagitae, are better established than Areopagus, Areopagitae, and the like, which we commonly find in our editions, whereas the form Darēus is much more authentic according to the MSS. of Latin authors, than Darius. This fact is now generally acknowledged, and does not require here to be supported by authorities.

[§ 2.] It was, however, only by degrees that the pronunciation and orthography became fixed, and this was mainly the work of the grammarians during the first centuries after Christ. Previously there existed many peculiarities in the pronunciation, which were also adopted in the written language, and some of these are still retained in the texts of a few of the early writers, such as Plautus, Terence, and Sallust, for historical reasons, or, so to speak, from diplomatic fidelity. But such peculiarities should not be imitated by us, for they were gradually given up by the ancients themselves. With regard to pronunciation and orthography, we must necessarily adhere to the rules which were laid down by the ancient grammarians, who certainly did not derive them from the vulgar idiom of the people, but from the uncorrupt and pure language of the educated classes. In the earliest times the broad pronunciation of the long i was commonly indicated by ei, but without its being pronounced as a diphthong ei, which is foreign to the Latin language: for example, heic for hic, queis for quis (quibus), eidus for idus, and in the accusative plural of the third declension when it terminates in is (see § 68.), such as omneis, arteis, for omnis and artis, which termination of the accusative was subsequently changed into es. A middle sound

between the two short vowels u and i was preserved, in some words, down to a still later time: and many persons pronounced and wrote lubet, existumo, clupeus, inclutus, satura, for libet, existimo, clipeus, &c.; the adjective termination umus for imus, as finitumus for finitimus, and the superlatives optumus, maxumus, and pulcherrumus, for optimus, maximus, &c. Julius Cæsar declared himself in favour of i, which was afterwards adopted generally, although the emperor Claudius wanted to introduce a new letter for the indefinite vowel in those words. We must further observe that in early times o was used instead of u, after the letter v, e. g. volt, volnus, avom, and even in the nominative $av\check{o}s$ instead of avus: in some words o took the place of e; for example, vorto and its derivatives for verto, voster for vester. U instead of e occurs in the termination of the participle undus for endus, and was retained in some cases in later times also. (See § 167.) Lastly, we have to mention that the vulgar pronunciation of au was \bar{o} ; e.g. Claudius was pronounced as Clodius, plaustrum as plostrum, and plaudo as plodo; but in some words this pronunciation, which in general was considered faulty, became established by custom, as in plostellum, a little carriage, a diminutive form of plaustrum. This was the case more especially when the common mode of pronouncing served to indicate a difference in meaning, as in lotus, washed, and lautus, splendid or elegant; and codex, a tablet for writing (or a book), and caudex, a block of wood. In the compounds of plaudo the form plodo thus became prevalent.

[§ 3.] 2. The Consonants are, B, b; C, c; D, d; F, f; G, g; H, h; (K, k;) L, l; M, m; N, n; P, p; Q, q; R, r; S, s; T, t; X, x; (Z, z). With regard to their classification, it is only necessary here to observe that <math>l, m, n, r, are called liquids (liquidae), and the rest mutes (mutae), with the exception of s, which, being a sibilant (littera sibilans), is of a peculiar nature. The mutes may again be classified, with reference to the organ by which they are pronounced, into labials (v, b, p, f), palatals (g, c, h, qu), and linguals (d, t). X and z (called zeta) are double consonants, x being a combination of c and s, and z of d and s.

Note. It will be observed that there are some letters in our own alphabet which do not occur in this list: j and v were expressed by the Latins by the same signs as the vowels i and u, viz. I and V; but in pronunciation they were distinguished; whence we hear of an i or v consonans; and, like ordinary consonants, they make position when preceded by another consonant, and do not form an hiatus when preceded by a vowel. It is only in consequence of poetical licences which are rendered necessary by the metre (which however, at the same time, show the kindred nature existing between the sounds of the vowel and consonant), that the v is at one time softened down into u; as, for example, when the words solvit and silva are made to form three syllables (comp. § 184.): and, at others, the vowels i and u are hardened into the consonants j and v, which is very often the case with i; by this means the preceding short syllable is lengthened, as in the words abies, aries, consilium, fluvius, tenuis, and some others. Virgil, for example, uses fluvjorum rex Eridanus; Ovid, at the close of an hexameter verse,

custos erat arjetis aurei, for ărietis; Lucretius, copia tenvis and neque tenvius extat, for tenuis, tenuius. In cases where the preceding syllable is already long, the poet may at least get rid of a syllable which does not suit the verse, as in Juvenal, comitata est Hippia ludjum and nuper consule Junjo; and (iv. 37.), Quum jam sēmjanimum laceraret Flavius orbem. We may therefore, in writing Latin, make use of the signs j and v, which are employed in modern languages, for the purpose of distinguishing the pronunciation before a vowel at the beginning of a syllable, and we need not retain the defective mode of writing of the Romans, since they viewed these letters just as we do, and would willingly have adopted so convenient a means of distinction if they had known it, or if their better knowledge had not been obliged to give way to habit. But this rule cannot be applied to Greek words, since and with the Greeks had only the nature of vowels. We therefore read Iocaste, iambus, Iones, Laïus, Agaue, euoe; and the i at the beginning of these words is treated as a vowel, in their connexion with prepositions, as in ab Ionia, ex Ionia. Some Greek proper names, however, are justly written and pronounced in Latin with a j, as Grajus, Ajax, Maja, Troja, Achaja.

[§ 4.] It is only an aspiration; it is not considered as a vowel, and therefore when joined with a consonant it does not lengthen the preceding syllable. The ancients themselves (see Quintil. i. 5. § 21.) were in doubt with regard to several words, as to which was the more correct, to pronounce it or not; for example, as to whether they should pronounce have or ave, hedera or edera, harundo or arundo, halucinor or alucinor, herus or erus, vehemens or veemens (vemens), ahenum or aënum, mihi or mi, prehendo and deprehendo, or prendo and deprendo, and several other words, in which, how-

ever, the orthography now adopted is the more correct of the two.

The letter G arose out of C, for in the early times the sounds of k (c) and g were not distinguished in writing, on account of their similarity; and although the Romans wrote, for example, leciones, yet they pronounced legiones. The fact of the prenomina Gajus and Gnaeus, when indicated only by the initials, being frequently written C and Cn, is a remnant of the old orthography; and it is expressly attested by ancient grammarians (see, e. g., Quintil, i. 7. § 28.) as well as by the Greek mode of writing those names $(\Gamma \tilde{\alpha} ioc_s, \Gamma \nu \alpha \tilde{\alpha} oc_s)$, that they were never pronounced otherwise than Gajus and Gnaeus, which was at the same time the invariable mode of writing them when they were given at full length. Even when the initials only are given, we meet with G and Gn, just as often as with C, and Cn.

[§ 5.] K became a superfluous letter in Latin, as its place was supplied by c. In early times it was chiefly used in words beginning with ca, such as kaput, kalumnia, Karthago; but this is now done, according to the example of the ancients, in abbreviations only, such as K. for Kaeso, K. or Kal. for Kalendae.

Q is in reality likewise a superfluous letter, not differing in value from c; but it has been more fortunate than k in maintaining its place, at least in those cases where the sound of c is followed by u, and the latter by another vowel, as in quam, quem, qui, quo, antiquus. The first of these words is to be pronounced $c\overline{uam}$, as a monosyllable; and it remains doubtful as to whether the u is still a vowel, or assumes the nature of a consonant cvam. There are some few words in which the pronunciation and orthography hesitate between qu and c; e.g., in coquus and equuleus: in some others c is known to be the correct pronunciation, from the testimony of the ancients

themselves, although we still write qu, partly for the sake of distinction, and partly for etymological reasons. Thus we distinguish the conjunction quum from the preposition cum; and write quotidie and quotannis on account of their formation from quct, and sequutus and loquutus on account of their derivation from sequor and loquor, although it is quite certain that all the Romans pronounced, and most of them also wrote, cum, cŏtidie (cottidie only to indicate the shortness of the vowel), secutus, locutus. The last two must absolutely be spelled secutus and locutus (see Schneider, Elementarlehre, p. 332.); and with regard to the others, too, it is but just that we should follow the instructions of the ancients. The reader will find in this work the conjunction spelled quum; but he ought to remember, that it is done only for the purpose of distinguishing it, to the eye, from the preposition, and that it ought to be pronounced as cum.*

Z occurs only in words borrowed from the Greek, e.g. gaza, trapeza; and w can be used only when modern words are introduced into the Latin

language without undergoing any change in their orthography.

[§ 6.] 3. Respecting the pronunciation of the consonants, it must be observed, that the rule with the Latins was to pronounce them just as they were written. Every modern nation has its own peculiar way of pronouncing them; and among the many corruptions of the genuine pronunciation there are two which have become firmly rooted in nearly all Europe, and which it is, perhaps, impossible to banish from the language. We pronounce c, when followed by e, i, y, ae, or oe, both in Latin and Greek words, like our s, and when followed by other vowels or by consonants like a k. The Romans on the other hand, as far as we can ascertain, always pronounced c like k; and the Greeks, in their intercourse with the Romans, did not hear any other pronunciation. The earliest instance in which cwas pronounced in this or a similar manner seems to have been when it was followed by i with another vowel after it, for the terminations tius and tia are so frequently used for cius and cia, that we must infer that they were similarly sounded. But even this similarity seems to have been foreign to the old and correct pronunciation. We pronounce ti before a vowel like shi, but likewise without any reason. But it is easy to discover the transition from the pure pronunciation to that which is now customary, for the ti in all these cases is short, and in quick

^{*} Lipsius, in his Dialogus de rectà Pronuntiatione Linguæ Latinæ, expresses himself upon the pronunciation of c in this remarkable manner: "Pudet non tam erroris quam pertinaciæ, quia corripi patiuntur at non corrigi, et tenent omnes quod defendat nemo. Itali, Hispani, Germani, Galli, Britanni in hoc peccato: a qua gente initium emendandi? Audeat enim una aliqua et omnes audient."

speaking it easily changes into shi. For this reason it would be quite wrong to pronounce the long ti in the genitive totius in the same manner, since there can be no excuse for it. But there are some cases in which even the short ti, according to the common pronunciation, is not read like shi: 1) in Greek words, such as Miltiades, Boeotia, Aegyptius; 2) when the t is preceded by another t, by s or x, e. g. Bruttii, ostium, mixtio; and 3) when it is followed by the termination of the infinitive passive er, as in nitier, quatier.

Note. In many words it is difficult to determine whether they ought to be spelled with ci or ti. The question must be decided partly by a correct etymology, partly by the orthography adopted by the Greeks, and partly by ancient and authentic inscriptions; for nearly all our MSS. were made at a time when ci was pronounced in the wrong way, and was accordingly confounded with ti. Thus, it appears that in the derivative adjectives formed from nouns and participles we must write icius and not itius; e. g. gentilicius, aedilicius, novicius, commendaticius, as, indeed, we always write patricius and the proper names Fabricius and Mauricius. We now commonly write conditio, though it is better to write condicio and dicio. In nuntius, and all its derivatives, on the other hand, the ti is correct; and also in otium, infitior (from fateor), and fetialis (Greek $\phi \eta \tau i d \lambda u c$). In inscriptions and ancient MSS, we find only contio, and not concio.

- [§ 7.] M at the end of a word (where it is always preceded by a vowel) was pronounced by the ancients more indistinctly than at the beginning of a word; perhaps in the same manner as in the French le nom, where the m is heard much more indistinctly than in le midi. When the word following began with a vowel, the final m of the preceding word was not sounded at all, according to the testimony of the ancient grammarians, or it formed only a gentle transition from the one vowel to the other.
- S, like the Greek σ , was pronounced more sharply than with us; a circumstance which accounts for some irregularities in the early orthography, such as the doubling of the s in caussa, as Cicero wrote according to an express testimony, though it was disapproved of as useless by the ancient grammarians.

In the ancient pronunciation there must have been a peculiar resemblance between the letters s and r; since it is mentioned by Varro (de Ling. Lat. vii. 6.) and others, that formerly, that is, before the Latin language had assumed a fixed form through its literature, s was pronounced in many words, for which afterwards r was substituted, as in Papisius, Valesius, lases, eso, arbosem, melios. Some forms of this kind, such as honos, lepos,

and *arbos*, were used down to a very late time, and occur even in the language of the classical writers.

Note. This affinity between the two sounds accounts for various phenomena in the accidence of the Latin language (see Schneider, Elementarlehre, p. 342. foll.): but we do not by any means believe that the r in the abovementioned words, and still less in all cases where it occurs between two vowels, is of later origin, or that it arose out of the s, and that the latter was the original sound. The r after a vowel is just as ancient and original in the Latin language as the r after a consonant; and wherever the s is not a mere dialectic peculiarity, as in arbosem, pignosa, robose, and majosibus, it has taken the place of r for definite reasons observed in the formation of words. For example: we do not think that mosis, mosi, and mosem were the earlier and more genuine forms for moris, mori, morem; or that the nominative mos contains the original form; and that, in the other cases, the s was afterwards supplanted by r (as has been most confidently stated by Krüger in his Grammatik der Lat. Sprache, p. 190. foll.); but we assert that mor is the true root, and that mosis, mosi, and mosem, if they were used at all, arose merely from a difference in pronunciation. The nominative assumed the form $m\bar{o}s$ instead of $m\bar{o}r$, because s was a kindred sound to r, and because in other cases, too, s is the sign of the nominative.

[§ 8.] 4. The meeting of two vowels, one of which forms the ending and the other the beginning of a word, causes an hiatus or yawning. It is impossible to avoid it in the various combinations of words, though it is never considered an elegance. In verse it is removed by the former of the vowels, whether it be short or long, being passed over in reading or speaking (elisio). When therefore we find, e. g., sapere aude, or motā anus urnā, we pronounce saper' aude and mot' anus urna. (Comp. Heindorf on Horace, Serm. i. 9. 30.) How far anything similar was done in ordinary language (in prose), cannot be said with certainty, although it is not improbable that at least short vowels, when followed by another vowel, were likewise passed over in quick speaking, and that people pronounced, for instance, namqu' erit tempus, atqu' ego quum viderem. The aspirate h does not remove the hiatus, nor does it therefore prevent the elision of the first vowel in verse, so that we pronounce toller' humo, when we find it written tollere humo. As the m at the end of a word was not audibly uttered when the next word began with a vowel, the vowel preceding the m is likewise passed over in reading verse, although the word is written at full length. The hexameter line multum ille et terris jactatus et alto, is therefore read mult' ill' et terris, &c. In the compounds veneo for venum eo, and animadverto for animum adverto, this elision is

made also in writing. The earlier poets threw out the s in the terminations ŭs and ĭs when they were followed by consonants. Lucilius, e. g., says, Tum laterali' dolor certissimu' nuntiu' mortis; and even Cicero, in his youthful attempts at poetry sometimes did the same, as in de terra lapsu' repente, magnu' leo and torvu' draco: but, in the refined poetical language of the Augustan age, this elision was no longer customary.

[§ 9.] Note 1. When the vowel thrown out by the elision is preceded by another one, the latter does not produce a disagreeable hiatus, as in Capitolia ad alta, which is read in verse Capitoli ad alta. Nor is there any hiatus, and consequently no elision, when a long vowel at the end of a word is shortened, viz. in the case of monosyllabic words in the middle of the thesis of dactylic verses, and in the dissolved arsis of iambic and trochaic feet, and in the case of polysyllabic words at the end of the thesis of dactylic verses. See, for example, Horace, Serm. i. 9. 38.: Si mě ămas, inquit, paulum hic ades. Ovid, Metam. iii. 501.: dictoque valē valē inquit et Echo. Virgil, Æn.

iii. 211.: insulae Ionio in magno; and many other passages.

[§ 10.] Note 2. It was remarked above that the hiatus is not removed in writing; and that, of the two vowels which produce it, the former is thrown out in reciting a verse. But an exception to this rule occurs when a word terminating in a vowel or an m is followed by the word est; for in this case we find, at least in the critical editions of Plautus and Terence, that the first word is preserved entire, and that est loses its vowel. The texts therefore are written and pronounced temulenta'st mulier, homo'st, molestum'st. The same thing has been found here and there in very ancient MSS. containing fragments of Cicero's works, e.g. una natio'st, difficile'st, and in the oration for Milo: quae illa barbaria'st. (See Niebuhr's note on the fragment pro Fontejo, p. 60.) In like manner we find est joined with a preceding word terminating in us, e.g. opust and dictust; but in this case it remains doubtful as to whether the s of opus is thrown out, or whether est has lost its first two letters. Something similar, though more rarely, occurs in the termination is, e. g. quali'st. Whether the second person es was likewise joined with a preceding word terminating in us is uncertain. (See Schneider, Elementarlehre, p. 162. foll.)

[§ 11.] Note 3. The hiatus which occurs within a word is generally not removed; and for this reason we did not notice it above. It should, however, be observed, that two vowels of the same sound are frequently united (contracted) into one long vowel, and the poets always make dero and desse out of deero and deesse. This explains the forms nil for nihil, and deprendo for deprehendo, which arise from the elision of the aspirate. The contraction of two equal or unequal vowels in the perfect of verbs, after the elision of the v, is still more frequent; e. g. audisti for audivisti, audiisti; deleram for deleveram, norunt for noverunt, concerning which see § 160. It also not unfrequently happens in verse, that two different vowels are united, by a rapid pronunciation, into a diphthong; in which, however, both vowels are audible. This is called by a grammatical term synaeresis, and occurs when the two vowels of the words dein, deinde, proin, proinde, huic and cui, are united into diphthongs which are otherwise foreign to the Latin language. In this way alone it is possible to make use of the word fortutus in the daetylic hexameter; and it is for the same purpose

that in nouns terminating in $\check{e}us$, when this ending is preceded by a long syllable, we must contract into a diphthong not only the $\check{e}i$ in the genitive singular, and $\check{e}is$ in the ablative plural, but also $\check{e}\bar{u}$ and $\check{e}\bar{o}$; for example, $alv\bar{e}i$, $aur\bar{e}i$, $Ner\bar{e}i$, $aur\bar{e}is$ (also $ant\bar{e}is$, from the verb anteeo), $Euryst\ \bar{e}o$, $cer\bar{e}a$, just as a synæresis sometimes occurs in the Greek words $\Im{\epsilon}\acute{o}s$, $N\epsilon c-\pi\tau\acute{o}\lambda\epsilon\mu_{0}s$, and $\check{\epsilon}a$. Some harsher kinds of synæresis, such as $qu\bar{u}a$, $v\bar{u}a$, v

[§ 12.] 5. There is no necessity for giving any special rules about the orthography in Latin, since there is absolutely nothing arbitrary in the spelling of words that requires to be learned: but there are a great many separate words, of which neither the pronunciation nor the spelling is established, and with regard to which the ancients themselves were uncertain even in the best times of their literature, as we see from the monuments still extant. We shall here notice a few things which have not been mentioned in our previous observations. We spell and pronounce anulus, sucus, paulum, belua, litus better with one consonant than with two; whereas immo, nummus, sollemnis, sollers, sollicitus, Juppiter, and quattuor are more correctly spelled with two consonants than one. It is not certain whether we ought to write litera or littera, though in most MSS. the t is doubled. The authority of the ancient grammarians and the best MSS. teach us to spell the singular mille with a double, and the plural milia with a single l. The forms narus and navus are not customary now, though they appear to be better than gnarus and gnavus. Artus (narrow) is certainly better established than arctus; auctor and auctumnus, on the other hand, are justly preferred to autor and autumnus. The insertion of a p between m and t, e.g. in emptus, sumpsi, rather facilitates the pronunciation than otherwise; and the verb temptare is decidedly preferable to the form tentare which is now commonly used, the former being found in the best MSS. The forms conjunx, quotiens, and totiens are demanded by most of the ancient grammarians, and are found in good MSS., instead of conjux, quoties, and toties. The words caecus, maereo, are more correctly spelled with the diphthong ae than oe, and saeculum, saepire, and taeter are better with the diphthong than with the simple vowel e; whereas in heres, fetus, femina, and fecundus, and therefore probably in fenus, fenoris also (which are of the same root), the simple vowel is better than the diphthong. But it is very doubtful whether we ought to write scena or

William Digition a plant of

scaena, and obscenus or obscaenus or obscaenus. We do not notice any other points here, because the orthography now commonly adopted is the correct one. Compare Cellarius, Orthographia Latina, ed. Harles, Altenburg, 1768, 8vo; and Schneider, Elementarlehre, Berlin, 1819, 8vo.

- [§ 13.] 6. The Romans had no other point than the full stop, and our whole artificial system of punctuation was unknown to them: but, to facilitate the understanding of their works, we now use in Latin the same signs which have become established in our own language. The peculiarities, however, in the formation of Latin sentences, the many complications of their parts, and the attraction of the relative pronouns, demand great caution in applying the signs of punctuation in order that we may not by the use of too many signs separate those parts of a sentence which belong to one another.
- 7. With regard to the use of capital and small letters, it must be observed, that the Romans, generally speaking, wrote only in capital letters (litterae unciales), until in the latest period of antiquity the small letters came into use, which are now always employed in writing Latin. Capital initials are at present used: a) at the beginning of a verse or at least of a strophe; b) at the beginning of a new sentence, both in prose and in verse, after a full stop, and after a colon when a person's own words are quoted; c) in proper names, and in adjectives and adverbs which are derived from them, e. g., Latium, sermo Latinus, Latine loqui; d) in words which express a title or office, such as Consul, Tribunus, and Senatus, but not in their derivatives.
- 8. The diaeresis (puncta diaerescos) is a sign to facilitate reading; it is put upon a vowel which is to be pronounced separately, and which is not to be combined with the preceding one into a diphthong, as in aër, aëris, aërius, poëta; and also in aurai, vitai, since ai is only an ancient form for ae. In cases where the diphthong would be foreign to the Latin language, the diaeresis is unnecessary, as in diei, Persei, because there can be no fear of any one pronouncing the ei as a diphthong; ferreus too does not require it, since in a Latin word no one will regard eu as a diphthong. But we must write Gaius and silüæ, when the consonants j and v are to be pronounced as vowels. The signs to indicate the length or shortness of a vowel or a syllable (-and -) were sometimes used by the ancients themselves.

The Company design of

CHAP. II.

OF SYLLABLES.

- [§ 14.] 1. A VOWEL or a diphthong may by itself form a syllable, as in u-va, me-o; all other syllables arise from a combination of consonants and vowels. The Latin language allows only two consonants to stand at the end of a syllable, and three only in those cases where the last is s. At the beginning of a syllable, also, there can be no more than two consonants, except when the first is a c, p, or s, followed by muta cum liquida; and at the beginning of a word there never are three consonants, except in the case of sc, sp, and st being followed by an r or l; for example, do-ctrina, Ba-ctra, corru-ptrix, sce-ptrum, ca-stra, magi-stri, I-sthmus; spretus, strenuus, scriba, splendor.
- 2. It often appears doubtful as to how a word is to be divided into syllables, and where the division is to be made at the end of a line, when the space does not suffice. The following rules, however, which are founded on the structure of the language, should be observed: -1) A consonant which stands between two vowels belongs to the latter, as in ma-ter. 2) Those consonants which, in Latin or Greek, may together begin a word, go together in the division of syllables; e.g., pa-tris, and not pat-ris, as tr occur at the beginning of tres. In like manner, li-bri (brevis), i-gnis (gnomon), o-mnis, da-mnum (μνάομαι), a-ctus, pun-ctum (κτημα), ra-ptus, scri-ptus, pro-pter (Ptolemacus), Ca-dmus (δμῶες), re-gnum (γνούς), va-fre (fretus), a-thleta (θλίβω), i-pse, scri-psi (ψαύω), Le-sbos (σβέννυμι), e-sca, po-sco (scando), a-sper, ho-spes (spes), pa-stor, fau-stus, i-ste (stare). The cases in which three consonants begin a syllable have been mentioned above. Whenever there occurs any combination of consonants which cannot stand at the beginning of words, they are treated according to the analogy of the rest. All combinations of muta cum liquida, for instance, go together, as most of them may commence a word; and we must therefore divide ara-chne, a-qmen, fra-qmentum, Da-phne, Pha-tnae, rhy-thmus, smara-gdus, and Lu-gdunum, since gd is to be treated like ct. 3) In compound words, the division must be made so as to keep the parts distinct, as inter-eram (not inte-reram), because

the word is compounded of inter and eram. So also ab-utor, ab-rado, abs-condo, abs-temius (from temetum), sus-cipio (from the form subs), dis-quiro, et-iam, ob-latum; and red-eo, red-undo, prod-eo, and sed-itio, for the d, here inserted to prevent hiatus, must go with the preceding vowel, because, if added to the second, it would obscure the elements of the compound word. But when the component parts of a word are doubtful, or when the first word has dropped its termination to prevent hiatus, the syllables are divided as if the word were not a compound; e. g., po-tes (from pote or potis es), ani-madverto and not anim-adverto, ve-neo (from venum eo), ma-gnanimus, am-bages, and lon-gaevus.

CHAP. III.

OF THE LENGTH AND SHORTNESS OF SYLLABLES.

- [§ 15.] SYLLABLES are long or short, either by the nature of the vowel they contain, or they become long by their short vowel being followed by two or more consonants, that is, by their position. We shall first speak of the natural length and shortness of vowels.
- 1. All Diphthongs are long, and also all those single vowels which have arisen from the contraction of two into one, such as $c\bar{o}go$ (from $co\bar{a}go$), $m\bar{a}lo$ (from $m\bar{a}v\bar{o}lo$), $tib\bar{i}cen$ (from tibiicen and tibia, but $tub\bar{i}cen$ from tuba), $b\bar{i}gae$ (from $b\check{i}j\check{u}gae$), $b\bar{u}bus$ and $b\bar{v}bus$ (from $b\check{v}vibus$), and so also $d\bar{i}s$ for diis, $grat\bar{i}s$ for gratiis, and $n\bar{i}l$ for nihil.

Note. The preposition prae is commonly made short when compounded with a word which begins with a vowel, e.g. Ovid, Metam. vii. 131.: Quos ubi viderunt praeacutae cuspidis hastas. The reason for this peculiarity is explained in the rule following; but there is no other instance in the Latin language of a diphthong standing before a vowel. It occurs only in Greek proper names, in which however the diphthong remains long, as Aeolides Sisyphus, and Aeeta relictus, for the examples which are adduced as proofs of the diphthong being shortened (Ovid, Heroid. vi. 103., and Trist. iii. 12. 2.) are not decisive.

2. A Vowel is short, when it is followed by another vowel (Vocalis ante vocalem brevis est), as in dĕus, filius, pĭus, rŭo, corrŭo; and, as h is not considered as a consonant, also in such words as trăho, contrăho, vĕho, and advēho.

[§ 16.] Note. Exceptions. - 1) The vowel e in eheu is always long, the o in ohe is frequently long, and the i in Diana sometimes. 2) The e in the termination of the genitive and dative of the fifth declension is long when it is preceded by a vowel, as in diei, speciei. 3) a is long in the obsolete ending of the genitive in the first declension, as in aurāi and pictāi, for aurae and pictae, in Virg. 4) a and e are long in the vocative terminations āi and ēi of the words ending in ajus and ejus; e.g., Gāi, Vultēi. (See Chap. XI. note 3.)
5) All the genitives in ius, except alterius, have the i commonly long; the poets however use the i in illius, istius, ipsius, unius, totius, ullius, and utrius, sometimes as a long and sometimes as a short vowel. The instances of the iin solīus being shortened cannot be relied upon; but alīus, being a contraction for aliius, can never be made short. Alterius, on the other hand, is sometimes made long (see § 49.). 6) The verb fio has the i long, except when an r occurs in it. Ovid, Trist. i. 8. 7.: Omnia jam fient, fieri quae posse negabam. 7) Greek words retain their own original quantity, and we therefore say $\bar{a}\ddot{e}r$, $\bar{e}os$ ($\dot{\eta}\dot{\omega}_{S}$), Amphion, Agesilāus, and Menelāus. The e and i in the terminations ea and eus, or ia and ius, therefore, are long when they represent the Greek εια and ειος (the Romans, not having the diphthong ei in their language, represent the Greek & sometimes by e and sometimes by i, but these vowels, of course, are always long); e.g., Galatēa, Medēa, Ænēas, Darēus or Darīus, Iphigenīa, Alexandria, Antiochīa, Nicomedīa, Samarīa, Seleucia, Thalia, Arius, Basilius, nosocomium, and the adjectives Epicureus, Pythagoreus, spondeus, and the like: but when the Greek is ea or ua, the e and i are short, as in idea, philosophia, theologia. The same is the case with the patronymic words in ides, since the Greek may be $i\delta\eta c$, as in Priamides and Æacides; or ειδης, as in Atrides, Pelides, which are derived from Atreus and Peleus. The only exceptions to this rule are, that platea (a street) has the e short, though according to the Greek $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \tilde{\epsilon} i a$ it ought to be long, and that chorea is sometimes used instead of chorea (χορεία). Some of the late Roman poets use academia instead of academia, although in Greek writers it is always long, whether spelled with & or with ..

Note 2. It is a part of the above rule, that a long vowel or diphthong at the end of a word, when the word following begins with a vowel, is usually made short in the thesis of a verse. (See above, Chap. I. 4. note 1.)

[§ 17.] 3. Usage (auctoritas) alone makes the vowel in the first syllable of mater, frater, pravus, mano (I flow), dico, duco, miror, nitor, scribo, dono, pono, utor, muto, sumo, cura, &c. long; and short in pater, avus, cado, maneo, gravis, rego, tego, bibo, minor, colo, moror, probo, domus, sono, soror, and others. It must be presumed that the student makes himself acquainted with the quantity of such words as these by practice, for rules can be given only with regard to derivatives. It must further be observed, that the i in the following words is long: formīca, lectīca, lorīca, vesīca, urtīca, hemīna, resīna, sagīna, salīva, castīgo, and formīdo.

a) Derivative words retain the quantity of their root, as in declension and conjugation: thus the a in ămor and ămo is short, and therefore also in ămoris, ămat, ămabam, ămavi, &c.;

except when the consonants after the vowel of the root produce a difference. New words formed from roots likewise retain the quantity; as from $\check{a}mo-\check{a}mor$, $\check{a}micus$, $\check{a}mabilis$; from lux, $l\bar{u}cis$ — $l\bar{u}ceo$, $l\bar{u}cidus$; from $m\bar{a}ter$ — $m\bar{a}ternus$, $m\bar{a}tertera$; and from $f\bar{n}nis$ — $f\bar{n}nio$, $f\bar{n}nitio$, $f\bar{n}nitimus$, &c.

[§ 18.] With regard to Conjugation, however, the following rules also must be observed.

1. The perfect and supine, when they consist of two syllables, and the tenses formed from them, have the first syllable long, even when in the present tense it is short, e. g., video, vidi; fugio, fugi; lego, legi, legisse, legerum, &c. (except, however, when one vowel stands before another, in which case the general rule remains in force, as in ruo, rui, dirui); video, visum; moveo, motum, motus, moturus. Seven dissyllable perfects, however, and nine dissyllable supines, together with their compounds, make their penultima short; viz. bibi, dedi, fidi (from findo), steti, stiti, tuli, and scidi (from scindo), and dutum, rutum, sutum, itum, litum, citum, quitum, situm, and rutum. Sisto makes its supine statum, whence status, a, um, and the compounds adstitum, destitum, restitum.

2. Perfects which are formed by reduplication, as tundo, tŭtūdi; cano, cĕečni; pello, pĕpūli, have the first two syllables short: but the second sometimes becomes long by position, as in mordeo, mŏmordi; tendo, tĕtendi. Pedo and caedo are the only two words which retain the long vowel in the syllable which forms the root, pepēdi, cecīdi; whereas cădo, in accordance with the

rule, has cĕcĭdi.

3. The perfect posui and the supine positum have the o short, although in

pono it is long.

With regard to Declension, we must notice the exception that the words $l\bar{a}r$, $p\bar{a}r$, $s\bar{a}l$, and $p\bar{e}s$, shorten their vowel throughout their declension: $s\bar{a}lis$,

pědis, &c.

[§ 19.] In the formation of new words by Derivation, there are several exceptions to the above rule. The following words make the short vowel long: macer, macero; legere, lex, legis, legare; rego, rex, regis, regula; tego, tegula; secus, secius; sedeo, sedes; sero, semen, sementis; lino, litera (if we do not prefer littera); stips, stipis, stipendium; suspicor, suspicio; persono, persona; voco, vox, vocis; and homo, hūmanus. The following words have a short vowel, although it is long in the root: labare from lābi; natare from nāre; paciscor from pax, pācis; ambitus and ambitio from nabīre, ambītum; dicax from dicere; fides and perfidus from fido and fidus (and we regularly find infīdus); molestus from moles; nota and notare from notus; odium from odi; sopor from sopire; dux, ducis, and redux, reducis, from dūco; lucerna from lūceo; status, statio, stabilis, stabulum, must be derived from sisto, unless we suppose that they are likewise shortened from statum (from stare).

[§ 20.] The Terminations, or final syllables, by means of which an adjective is formed from a verb or a substantive, are of a different kind. Among these alis, aris, arius, aceus, anus, ivus, and osus, have a long vowel; but idus, icus, and icus, a short one; e.g., letālis, vulgāris, montānus, aestīvus, vinōsus, avīdus, bellīcus, patrīcius. A long i, however, occurs in amīcus, aprīcus, pudīcus, antīcus, and postīcus, and in the substantives mendīcus and umbilīcus. The terminations ilis and bilis have the i short when they make derivatives from verbs, but long when from substantives; e.g., facīlis, docīlis, and amabīlis, but civīlis, hostīlis, puerīlis, senīlis, &c. The i in the termination inus may be

long or short: it is long in adjectives derived from names of animals and places, as anserīnus, asinīnus, equīnus, lupīnus, Caudīnus, Latīnus, and a few others, such as divīnus, genuīnus, clandestīnus, intestīnus, marīnus, peregrīnus, and vicīnus; it is short in most adjectives which express time, as crastīnus, diutīnus, pristīnus, serotīnus, hornotīnus, perendīnus, and in those which indicate a material or substance, as adamantīnus, bombycīnus, crystallīnus, elephantīnus, cedrīnus, fagīnus, oleagīnus. Some adjectives expressive of time, however, have the i long, viz. matutīnus, vespertīnus, and repentīnus.

[§ 21.] b) Compounded words retain the quantity of the vowels of their elements: thus from ăvus and něpos we make abăvus and abněpos, from prāvus deprāvo, from prŏbus imprŏbus, from jūs (jūris) perjūrus, from lěgo (I read) perlěgo, and from lēgo (I despatch) ablēgo, delēgo, collēga. Even when the vowel is changed, its quantity remains the same: e. g., laedo, illīdo; caedo, incīdo; aequus, inīquus; fauces, suffōco; claudo, reclūdo; făcio, effīcio; cădo, incĭdo; rătus, irrĭtus; rēgo, erĭgo; lēgo, elĭgo. We may therefore infer from compounded words the quantity of those of which they consist; e. g., from adōro, admīror, and abūtor we conclude that oro, miror, and utor have the first syllable long; and from commŏror and desŭper, that the first syllable in moror and super is short, which is not always accurately distinguished in pronunciation, because these syllables have the accent. (See Chap. IV.)

We shall mention here, by way of example, a few more compounds from which the quantity of the vowels in their elements may be inferred. We shall choose such as cannot be mentioned in any of the subsequent lists, and present them in the third person singular of the present tense. We have a long vowel in exhālat, conclāmat, allātrat, delībat, constīpat, evītat, irrītat, deplōrat, enōdat, compōtat, refūtat, obdūrat, and commūnit; and a short one in exărat, compārat, enătat, irrīgat, allīgat, perfricat, erūdit, expŏlit, devŏrat, comprŏbat, compūtat, recūbat, and suppūdet.

But there are some exceptions, and the following compounded words change the long vowel into a short one: dejero and pejero from jūro; causidicus, fatidicus, maledicus, veridicus, from dīcere; agnītus and cognītus from nōtus; innūb(us), -a, and pronūb(us), -a, from nūbo. The case is reversed

in imbēcillus from bāculus.

[§ 22.] In respect to Composition with Prepositions, it is to be remarked, that prepositions of one syllable which end in a vowel are long, and those which end in a consonant are short: dēduco, āboleo, pērimo. Tra (formed from trans), as in trādo, trāduco, is long; but the o (for ob) in ŏmitto and ŏperior is short. Pro, in Greek words, is short, as in prŏpheta, but prōlogus; prōpola, and prōpino form exceptions. In Latin words pro is long, e. g. prōdo, prōmitto: but in many it is short; prŏfugio, prŏfugus, prŏnepos, prŏfiteor, prŏfari, prŏfanus, prŏfestus, prŏfecto, prŏfuciscor, prŏfundus, prŏtervus, prŏcella, and a few others, the derivation of which is doubtful, as prŏceres, prŏpitius, prŏperare; in some the quantity is undecided. Se and di (for dis)

are long; the only exceptions are dirimo and disertus. Re is short; it is long only in the impersonal verb $r\bar{e}fert*:$ in all other cases where it appears long, the consonant which follows it must be doubled (in verse), as in rep-puli, repperi, rettuli, rettudi, reccido, redduco, relligio, relliquiae; the four perfects reppuli, repperi, rettuli and rettudi appear to have been pronounced and spelled in this way, even in prose. In the same manner reddo, reddere, arose from do. The termination a in prepositions of two syllables is long, as in $contr\bar{u}dico$; all the others are short, as antefero, praetereo.

[§ 23.] When the first word of a composition is not a preposition, it is necessary to determine the quantity of the final vowel (a, e, i, o, u, y) of the first word. 1) a is long, as in quare and quapropter, except in quasi. is mostly short, as in calĕfacio (notice especially nĕque, nĕqueo, nĕfas, nĕfastus, něfarius, něfandus), but long in nēquam, nēquidquam, nēquaquam and nēmo (which is contracted from ne and hemo, the ancient form for homo); also in sedecim and the pronouns mēmet, mēcum, tēcum, and sēcum; in venēficus, vidēlicet, vēcors, and vēsanus. 3) i is short, e.g. significo, sacrilegus, cornicen, tubicen, omnipotens, undique; but long in compounded pronouns, as quilibet, utrique, in ibidem, ubique, utrobique, ilicet and scilicet; also in the compounds of dies, as biduum, triduum, meridies; and lastly, in all those compounds of which the parts may be separated, such as lucrifacio, agricultura, siquis, because the i at the end of the first word is naturally long, and remains so. 4) o is short, hodie, duodecim, sacrosanctus, but long in compounds with contro, intro, retro, and quando (quandoquidem alone forms an exception); it is long in aliōqui, ceterōqui, utrōque, and in those Greek words in which the o represents the Greek ω , as in geometria. 5) u and y are short, as in quadrupes, Polyphemus.

4. In regard to the quantity of Final Syllables, the following special rules must be observed:—

A. Monosyllabic Words.

[§ 24.] 1) All monosyllables ending in a vowel are long; except the particles which are attached to other words: $qu\breve{e}$, $v\breve{e}$, $c\breve{e}$, $n\breve{e}$, $t\breve{e}$ ($tut\breve{e}$), $ps\breve{e}$ ($reaps\breve{e}$), and $pt\breve{e}$ ($suopt\breve{e}$).

Note. Ne, the interrogative particle, is always short, and is attached to other words as an enclitic, as in videsne, dost thou see? or dost thou not see? In the ordinary pronunciation it was still more shortened by throwing off the vowel, as in credon' tibi hoc nunc? and, in case of an s preceding, this letter was likewise dropped, as ain' tu? for aisne tu? satin' recte? satin' salvae? for satisne recte? satisne salvae? The conjunction nē (lest, or that not) is long. Respecting ne, as an inseparable negative particle in compositions, see above, § 23.

- 2) Among the monosyllables ending in a consonant, the substantives are long, as $s\bar{v}l$, $v\bar{v}r$, $f\bar{u}r$, $j\bar{u}s$; and all those are short
- * The re in this word is probably not a particle as in relego, but the accusative of the word res, so that refert is equal to rem fert. This would account for the length of the e. (See Key, The Alphabet, p. 78.)

which are not substantives, as ŭt, ĕt, nĕc, ĭn, ăn, ăd, quĭd, sĕd, quĭs, quot. The following substantives however are short: cor, fel. měl, vir and os (gen. ossis), and probably also mas, a male being, and vas, a surety, since they have the a short in the genitive: măris, vădis. Some words, on the other hand, are long, although they are not substantives; as $\bar{e}n$, $n\bar{o}n$, $qu\bar{i}n$, $s\bar{i}n$, $cr\bar{a}s$, $pl\bar{u}s$, $c\bar{u}r$, and $p\bar{a}r$ with its compounds, and also the adverbs in $i\bar{c}$ or uc, as sīc, hīc, hūc. The monosyllabic forms of declension and conjugation follow the general rules about the quantity of final syllables, and das, fles, and scis accordingly are long, while dat, flet, and scit are short; hīs, quōs, quās are long, like the terminations $\bar{o}s$ and $\bar{a}s$ in declension. So also the ablative singular $h\bar{o}c$ and hāc. The nominative hic and the neuter hoc, on the other hand, although the vowel is naturally short, are commonly used as long, because the pronunciation was hicc and hocc (as a compensation for the ancient form hice, hoce). The abridged imperatives retain the quantity of the root, so that $d\bar{i}c$ and $d\bar{u}c$ are long, while făc and fer are short.

Note. We formerly thought with other grammarians, that $f\bar{a}c$ was long, and that we ought to read face in those passages in which it is found short. (See Heinsius and Burmann on Ovid, Heroid. ii. 98.) But there is no satisfactory evidence for fac being long, and the instances quoted by Vossius (Aristarch. ii. 29.) have now been altered for other reasons.

B. Final Syllables in Words of two or more Syllables.

[§ 25.] 1) Such as terminate in a Vowel.

A is short in nouns, except in the ablative singular of the first declension and in the vocative of Greek proper names in as which belong to the first or third declension, e. g. Æneā, Pallā. A is long in verbs and indeclinable words, such as amā, frustrā, ergā, anteā, and posteā (except when separated into post ea), except itā, quiā, ejā, and the imperative putā in the sense of "for example." In the indeclinable numerals, as triginta and quadraginta, the a is sometimes long and sometimes short.

E is short, as in patre, curre, nempe; but long in the ablative of the fifth declension and in the imperative of the second conjugation; the poets however, and especially the comic ones, sometimes shorten the imperative of the words cave, habe, jube, mane, tace, vale, and vide. Adverbs in e formed from adjectives of the second declension are likewise long, as docte, recte:

also ferē, fermē, and ohē (but benĕ and malĕ are always short, and inferne and superne sometimes), and Greek words of the first declension terminating in e, as crambē, Circē, and Greek plurals, as Tempē and cetē.

[§ 26.] I is long. It is short only in the vocative of Greek words in is, e. g. Alexi, in the Greek dative in i, which however occurs seldom, as in Palladi, Tethyi, and in nisi, quasi, and cui, when it is used as a dissyllable. The i is common or doubtful in mihi, sibi, ibi and ubi; in compounds we commonly find ibidem and always ubique, whereas in ubivis and ubinam the i is always short. In uti for ut the i is long, but in the compounds utinam and utique short.

O is common in the present tense of all the conjugations, and in the nominative of the third declension, as in sermo, virgo; the Greek words in o (ω , Gen. ovs) however remain long in Latin, as $I\bar{o}$, $Did\bar{o}$. But o is long in the second declension, as in lect \bar{o} , and in adverbs formed from nouns and pronouns by means of this termination (see § 264.); e. g. vulg \bar{o} , fals \bar{o} , paul \bar{o} , e \bar{o} , qu \bar{o} , and also erg \bar{o} , iccirc \bar{o} , quand \bar{o} , and retr \bar{o} . In the poets however gerunds and the following adverbs are sometimes short: ergo in the sense of "therefore," porro, postremo, sero, quando (the compound quand \bar{o} quidem occurs only with a short o). The adverbs $m\bar{o}$ d \bar{o} (with all its compounds, and also quomod \bar{o}), cit \bar{o} , illic \bar{o} , and imm \bar{o} , and also ced \bar{o} (for dic or da), eg \bar{o} , du \bar{o} , and oct \bar{o} are always short, whereas amb \bar{o} is generally long.

Note. O as a termination of verbs has been here described as common; it must however be observed, that it is naturally long, and is used so by most poets of the best age, such as Virgil, Horace (in his Odes), and Ovid (in his Metamorphoses), in their serious productions. In their lighter poems however, and in the works of later poets, it is also used short, according to the example of the comic poets, though this was done at first less frequently, until at last it became the prevalent custom to make the o short. (See Lennep's elaborate note on Ovid, Heroid. xv. 32., reprinted in the edition of Loers.) The same is the case with o in substantives of the third declension, for the earlier poets always prefer using it as a long syllable.

U is always long, as in $di\bar{u}$, $vult\bar{u}$, $corn\bar{u}$. Y in Greek words is always short.

· ·

2) Such as terminate in a Consonant.

[§ 27.] All final syllables ending in a consonant are *short*, and special rules are required only for those ending in the sibilant s.

Note. The dissyllabic compounds of $p\bar{a}r$ retain the quantity of the single word, and the cases of *istic* and *illic* follow those of *hic*. (See § 131.) Greek words retain their original quantity in their final syllables, except those in or, as *Hector*, Nestor, which are short in Latin, although in Greek they end in $\omega \rho$. The only exceptions in genuine Latin words are $li\bar{e}n$ (formed from $li\bar{e}nis$ which is still used) and $al\bar{e}c$.

[§ 28.] As is long in Latin words, with the exception of anăs, anătis; but the Greek nominatives in as, which make their genitives in alos and in Latin in adis, such as, Ilias, Pallas, and the Greek accusatives plural of the third declension, are always short, as in heroäs.

Es is long, e. g. amēs, legēs, audiēs, patrēs. But Latin nominatives in es, which increase in the genitive, and have their penultima short, are themselves short; e. g. milěs, militis; segës, segětis (except abiēs, ariēs, pariēs, Cerēs, and the compounds of pēs); also the nominatives plural of Greek words, which increase in the genitive singular, as Amazones, Troades; the preposition penes and the second person of the compounds of sum, es, e. g. abes, potes; but the ēs (for edis) from edo is long. (See § 212.)

[§ 29.] Is is generally short, but long in all the cases of the plural, as armīs, vobīs, omnīs (accus. for omnēs); in the second person singular of verbs whose second plural is ītis, that is, in the fourth conjugation, and in possīs, velīs, nolīs, malīs, and vīs (thou wilt), with its compounds, such as mavīs, quivīs, quamvīs. Respecting the quantity of is in the perfect subjunctive and in the second future see § 165. Is, lastly, is long in proper names of the third declension, which, increasing in the genitive, have their penultima long; e.g. Quirīs, ītis; Samnīs, ītis; Salamīs, īnis; Simoīs, entis.

Os is long, as in nepōs, honōs, virōs; it is short only in compŏs and impŏs, and in Greek words and cases in os, e. g. Delŏs, Erinnyŏs.

Us is short in verbs and nouns except monosyllables, but long in the genitive singular, in the nominative and accusative plural of the fourth declension, and in the nominatives of the third, which have \bar{u} in the genitive, as $virt\bar{u}s$, $\bar{u}tis$; $pal\bar{u}s$, $\bar{u}dis$. It is also long when it represents the Greek ovs, as in $Panth\bar{u}s$, $Melamp\bar{u}s$, $Sapph\bar{u}s$. (Comp. § 59.)

Ys in Greek words is short, as Halys, Tethys, chlamys, and

long only in the few instances in which the yis of the genitive is contracted into $\bar{y}s$.

[§ 30.] 5. Syllables (as was remarked in the beginning of this chapter) may become long by their vowel being followed by two or more consonants, that is, by their position: x and z are accounted as two consonants. (See above, § 3.) A position may be formed in three ways:—1. When a syllable ends in two or three consonants, as in ex, est, mens, stirps.—2. When the first syllable ends in a consonant and the second begins with one, as in ille, arma, mentis, in nova.—3. When the first syllable ends in a vowel, and the one following begins with two consonants. By the first and second kinds of position, a syllable which is naturally short becomes long. Exceptions to this rule occur only in the comic poets who frequently neglect position, especially that of the second kind.

Note. In syllables long by position we usually pronounce the vowel itself short; but the ancients in their pronunciation even here distinguished the long vowel from the short one, just as in Greek we must pronounce $\pi\rho\acute{a}\sigma\omega$ with a long a, because it is naturally long, as we see from $\pi\rho \tilde{a} \xi \iota_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\pi\rho \tilde{a} \gamma \mu a$. With regard to other vowels, we are assisted by the Greek signs η , ω , and ε , o; but in Latin words, unless we can be guided by verse, we can derive information only from etymology and from the statements of the ancient grammarians. Thus they distinguished $\check{e}st$ (he is) from $\check{e}st$ (for edit), and they pronounced the vowel in con and in when followed in compounds by f or s, long, as in $\check{infelix}$, $\check{insanus}$, consul, confecit. (See Cicero, Orat. 48.) Dens, gens, mens, fons, frons, na mons, were uttered with a long vowel, and in like manner pax, lex, lux, rex, and vox, because they have their vowel long in the genitive also ($pl\bar{e}bs$, $pl\bar{e}bis$, belongs to the same class); whereas fax, nex, nix, nux, were pronounced with their vowel short, because they form the genitive $f\check{a}cis$, $n\check{e}cis$, &c. (Comp. Schneider, Elementarl, p. 108. foll.)

[§ 31.] In the third kind of position (made by two consonants beginning the syllable after a vowel), we must distinguish as to whether it occurs within a word or between two words, and whether the consonants are muta cum liquida, or not. Within a word a syllable ending in a short vowel is regularly made long, when it is followed by two consonants or x and z, as in a-ptus, fa-ctus, a-xis; but when the first consonant is a mute and the second a liquid (which is called positio debilis), they make the vowel only common, according to the pronunciation in prose. Thus, we may pronounce either cerëbrum, lugübris, mediocris, integri, or cerëbrum, lugübris, mediocris, integri. Ovid, for example, says:—Et primo similis volücri, mox vera volücris.

(Metam. xiii. 607.) Between two words the vowel is rarely lengthened, except in the arsis of a verse. The last syllable of a word thus remains short, e. g. in Horace at the beginning of an hexameter:—quem mală stultitia aut; or at the end: praemiă scribae. An instance in which the vowel is lengthened by the accession of the arsis occurs in Virgil, Bucol. iv. 51.:—
Terrasquē tractusque maris coelumque profundum.

Qu is not accounted as two consonants, for u is not a true consonant, though we usually pronounce it as such. But j alone is sufficient to make position, because this consonant was pronounced double (in early times it was also written double); e. g. $m\bar{a}jor$ like maijor, and in like manner in $\bar{e}jus$ and $Tr\bar{o}ja$. In the compounds of jugum alone it does not lengthen the preceding vowel, as $b\bar{i}jugus$, $quadr\bar{i}jugus$, nor does it, according to the rule mentioned above, lengthen the vowel when it begins a new word, and the preceding word ends in a short vowel, as in the hexameter of Virgil (Georg. i. 125.):— $Ant\bar{e}$ Jovem nulli subigebant arva coloni.

Note. The determination of the quantity of a vowel before muta cum liquida within a word has great difficulties, and we must add the following observations. The practice of the different poets varies greatly. Virgil, e.g., is particularly fond of lengthening a vowel by its position before muta cum liquida; and he and the poets in general usually contrive to make the vowel thus lengthened coincide with the arsis in the verse; by the same contrivance he also lengthens the short final syllable of a word, especially the enclitic que, in the second foot of an hexameter, by the muta cum liquida which follow it. We have further to observe particular words which have their vowel short, viz. liber, niger, piger, and ruber; but in their inflections, where the muta cum liquida occurs, the vowel almost always becomes long; colŭber, e. g., is short; but colūbrae, colūbris, are long, and migro is made long by the best poets in the hexameter. Other words however are either never lengthened, as arbitror, or very seldom, as locuples. There are, on the other hand, some cases of muta cum liquida, which form a strong position both in Latin and Greek, viz. where the liquid is either l, m, or n, and the mute either b, g, or d. (See Buttmann's Greek Grammar, § 7. 10.) Thus the Latin words publicus, agmen, regnum and ignarus, always have their first syllable long.

It is almost superfluous to repeat here, that we are speaking only of such vowels as are naturally short; for, when the vowel is naturally long, a lengthening by positio debilis is out of the question, and we therefore always say ambulācrum, lavācrum, delūbrum, involūcrum and salūbris. When the consonants muta cum liquida belong to different syllables, as in ab-luo, ob-ruo.

quam-ob-rem, they make real position.

CHAP. IV.

OF THE ACCENT OF WORDS.

[§ 32.] 1. It is a general rule that every word has an accent on one particular syllable. This accent is twofold, either the circumflex ($^{\Delta}$), or the acute ('), for what is called the grave in Greek means only the absence of either accent. Some words have no accent, viz. the enclitics ne, que, ve, ce, which never appear by themselves, but are attached to other words. Prepositions lose their accent when they precede the cases which they govern.

Note. The addition of these enclitics produces a change in the accent of the words to which they are attached, and which thus become compounds. The ancient grammarians have established the rule, that whenever an enclitic has a meaning of its own, the accent is thrown back upon the syllable immediately before the enclitic, and either as the acute (if the vowel of that syllable is short), or as the circumflex (if the vowel is long), as in Musáque (nominat.) hominéque, and Musâque (ablat.) armîsque. When, on the other hand, the enclitic has no meaning by itself, and forms only one word with that to which it is attached, the accent varies, as will be shown hereafter. This is the case with que; for in some compounds it either does not possess the meaning of "and" at all, or only very indistinctly. Hence in itaque (and so) the accent belongs to the short penultima, and in itaque (therefore), in which the meaning of "and" is quite obscured, the pronunciation places the accent upon the antepenultima. In the same manner we have to distinguish between utique (and that) and útique (certainly). By way of exception the same grammarians place the accent on the penultima in utráque and pleráque, on account of the accent of the masculine forms utérque and pleríque, although according to the general rule, que not meaning "and," we ought to pronounce útraque and pléraque. They further inform us that we should pronounce néquando and síquando, in order that quando may not be taken for a separate word, and aliguando in order to distinguish it from aliquánto.

[§ 33.] 2. Monosyllables are pronounced with the circumflex, when their vowel is long by nature and not merely by position, as in $d\hat{o}s$, $m\hat{o}s$, $fl\hat{o}s$, $j\hat{u}s$, $l\hat{u}x$, $sp\hat{e}s$, $f\hat{o}ns$ and $m\hat{o}ns$; but when the vowel is naturally short, they are pronounced with the acute, although the syllable may be long by position; e. g. $\hat{a}rs$, $p\hat{a}rs$, $f\hat{a}x$, $d\hat{u}x$.

Note. Sic (so) the adverb should be pronounced with the circumflex, and sic, which indicates a wish, with the acute; e.g. Sic te, diva potens Cypri, &c. in Horace Comp. Priscian, De XII. Vers. Æn.

- 3. Words of two syllables have the accent on the first, either as circumflex, when the vowel of that syllable is naturally long, and that of the second one short; or as acute, when the vowel of the first syllable is short and that of the second long; or when the vowel of the first as well as that of the second is long; e. g. Rômă, mūsă, lûce, jûris; but hômo because both syllables are short; déas, because the first is short and the second long; árte, because the first is long only by position; and dôti, for although the vowel of the first is naturally long, yet that of the second is likewise long. The ancient grammarians do not notice those cases where a syllable long by position is at the same time long by the nature of its vowel (see above, § 30.); but it is probable that cônsul, mônte, dênte, êsse (for edere), âsthma and scêptrum, were pronounced in the same manner as lûce.
- 4. Words of three syllables may have the accent on the antepenultima and penultima; the acute on the antepenultima, when the penultima is short, as in caédere, pérgere, hómines; the accented syllable itself may be long or short. The circumflex is placed on the penultima on the conditions before-mentioned, as in amâsse, Românus; and the acute, when those conditions do not exist, and yet the penultima is long, as in Românis, Metéllüs. No word can have the accent further back than the antepenultima, so that we must pronounce Constantinópolis, sollicitudínibus.

Note. Priscian (p. 803. ed. Putsch.) remarks as an exception, that the compounds of facere, which are not formed by means of a preposition, such as calefăcit, tepefăcit, and (p. 739.) the contracted genitives in i instead of ii (see § 49.), have the accent on the penultima, even when it is short, as in ingĕni, Valĕri, so that we must pronounce calefácit, ingéni. He asserts the same with regard to the vocative of proper names in ius, e.g. Virgīli, Valĕri; while other grammarians (A. Gellius, xiii. 25.) leave to this case its regular accentuation, Virgīli and not Virgīli.

[§ 34.] 5. Words of two or more syllables never have the accent on the last, and it appears that it was only the grammarians who invented a different mode of accentuation for the purpose of distinguishing words which would otherwise sound alike. They tell us that the words poné (behind) and ergô (on account of) should have the accent on the last syllable, to distinguish them from pône (put) and érgo (therefore). They further accentuate the last syllables of the adverbs circum, docte, raro, primo, solum, and modo, to distinguish them from the cases which have

the same terminations. The interrogatives quando, qualis, quantus, ubi, and others, are said to have the accent on the first syllable, according to the rule; but when used in the sense of relatives, to have the accent on the last syllable, unless the acute be changed into the grave by reason of their connection with other words which follow. The words ending in as which originally ended in atis, such as optimas, nostras, Arpinas, are said to have the accent on the syllable on which they had it in their complete form, and which is now the last. The same is asserted with regard to the contracted perfects, such as audit for audivit. It is impossible to determine how much of all this was really observed by the ancients, since it is expressly attested by earlier writers, such as Quintilian, that in Latin the accent was never put on the last syllable. But it is certainly wrong to put the grave on the last syllable of all adverbs, as some persons still do, or to use accents for the purpose of indicating the natural length of a yowel, which is better expressed by a horizontal line (-).

of a vowel, which is better expressed by a horizontal line (-).

[§ 35.] 6. These rules concerning accentuation ought to lead us to accustom ourselves to distinguish accent from quantity; to read, for example, hóminēs and not hōminēs, and to distinguish in our pronunciation édo (I eat) from ēdo (I edit), légo (I read) from lēgo (I despatch), and in like manner fúris (thou ravest), légis (thou readest) and régis (thou rulest) from the genitives fūris, rēgis and lēgis; further, lévis (light) from lēvis (smooth), málus (bad) from mâlus (an apple-tree), pálūs, ūdis (a marsh), from pâlus, i (a post), ánus (an old woman) from ânus (προκτόs), lútum (mud) from lūtum (a dyer's weed), and also lūteus (dirty or muddy) from lǔteus (yellow), and pŏ pulus (the people) from pō'pulus (a poplar). In our own language accent and quantity coincide, but it is very wrong to apply this peculiarity to a language to which it is foreign.

THE ACCIDENCE.

CHAP. V.

DIVISION OF WORDS ACCORDING TO THEIR SIGNIFICATION.

[§ 36.] THE words of every language are either nouns, verbs, or particles.

A noun serves to denote an object or a quality of an object, and may accordingly be either a substantive, as domus (a house), a pronoun, as ego (I), or an adjective, as parvus (small). Nouns are declined to indicate their different relations.

A verb expresses an action or condition which is ascribed to a person or a thing, as scribo, ire, dormire, amari. A verb is conjugated in order to indicate the different modes in which an action or condition is ascribed to a person or a thing.

Particles are those parts of speech, which are neither declined nor conjugated, and which are neither nouns nor verbs. They are divided into the following classes. 1) Adverbs express the circumstances of an action or condition, as scribit bene, he writes well; diu dormit, he sleeps long. 2) Prepositions express, either directly or indirectly (§ 295.), the relations of persons or things to one another or to actions and conditions; as, amor meus erga te, my love towards thee; eo ad te, I go to thee. 3) Conjunctions express the connexion between things, actions, or propositions; as, ego et tu; clamavit, sed pater non audivit. 4) Interjections are the expressions of emotion by a single word; as ah, ohe, vae.

These are the eight parts of speech in Latin; all of them occur in the following hexameter:—

Vae tibi ridenti, quia mox post gaudia flebis.

CHAP. VI.

NOUNS SUBSTANTIVE. - GENERAL RULES OF GENDER.

[§ 37.] Nouns substantive are either proper (nomina propria), i. e. the names of one particular man or thing, or common (nomina appellativa), i. e. such as denote persons or things in so far as they belong to a class.

All nouns have one of three genders; masculine, feminine, or neuter.

The manner in which the gender of a noun can be ascertained from its termination will be explained under each declension. Our object here is to show the gender of nouns, both proper and common, in so far as it depends upon their meaning.

1. The following are masculine: the names of men and of male beings; as homo, vir, scriba, flamen, consul, rex, deus, daemon, Cupido (the God of Love), manes (the spirits of the departed), lemŭres (spectres); and the names of rivers, winds, and months, the words fluvius, ventus, and mensis being themselves masculine.

[§ 38.] Exceptions. There are some substantives which do not originally denote men, but have come to be applied to them by custom; as operae, labourers; vigiliae and excubiae, sentinels; copiae, troops; auxilia, auxiliary troops; mancipium, a slave; scortum and prostibulum, a prostitute. All such words have the gender which belongs to them according to their termination.

The names of rivers in a, belonging to the first declension, vary in their gender. (See Schneider, Formenlehre, p. 14.) Modern writers commonly make them feminine; but the ancients, in most cases, make them masculines, which is the gender belonging to them. (See § 47.) The mythological rivers Styx and Lethe are feminine, as in Greek. The names of winds and months are, without exception, masculine; hence hi Etesia, hic Libs, hic Aprilis. With regard to the names of the months it must be observed that all of them are adjectives, and that the best writers use them only as such, the substantive mensis being understood. Hence also Calendae Januariae, Nonae Sextiles, Idus Martiae, Majae, ante Calendas Augustas, Idibus Decembribus. See Drakenborch, on Livy (iv. 37.), who, with most other commentators, is so strongly convinced of this, that he does not hesitate to correct passages in which this rule is not observed.

The names of mountains are generally said to be masculine; but when the word *mons* is not joined with them, the gender depends upon their termination, as in *alta Aetna*.

[§ 39.] 2. The following are feminine: the names of women and female beings; e. g. uxor, wife; soror, sister; anus, an old woman; socrus, mother-in-law; Juno, Venus; and even when they end in um, as Phanium, Glycerium, Leontium. Most of the

names of trees, towns, countries, and islands, just as the words arbos, urbs, terra (regio), and insula, themselves are feminine; e. g. alta cedrus, pinus, abies, the high cedar, pine, fir; umbrosa fagus, the shady beech; ficus Indica, opulenta Corinthus, antiqua Tyrus, dura Lacedaemon, Aegyptus superstitiosa, clara Salamis.

Exceptions. The names of trees and shrubs ending in er, and following the third declension, are neuter; as acer, cicer, papaver, to which we must add robur, the oak. Masculine are oleaster and pinaster, which belong to the second, and styrax which belongs to the third declension: also many shrubs and smaller plants in us, i; e. g. amarantus, asparagus, calamus, dumus, hellebörus, intubus, rhamnus, and spinus. The following vary, and may be used as masculine or feminine: cytisus, raphanus, rubus, and grossus, an unripe fig.

Among the names of towns the following are masculine: 1) All plurals in i, as Argi, Delphi, Puteoli, Veji; 2) Four names in o: Hippo (with the surname regius), Narbo Marcius, Frusino, and Sulmo; the analogy of which is followed also by Croto, although the regular form in Greek is ή Κρότων; 3) Tunes, ētis, and Canopus, as in Greek ὁ Κάνωβος. Some names in ūs, untis, such as Pessinus, Selinus, and in us, i, such as Pharsalus, Abydus, and also Marathon, are masculine, according to the Greek custom, though they are sometimes also used as feminines. The following are neuter: 1) Those ending in um, and the Greek names in on, as Tusculum, Ilion; 2) The plurals in a, orum, e. g. Susa, Arbela, Echatana, Leuctra; 3) Those ending in e and ur, which follow the third declension, as Caere, Reāte, Praeneste, Tergeste, Nepete or Nepet, Anxur, and Tibur; Tuder is likewise neuter; 4) The indeclinable names in i and y, as Illiturgi, Asty, and some others, particularly barbarous names, the declension of which is defective, as Suthul, Hispal, Gadir, whereas their Latin forms, Hispalis and Gades, ium, are feminine. Argos, as a neuter, occurs only in the nominative, otherwise Argi, orum, is used. The many exceptions we have here enumerated might render us inclined attogether to drop the rule respecting the feminine gender of names of towns; but we must adhere to it on account of the numerous Greek names in us, i, and of the Greek or non-Italian names in on (o), onis; and there appears moreover to have been a tendency to make feminine even those which are of a different gender, provided they are in the singular. This is the case, besides those we have already mentioned, with Croton, and may also be observed in the case of Praeneste; for Virgil says, Praeneste sub ipsa, and Juvenal gelida Praeneste, but otherwise the neuter gender is well established. (Liv. vi. 29.; Sil. Ital. ix. 404.) The poets change the names of some places ending in um into us, e.g. Saguntus, and use them as feminines. (See Schneider, Formenl. p. 479.)

Among the names of countries those in um and plurals in a are neuter, as Latium, Bactra; the names Bosporus, Pontus, and Hellespontus, which properly denote the seas adjacent to these countries, are masculine; the same is the case with Isthmus when used as the name of a country, for originally it is a common noun signifying "a neck of land." Of the names of islands, some ending in um are neuter; as is also the Egyptian Delta.

It must further be observed that most names of precious stones are feminine as in Greek; but beryllus, carbunculus, opălus, and smaragdus are masculine. The names of dramatic compositions are used in the early and good language as feminine, the word fabula being understood; e. g. hæc Truculentus (Plauti), Eunuchus (Terentii) acta est, &c. (See Quintil. i. 5. 52. with Spalding's note.) Juvenal (i. 6.), however, says, Orestes nondum finitus.

[§ 40.] 3. There are many names of persons, which are common to both sexes, as they denote an occupation or quality which may belong either to a man or a woman, although the one is more frequently the case than the other. Such words are called *common (communia)*. Those found in Latin with two genders are contained in the following hexameter lines:—

Antistes, vates, adolescens, auctor et augur,
Dux, judex, index, testis, cum cive sacerdos,
Municipi adde parens, patrueli affinis et heres,
Artifici conjux atque incola, miles et hostis,
Par, juvenis, martyr, comes, infans, obses et hospes,
Interpres, praesul, custos, vindexque, satelles.

Some other words are not noticed here, because they are used only in apposition to feminines; those mentioned above, however, may be accompanied by adjectives in either gender; e. g. Cic. Cat. 2.: In hoc sumus sapientes, quod naturam optimam ducem, tamquam deum, sequimur. Pro Balb. 24.: Sacerdos illa Cereris civis Romana facta est. Virg. Æn. x. 252.: Alma parens Idaea deum. Liv. i. 7.: Mater mea, veridica interpres deum. To these we may add contubernalis, properly an adjective, which cannot be accommodated to verse, and perhaps also exul and princeps, with regard to which the passages of the ancients are not decisive, since the non alia exul in Tacit. Ann. xiv. 63. may be explained as apposition, and Romana princeps in the Eleg. ad Liviam, 356. may be taken as an adjective, as in other cases. Obses is well attested as a nomen commune by Plin. Hist. Nat. xxxiv. 13.: Obsidibus, quae Porsenae mittebantur. Auspex yet awaits a better authority than praeclaram auspicem in the Declam. (Porcii Latronis) in Catil. c. 16.

It is further to be observed, that antistes and hospes, in the sense of priestess and hostess, are not attested as well as the feminine forms antistita, ae, and

hospita, ae.

- [§ 41.] 4. Substantiva mobilia are those substantives in which the root receives different terminations for the masculine and feminine genders. The termination for the feminine is always a or trix, and the latter occurs in those cases in which the masculine ending in tor is derived from transitive verbs, as in victor, victrix; ultor, ultrix; praeceptor, praeceptrix; inventor, inventrix. The feminine is indicated by a when the masculine ends in us or er, or some other termination, e. g. coquus, coqua; puer, puera; or more frequently the diminutive form puella; magister, magistra; leno, lena; caupo, copa; tibicen, tibicina; avus, avia; rex, regina; antistes, antistita. The feminine termination tria is Greek, and is formed from masculines in tes or ta, as psaltes, psaltria; poëta, poëtria.
 - [§ 42.] 5. Some names of animals have special forms to distinguish the two sexes: agnus, agna; cervus, cerva; columbus, Univ Cant Dignized by Microsoft M.

columba; equa; equa; gallus, gallina; juvencus, juvenca; lupus, lupa; leo, lea and leaena; porcus, porca; vitulus, vitula; ursus, ursa. In some cases the words are altogether different, as in taurus, vacca, a bull and cow; aries, ovis, ram and sheep; hoedus, capella; catus, felis.

Most other names of animals are common (epicoena); that is, they have only one grammatical gender which comprises both sexes, e.g. passer, anser, corvus, canis, cancer are masculine; aquila, felis, anas, vulpes are feminine, though they may denote animals of either sex. With regard to those names which may distinguish the genders by terminations, it should be observed that one form (generally the masculine) predominates, such as equus, leo, lupus as masculine, and felis, ovis as feminine. If the sex of the particular animal is to be stated, the word mas or femina are added to the name; as, anas mas, anas femina, femina anguis, musca femina, femina piscis, and lupus or porcus femina, although we have the forms lupa and porca. Instead of mas we may also use masculus or mascula, e.g. vulpes mascula, a male fox; pavo masculus, a male peacock.

Some of these nouns epicene however, in which the difference of sex is more frequently noticed, are used as real common nouns, so that they are masculine when the male animal, and feminine when the female animal, is particularly specified. Of this kind are bos, canis, elephantus, lepus, vespertilio, mus, which are masculine when the difference of sex is not noticed; but feminine when the female is designated. Thus we generally find, e. g., elephanti prudentissimi habentur, lepores timidi sunt; but at the same time canes rabidae, elephantus gravida, lepus fecunda: and Horace abandoning the usual gender, takes the liberty of saying (Serm. ii. 8. 87.): membra gruis sparsi, and jecur anseris albae. (See Bentley's note.)

The following nouns are sometimes masculine and sometimes feminine, without regard to difference of sex: anguis and serpens, a serpent; dama, fallow-deer; talpa, a mole; also sus, a pig; and tigris, tiger; but sus is commonly feminine, while tigris is commonly masculine. Others are of uncertain gender, in as far as they have both a masculine and a feminine form, which, however, are used indiscriminately and without regard to sex. Thus we have the feminine forms colubra, lacerta, luscinia, and simia along with the masculines

Univ Cali - Diguzed by Microsoft or

coluber, lacertus, luscinius, and simius, without simia, for instance, having any reference whatever to a female monkey. In like manner, palumbus and palumba (the same as palumbes) are used indiscriminately.

[§ 43.] 6. The following are neuter. All indeclinable substantives, as gummi, pascha, sināpi, and pondo which is used as an indeclinable noun in the sense of "pound;" the names of the letters of the alphabet, as c triste, o longum, Graecum digamma, &c., and all words and expressions which, without being substantives, are conceived and used as such, or quoted merely as words; e. g. ultimum vale, scire tuum nihil est, vivere ipsum turpe est nobis, tergeminum σοφῶs, hoc ipsum diu mihi molestum est (Cicero), lacrimas hoc mihi paene movet (Ovid), where the words diu and paene are quoted from the sayings of another person, and it is said that the very word diu or paene is painful.

Note. The names of the letters of the alphabet, however, are sometimes used as feminines, the word littera being understood; e. g. Quintil. i. 4.11.: Sciat etiam Ciceroni placuisse aiio Maiiamque geminata i scribere. The names of the Greek letters in a, as beta, gamma, delta, are used as feminines only by Ausonius, Technop. de Litt.

CHAP. VII.

NUMBER, CASE, AND DECLENSION.

[§ 44.] The Latin language distinguishes, in nouns and verbs, the singular and plural (numerus singularis and pluralis) by particular forms; it has also different forms to distinguish six different cases (casus) in the relations and connections of nouns. The ordinary names of these cases are nominative, genitive, dative, accusative, vocative, and ablative. The different forms of these cases are seen in the terminations which are annexed to the crude form of a word. Declension is the deriving of these different forms, both in the singular and plural, from one another, the nominative forming the starting point. The nominative and vocative are called casus recti, and the others casus obliqui.

There are five declensions distinguished by the termination of the genitive singular, which ends:—

 All declensions have the following points in common: —

- 1. In the second, third, and fourth declensions there are neuters which have three cases alike, viz. nominative, accusative, and vocative.
- 2. The vocative is like the nominative, except in the second declension and some Greek words in the first and third.
- 3. Where no exception arises from neuters, the accusative singular ends in m.

4. The genitive plural ends in um.

5. The dative plural is in all declensions like the ablative plural.

The following table contains the terminations of all the five declensions:—

PLURAL.

		r	eut.		neut.		neut.	
Nom.	ae	i,	\boldsymbol{a}	es,	a (ia)	us,	$\dot{u}a$	es.
Gen.	arum	orum		um ((ium)	uum		erum.
Dat.	is	is		ibus		ibus	(ubus)	ebus.
Acc.	as	os,	a		a (ia)		ua	es.
Voc.	ae	i,	a	es,	a (ia)	us,	ua	es.
Abl.	is	is		ibus		ibus	(ubus)	ebus.

Anna Calif - Digitizon by Micharoff W.

CHAP. VIII.

FIRST DECLENSION.

[§ 45.] THE first declension comprises all nouns which form the genitive singular in ae. The nominative of genuine Latin words of this kind ends in \check{a} . Greek words in a, as musa, historia, stoa, follow the example of the Latin ones, and shorten the final vowel when it is long in Greek. Some Greek words in \bar{e} , $\bar{a}s$, and $\bar{e}s$ have peculiar terminations in some of their cases. (See Chap. IX.)

ays.
ys.

In like manner are declined, for example, the substantives barba, causa, cura, epistola, fossa, hora, mensa, noverca, penna, porta, poena, sagitta, silva, stella, uva, victoria, and the adjectives and participles with the feminine termination a; as, longa, libera, pulchra, lata, rotunda, lecta, scripta.

Note 1. An old form of the genitive singular in $\bar{a}s$ has been retained even in the common language, in the word familia when compounded with pater, mater, filius, and filia; so that we say paterfamilias, patresfamilias, filiosfamilias. But the regular form familiae is not uncommon; sometimes, though not often, we find familiarum in composition with the plural of those words.

Note 2. An obsolete poetical form of the genit. sing. is $\bar{a}i$ for the diphthong ae or ai, as in aulai, aurai, pictai, which three forms occur even in Virgil.

Note 3. Poets form the genitive plural of patronymics in es and a, of several compounds in cola and gena, and of some few names of nations, by the termination um instead of arum, as Aeneadum, Dardanidum, coelicolum, terrigenum, Lapühum. Of a similar kind are the genitives amphorum, drachmum, which are used even in prose, instead of amphorarum, drachmarum. (Comp. § 51.)

Note 4. Some words form the dative and ablative plural in abus instead of is, such as anima, dea, filia, liberta, nata, mula, equa, asina; for the purpose of distinguishing them from the dative and ablative plural of the masculine forms, which would otherwise be the same. The regular termination is, however, is generally preferred, notwithstanding the possibility of ambiguity; and it is only deabus and filiabus that can be recommended, for

the former is used in a solemn invocation by Cicero: dis deabusque omnibus; and the latter by Livy (xxiv. 26.), cum duabus filiabus virginibus. Libertabus frequently occurs in inscriptions. The termination abus has remained in common use for the feminine of duo and ambo: duabus, ambabus.

CHAP. IX.

GREEK WORDS IN \bar{e} , $\bar{a}s$, AND $\bar{e}s$.

[§ 46.] 1. In the dative singular and throughout the plural, Greek words in e, as, and es, do not differ from the regular declension. In the other cases of the singular they are declined in the following manner: -

Nom.	$ar{e}$	$\bar{a}s$	$\bar{e}s.$
Gen.	$\bar{e}s$	ae	ae.
Acc.	$\overline{e}n$	am (sometimes $\bar{a}n$)	$\bar{e}n.$
Voc.	$ar{e}$	\bar{a}	\bar{e} and \bar{a} .
Abl.	$ar{e}$	$ar{a}$	\bar{a} and \bar{e} .

Words of this kind in ē are: aloë, crambe, epitome, Circe, Danaë, Phoenīce; in as: Aeneas, Boreas, Gorgias, Midas, Messias, Satanas; in es: anagnostes, comētes, dynastes, geometres, pyrītes, satrapes, sophistes, Anchises, Thersites, and patronymics (i. e. names of persons derived from their parents or ancestors, see § 245.); e. g. Aeneades, Alcides, Pelides, Priamides, Tydides.

Note. Common nouns, such as epistola and poëta, which, on their adoption into the Latin language, exchanged their Greek termination η or η_c for the Latin ă, are treated as genuine Latin words, and no longer follow the Greek declension. But a great many other common, as well as proper, nouns likewise follow the Latin declension; and it must be especially remarked, that the early Latin writers, including Cicero, show a tendency to Latinize the declension of those words which they have frequent occasion to use. Thus we prefer with Cicero grammatica, rhetorica, dialectica, musica, to grammatice, rhetorice, dialectice, musice, and we may say Creta and Penelopa just as well as Hecuba and Helena, although some writers, especially the later poets, with an affectation of erudition, preferred Crete and Penelope. But there is no fixed law in this respect. In the words in es, Cicero prefers this Greek termination to the Latin ă, e.g. Philoctetes, Scythes, Perses, sophistes, to Persa, sophista, &c. In the accusative he sometimes uses en, as Arsinoën, Circen, Sinopen. (See my note on Cic. in Verr. iv. 18.) But although he

would use the nominative Sinope for Sinopa, yet he makes the genitive Sinopae in the adverbial sense of "at Sinope," e.g. in Rull. ii. 20. As to the practice of Horace, see Bentley on Epod. xvii. 17.

2. Greek words in as commonly take the accusative an in poetry, and Virgil uniformly uses Aenean. In prose the Latin am is much more frequent, although Livy too has Aenean, and in Quintus Curtius we not unfrequently find the forms Amyntan, Philotan, Perdiccan, and others, along with Amyntam, Philotam, Perdiccam.

The vocative of words in $\bar{e}s$ is usually \bar{e} , as in Virgil:—
Conjugio, Anchisē, Veneris dignate superbo; but the Latin vocative in \check{a} also occurs frequently, e. g. at the end of an hexameter in Horace, Serm. ii. 3. 187.:—Atridă, vetas cur? and in Cicero: Aeeta, Thyesta! The vocative in \bar{a} seldom occurs, as in the oracle mentioned by Cicero, De Divin. ii. 56.: Ajo te, Aeacidā, Romanos vincere posse. Words in es form their ablative regularly in \bar{a} , e. g. in Cicero: de Philocteta, de Protagora Abderita. The poets, however, sometimes use the termination \bar{e} , as in Virgil: Uno graditur comitatus Achate.

3. Generally speaking, however, the patronymics in ηs , genit. ov, are the only Greek words that follow the second declension; and the majority of proper names ending in es follow the third declension, as Alcibiades, Miltiades, Xerxes. But many of them form the accusative singular in $\bar{e}n$ (as Euphraten, Mithridaten, Phraaten), and the vocative in e, together with the forms of the third declension in em and es. (See Chap. XVI.)

Note. The word satrapes $(\sigma a \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta c$, ov) is best declined after the first declension; but no example of the genit sing, being satrapae is known; Nepos (Lysand. 4.) uses satrapis. This does not necessarily presuppose the existence of a nominative satraps, which occurs only in later times, but may be the same as Miltiades, genitive Miltiadis. Instances of the dative satrapae, accus. satrapēn, and ablat. satrapē, occur in other writers, as well as in the correct texts of Q. Curtius. The form satrapem must be rejected; but the Latin form satrapam may be used. The plural is throughout after the first declension, satrapae, satraparum, &c.

CHAP. X.

GENDER OF THE NOUNS OF THE FIRST DECLENSION.

[§ 47.] Nouns in a and e are feminine, and those in as and es(being chiefly names of men) are masculine.

Note. Nouns denoting male beings are of course masculine, though they end in a, as aurīga, collēga, nauta, parricīda, poëta, scriba. Names of rivers in a, such as Garumna, Trebia, Sequana, Himera (to be distinguished from the town of the same name), and Hadria (the Adriatic) are masculine, according to the general rule. (See Chap. VI.) The three rivers Allia, Albula, and Matrona, however, are feminine. Cometa and planeta, which are usually mentioned as masculines, do not occur in ancient writers, who always use the Greek forms cometes, planetes; but cometa and planeta would, according to analogy, be masculine.

CHAP. XI.

SECOND DECLENSION.

[§ 48.] All nouns which form the genitive singular in i, belong to the second declension. The greater part of them end in the nominative in us, the neuters in um; some in er, and only one in ir, viz. vir with its compounds, to which we must add the proper name, Trevir. There is only one word ending in ur, viz. the adjective satur, satura, saturum.

The genitive of those in us and um is formed by changing these terminations into i. The vocative of words in us ends in ě: as, O felix anně, O happy year! In all other cases the vocative is like the nominative.

α							
->	IN	C	TT'	г	۸	n	

Nom. qladi-ŭs, the sword. Gen. qladi-ī, of the sword. Dat. gladi-ō, to the sword. Acc. qladi-um, the sword.

Voc. gladi-ĕ, O sword!

Abl. *qladi-ō*, from the sword.

PLURAL.

Nom. qladi-i, the swords. Gen. gladi-ōrum, of the swords. Dat. gladi-īs, to the swords.

Acc. gladi-ōs, the swords. Voc. gladi-ī, O swords!

Abl. gladi-īs, from the swords.

The neuters in um are declined in the same way; but in the plural they have the termination a, and the nominative, accusative, and vocative are alike in the singular as well as in the plural.

SINGULAR.

Nom. scamn-um, the bench.

Gen. scamn-\bar{\circ}, of the bench.

Dat. scamn-\bar{\circ}, to the bench.

Dat. scamn-\bar{\circ}, to the bench.

PLURAL.

Nom. scamn-\bar{\circ}, the benches.

Gen. scamn-\bar{\circ}rum, of the benches.

Acc. scamn-um, the bench.

Voc. scamn-um, O bench!

Acc. scamn-ă, the benches.

Voc. scamn-ă, O benches!

Abl. scamn-ō, from the benches.

Abl. scamn-ōs, from the benches.

Vir and its compounds, as well as satur, simply add the terminations of the different cases to the nominative.

Some of the words in er are likewise declined by merely adding the terminations to the nominative, as puer, puĕr-i, puĕr-ō, puĕr-um, puĕr-orum, puĕr-is, puĕr-os; others reject the short e in the oblique cases, as liber (a book), libr-i, libr-o, libr-um, &c. Those which retain the e are not very numerous, viz. adulter, gener, puer, socer, vesper, Liber (the god Bacchus), and liberi (the children, only in the plural); the adjectives asper, lacer, liber (free), miser, prosper, and tener. To these we must add the compounds of ferre and gerere, as Lucifer, armiger, and the words presbyter, Ibēr, and Celtibēr (plural Celtibēri). The adjective dexter has both forms, dextera and dextra, dexterum and dextrum, although the elision of the e is more frequent.

[§ 49.] Note 1. The genitive of nouns, both proper and common, in ius and ium, in the best age of the Latin language, was not ii, but i, as fili for filii, and in like manner Appi, ingeni, imperi, consili, negoti. So at least it was pronounced in the poets before and during the Augustan age, as in Virgil, Horace, and Tibullus. Propertius is the first who, in a few instances, has ii, which occurs frequently in Ovid; and in the later poets, who preferred regularity of formation to euphony, it is quite common. (See Bentley on Terence, Andr. ii. 1. 20.) With regard to poets, the metre must determine this point; and it was in consequence of the metre that Lucretius (v. 1004.), though one of the early poets, wrote nāvigii, because otherwise the word would not have suited the hexameter. But the orthography of prose writers who lived before the Augustan age is doubtful, on account of the great discrepancy which, on this point as on everything connected with orthography, prevails in the MSS, even in the most ancient ones of Cicero. which have recently been discovered. It is, however, probable that, although ii may have been written, only one i was pronounced, as was always done in the words dii and diis. The genitive mancipi for mancipii, which occurs in many legal expressions, is a remnant of the ancient practice, and remained in use in later times. Concerning the accent of these contracted genitives, and of the vocatives of proper names in ius, of which we shall

speak hereafter, see above, § 33., and Bentley, l. c.

Note 2. The following nine adjectives or adjective pronouns, unus, solus, totus, ullus, uter, neuter, alter, nullus, and alius, together with their compounds uterque, utervis, uterlibet, utercunque, and alteruter, form the genitive in all their three genders in ius, and the dative in i; in addition to which uter and neuter eject the e preceding the r. The i of this genitive is long in prose, but in verse it is sometimes made short. (See § 16.) Alterius alone has the i short both in prose and in verse (with a few exceptions, as in Terence, Andr. iv. 1. 4.; see § 850.), according to the statement of Priscian, pp. 694. 958. It is true that alterius cannot be used in the dactylic hexameter without the i being short, but it is used in the same manner in a trochee by Plautus (Capt. ii. 2. 56.). There are only a few instances in which these words follow the regular declension. (See below, § 140.)

[§ 50.] Note 3. The vocative of proper names in ius ends in i instead of ie, e.g. Antoni, Mercuri, Terenti, Tulli, Virgili. In like manner the proper names in jus, being sometimes softened down into jus, make the vocative in a simple i, as Gāi, Pompēi. But this rule cannot be applied to proper names in *īus* from the Greek ειος, as in Arīus, Heraclīus; nor to those names which are in reality adjectives, and are used as proper names only when filius, deus, or heros are understood, such as Laërtius, the son of Laërtes, i. e. Ulysses; Cynthius, Delius, the Cynthian or Delian god, i.e. Apollo; Tirynthius, the Tirynthian hero, i.e. Hercules. All such words retain ie in the vocative, and in like manner Pius, when used as a proper name, probably formed the vocative Pie. For all common nouns and adjectives, according to the testimony of the ancient grammarians, regularly formed their vocative in ie, as nuntie, adversarie, impie, although there are no passages in ancient writers to prove it. But filius and genius make their vocative fili, geni, and meus (though not mea or meum) makes mi. Deus in the vocative is like the nominative, as O deus! mi deus!

What has here been said of deus alone is applied by poets to other words also: they not unfrequently imitate the Greeks by making the vocative like the nominative, e.g. Terent. Phorm. ii. 2. 10.: O vir fortis atque amicus! Horat. de Art. Poët. 292.: vos, O Pompilius sanguis! Carm. i. 2. 43.: almae filius Majae. Ovid, Fast. iv. 731.: populus. In Livy too it occurs in some ancient formulæ, as viii. 9.: agedum pontifex publicus populi Rom.; and i. 24.: tu populus Albanus; but there is no reason for doubting the form popule, which occurs in other passages.

[§ 51.] Note 4. The genitive plural of some words, especially those which denote money, measure, and weight, is commonly um* instead of orum, particularly nummum, sestertium, denarium, cadum, medimnum, modium, jugerum, talentum. Nummum is commonly used in this way in connection with numerals; whereas otherwise, when it merely denotes money in general, nummorum

^{*} We do not write $\hat{u}m$, as is done in most editions, for several reasons: 1) because it is doubtful whether this form arose from contraction; 2) because, according to the testimony of the ancient grammarians, no final syllable in m with a vowel before it is long (which would be implied in the circumflex), whence no one would be able to distinguish by his ear such a genitive as nummum from the accus. sing., as Quintilian, i. 6.17. attests; and 3) because no accents are used in Latin.

is the usual form, e. g. tantum nummorum, acervi nummorum. There are some other words in which this is the usual form in certain combinations, such as praefectus fabrum, or socium, from faber and socius; so also duumvirum, triumvirum, decemvirum. Liberi and deus have both forms, liberorum, deorum, and liberum, deum. Poets indulge in still greater licences, especially with names of nations; they say, e. g., Argivum, Danaum, Poenmum, &c., instead of Argivorum, Danaorum, Poenorum, and in Livy we find Celtiberum as well as Celtiberorum. We might point out several more isolated peculiarities of this kind, as ephorum in Corn. Nepos, Agesil. 4. Respecting the genitive of numerals (cardinal, and especially distributive numerals), see below, Chap. XXIX. and XXX.

Note 5. Deus has three forms in the nom. and ablat. plur., viz. dei, dii, and di, and deis, diis, and dis. The forms in i are the most usual, and in reality only one of them, since dii and diis were pronounced as monosyllables (Priscian, p. 737.), and are most frequently found thus spelled in the ancient

MSS.

The following words may serve as exercises of declension: -Annus, year; corvus, raven; hortus, garden; lectus, bed; medicus, physician; morbus, illness; nuntius, messenger; populus, people; rivus, brook; taurus, bull; ventus, wind. Neuters in um:—Astrum, star; bellum, war; collum, neck; dolium, cask; donum, present; membrum, limb; negotium, business; ovum, egg; poculum, cup; proelium, battle; sepulcrum, sepulchre; signum, sign; tergum, back; vinculum, fetter. Those in er, genit. eri, have been mentioned above. The following are the most common among those which reject the e before the r:-Ager, field; aper, boar; arbiter, arbitrator; auster, south wind; cancer, cancer, or crab; coluber, snake; culter, knife; faber, workman; liber, book; magister, teacher; minister, servant. To these must be added the proper names in er, e. g. Alexander, gen. Alexandri. The adjectives which reject the e are aeger, ater, creber, glaber, macer, niger, piger, impiger, pulcher, ruber, sacer, scaber, sinister, taeter, vafer.

CHAP. XII.

GREEK WORDS OF THE SECOND DECLENSION.

[§ 52.] 1. Greek words in os and neuters in ov, which make ov in the genitive, are commonly Latinized in the nominative by the terminations us and um, such as the common

nouns taurus, antrum, theatrum, and the proper names Homerus, Pyrrhus, Corinthus. Other common nouns which are more rarely used, admit of both terminations in the nominative, as arctos and arctus, barbitos and barbitus, scorpios and scorpius; and this is still more frequently the case in proper names, so that, e. g., Paros, Delos, Isthmos, and Ilion are used along with Parus, Delus, Isthmus and Ilium. Generally speaking, however, the Greek forms belong more particularly to poets and the later prose writers. Greek names in pos with a consonant before it sometimes become Latinized by the termination er, and sometimes they change pos into rus, and make their vocative in č. The former takes place in by far the greater number of cases, e. g. Alexander, Maeander, Teucer; the only instances in which the termination rus is found are Codrus, Hebrus, Locrus, Petrus. In the compounds of µéτpov and a few others, both forms are used, as hexameter and hexametrus, though the latter occurs more frequently. Words ending in os in the nominative may make the accusative in ŏn instead of um, as Delon, Bosporon, Tarson. The nominative plural sometimes ends in oe (the Greek diphthong oi), as in canephoroe, Cicero, in Verr. iv. 3. 8.; Locroe, Quintil. x. 1. 70. The genitive plural in ōn instead of orum occurs in the titles of books, such as Bucolicon, Georgicon.

- 2. Greek proper names in ovs, contracted from oos, are in Latin either resolved into ŏus or end in $\bar{u}s$, as Alcinŏus, Ariston $\bar{u}s$, Panth $\bar{u}s$. The vocative of the latter form is u, as Panthu.
- 3. Some Greek proper names in ωs, which in Greek follow the second Attic declension (as Athos, Ceos, Cos, Teos), in Latin either follow the Greek declension, e. g. Athōs, gen. and dat. Atho, accus. Atho or Athon; or they take the Latin form, as Tyndareŭs for Tyndareōs, and Cous (for Cos, Kῶs), Coo, Coum, ablat. Co, e. g. in Co insula. Athos, however, is also declined as a noun of the third declension with the nominative Athon or Atho—Athonem, Athone.
- 4. Greek words in εvs of the third Greek declension, such as Orpheus, Idomeneus, Phalereus, Prometheus, were pronounced in Latin sometimes $\overline{\epsilon u}s$ as one syllable, and sometimes εus . The best way is to make them follow entirely the second Latin declension, as Orphei, Orpheo, Orpheum, with the exception of

the vocative, which (according to the Greek third declension) ends in \bar{eu} . The Greek terminations, gen. \check{eos} , dat. \check{ei} (contracted \bar{ei}), accus. \check{ea} , are chiefly found in poetry; but the accusative is frequent also with prose writers, though Cicero (ad Att. vii. 3.) does not approve of it, as Phalerea, Promethea, Tydea. The terminations ei, eo, ea are sometimes contracted by poets into a diphthong, because the metre requires it. (See above § 11.) Horace makes the genitive of Achilles and Ulixes—Achillei, Ulixei, or contracted Achillei, Ulixei, as though the nominative still ended in evs. The name Perseus is usually formed by Cicero after the first declension: nom. Perses, gen. and dat. Persae, acc. Persen, abl. Perse and Persa. Livy preferred the second declension: Perseus, Persei, Perseo (rarely Persi, according to the third, like the Greek $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma e i$), but in the accusative he has more frequently Persea than Perseum.

CHAP. XIII.

GENDER OF THE NOUNS OF THE SECOND DECLENSION.

- [§ 53.] 1. Nouns in us, er, and ir are masculine; those in um and the Greek nouns in δn are neuter.
- 2. Of those in us however the following are feminine: the names of plants and precious stones, as well as those of towns and islands, with a few exceptions. (See above, § 39.) It must be observed, that in many cases where the name of a tree ends in us fem., there is a form in um denoting the fruit of the tree, e. g. cerasus, cerasum; malus, malum; morus, morum; pirus, pirum; prunus, prunum; pomus, pomum; but ficus signifies both the tree and the fruit. There are only four other genuine Latin words in us which are feminine, viz. alvus, humus, vannus, and colus, which however is sometimes declined after the fourth declension, gen. ūs. Pampinus, a branch of a vine, is rarely feminine, but commonly masculine. Virus (juice or poison) and pelagus (τὸ πέλαγος, the

if ad b

^{*} In some words also $\tilde{e}\tilde{a}$, if the verse requires it, as *Idomenēa*, *Ilionēa*: $\tilde{\eta}a$ and $\epsilon \tilde{a}$ are Ionic forms, and the Attic $\epsilon \tilde{a}$ is not customary in Latin.

sea) are neuter. Vulgus (the people) is sometimes masculine, but more frequently neuter.

[§ 54.] Note. With regard to the numerous Greek feminines in us (or os), which have been adopted into the Latin language, such as the compounds of $\dot{\eta}$ $\ddot{v} \delta o_S$: $ex \ddot{o} dus$, methodus, periodus, and synodus, the student must be referred to his Greek grammar, for the Latin differs in this respect from the Greek. The words biblus, and papprus (the Egyptian papyrus), byssus, and carbasus (a fine flax and the linen made out of it), are feminine, being names of plants; but they retain this gender also when they denote things manufactured from them. Pharus, being the name of an island, is feminine; but it is also feminine in the sense of a light-house, which meaning it obtained from the fact of the first light-house being built in that island near Alexandria; it is however now and then used as a masculine (Sueton. Claud. 20.). Arctus (os), denoting a bear, is properly both masc. and fem.; but as the name of a constellation, it is in Latin always feminine. Barbitus (a lyre) or barbitos, is sometimes used as fem. and sometimes as masc., but we also find hoc barbiton.

We must notice here especially a number of words which in Greek are properly adjectives, and are used as feminine substantives, because a substantive of this gender is understood. Such words are: abyssus, atomus, dialectus, diphthongus, erēmus, paragrāphus, diamētrus and perimētrus, the two last of which however are used by Latin writers also with the Greek termination os. For the substantives understood in these cases, see the Greek grammar. As different substantives may be understood, we have both antidotus and antidotum. The word epōdus also belongs to this class, but its gender varies according to its different meanings: when it denotes a lyric epilogue, it is feminine; when it denotes a shorter iambic verse after a longer one, or when it is the name of the peculiar species of Horatian poetry, it is masculine.

CHAP. XIV.

THIRD DECLENSION. - GENITIVE.

[§ 55.] Nouns of the third declension form their genitive in is. The nominative has a great variety of terminations, for sometimes there is no particular ending, and the nominative itself is the crude form, such as it usually appears after the separation of the termination of the genitive; frequently however the nominative has a special ending (s). The former is, generally speaking, the case with those words the crude form of which ends in l or r, so that the nominative ends in the same consonants, and the genitive is formed by simply adding is; e. g. sol, consul, calcar, agger, auctor, dolor, murmur. Words like pater and imber, the crude form of which appears in the genitive and ends in r with a

consonant before it, as patr-is, imbr-is, admit of a double explanation: either the nominative was increased for the purpose of facilitating the pronunciation, or the genitive rejected the short e; the former however is the more probable supposition. In some words the nominative has s instead of r, as flos, gen. flor-is; tellus, tellur-is; in addition to which the vowel sometimes undergoes a change, as in corpus, corpor-is; onus, oner-is. When the crude form ends in n with a vowel before it, the formation of the nominative is likewise accompanied by changes: $\bar{v}n$ throws off the n, and $\bar{v}n$ becomes $\bar{v}n$ or is changed into o. Thus leo is made from leon (leon-is), carmen from carmin (carmin-is), and virgo from virgin (virgin-is). Only when the genitive ends in ēnis, the nominative retains ēn, as in liēn-is, liēn. 2) The particular termination which the nominative receives in other cases is e for neuters, as mar-is, mar-e, and s or x which arises out of s, for masculines and feminines. This s is sometimes added to the final consonant of the crude form without any change, as in urb-is, urb-s; duc-is, dux (ducs); leg-is, lex (legs); when the crude form ends in d or t, these consonants are dropped before the s; e. g. frond-is, frons; mont-is, mons; aetāt-is, aetās; segĕt-is, segĕs; in addition to this the vowel i also is sometimes changed into e, as in milit-is, miles; judic-is, judex. In all these cases where the nominative is formed by the addition of an s to the final consonant of the crude form, the nominative has one syllable less than the genitive, or in other words, the s assumes an \bar{c} or \bar{i} before it, and then the nominative has the same number of syllables as the genitive, or in case the nominative assumes i, both cases are quite the same; e.g. nub-es, civ-is, pan-is.

These are the most essential points in the formation of the nominative in the third declension. We shall now proceed to the particulars, taking the nominative, as is the usual practice, as the case given, and we shall point out in what way the genitive is formed from it.

[§ 56.] 1. The nouns in a, which are neuters of Greek origin, make their genitive in ătis, as poëma, poëmătis.

2. Those in e change e into is, as mare, maris; Praeneste, Praenestis, and probably also caepe, caepis, for which however there is also the form cepa, ae.

3. The nouns in i and y are Greek neuters. Some of them

the genit. Apollinis. Those in ωv , $\omega v \tau \sigma s$ vary, and we find are indeclinable, as gummi, and others have the regular genitive in is, as $sin\bar{a}pi$, sinapis (there is however a second nominative in is, as in several other words ending in i, as haec sinapis); misy, misyis and misys or misyos. The compounds of meli (honey) alone make their genitive according to the Greek in itis, as melomeli, melomelitis.

- 4. Those in o (common) add nis to form the genitive, sometimes only lengthening the o, and sometimes changing it into i. Of the former kind are carbo, latro, leo, ligo, pavo, praedo, sermo; and all those ending in io, as actio, dictio, pugio. Of the latter kind (genit. inis) are all abstract nouns in do, as consuetudo, inis; most nouns in go, as imago, virgo, origo; and a few others, as cardo, hirundo, turbo, homo, nemo. Caro has carnis. The names of nations in o have this vowel mostly short, as Macedŏnes, Senŏnes, Saxŏnes; it is long only in Iōnes, Lacōnes, Nasamōnes, Suessōnes, and Vettōnes.
- 5. The only nouns ending in c are alec or allec, allex, gen. allēcis; and lac, gen. lactis.
- 6. Nouns ending in *l* form the genitive by merely adding *is*, such as *sol*, *sal*, *consul*, *pugil*, *animal*. *Mel* has *mellis*, and in plur. *mella*; *fel* has *fellis*, but is without a plural.
- 7. Those in entire entire fine entire fine fine exception of pecten) make <math>inis, as carmen, flumen, lumen, nomen. Those in entire entire entire entire fine fine entire fin

Greek words in ān, ēn, īn, ȳn, and ōn follow the Greek rules in regard to the length or shortness of the vowel and also in regard to the insertion of a t: Paean, Paeānis; Siren and Troezen, ēnis; Philopoemen, Philopoemenis; Eleusin, Eleusīnis; Phorcyn, Phorcynis; agon, agōnis; canon, canŏnis; Cimon, Cimōnis; Marathon, ōnis; Xenophon, Xenophontis. It is, however, to be observed that very few Greek words in ων, ωνος (except names of towns), have in Latin the nominative ōn, but generally o. Thus we always read Hiero, Laco, Plato, Zeno, and in Cicero, also Dio and Solo; in the poets, on the other hand, and in Nepos and Curtius among the prose writers, we find several nominatives in ōn, as Conon, Dion, Phocion, Hephaestion. The name Apollo is completely Latinized, and makes

Antipho without the n, though most end in on, as Xenophon. Those in $\omega \nu$, ovos and $\omega \nu$, $ov\tau os$, usually retain in Latin the same nominative in on, but we always find Macedo and never Macedon.

- [§ 57.] 8. Those ending in r must be distinguished according to the vowel which precedes it: they may end in ar, er, yr, or, or ur.
- a) Those in ar have sometimes $\bar{a}ris$, as in calcar, lucar, pulvinar, torcular, and Nar; and sometimes $\check{a}ris$, as baccar, jubar, nectar, $l\bar{a}r$ (plur. $l\check{a}res$), $p\bar{a}r$ and its compounds (e.g. impar, $imp\check{a}ris$), and the proper names Caesar, Hamilcar, and Arar. But Lar or Lars, the Etruscan title, has Lartis. Far makes its genitive farris, and hepar, $hep\check{a}tis$.
- b) Many of the Latin words in ĕr make ĕris, as agger, aggĕris; mulier, muliĕris, &c., and the adjectives pauper and uber. Others drop the short e, as, for instance, all those ending in ter (e. g. venter, uter, pater), with the exception of later, and the words imber, September, October, November, December. Iter makes its genit. (from a different nominat.) itinĕris. Juppiter (Jŏvi pater) makes the genitive Jŏvis without the addition of patris. Greek words in er follow the rules of the Greek language, whence we say cratēr, ēris; aēr, aĕris. Vēr (the spring), gen. vēris, originally belonged to the same class.
- c) Nouns ending in yr are Greek, and follow the rules of the Greek grammar: $mart\bar{y}r$, $mart\bar{y}ris$.
- d) Those in or have $\bar{o}ris$, as amor, error, soror; but arbor, the three neuters ador, aequor, marmor, and the adjective memor, have $\bar{o}ris$. Cor has cordis, and so also in the compounded adjectives concors, discors, misericors. Greek proper names, such as Hector, Nestor, and others, have $\bar{o}ris$, as in Greek.
- e) Those in ur have $\check{u}ris$, e. g. fulgur, vultur, and the adject. cicur. $F\bar{u}r$ (a thief) alone has $f\bar{u}ris$; and the four neuters ebur, femur, jecur, and robur have $\check{o}ris$, as $eb\check{o}ris$, $rob\check{o}ris$. Jecur has, besides jecoris, also the forms $jecin\check{o}ris$, jocinoris, and jocineris.
- [§ 58.] 9. Those ending in s are very numerous; they may terminate in as, es, is, os, us, aus, or in s with a consonant preceding it.
- a) Those in as form their genitive in ātis, as actas, actātis. Anas alone has anătis; mas has măris; vas (a surety), vădis; vās (a vessel), vāsis, and as, assis. The Greek words vary ac-

cording to their gender; the masculines make antis, the feminines ădis, and the neuters ătis. (See the Greek grammar.) Consequently Pallas, the name of a male being, has the genit. Pallantis, like gigas, gigantis; as the name of the goddess Minerva, Pallădis; and artocreas neut. has artocreătis.

- b) Those ending in es must be divided into two classes. Those belonging to the first increase in the genitive, the letters d or t, which were dropped in the nominative, being restored to their place, and their termination is either itis, ĕtis, ētis, or ĭdis, ĕdis, ēdis. The genitive in ĭtis occurs in most of them, as in antistes, comes, eques, hospes, miles, pedes, satelles, caespes, fomes, gurges, limes, merges, palmes, stipes, and trames, together with the adjectives ales, cocles, dives, sospes, and superstes, in all of which the es is short. (See § 28.) The following make their genitive in etis: abies, aries, paries, interpres, seges, teges, and the adjectives hebes, indiges, praepes, and teres. The genit. in ētis occurs in the Greek words lebes, tapes, Cebes, Magnes; in the words quies, inquies, requies, and the adjective locuples. Those which make idis are obses, praeses, and the adject. deses and reses. The genitive in ĕdis occurs in pēs, pĕdis, and its compounds, e.g. the plural compedes. Heres and merces, lastly, make their genitive in ēdis. The following words must be remembered separately: bes, bessis; Ceres, Cereris; pubes and impubes, puberis and impuberis; but the forms impubis, genit. impubis, neut. impube are also found. The proper name Caeres, (from the town of Caere), has Caeritis and Caeritis. class of words in es change the es of the nominative into is, without increase, such as caedes, clades, fames, nubes, rupes; it must also be observed, that several words belonging to this class vary in the termination of the nominative between $\bar{e}s$ and $\bar{i}s$, so that along with feles, vulpes, vehes, aedes, we also have vulpis, vehis, aedis (see Liv. iv. 25.; Cic. in Verr. iv. 55.); and on the other hand, we have torques and valles along with the more usual forms torquis and vallis.
- c) Most words in is form their genitive in is, without any increase, as avis, civis, panis, piscis, and a great many others, together with the adjectives in is, e. Others increase by one syllable, and make their genitive in idis, itis or ëris: idis occurs in cassis, cuspis, lapis, and in the Greek words aegis and pyramis; itis occurs only in lis, Quiris and Samnis,

plur. Quirītes, Samnītes; and ĕris only in cinis, cucumis, and pulvis, gen. pulvěris, cucuměris, and ciněris. Glis has glīris; pollis (the existence of which, in the nominative, cannot be proved, so that some suppose pollen to have been the nom.) and sanguis have pollinis, sanguinis (but the compound exsanguis remains in the genit. exsanguis); semis, being a compound of as, makes semissis. Greek words which have the genit. in us or sws form their genit. in Latin in is, without increase; but, if their genit. is idos, they increase in Latin and have idis. the former kind we have only the verbal substantives in sis, as basis, mathēsis, the names of towns compounded with πόλις, e.g. Neapolis, and a few other proper names of the feminine gender, such as Lachesis, Nemesis, Syrtis, Charybdis. All other proper and common nouns regularly make the genitive in idis; tigris alone has both forms, and ibis, ibidis, takes in the plural the shorter form ibes. Later authors use the genitive in is, and the dative and ablative in i, instead of idis, idi, ide, in other cases also, such as Serapis, Tanais, for Serapidis, Tanaidis, and in the dat. and ablat. Serapi and Tanai, for Serapidi, Serapide, and Tanaidi, Tanaide. (See below, § 62.) Salamis stands alone by making its genitive Salaminis (from a nominative Salamin).

- [§ 59.] d) Those in os sometimes have $\bar{o}tis$, as cos, dos, nepos, sacerdos, and sometimes $\bar{o}ris$, like $\bar{o}s$ (the mouth), flos, glos, mos, ros, and in like manner $hon\bar{o}s$ and $lep\bar{o}s$, the more common forms for $hon\bar{o}r^*$ and $lep\bar{o}r$. Custos makes $cust\bar{o}dis$; $\bar{o}s$ (bone), ossis; bos, bovis. The adjectives $comp\bar{o}s$ and $imp\bar{o}s$ have $p\bar{o}tis$. The Greek masculines $her\bar{o}s$, $Min\bar{o}s$, and $Tr\bar{o}s$ have $\bar{o}is$, and some neuters in os, such as Argos, epos, occur only in the nominative and accusative.
- e) Of the words in us, the feminines in ūs make their genitive in ūtis, as virtus, juventus, senectus; or ūdis, as the three words incus, palus, and subscus. Tellus alone has tellūris, and Venus, Veneris. The neuters in ŭs have sometimes eris, viz. foedus, funus, genus, latus, munus, olus, onus, opus, pondus, scelus, sidus, ulcus, vulnus; and sometimes oris, as corpus, decus, dedecus, facinus, fenus, frigus, litus, nemus, pectus, pecus, which in an-

^{*} Cicero uses throughout only honos (for Philip. ix. 6. must be corrected from the Vatican MS.), and there is no doubt but that honor in the fragm. Pro Tullio, § 21. ed. Peyron, must likewise be changed into honos.

other sense has pecudis, pignus, stercus, tempus, and the noun epicene lepus, leporis, a hare. All monosyllables which have a long u, form their genitive in $\bar{u}ris$, as crus, jus, pus, rus, tus, and mus. Grus and sus have uis: gruis, suis; the adjective vetus, veteris, and intercus, intercutis. Greek proper names in $\bar{u}s$ have untis, as Amathus, Selinus, Trapezus; the compounds of $\pio\acute{v}s$ make podis, as tripus and Oedipus, which name, however, is sometimes made to follow the second declension, the us being in that case shortened. $Pol\check{y}p\check{u}s$ always follows the second.

- f) Greek words in ys make the genitive yis, contracted ys, or altogether in the Greek form yos. Some few, as chlamys, have ydis.
- g) The only nouns ending in aes are aes, aeris, and praes, praedis.
- h) There are only two words in aus, viz. laus and fraus, of which the genitives are laudis, fraudis.
- i) Among the nouns ending in s preceded by a consonant, those in ls (except puls), ns, and rs, change the s into tis, e.g. fons, mons, pons, ars, pars, Mars-fontis, partis, &c. There are only a few, such as frons (a branch), glans, juglans, and some others, which make dis—frondis; but frons (the forehead) makes frontis. The other words in s with a consonant before it, that is, those in bs, ps, and ms, form their genitive in bis, pis, mis, e.g. urbs, urbis; plebs, plebis; stirps, stirpis; hiems, hiemis, which is the only word of this termination. Caelebs has caelibis; the compounds of capio ending in ceps have ipis, as princeps, particeps - principis, participis; auceps alone has aucupis. The compounds of caput, which likewise end in ceps, such as anceps, praeceps, biceps, triceps, make their genitive in cipitis, like căput, capitis. Greek words follow their own rules: those in ops make opis, as Pelops, epops, merops; or opis, as Cyclops, hydrops. Gryps (a griffin) has gryphis, and Tiryns, Tirynthis.
- 10. The termination t occurs only in caput and its compounds, gen. capĭtis.
- [§ 60.] 11. The genitive of words in x varies between cis and gis, according as the x has arisen from cs or gs, which may be ascertained by the root of the word. The former is more common, and thus the following monosyllables with a

consonant before the x make their genit. in cis: arx, calx, falx, lanx, merx; gis occurs only in the Greek words phalanx, sphinx, and syrinx.

But when the x is preceded by a vowel, it must be ascertained whether this vowel remains unchanged, and whether it is long or short. The Latin words in ax have ācis, as pax, fornax, and the adjectives, e. g. audax, efficax. Fax alone has a short a, făcis. Greek words too have mostly ācis, as thorax, Ajax, and only a few have acis, as corax, climax, while the names of men in nax have nactis, such as Astyanax, Demonax. Words in ex generally make their genitive in icis, as judex, artifex, supplex; but ēgis occurs in rex and lex, and ĕgis in aquilex, grex, Lelex; ecis in nex, foenisex, and in precis (from prex which is not used); ēcis in vervex, Myrmex. Remex has remigis; senex, senis; and supellex, supellectilis. The words in ix sometimes make their genitive in icis and sometimes in icis. Of the former kind are cervix, cicatrix, cornix, coturnix, lodix, perdix, phoenix, radix, vibix, and all the words in trix denoting women, such as nutrix, victrix, and the adjectives felix and pernix, and probably also appendix; icis occurs in calix, choenix, coxendix, filix, fornix, fulix, hystrix, larix, natrix, pix, salix, varix, and Cilix. Nix has nivis, and strix, striqis. The words ending in ox have ōcis, e.g. vox, vōcis; ferox, ferōcis; but two words have ŏcis, viz. Cappadox and the adjective praecox. Nox has noctis; Allobrox, Allobrogis. The following words in ux form the genitive in ŭcis: crux, dux, nux, and the adjective trux; the u is long only in two words, viz. lux and Pollux, genit. lūcis, Pollūcis. Conjux (conjunx is established on better authorities) has conjugis, and frux (which, however, does not occur), $fr\bar{u}gis$. The words in yx are Greek, and vary very much in the formation of their genitive: it may be $\check{y}cis$ (Eryx), $\bar{y}cis$ (bombyx), $\check{y}gis$ (Japyx, Phryx, Styx), $\bar{y}gis$ (coccyx), and $\check{y}chis$ (conyx). There is only one word ending in aex, viz. faex, gen. faecis, and in aux only faux, gen. faucis.

CHAP. XV.

THE REMAINING CASES OF THE THIRD DECLENSION.

[§ 61.] All the remaining cases follow the genitive in regard to the changes we have mentioned. It should be remarked that any other of the oblique cases might have been chosen, instead of the genitive, for the purpose of showing the changes in which all participate; but we have followed the common practice. It now only remains to give a tabular view of the terminations.

	SINGULAR.		PLURAL.
Nom.		Nom.	$\bar{e}s$, neut. \check{a} (some ia).
Gen.	ĭs.	Gen.	um (some ium).
Dat.	ī.	Dat.	ĭbus.
Acc.	em (neut. like nom.).	Acc.	like nom.
Voc.	like nom.	Voc.	like nom.
Abl.	\check{e} (some \bar{i}).	Abl.	ĭbus.

Examples for exercise are contained in the preceding chapter; but we subjoin the following words, either with or without adjectives, as exercises in which the student may also apply the rules contained in the next chapters: Sol splendens (lucidus), the shining sun; agger eminens (altus), a high mole; pater prudens (providus), the prudent father; dolor levis (parvus), a slight pain; uxor concors (fida), a faithful wife; leo nobilis (superbus), a noble lion; virgo erubescens (pudica), the blushing maiden; urbs vetus (vetusta), the ancient town; lex acris (aspera), a severe law; frons tristis (severa), a grave forehead; civitas immunis (libera), a free city; cassis fulgens (splendida), a brilliant helmet; judex clemens (benignus), a mild judge; miles fortis (strenuus), a brave soldier; avis cantrix (canora), a singing bird; rupes praeceps (ardua), a steep rock; calcar acre (acutum), a sharp spur; animal turpe (foedum), an ugly animal; carmen dulce (gratum), a sweet poem; corpus tenue (macrum), a thin body; ingens (vastum) mare, the vast sea; sidus radians (aureum), the radiant star.

Remarks on the separate Cases.

- 1. Cicero commonly, and other authors of the best age frequently, make the genitive of Greek proper names ending in es, i instead of is. Thus in the most accurate and critical editions we read Isocrati, Timarchidi, Theophani, Aristoteli, Praxiteli, and even Herculi; i instead of is is found most frequently (even in ordinary editions) in the names ending in cles, as Agathoeli, Diocli, Neocli, Procli, Pericli, Themistocli. The genitive i is used also in barbarian names in es, which were introduced through the Greek into the Latin language, such as Ariobarzani, Mithridati, Hystaspi, Xerxi, and others. The genitives Achilli and Ulixi, which likewise frequently occur in Cicero, probably arose from the contraction of Achillei and Ulixei first into Achillei and Ulixei, and then of ei into i, which had the same sound. (See above, Chap. XII. 4.) After the time of Cicero, however, the genitive in is alone was used.
- [§ 62.] 2. Many words in is make the accusative singular im instead of em, viz.—
- a) All Greek nouns, proper as well as common, and such as have passed through the Greek into the Latin, and form the accusative in that language in w; but those which have in Greek both terminations w and ιδα (i. e. the barytons in is, gen. iδos) may in Latin also have the accusative in idem, though it does not often occur.* The ordinary Latin accusative of such words therefore is: basim, poësim, paraphrasim, Charybdim, Neapolim, Persepolim, Tanaim, and of those which make their genitive in 180s, idis, at least when they are proper names, the accusatives Agim, Memphim, Osirim, Parim, Phalarim, Serapim, Tigrim, Zeuxim, &c., are more frequent than, e.g., Busiridem, Paridem. But in feminine derivatives from names of places and in substantives (properly adjectives) in tis, and especially itis, the accusative in idem is more frequent, e. g. Limnatidem, Phthiotidem, arthritidem, pleuritidem. The accusative in im for idem, therefore, does not prove that the genitive

^{*} Those which in Greek end in iç, gen. iδος (oxytona), have in Greek only iδα, and in Latin only idem: e. g. aegis, pyramis, tyrannis, Thais, Bacchis, Lais, Chalcis, and especially the feminine patronymics and gentile names, such as Aeneis, Heracleis, Thebais, Aeolis, Doris, Phocis.

ends in is instead of idis, or the ablative in i instead of ide, although an ablative in i not seldom occurs in proper names in is, which make their genitive in idis, e. g. Osiri, Phalari, Tigri, instead of the regular Osiride, &c. Latin writers, however, and especially the poets, for metrical reasons, often use the Greek form of the accusative in instead of im. (See Chap. XVI.)

b) Many proper names (not Greek) of rivers and towns which do not increase in the genitive, make, according to the analogy of the Greek, the accusative in *im* instead of *em*, e. g. *Albim*,

Athesim, Baetim, Tiberim, Bilbilim, Hispalim.

c) The following Latin common nouns: amussis, ravis, sitis, tussis, and vis. In the following the termination em is less common tham im: febris, pelvis, puppis, restis, turris, and especially securis. The words clavis, messis, navis, have commonly clavem, messem, navem, but may have also im.

Note. An accusative in im now and then occurs in some other words, as in bipennim from bipennis; burim from buris; cucumim, a rare form for cucumerem, from cucumis; neptim; and sementim, which is much less common than sementem.

- [§ 63.] 3. The dative and ablative singular seem originally to have had the same termination which was either i or e, just as those two cases are alike in the second declension, and in the plural of all declensions. At a later time it became the general rule to use \bar{i} exclusively in the dative and \bar{e} in the ablative; but aere (from aes), for aeri, in Cicero (Ad Fam. vii. 13.), and Livy (xxxi. 13.), and jure for juri in inscriptions and in Livy (xlii. 28.) seem to be remnants of early times. The termination i, however, which properly belongs to the dative, is much more commonly used in the ablative instead of e. It occurs—
- a) In all words which form their accusative in *im* instead of *em*, with the exception of those Greek words which make the genitive in *idis*. Thus we have *poësi*, *Neapoli*, *Tiberi*, sometimes also *Osiri*, *Phalari*, and among Latin common nouns not only *tussi* and *vi*, but *febri*, *pelvi*, *puppi*, *turri*, *securi*, though the ablative in *e* is not entirely excluded in these latter words. But *restim* has more commonly *reste*, and *navem* on the contrary more usually *navi* than *nave*. *Clave* and *clavi*, and *semente* and *sementi*, are equally in use.

- b) In neuters in e, al, and ar, e. g. mari, vectigāli, calcāri, &c.; but far, farris, and baccar, jubar, hepar, nectar, and sal, which have a short a in the genitive, form the ablative in e. Rete has both rete and reti, and rus ruri as well as rure, but with some difference in meaning. (See § 400.) The poets sometimes use the ablative mare, e. g. Ovid, Trist. v. 2. 20. Names of towns in e (see § 39.) always make their ablative in e, as Caere, Reate (at Caere, at Reate), Livy, xxvii. 23.; xxx. 2.; and Praeneste (at Praeneste), in Cicero.
- c) In adjectives and names of months ending in is, e, and in er, is, e, for example, facili, celebri, celeri, Aprili, Septembri, and in those substantives in is which are properly adjectives, e. g. aequalis, affinis, annalis, bipennis, canalis, familiaris, gentilis, molaris, natalis, popularis, rivalis, sodalis, strigilis, vocalis, triremis and quadriremis, and according to their analogy, per haps also contubernalis. But these words being used also as substantives have more or less frequently the termination e, and juvenis always makes juvene, aedilis commonly aedile; in affinis, familiaris, sodalis, and triremis, the ablative in e is attested by the authority of prose writers, although i is generally preferred. When such adjectives as these become proper names, they always have e, as Juvenale, Martiale, Laterense, Celere.

Note. The ablative in e from adjectives in is, and in er, is, e, is very rare, though it is found in Ovid. (Heroid. xvi. 277.; Metam. xv. 743.: coeleste. Heroid. viii. 64.; Fast. iii. 654.: perenne. Fast. vi. 158.: porca bimestre.) The ablative in i instead of e, on the other hand, is used by good writers in several substantives in is, besides those mentioned above, e.g. in amnis, avis, civis, classis, fustis, ignis, orbis, unguis, and sometimes in supellex, supellectili. Of substantives in er, imber has more frequently imbri than imbre; vesper has both vespere and vesperi; but the latter, especially in the sense of "in the evening," as opposed to mane, in the morning. Cicero and Livy often use the ablatives Carthagini, Anxuri, Tiburi, to denote the place where (see the commentat. on Liv. xxviii. 26.); and in the preface of Corn. Nepos we find Lacedaemoni. But the common practice of the ancient writers does not allow us to extend this system, or to make it the rule for all names of towns which follow the third declension; it must rather be supposed that, though the ancient language was so uncertain between e and i, that we find in Plautus carni, parti, sermoni, along with carne, &c., the forms became more decidedly separated in the course of time, and only a few isolated remnants and particular phrases remained in use with the classic authors. (Comp. § 398. in fin.) Thus we have tempori. "in times." (See § 475.)

- [§ 64.] 4. The ablative singular in i or e indiscriminately occurs, generally speaking, in adjectives of one termination and in the comparative, as prudens, prudente and prudenti; elegans, elegante and eleganti; vetus, vetere and veteri; locuples, locuplete and locupleti; dives, divite and diviti; degener, degenere and degeneri; felix, felice and felici; Arpinas, Arpinate and Arpinati; major, majore and majori. But it is also a general rule, that words in ans and ens, when used as substantives, e. g. infans and sapiens (except continens), and when they are actual participles, especially in the construction of the ablative absolute, always prefer e; e. g. Tarquinio regnante, when Tarquinius was king; but when they are adjectives, they prefer i to e.
- Note 1. It should however be observed, that there is no rule so full of exceptions as this, for on the one hand the adjectives themselves vary their terminations according to euphony or the requirement of a verse, and on the other, the writers (and the editions of their works) widely differ from one another. In Horace, for example, we find the participles in ans and ens when used as adjectives, almost invariably forming the ablative in e (see Bentley on Carm. i. 25. 17.), whereas the same words are generally found with i in Cicero. On the whole, however, it will always be safest to make the ablative of adjectives of one termination in i; for the e exclusively occurs only in pauper, senex and princeps, and in the majority of those in es, viz. hospes, sospes, deses, pubes, impubes and superstes. The i, on the other hand, is certain in the following words mentioned by the ancient grammarians: memor, immemor, and par with its compounds (in par also when used as a substantive), and also in most adjectives in x, as trux, atrox, audax, pertinax and pervicax; especially in those in plex: simplex, duplex, triplex, multiplex: further in anceps and praceps, inops, iners and hebes, concors, discors, ingens, recens and repens. It must further be observed, that praesens, when used of things, makes the ablative in i, and when used of persons, in e, as is confirmed by the phrase in praesenti (scil. tempore), which is of frequent occurrence. Comparatives are found in Cicero and Livy more frequently with e than with i, but the latter afterwards became more general, especially in Curtius and Tacitus.

Note 2. The following substantives, which are properly adjectives, artifex, consors, nutrix, vigil, victrix, and ultrix, have as substantives the termination e, but as adjectives of the feminine or neuter gender they prefer the ablative in i. Proper names also, when they are in reality adjectives, have only e, as Felix, Clemens — Felice, Clemente.

[§ 65.] 5. The nominative, accusative, and vocative plural of neuters end in a; but neuters in e, al, and ar, which also form the ablative singular in i, and all participles and adjectives which make the ablative singular either in i alone, or vary between e

and i, have ia instead of a, except the adjective vetus and all comparatives; e. g. maria, vectigalia, calcaria, paria, facilia, sapientia, ingentia, victricia; amantia, sedentia, audientia; but majora, doctiora, &c.

Note. The neuter far however has farra; jubar, hepar, and nectar have no plural; and sal has no neuter plural, but only sales with masculine gender.

Those adjectives which make the ablat. sing. in e exclusively, should for this reason make their plural only in a; but with the exception of hospita (if it be really derived from hospes, and not from hospitus), no neuter plural of them is found, although some grammarians mention paupera and ubera. It must be remarked in general, that the neuter plural occurs in adjectives of one termination in as, ans, ens, rs, and x, and besides these only in par, hebes, teres, locuples, quadrupes, versicolor, anceps, and praeceps, and that in all these cases it ends in ia. Thus there remains only vetus, vetera, although the ablative sing. is vetere or veteri. No authority has yet been adduced for bicorpora and tricorpora.

Pluria is said to make an exception among the comparatives, but it is only an obsolete form, and is not found in ancient writers, who invariably have plura. Complures, on the other hand, which has lost its signification of a comparative in the ordinary language (it signifies several or some), makes

both compluria and complura.

[§ 66.] 6. The following words make their genitive plural in ium instead of um:

- a) All neuters which have ia in the nominative plural, that is, those in e, al, and ar, and all participles and adjectives which follow the third declension. Comparatives therefore (with the exception of plurium and complurium) and those adjectives which have only e in the ablative singular, retain the termination um in the genit. plur., as pauperum, superstitum. To these we must add the adjectives caelebs, celer, cicur, compos, impos, dives, memor, immemor, supplex, uber, vetus, and vigil; all compounds of facio and capio, and of such substantives as make the genitive plur. in um, e.g. degenërum, bicorporum, inopum, quadrupedum, versicolorum, and perhaps also ancipitum and tricipitum. The poets sometimes form the genitive plural of adjectives, especially of participles in ns, by a syncope, in um instead of ium; and later prose writers, such as Seneca and Tacitus, sometimes follow their example, and use, e.g., potentum, dolentum, salutantum.
- b) Words in es and is, which do not increase in the genitive singular (e. g. nubes, nubium; civis, civium; but militum and lapidum from miles and lapis, gen. militis, lapidis); the follow-

ing words in er: imber, linter, venter, uter, and the word caro, carnium. Vates, strues, the plural ambāges, and generally also sedes, together with apis, canis, juvenis, and volucris, form exceptions, and make their genitive plur. in um. Panis is uncertain. (Respecting mensis see my note on Cic. in Verr. ii. 74.; Schneider on Cæs. Bell. Gall. i. 5.)

c) Many monosyllabic substantives, and without exception those ending in s and x preceded by a consonant, make ium, as montium, dentium, arcium, mercium, from mons, dens, arx, merx. Lynx however has lyncum; sphinx, sphingum; and opes, from ops, has opum. Gryphum also is probably the genit. plur. of gryps. But the greater number of monosyllabic words ending in s and x preceded by a vowel make their genitive plur. if um, and not in ium. The latter occurs only in as, assium; glis, glirium; lis, litium; mas, marium; os, ossium; vis, virium; and generally also in fraus, fraudium, and mus, murium. To these we must add faux (which, however, is not used in the nominative singular), faucium; nix, nivium; strix, strigium; and nox, noctium.

Note. The genitive plural in *um therefore is used in aes, crus, dos, flos, grus, jus, laus, mos, pes with its compounds (except compedes, of which the form compedium is well attested), praes, sus, Cres, Tros, dux, fax, frux and prex (which occur only in the plur.), grex, lex, nux, rex, vox, Phryx and Thrax. Fur and ren have furum, renum; lur, too, has more frequently larum than larium. Of those words, which have not been noticed here, a genitive cannot be proved to exist; but it is probable that the genit. plur. of vas (vădis) was vadium, and in like manner cor, par, and sal probably had cordium, parium, salium, in order to avoid the ambiguity which would arise from vadum, cordum, parum, salum. Cordium occurs in the Vulgate, Jerem. iv. 4.

d) Substantives of two or more syllables ending in ns and rs have ium and um, though the latter occurs more rarely; e. g. cliens, cohors, Picens, Vejens, Camers; and in like manner those which, like adolescens, infans, parens, sapiens, serpens, are properly participles, and admit um only because they are substantives (whence we frequently find parentum from parentes), commonly make their genitive in ium: adolescentium, sapientium, &c. The names of people in as, ātis, such as Arpinas, Fidenas, form their genitive almost exclusively in ium: Arpinatium, Fidenatium. Penates and optimates, which usually occur only in the plural,

follow their analogy. Other substantives in as generally have um: e.g. aetatum, civitatum; but ium also is correct, and Livy, for example, always uses civitatium. The genit. plur. ium in words with other terminations, if it should occur, must be regarded as an exception. Quiris and Samnis, however, contrary to the rule, generally make Quiritium, Samnitium.

- [§ 67.] 7. Names of festivals in alia which are used only in the plural, as Bacchanalia, Compitalia, Saturnalia, Sponsalia, make their genitive plural in ium or orum, as Bacchanalium or Bacchanaliorum. And Horace (Carm. iii. 5. 10.), on this principle, makes anciliorum from ancile, plur. ancilia; and Suetonius, in several passages, has vectigaliorum instead of vectigalium.
- 8. With regard to the dative and ablative plural, it is to be remarked, that the Greek words in ma prefer the termination is of the second declension to ibus. Thus Cicero and other authors use poëmatis, epigrammatis, emblematis, hypomnematis, peripetasmatis, peristromatis, toreumatis; but ibus occurs now and then, as diplomatibus, in Tacitus and Suetonius; poëmatibus in the Rhetor. ad Herenn. iv. 2.; and in Sueton., Tit. 3.; strategematibus in Frontinus, Strateg., Præf. lib. iv.
- [§ 68.] 9. The accusative plural of words which make the genitive plur in ium ended, in the best age of the Latin language, in īs, which was also written eis, but not pronounced so: e. g. artis, montis, civis, omnis, similis, mediocris. But the termination ēs was also in use, and in the course of time became so prevalent that is was preserved only in a few exceptions, such as tris.

Note. Priscian, towards the end of his seventh book, discusses the accusative plur. in is instead of es, more minutely than any other ancient writer. Among modern works see especially Norisius, in his Latinitas et Orthographia utriusque Pisanae Tabulae, which is reprinted in Cellarius, Orthographia Latina, vol. ii. p. 233. foll. ed. Harles. There is no doubt, that until the time of Augustus, those words which form their genitive plural in ium (to which must be added celer, as in all other respects it follows the analogy of the adjectives in er, is, e, although it makes the genit. plur. celerum), had in the accusative plural more commonly the termination is than es; but it must be borne in mind, that es was at the same time in use with is. Thus we find even in the Columna Rostrata of Duilius, classes, that is, classes, together with classis; and in the ancient Florentine MS. of Virgil we find urbes, ignes, tres, fines, as well as urbis, ignis, &c., although es, on the whole, is not so frequent as is. (Comp. Gellius, xiii. 20.) In the newly discovered fragments of Cicero, it is true, we generally find is in words of this

kind, but there are instances also of es being used in the same words. The ancient grammarians in vain attempted to fix the varying practice by rules and exceptions. Pliny (ap. Charisium, p. 104. ed. Putsch.) denied the accusative funis, and Varro (ibid.) the accusatives falcis, mercis, axis, lintris, ventris, stirpis, corbis, vectis, neptis, and even urbis, and in his work De Ling. Lat. (viii. 67. ed. Müller), he asserts that gentis alone was used, and, on the other hand, that mentes and dentes were the only correct forms. Valerius Probus (see Orthograph. Noris. p. 242.) gives us to understand that the words in es, genit. is, did not form the accusative in is, although they have ium in the genitive plural. Thus much is clear, that the termination is gradually became antiquated, and that the desire of scholars to have an outward distinction of the accusative from the nominative, gave way to the general practice. Charisius (p.122. ed. Putsch.) says: consuetudo traduxit ad nominativi et accusativi formam. And this probably took place about the end of the Augustan age; for in the ancient MS. containing the fragment of the ninety-first book of Livy, we no longer find the accus. in is; and in the best MSS. of the complete books, it occurs only in a few isolated passages, and Quintilian does not mention this disputed point at all. Afterwards is was still sometimes used by Tacitus and Gellius; but with Tacitus this arose from his desire to revive the ancient power and energy of the language, and with Gellius from his antiquarian studies. This is not the place to inquire in what manner an editor of ancient authors has to act in the face of this obvious inconsistency of the writers themselves; there are few who faithfully follow the authority of the MSS.; others, such as Bentley in his Terence and Horace, every where restore the accus. in is (why Bentley, without inconsistency, edited arces and rates in Horace, has not yet been examined); and most of them pay as little attention to the difference in doubtful cases, as to the ancient orthography in general, but merely follow the vulgar tradition. We have noticed here the difference of opinions to caution the student, that in reading the ancients he may not confound the short is of the genit. sing. with the long is of the accus. plur.

[§ 69.] 10. Juppiter (which was much more common than Jupiter) is declined as follows: genit. Jovis, dat. Jovi, accus. Jovem, voc. Juppiter, abl. Jove. In the plural Joves only is found.

Bos, bŏvis, makes the nominat. and accus. plur. boves, gen. boum, dat. and ablat. $b\bar{u}bus$, and less frequently $b\bar{o}bus$. Sus makes the dat. and ablat. plur. subus, which is a contraction of the less frequent form suibus.

CHAP. XVI.

GREEK FORMS IN WORDS OF THE THIRD DECLENSION.

[§ 70.] A GREAT number of Greek words, especially proper names, belong to the third declension; and as their genitive terminates in os ($\varepsilon \omega s$, ovs), they follow the third declension in their own language also. Among the terminations of the nominative mentioned above, some belong exclusively to Greek words, viz. ma, i, y, $\bar{a}n$, $\bar{i}n$, $\bar{o}n$, $\bar{y}n$, $\bar{e}r$, $\bar{y}r$, ys, eus, yx, inx, ynx, and the plurals in e; but there are also Greek words with other terminations, most of which, however, are quite treated as Latin words, for which reason the termination $\bar{o}n$ is generally Latinized into o (see above, § 56.), and the Greek forms are used by Latin writers, especially the poets, only in some cases.

1. In the genitive singular, the poets frequently use the Greek termination os instead of the Latin is, especially in words in is which usually make their genitive idis, whether simple or derivative (see § 245.), e. g. Daphnidos, Phasidos, Atlantidos, Erymanthidos, Nereidos; so also in nouns in as and ys, as Pallados, Tethyos; and in eus, as Peleos, Theseos (Ovid, Metam. viii. 268.), although the Latin termination ei or contracted ei (according to the second declension), as in Thesei, Terei, is more commonly used. (See above, Chap. XII. 4.)

But in prose the Greek termination of the genitive is seldom used. Substantives in is derived from verbs in particular, such as basis, ellipsis, mathesis, poësis, make their genitive like the nominative, and not baseos, matheseos, &c., which forms are found only in unclassic writers. (See Vitruv. x. 15.; Spartian. Ael. Verus, 3.; Sever. 3.) In the few words in y the genit. in yos is used for the sake of euphony, e. g. misyos. Pan, the shepherds' god, admits the Greek genit. Panos in prose, to distinguish the word from panis, bread.

The feminines in o, however, such as echo, Calypso, Dido, Io, Sappho, have usually the Greek genitive in ûs, as echus, Didus, Sapphus, the Latin termination onis being less common. Their dative, accusative, and ablative end in o, and the Latin terminations oni, onem, one, are but rarely used.

[§ 71.] 2. The Greek accusative of the third declension in a is very often used by the Latin poets instead of em. Thus Horace uses only heroa, Cyclopa, Memnona, Agamemnona, Helicona, Chremeta, and not Cyclopem, Agamemnonem, &c. Among the prose writers Cicero most studiously avoids the Greek termination, except in aër, aether, and Pan, of which he makes the accusative aëra, aethera. and Pana (for the reason mentioned above). In all other instances the Greek accusative in α must be looked upon, in Cicero, as an exception. It occurs much more frequently in Nepos, Livy, Curtius, and the authors of what is called the Silver Age, though principally in proper names and along with the common Latin termination em, e.g. Babylona, Eleusina, Lacedaemona, Marathona, Parmeniona, Sidona, Timoleonta, Troezena, also Periclea, Stratoclea, and similar names ending in the nominative in cles. In like manner words in is and ys admit even in prose the Greek forms in and yn together with the Latin im and ym, but Cicero uses them only by way of exception; Livy and Curtius have them more frequently, e. g. Nabin, Agin, Halyn, Tigrin. The accus. Eleusin, instead of Eleusinem (a), must be traced to the form Eleusis, gen. is, which, however, is not well attested. For the accusative of words in eus, which later writers usually make ea, as Persea, Demetrium Phalerea, see above, Chap. XII. 4.

Proper names in es, which in Greek follow the first declension (gen. ov), and in Latin the third (gen. is) (see Chap. IX. 3.), have in the accusative the termination $\bar{e}n$ along with that in em, e. g. Aeschinen, Achillen, and Ulixen (inasmuch as these names are not formed from 'Azillen, and 'Oδύσσηs, ov), and especially barbarian names, such as Mithridaten, Phraaten, Xerxen, Araxen, Euphraten. The termination $\bar{e}n$ for em is moreover found in those compounds which in Greek follow the third declension, but in the accusative admit of ηv and η (contracted from ϵa); but $\bar{e}n$ is used much less frequently. Instances of this kind are Sophoclen in Cic. De Off. i. 40., Hippocraten and Epicyden in Livy. Some words are in Greek declined in two ways, either after the first or after the third declension, such as $\Theta a \lambda \eta s$, $\chi p \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta s$, gen. ov and $\eta \tau o s$; in Latin they may have the shorter form and yet follow the third declension (e. g. the ablat. Thale), and in

the accusative they admit also of the termination en, e. g. Chremetem and Chremen, Thalem or Thaletem and Thalen.

[§ 72.] 3. The vocative singular is in most Greek words like the nominative; but those ending in s form a distinct vocative by rejecting that consonant, both in Greek and Latin. Thus the vocative of words in is, ys, eus: Daphni, Phylli, Thai, Coty, Tiphy, Orpheu, Perseu. Words in is, idis, however, make the vocative just as often like the nominative, as Bacchis, Mysis, Thais. Nouns in as, antis, make their vocative in Greek ăv and ā, but the latter only is used in Latin, e. g. Atla, Calcha.

Proper names in es, gen. is, have the vocative of the first declension in \bar{e} together with the regular one. This is the case with those which in Greek follow the first declension (e. g. Carneade, Simonide and Achille, see above), and with those which although they follow the third in all other respects, yet admit of the accusative in $\eta \nu$. Thus we sometimes find Damocle, Pericle, Sophocle, Socrate.

- [§ 73.] 4. The plural of those Greek proper names which by the forms of their accusative and vocative sing. show their tendency to follow the first declension, is sometimes formed after that declension. Thus we find in Cicero, *De Orat.* ii. 23., the nom. *Naucratae*; and *Orat.* 9., the accus. *Thucydidas*.
- 5. The Greek termination of the nominat. plur. ĕs, instead of the Latin ēs, is not uncommon in poetry, e. g. Arcadĕs, Atlantidĕs, Erinnyĕs; but the metre must decide. The termination is, Latin īs, occurs even in the nominative of the names of towns Trallis and Sardis, though principally in the latter. Horace, Epist. i. 11. 2., says: Croesi regia Sardis.

In the nominative plural the neuters in os have the Greek termination e, as cete, mele, and the plural Tempe, τa $T \neq \mu \pi \eta$.

Note. No other cases are formed from these neuters in oc, and in the singular too they occur only in the nom. and accus., and we must therefore use the Latin forms cetus and melum (according to the second declension). So also chaos, gen. chai, abl. chao. See § 87.

6. In the genitive plural only a few words retain the Greek termination $\bar{o}n$ ($\omega\nu$), and that generally only in titles of books, e. g. metamorphose $\bar{o}n$, epigrammat $\bar{o}n$.

Note. Curtius, iv. 50. (13.) makes the genitive Maleon, from Μαλεεῖς, or Μαλιεῖς (sing. Μαλιεύς), entirely in the Greek fashion, for the Latin name is Malienses.

7. In the dative plural the Greek termination si or sin is used very rarely, and only by poets. Ovid, e. g., has Lemniasi and Troasin, from Lemniades and Troades. In prose writers there are very few examples that can be relied upon, such as ethesi from $\tau \grave{a} \mathring{\eta} \theta \eta$.

[§ 74.] 8. The accusative plural in ăs is admissible in all words which have this termination in Greek. It is however seldom used in prose, though in common nouns it occurs more frequently than the accusat. sing in ă, e. g. harpagonas, phalangas, pyramidas, and even in Cicero we find aspidas, cantharidas. He also uses the proper names Aethiopas, Arcadas, and Cyclopas, and Livy always has the accusat. Macedonas. It is surprising to find, that the same termination is now and then given also to barbarian names of nations, e. g. Allobrogas in Cæsar, and Lingonas, Nemetas, Ordovicas, Brigantas, Siluras and Vangionas in Tacitus.

CHAP. XVII.

GENDER OF WORDS OF THE THIRD DECLENSION. — MASCULINES.

[§ 75.] MASCULINE are those which end in o, or, os, and er, and those in es which increase in the genitive, especially those in es, itis, e.g. sermo, error, sudor, flos, mos, venter, stipes.

Exceptions in o. Words ending in do, go and io are feminine, e. g. consuetudo, formīdo, grando, imago, oratio, dictio, lectio, auditio, communio, &c., also caro and the Greek words echo and Argo (the ship of the Argonauts). The following, however, are masculine: in do, the words cardo and ordo, together with udo and cudo or cudon; in go: ligo, margo, and harpago; and all words in io, which are not abstract nouns derived from verbs and adjectives, but common names of things, such as pugio (a dagger), scipio (a staff), septentrio (north pole), titio (a fire-brand); several names of animals, as curculio*, papilio, scorpio, stellio, vespertilio, and a few others of rare occurrence; and lastly those formed from numerals, such as unio, binio or duplio, ternio, qua-

^{*} Also spelled gurgulio; it is masculine in its two significations of "air-pipe," and "wood worm."

ternio, quinio, senio, &c. Unio in the sense of a particular pearl (margarita) is likewise masculine; but when it signifies unity (unitas), and is used in an abstract sense, it is feminine; but it is only in ecclesiastical writers that it has this meaning.

Note. Cupido, desire, therefore is feminine, but masculine when it is the name of the god of Love. Poets, however, sometimes use it as a masculine, even in the former signification, and Horace does so always, as pravus cupido, falsus cupido. Margo may have either gender, but the masculine is more frequent, as was remarked above.

[§ 76.] Exceptions in or. The following words in or, ŏris, are neuter: ador, aequor, marmor, and cor, cordis. Arbor is feminine according to the general rule. (See § 39.)

Exceptions in os. Cos, dos, and the Greek eos are feminine. Os, ossis, and os, oris, and the Greek words chaos, ethos, epos, melos, are neuter.

Exceptions in er. A great many words in er are neuter, viz. cadaver, iter, spinther, tūber (a hump), uber, ver, and verber (rarely used in the singular, but very frequently in the plural, verbera), and all the names of plants in er: acer, cicer, laser, papaver, piper, siler, siser, suber and zingiber. Tūber (a kind of peach-tree) is feminine; but when it denotes the fruit, it is masculine. Linter is commonly used as a feminine, but is well attested also as a masculine.

Exceptions in es increasing in the genitive. The following are feminine: merges, itis; seges and teges, etis; merces, edis; quies, etis, with its compounds inquies and requies. Compes, which, however, does not occur in the nominative sing., but only in the plural compedes, is feminine. Aes, aeris, is neuter; ales and quadrupes are properly adjectives, but as substantives they are mostly used as feminines.

CHAP. XVIII.

GENDER OF WORDS OF THE THIRD DECLENSION. — FEMINIES.

[§ 77.] FEMININE are those which end in as, is, ys, aus, and x, those in es which do not increase in the genitive, and those in

AND COMPANY DISTRIBUTED AND AMOUNT OF

s preceded by a consonant, e.g. auctoritas, navis, chlamys, laus

and fraus, pax, radix, arx, nubes, pars, mors, hiems.

Exceptions in as. The following are masculine: — as, gen. assis, and its compounds, though they have different terminations, as quadrans, a fourth of an as; bes, two-thirds of an as; decussis, ten ases*; and the Greek words which make their genitive in antis, as adamas, elephas, and the names of mountains: Acragas, Atlas, Mimas. Mas, măris, and vas, vădis, are, of course, masculine. The following are neuters: Greek words in as, which make their genitive ătis, as artocreas, erysipelas (see § 58.), and the Latin words vas, vasis, and fas and nefas, which, however, occur only in the nom. and accus.

Exceptions in is. The following are masculine: -1) Those in is, gen. ĕris, as cinis, cucumis, pulvis and vomis (commonly vomer);
2) The following which increase in the genitive: glis, lapis, pollis, and sanguis; 3) The following which do not increase: amnis, axis, callis, canalis, cassis (used especially in the plural casses, a hunter's net, and not to be confounded with cassis, idis, a helmet); caulis or colis, collis, crinis, ensis, fascis (generally in the plural, fasces), finis, follis, funis, fustis, ignis, mensis, orbis, panis, piscis, postis, scrobis, sentis, torquis, torris, unquis, vectis, vermis. Some of these words, however, are used by good authors also as feminines, though not often, especially callis, canalis, scrobis, torquis, and finis, cinis, in the singular, whereas the plural fines in the sense of boundary or territory, and cineres in the sense of the ashes of a corpse, are always masculine.

As mensis is masculine, Aprilis, Quintilis, and Sextilis have the same gender. Some substantives in is are properly adjectives, and a substantive masculine being always understood, they are themselves used as masculines: e. g. annalis, commonly in the plural annales (libri), annals; jugales (equi), two horses yoked together; molaris (lapis), a millstone, or if dens is understood, a back-tooth or grinder; natalis (dies), birth-day; pugillares (libelli) a tablet for writing.

Note. Anguis and tigris may have either gender; canis is generally mascul., but when it denotes a dog used in hunting, it is very often feminine. (See § 42.) Aqualis, callis, corbis, and clunis, plur. clunes, are used by good writers as words of either gender. Delphis is masculine, but the more

^{*} See the Appendix on Roman weights, coins, and measures.

common forms are *delphinus*, or *delphin*. Cossis has not been mentioned above, because the only authority we have for it is a doubtful passage in

Pliny, Hist. Nat. xxx. 39., and cossus, i, is more probable.

That the names of rivers in is are masculine follows from the general rule (§ 37.); thus we read horridus Albis, flavus Tiberis, rapidus Tigris. Names of mountains with this termination are not numerous: Lucretilis, a hill in Latium, is masculine, for Horace says, amoenus Lucretilis. The Greek names, Carambis, a promontory on the Asiatic coast of the Black Sea, and Peloris in Sicily, are feminine, the word ἄκρα being understood.

All the masculines in is, whatever may be their genitive, are contained

in the following hexameter lines:

Mascula sunt panis, piscis, crinis, cinis, ignis, Funis, glis, vectis, follis, fascis, lapis, amnis, Sic fustis, postis, scrobis, axis, vermis et unguis, Et penis, collis, callis, sic sanguis et ensis, Mugilis et mensis, pollis, cum caule canalis, Et vomis, sentis, pulvis, finis, cucumisque, Anguis, item torquis, torris, cum cassibus orbis.

Exceptions in ys. Names of rivers and mountains with this termination are masculine, according to the rules laid down in Chap. VI.; e. g. Halys, Othrys.

[§ 78.] Exceptions in x. The following are masculine: 1) The Greek words in ax: as anthrax, cordax, thorax. 2) The majority of those in ex: apex, caudex, codex, cimex, cortex, culex, frutex, grex, irpex, latex, murex, obex, podex, pollex, pulex, pumex, ramex, silex, sorex, ulex, vertex or vortex. 3) Some in ix: viz. calix, fornix, phoenix, sorix; and generally also varix. 4) One word in ux: viz. tradux, properly an adjective, palmes being understood. 5) The following Greek words in yx: calyx, coccyx, onyx, oryx and bombyx (in the sense of silkworm; it is femin. when it signifies silk); and the names of mountains, such as Eryx. 6) The subdivisions of an as which end in unx: as quincunx, septunx, deunx. (See Appendix III.)

Note. Many words in ex commonly enumerated in these lists are masline from their signification, such as rex, pontifex, carnifex, foenisex, vervex. Some words vary between the masculine and feminine genders, as cortex, obex, pumex, and silex, which have been mentioned above, but the masc. is better attested. To these we must add imbrex and rumex, both genders of which are supported by equal authority. It may be remarked, that the number of masculines in ex is greater than that of feminines; for if we put aside the above-mentioned masculines, there remain only the following feminines: forfex, lex, nex, supellex, prex (not used in the nom.), and faex. Pellex, ilex, vitex, and carex are feminines from their meaning, according to the general rule. Atriplex is the only neuter in ex, and is rarely used as a feminine.

Onyx is masculine when it denotes a species of marble, or a vessel made of it; but as the name of a precious stone (see § 39.) it is feminine. Calx is sometimes used as a masculine like the diminutive calculus, but it does not occur in ancient writers. Lynx occurs as masculine only in a single passage of Horace (timidos lyncas), and is otherwise feminine, as in Greek. The archaic cum primo luci is believed to be preserved in a passage of Cicero (De Off. iii. 31.; comp. Varro, De L. L. vi. 9.).

Exceptions in es, gen. is without increase. The Greek word acinaces alone (ἀκινάκης, ου) is decidedly masculine. Vepres, which rarely occurs in the singular, and palumbes, though commonly masculines, are found also as feminines.

Exceptions in s preceded by a consonant. The following are masculine: dens, fons, mons and pons; adeps commonly, and forceps sometimes. Some words are properly adjectives, but are used as masculine substantives, because a substantive of that gender is understood: confluens or confluentes (amnes), torrens (amnis), oriens and occidens (sol), rudens (funis), bidens and tridens; and several Greek words, such as elops, epops (Lat. upupa), merops, gryps (gryphis), hydrops, chalybs.

Note. The divisions of the as ending in ns, e.g. sextans, quadrans, triens, dodrans, are masculine, as was remarked § 77. Serpens, in prose writers, is generally feminine, but the poets use it also as a masculine. Stirps, in a figurative sense, is always feminine, but in its original sense of "stem" it is frequently found as a masculine. Continens, the continent, properly an adjective, is of doubtful gender, though the feminine is perhaps preferable. Bidens, a fork, is masculine, but when it signifies "a sheep two years old" it is feminine, ovis being understood. The plural torrentia, from torrens, occurs in Curtius ix. 35., and must be explained by supplying flumina, torrens being properly an adjective. A few participles used as substantives in philosophical language are neuters, as ens, accidens, consequens. Animans, being properly a participle, occurs in all three genders; but according to the practice of Cicero it is generally feminine in the sense of "a living being," and masculine in the sense of "a rational creature." (See Schneider, Formenlehre, p. 126. fol.)

CHAP. XIX.

GENDER OF WORDS OF THE THIRD DECLENSION. - NEUTERS.

[§ 79.] Words ending in a, e, i, y, c, l, n, t, ar, ur, us are neuter: e. g. poëma, mare, sināpi, misy, lac and alec, animal, mel, carmen, flumen, caput (the only word of this termination), calcar, pulvinar, fulgur, guttur, opus, tempus.

- 1. Exceptions in l. The following are masculine: sol, sal and mugil, which form is more common than mugilis. Sat in the singular is sometimes found as a neuter, but in the plural the ancients use only sales both in the sense of "salt" and in the more common one of "witticisms." Salia in the sense of "different kinds of salt" is only a modern medical term.
- 2. Exceptions in n. There are only three Latin words in en which are masculine, viz. pecten, pectens, ren and lien (or lienis); the others in en are of Greek origin: e. g. attagen, lichen and splen. Delphin (commonly delphinus), paean, agon, canon, gnomon, horizon, and the names of mountains in on, as Cithaeron, Helicon, are likewise masculines. The following in on are feminine: aëdon, halcyon (Lat. alcēdo), icon, and sindon; and, according to the general rule, all the Greek names of towns, with a few exceptions, such as Marathon, which is more frequently masculine.
- 3. Exceptions in ar. Par is common in the sense of "mate," but neuter in the sense of "a pair."
- 4. Exceptions in ur. Astur, turtur, vultur and furfur are masculine.
- 5. Exceptions in us. All words of two or more syllables which retain the u in the genitive, that is, which end in ūtis or ūdis, are feminine: e. g. juventus, salus, senectus, servitus, virtus; incus, palus, and subscus; also tellus, tellūris, and pecus, pecūdis, a sheep, whereas pecus, pecōris (neut.), signifies "cattle" in general. Venus, Veneris, the name of a goddess, is naturally feminine; but it retains the same gender in the sense of "gracefulness" (generally in the plural). Respecting the names of animals in us, see above, § 42. Lepus and mus are masculine; grus and sus are feminine, when the particular sex is not to be specified. Of Greek words in us, tripus, tripŏdis, is masculine; apus and lagōpus are feminine, perhaps only because avis is understood. Rhus, as a tree, is feminine, as a seed or spice masculine.

CHAP. XX.

FOURTH DECLENSION.

[§ 80.] THE fourth declension is only a particular species of the third, which has arisen from contraction and elision. The nominative of masculine and feminine words ends in us, and of neuters in u. The following is the form of their declension:—

SINGULAR.

Nom. fruct-ŭs, fruit.	$corn$ - \bar{u} , horn.
Gen. $fruct$ - $\bar{u}s$.	$corn$ - $ar{u}s$.
Dat. fruct-ŭi.	$(corn$ - $ar{u}i)$ $corn$ - $ar{u}$.
Acc. fruct-um.	$ corn$ - $ar{u}$.
Voc. fruct-ŭs.	$corn extsf{-}ar{u} extsf{.}$
Abl. $fruct\bar{u}$.	$corn$ - $ar{u}$.

PLURAL.

Nom. $fruct$ - $\bar{u}s$.	corn-ŭa.
Gen. fruct-ŭum.	corn-ŭum.
Dat. fruct-ĭbus.	corn-ĭbus.
Acc. $fruct$ - $\bar{u}s$.	corn-ŭa.
Voc. fruct-ūs.	corn-ŭa.
Abl. fruct-ĭbus.	$corn ext{-}\"ibus.$

The following words may be used as exercises: actus, coetus, cursus, gradus, lusus, magistratus, motus, sensus, sumptus, vultus: the only neuters are, genu, gelu, veru, pecu (the same as pecus, ŏris). Tonitrus and tonitruum, plur. tonitrua, are more commonly used than tonitru.

Formerly it was believed that the neuters in u were indeclinable in the singular, but recent investigations (especially those of Freund, in an Appendix to the preface to his Latin Dictionary) compel us to give up this opinion, especially with regard to the genitive; for it is only in late technical writers that we find, e. g., cornu cervinum and cornu bubulum making the genitive without any termination of the first word: cornucervini, cornububuli. The dative ui is likewise mentioned by an ancient grammarian (Martian. Capella, lib. iii.), but there is no instance except cornu in Livy, xlii. 58., which must be looked on as a contraction of cornui.

[§ 81.] Note 1. The genitive of the words in us was originally uis, which was afterwards contracted into $\bar{u}s$. Instances of the ancient form are still found in our authors, as anuis in Terence. Sometimes, on the other hand, the genitive of words in us was i, after the second declension, which is still found now and then as well as us, not only in comic writers, but in good prose, e. g. senati and tumulti in Sallust. The dative in u instead of ui is still more frequent, especially in Cæsar, who is said by Gellius (iv. 19.) to have sanctioned this form exclusively; e.g. equitatu, magistratu, usu, for equitatui, &c.; it is, however, found also in a few passages of other writers.

[§ 82.] Note 2. Some words make the dative and ablative plural in *ŭbus* instead of *ibus*. They are contained in the following two hexameters:—

Arcus, acus, portus, quercus, ficus, lacus, artus, Et tribus et partus, specus, adde veruque pecuque.

But it must be observed, that instead of *ficulus* a better form is *ficis*, from *ficus*, *i* (see § 97.), and that *arcubus* and *quercubus*, though mentioned by ancient grammarians, do not occur in other writers any more than *arcibus*, or *quercibus*. Portus has both forms, *ubus* and *ibus*, and *tonitrus* has more

commonly tonitribus than tonitrubus.

[§ 83.] Note 3. Domus takes, in some of its cases, the forms of the second declension; but this is exclusively the case only in the genit. domi in the sense of "at home;" in the abl. domo in the sense of "from home;" and in the acc. plur. domos in the sense of "home," when several places are alluded to. In the other signification, the forms of the fourth declension prevail, though we find the ablat. domo, genit. plur. domorum, acc. plur. domos, along with domu (see Garatoni on Cic. Philip. ii. 18.), domuum, and domūs (see my note on Cic. in Verr. iv. 4.); but domo for domui seldom occurs.

GENDER OF WORDS OF THE FOURTH DECLENSION.

[§ 84.] The words in us are masculine. The following only are feminines: acus, domus, manus, porticus, tribus, and the plurals idus, iduum, and quinquatrus, quinquatruum. To these must be added colus, which however also follows the second declension. (See §§ 53. and 97.) The words anus, nurus, socrus, and quercus are feminine, according to the general rule, on account of their signification.

Note. Penus, us (provisions), is feminine; but there are two other forms of this word, one after the second declension, penum, i, and the second after the third, penus, ŏris, both of which are neuter. Specus is most frequently masculine, but in the early language, and in poetry, it is found both as a feminine and as a neuter. In Valer. Maximus, i. 2., we have in quaddam praealtum specus for in quandam specum; but the reading is doubtful. Secus, when used for sexus, is neuter, but occurs only in the nominat and accus. in the connection of virile and muliebre secus. (Comp. § 89.)

The few words in u are neuter, without exception.

- CHAP. XXI.

FIFTH DECLENSION.

[§ 85.] THE fifth declension, like the fourth, may, with a few changes, be traced to the third. The nominative ends in $\bar{e}s$, and the declension is as follows:—

SINGULAR.			Plural	
Nom.	di - $\bar{e}s$, a day.	Nom.	di - $ar{e}s$.	
Gen.	di-eī.	Gen.	di - $ar{e}rum$.	
Dat.	di-eī.	Dat.	di - $ar{e}bus$.	
Acc.	di- em .	Acc.	di - $ar{e}s$.	
Voc.	di - $ar{e}s$.	\mathbf{Voc} .	di - $ar{e}s$.	
Abl.	di - $ar{e}$.	Abl.	di - $ar{e}bus$.	

Note 1. Only the three words dies, res, and species, have their plural complete; and Cicero condemned even specierum and speciebus as not being Latin. The words acies, facies, effigies, series, and spes, are found in good prose writers only in the nominative sing. (perhaps in the vocative also) and accusative plural; the others have, from their signification, no plural.

Note 2. The e in the termination of the genitive and dative singular is long, when preceded by a vowel, as in diei, macici, but short after a consonant,

as in fiděi, rěi.

Note 3. An old termination of the genitive was $\bar{e}s$ (contracted from $e\bar{i}s$), but is not found in our authors, except in the word Diespiter = Diei pater. But there are several instances of \bar{e} and $\bar{\imath}$ being used for the ei of the genitive and dative. The \bar{e} for the genitive occurs very frequently in poetry (Virg. Georg. i. 208. die; Horat. Carm. iii. 7. 4.; Ovid. Metam. iii. 341., and vii. 728. fide); and also in some passages of Cicero, Cæsar, and Sallust; e. g. pernicie causa (some write pernicii), in Cic. pro Rosc. Am. 45. In sinistra parte acie in Cæs. Bell. Gall. ii. 23., and several times in Sallust. Instances of the dative ending in e occur in Horace, Serm. i. 3. 95. commissa fide; and in Livy, v. 13. insanabili pernicie nec causa nec finis inveniebatur. The dative in i occurs in Nepos, Thrasyb. 2.: pernicii fuit; and the genitive in i appears in Livy, ii. 42., in the connection of tribuni plebi for plebei (plebes = plebs).

GENDER OF WORDS OF THE FIFTH DECLENSION.

[§ 86.] The words of the fifth declension are feminine, with the exception of *dies*, which is mascul. and femin. in the singular, and masculine only in the plural. The compound *meridies* is masculine only, but does not occur in the plural, as was remarked above.

Note. Good prose writers make the singular of dies much more frequently masculine than feminine. The latter gender, generally speaking, is used only when dies denotes duration or length of time, and in the sense of a fixed or appointed day. Thus we find certa, constituta, praestituta, dicta, finita dies, but also stato die.

CHAP. XXII.

IRREGULAR DECLENSION. — INDECLINABLES. — DEFECTIVES.

- [§ 87.] THE irregularities in the declension of substantives may be comprised under two general heads: A. Indeclinables and defectives; B. Heteroclita and heterogenea.
- A. Some substantives have a defective declension, inasmuch as they have either no terminations at all to mark the different cases (indeclinables), or want particular cases, or even a whole number (defectives).
- I. Indeclinables, or words which retain the same form in all cases, are chiefly the names of the letters of the Greek and Latin alphabets, e. g. alpha, beta, gamma, digamma, delta, iota, a, c, v, &c. It is only late and unclassical authors that decline the Greek names in a. Delta, as a name of a country, is likewise indeclinable; but it is found only in the nomin. and accus. Further, a number of foreign words, such as git, manna, pascha, and a few Greek substantives in i and y, such as gummi and misy, which, however, occurs also as a declinable word (see § 55.); and besides the indeclinable gummi there exist other declinable forms also, e.g. haec gummis, hoc gumma, and hoc Hebrew proper names, which differ in their terminations from Greek and Latin words, are either not declined at all, as Bethleem, Gabriel, Ruth, or they take a Latin termination in the nominative also, e.g. Abrahamus, Jacobus, Josephus, Juditha. David and Daniel are the only names which, without taking any termination in the nominative, make the genitive Davidis and Danielis. Others, as Joannes, Moses, Judas, Maria, have already acquired through the Greek a declinable termination, and are accordingly declined after the first

NOTICE Diguested by Man, Lord

or third declension. Jesus makes the accusat. Jesum, but in the other cases it remains unchanged, Jesu.

Among the genuine Latin words we must notice pondo, which is used only as a plural, and remains unchanged in all its cases, e. g. auri quinque pondo, five pounds of gold. This peculiarity arose from the omission of the word librae, to which was added the superfluous pondo, an ablative in the sense of "in weight." (in which it still often occurs; see § 428.), afterwards librae was omitted and pondo retained its place. Semis, half an as has become an indeclinable adjective (one half) from a declinable of the substantive, gen. semissis, and is used as such in connection with the other numerals.

[§ ss.] II. Defectives in case are those substantives which want one or more cases. There are many words of which the nominative singular cannot be proved to have existed, as for instance, of the genitives dapis, dicionis, feminis (for which the nominat. femur is used), frugis, internecionis, opis, pollinis, vicis, and of the plurals preces and verbera (for which we use as a nom. sing. plaga or ictus). The genitive neminis from nemo occurs very rarely, and its place is supplied by nullius. (See § 676.) The vocative is wanting in a great many words, from their signification. The genitive plural is wanting, that is, does not occur in our authorities, in several monosyllabic words, as ōs, oris; vas, vadis; glos, pax, and others. (See § 66.) The genit. and dat. sing. of vis is very rare, but the plural vires, virium, &c., is complete.

[§ 89.] With regard to words which want several cases, it most frequently happens, that only those cases exist which are alike (i. e. especially the nominat. and accusat.), all the others being wanting. This is the case, a) With Greek neuters in es (properly adjectives) and os in the singular, and with those in e in the plural, e. g. cacoëthes, chaos, epos, melos, cetos (which make the plural mele, cete, as in Greek), and Tempe. Some of these words, however, have a declinable Latin form in us, i, or um, i, viz. chaus, cetus, melus (mascul.), and melum, from which the ablatives chao, melo are derived; and besides $(\tau \delta)$ Argos, there is a declinable Latin form Argi, Argorum, Argis. b) With the Latin neuters fas, nefas, nihil, parum (too little), and instar, which was originally a substantive signifying "an image," or "resemblance," and was then used as an adjective in the

sense of "like," but only in such connections as admit of its being explained as a nominative or accusative. Secus, sex, is likewise used only in cases that are alike, especially as an accusative absolute, virile secus, muliebre secus, e.g. canis muliebre secus; in other phrases sexus, us, is the ordinary word. c) With the plural of many monosyllabic words, as neces, kinds of death; paces, treaties of peace; especially neuters, as aera, brazen images; jura, rights; rura, fields; tura, incense; and others, the plural of which generally occurs only in poetical language, as farra, corn; mella, honey; fella, bile. To these we must add the poetical plurals flamina, murmura, silentia, colla. The following plurals grates, munia, munera, likewise occur only in the nom. and accus., and the ablatives gratibus and munibus are rarely used. Metus which is complete in the singular, and astus, of which the ablat singular is used, have, in the plural, those cases only which are alike.

The following must be remembered separately: fors occurs only in the nom. and abl. singular (forte, by chance); lues, in the nom., acc., and ablat. singular; mane, in the nom., acc., and abl. singular, and is alike in all of them, but it is used also as an adverb. Satias for satietas does not occur, in good prose, in any other form. There are several words which are frequently used in the plural (see § 94.), but which in the singular have only one or other case, more especially the ablative; e. g prece from preces occurs in prose also; but the ablative singular of ambages, compedes, fauces, obices, and verbera is used only in verse, and not in ordinary prose.

[§ 90.] Some words occur only in particular combinations and in a particular case: dicis with causa and gratia; nauci in the phrase non nauci facere or esse; diu noctuque, or die et noctu, old ablatives, for which however nocte et interdiu are more commonly used; derisui, despicatui, divisui, ostentui, in combination with duci or esse; infitias with ire; suppetias with ferre; pessum and venum with ire and dare, whence venire and vendere, for which Tacitus, in the same sense, uses veno ponere, exercere; foris and foras (from foræ=fores); gratīs (for gratīis), ingratīis; sponte with a pronoun, as mea, tua, sua, or a genitive; in promptu and in procinctu commonly with esse and stare. We must particularly notice some verbal substantives, which frequently occur in good writers, but rarely in any other

form than the ablat. sing. in combination with a genitive or still more frequently with a pronoun, such as meo, tuo, &c., e. g. concessu and permissu; monitu and admonitu; mandatu, rogatu, oratu; arbitratu, jussu et injussu; accitu, coactu atque efflagitatu meo.

[§ 91.] III. Defectives in number are words which have either no plural or singular.

1. Many words from their signification can have no plural, and are termed singularia tantum. This is the case: a) With abstract nouns which have a simple and universal meaning, e.g. justitia, pietas, pudor, temperantia, experientia, infantia, pueritia, adolescentia, juventus, senectus, fames, sitis; b) With words which denote a substance or mass without division or subdivision, as aurum, argentum, argilla, sabulum, coenum, limus, sanguis, and panis, inasmuch as we thereby do not understand a single loaf, but the substance of bread in general. Some words of this kind however, when used in the plural, denote separate objects, consisting of the substance indicated by the name, as aera, works in bronze; cerae, wax-tablets; ligna, pieces of wood; c) Collective words, as indoles, the whole natural abilities of a person; plebs and vulgus, victus, supellex, virus. Proper names should strictly have no plural, but cases often occur, where a plural is necessary, viz. when persons of the same name or character are spoken of, and it may be remarked in general that in cases like this the person who speaks or writes must decide for himself. It is surprising that there exists no plural of the words vesper (vespera), meridies, ver, justitium, letum, and specimen.

[§ 92.] Note 1. It is, however, remarkable, that the plural of abstract nouns is much more common in Latin than in our own language, to denote a repetition of the same thing, or its existence in different objects. Cicero (Pro Leg. Man. 5.), for example, says: adventūs imperatorum nostrorum in urbes sociorum; in Pis. 22 .: concursus fiebant undique; effusiones hominum; De Off. ii. 6.: interitūs exercituum; ibid, ii. 8.: exitus erant bellorum aut mites aut necessarii; ibid. ii.7.: reliquorum similes exitus tyrannorum; in Verr. v. 11.: exitūs conviviorum tales fuerunt. The phrases incurrere in odia hominum and animos addere militibus, are of quite common occurrence, and animus is used in the plural whenever the courage or anger of several persons is spoken of, just as we always read terga vertere, to take to flight, when the act is ascribed to many, and never tergum. Animi, however, like spiritūs, is used in the plural also to denote the ferocia animi of one man. Qualities, when attributed to several persons, are frequently (not always) used in the plural; e.g. proceritates arborum, Cic. Cat. 17.; odistis hominum novorum industrias, in Verr. iii. 4.; ingeniis excellentibus praediti homines. De

Fin. v. 24. The plural in this case often denotes different species of the same quality; e. g. sapiens nostras ambitiones levitatesque contemnit, Cic. Tusc. v. 36.; saepe excellentiae quaedam in amicitia sunt, Lael. 19.; somnus et quietes ceterae, De Off. i. 29. In like manner we find invidiae multitudinis, insaniae, desperationes, iracundiae, fortitudines, turpitudines, mortes, exitia, omnes et metus et aegritudines ad dolorem referuntur, &c. (See in particular Cic. De Off. iii. 32.) We must further notice the frequent use of the plural in words denoting the phenomena of the weather, as nives, pruinae, grandines, imbres, pluviae; i. e. falls of snow, showers of hail, &c.; and soles, sunbeams (See Quintil. xi. 3. 27.) All we have said hitherto relates to good prose; the poets go still further, and use the plural without either of the two reasons mentioned above; e. g. amores, irae, metis, and timores, flamina, murmura, otia, silentia, partly for the purpose of being more emphatic, and partly on account of the metre, where the singular does not suit it.

Note 2. The names of fruits of gardens and fields, on the other hand, are frequently used in the singular in a collective sense, where we are in the habit of employing the plural; e.g. Pythagorei faba abstinuerunt (Cic.); fabam, lentem, rapum serere; ciceris catinus. In like manner nux or wa does not denote a single nut or grape, but the particular kind of fruit, as in Horace, Serm. ii. 2. 121.: pensilis uva secundas et nux ornabat mensas. In a similar way Cicero uses the names of species of animals: villa abundat porco, haedo, agno, gallina, Cat. 17.; and Livy, v. 53., of building materials: tegula

publice praebita est.

[§ 93.] 2. Other words (pluralia tantum) occur only in the plural, and in the singular either not at all, or only in writers who cannot be taken as models. This is the case

- a) With the following collective names of personal beings: liberi, gemini, majores, posteri, primores and proceres, superi and inferi, coelites, consentes, penates, lemüres, excubiae, operae. When in any of these cases an individual is to be indicated, it can be done only by making it a part of the collective, e. g. one child, unus or una liberorum or ex liberis. Manes or dii manes however is used in the plural also to denote the departed soul of an individual.
- b) A great number of other pluralia tantum denote a complex of things, the constituent parts of which are not conceived separately, or at least are not designated by the same word as the whole complex itself. Such words are rendered in English either by plurals or collective words. The most important among them are:—
- a) Artus, exta, intestina and viscera, foria (orum), tormina, ilia, armamenta, impedimenta, utensilia, induviae, exuviae, manubiae, parietinae, reliquiae, sentes, vepres, virgulta, bellaria, crepundia, scruta, donaria, lautia, inferiae, justa, serta, compedes, verbera, grates, lamenta, minae, preces, dirae, umbāges, argutiae, deliciae, di-

vitiae, facetiae, nugae, gerrae, quisquiliae, insidiae, praestigiae, tricae. To these we may add some other but similar ideas, which are more frequently expressed by the plural than the singular, as angustiae, blanditiae, illecebrae, ineptiae, minutiae, latebrae, salebrae.

[§ 94.] β) The following words are used in Latin in the plural, because they denote things composed of several parts, whereas we frequently express the same things in the singular:—Altaria (altare is less common), arma, moenia, bigae, trigae, quadrigae (in the so-called Silver Age the singular also was used, the chariot being the main thing thought of), cancelli and clathri, casses and plagae, exequiae, fides (a lyre, properly the strings which were also called nervi), fores and valvae, loculi, phalerae, salinae, scalae, scopae, codicilli, pugillares, tabulae, cerae, clunes and nates. The meaning of the plural is more obscure in the following words: cervices*, fauces, clitellae, cunae, cunabula and incunabula, inimicitiae (is used by Cicero in the singular only as expressing a philosophical idea, otherwise it is a plurale tantum), induciae, nuptiae, obices, pantices, praecordia (orum), sordes, tenebrae.

It is curious that the plural of some of the words of this class expresses also a plurality of the same things of which the plurale tantum indicates but one, e. g. that fauces signifies not only "a throat," but "several throats," or "mouths." In this case the distributive numerals are used instead of cardinal ones. (See § 119.)

[§ 95.] The names of certain days in the Roman calendar are plurals, as calendae, nonae, idus, nundinae and feriae; so also the names of festivals and festive games (like ludi itself), e. g. Bacchanalia, Floralia, Saturnalia, Olympia, and natalicia, sponsalia and repotia; further, many names of towns, such as Athenae, Thebae, Gades, the neuters Arbela, Bactra, Leuctra, and a considerable number of names of towns which are properly names of the people, as Delphi, Leontini, Parisii, Treviri. Such plural names of nations are often used for that of the country they inhabit. Horace, for example, says: tollor in arduos Sabinos, i. e. into the high country of the Sabines. (See § 680.)

^{*} In ancient Latin prose, i. e. especially in Cicero, it is a *plurale tantum*; for *cervicem* in Cic. in Verr. v. 42. is only a misprint in the modern editions; but the poets, and, after the Augustan age, prose writers also, use the word in the singular. (Comp. Quintil. viii. 3. 35.)

[§ 96.] Some words which are apparently the same vary in meaning according to their number, which is sometimes accompanied by a difference of gender. Lustrum is a period of five years, and lustra, dens of wild beasts; fastus, us, plur. fastūs, pride; and fasti, the calendar; forum, market, and fori, passages; tempus, time, and tempora (sometimes tempus also), the temples of the head.

In other words the plural has a different meaning from the singular, though one nearly allied to it, and without giving up the meaning of the singular for the plural, e. g.

SINGULAR.

Aedes, a temple.
Aqua, water.
Auxilium, help.
Bonum, something good.
Carcer, a prison.
Castrum, a fort. [forum.
Comitium, a part of the Roman
Copia, abundance.
Cupedia, daintiness.
Epulum, a solemn feast.
Facultas, power to do something.
Fortuna, fortune.
Hortus, a garden.

Littera, letter of the alphabet.
Ludus, pastime.
Naris, nostril.
Natalis (dies), birth-day.
(Ops, obsol.) Opis, help.
Opera, labour.
Pars, a part.
Rostrum, a beak, pointed head of a ship.
Sal, salt.

PLUBAL.

Aedes, a house.

Aquae, medicinal springs.

Auxilia, auxiliary troops.

Bona, property. [course.

Carceres, the barriers of a race
Castra, a camp.

Comitia, assembly for election.

Copiae, troops.

Cupediae, or cupedia, dainties.

Epulae, a feast, a meal.

Facultates, property.

Fortunae, goods of fortune.

Horti and hortuli, pleasuregrounds.

Litterae, an epistle.

Ludi, public games.

Nares, ium, nose = nasus.

Natales, birth, high or low.

Opes, power, wealth.

Operae, workmen.

Partes, (commonly) a party.

Rostra, the raised place from
which the orators spoke.

Sales, witticisms.

CHAP. XXIII. .

IRREGULAR DECLENSION. - HETEROCLITA. - HETEROGENEA.

[§ 97.] B. The second kind of irregularity in the declension of substantives consists in too great an abundance of forms. It happens either, that although there is but one nominative, the other cases have two forms after different declensions, or that both the nominative, and all the other cases, have two different forms. If owing to the different terminations, such a word has at the same time different genders, it is called a heterogenes; if it has merely different forms, it is called a heterocliton. It must however be observed that there are only very few words in which the practice of good prose does not give preference to one of the forms, and in the following list we shall always put the preferable form first.

Forms of different declensions are found with the word jugërum; for, besides the ablative sing. and plur. jūgero and jūgeris, poets for metrical reasons use jugere and jugeribus. Some names of trees in us, viz. cupressus, ficus, laurus, pinus, besides the forms of the second declension, also take those of the fourth in $\bar{u}s$ and u, i. e. in the genit. and ablat. singular, and in the nom. and accus. plural, e. g. laurus (after the second and fourth declension), gen. lauri and laurus, dat. lauro, acc. laurum, voc. laure, abl. lauro and lauru. Nom. plur. lauri and laurus, gen. laurorum, dat. and abl. lauris, accus. lauros and laurus, voc. lauri. In other names of trees the second declension greatly predominates, except quercus, which follows the fourth entirely. The same is the case with colus, a distaff; but the cases in i, orum, is, do not exist, perhaps only accidentally, for, according to the ancient grammarians, the word may follow both the second and fourth declensions. Respecting senatus, tumultus, gen. $\bar{u}s$ and i, see § 81. Vas, vasis, a vessel, sometimes makes the genit. vasi from vasum, which is not altogether out of . use. The plural ilia has iliorum and iliis along with ilium and ilibus.

[§ 98.] Words which have different forms in the nominative as well as other cases may follow the same declension in either case, as balteus and balteum, callus and callum, clipeus and cli-

Upon Carry - Digression on Martinon -

peum (especially a consecrated shield), carrus and carrum, commentarius and commentarium, cubitus and cubitum, pileum and pileus, baculum and baculus, palatum and palatus, jugulum and jugulus, catinus, catillus, and catinum, catillum; and some names of plants, as lupinus and lupinum, papyrus and papyrum, porrum and porrus; or they follow different declensions; as

Alimonia, ac. — alimonium, i. Amygdala, ac. — amygdalum, i.

Vespera, ae. — vesper, i, the evening star, is regular. In the sense of evening, we find the nom. vesper and accus. vesperum, but the ablative vespere and vesperi, from vesper, is; in the Silver Age generally, we also find

vespera, ae.

Cingulum, i. — cingula, ae.

Essedum, i. — esseda, ae.

Incestum, i. — incestus, ūs.

Delphinus, i. — delphin, inis. Elephantus, i. — elephas, antis.

Consortio, onis — consortium, i. Mendum, i. — menda, ae.

Penum, i. — penus, ûs; and penus, ŏris.

Tergum, i. — tergus, oris, only in poetry, and in prose after Augustus.

Pavo, ōnis. — pavus, i. Scorpio, onis. — scorpius, i.

Palumbes, is. — palumbus, i; and palumba.

Colluvio, ōnis. — colluvies, ēi. Crater, ēris. — cratēra, ae. Plebs, is. — plebes, či.

Paupertas, atis — pauperies, ei. Juventus, utis — juventa, ae; and juventas, ātis.

Senectus, ūtis. — senecta, ae.

Gausăpe, is (also — gausapum, i; and gausapa, ae.

gausapes, is, masc.).

Praesepe, is(also — praesepium, i. praesepes, is,

fem.).

Tapēte, is. - tapētum, i; and tapes, ētis.

Angiportus, us. — angiportum, i.

Rictus, us. — rictum, i.

Arcus, us. — arcus, i (in Cic. De Nat. Deor. iii. 20.).

Tonitrus, us — tonitruum.

(tonitru).

Fames, is, and requies, ētis, take the forms of the fifth declension: fames makes the ablat. fame, and requies has requiem and requie besides requietem and requiete. It is of comparatively frequent occurrence that substantives have different forms both of the first and fifth declensions, as barbaria, barbaries; luxuria, es; duritia, es; materia, es; mollitia, es; segnitia, es (the forms after the fifth declension commonly occur only in the nom., acc., and ablat.), and that verbal substantives of the fourth declension have a second form in um, i, like the participle of the perfect, as conatus and conatum, eventus and eventum, praetextus and praetextum, suggestus and suggestum.

[§ 99.] To this class belong those substantives which, in the plural, assume a different gender and a different form, in some instances, along with the regular one:—

- 1. Masculines, which in the plural become neuters: jocus, plur. joci and joca (of pretty equal authority, though joca is better established by the practice of Cicero); locus, plur. loci (generally passages in books or subjects for investigation and discussion=topics) and loca (in the common sense of "places," whence the difference is briefly expressed thus: loci librorum, loca terrarum.). The poets use sibila for sibili; and of intubus and tartarus they make the plural intuba and tartara.
- 2. Feminines which in the plural become neuters: carbasus, a species of flax, plur. carbasi and carbasa, sails made of it; astrea, plur. astreae and astrea, orum; margarita, plur. margaritae, and in Tacitus also margarita, orum.
- 3. The following neuters become a) Masculines: coelum, coeli; siser, siseres; porrum (which is much more frequent in the singular than porrus), porri; b) Feminines: delicium, deliciae; epulum, epulae; balneum, balneae (in the sense of a public bath balnea is more frequent); c) Both masculines and neuters: rastrum, rastri and rastra; frenum, freni* and frena.

UNIP G In Lights of the Medical)

^{*} The nominative freni, for which Schneider (Formenlehre, p. 476.) has no authority, occurs in Curtius, iii. 34. vii. 40.; Valer. Maxim. ii. 9. 5.; Seneca, de Ira, i. 7.; Sil. Ital. i. 240.

CHAP. XXIV.

NOUNS ADJECTIVE. - TERMINATIONS. - DECLENSION.

[§ 100.] 1. The noun adjective denotes a quality of a person or a thing, expressed either by a substantive or a pronoun. The participle is an adjective formed from a verb, and, as far as its form is concerned, is an adjective. An adjective has three genders, and can thus be joined with substantives of different genders. But there are only two classes of adjectives in which the three genders are indicated by three different terminations; namely, the adjectives and participles in us, a, um, such as bonus, bona, bonum; amatus, amata, amatum; and those in er, a, um, such as liber, libera, liberum; and the isolated satur, satura, saturum.

To these adjectives of three terminations the following thirteen in er, is, e must be added: acer, acris, acre; alacer, alacris, alacre; campester, campestris, campestre; celeber, celebris, celebre; celer, celeris, celere; equester, equestris, equestre; paluster, palustris, palustre; pedester, pedestris, pedestre; puter, putris, putre; salūber, salūbris, salūbre; silvester, silvestris, silvestre; terrester, terrestris, terrestre; volucer, volucris, volucre. Originally they had only two terminations, is for the masculine and feminine, and e for the neuter. The termination er for the masculine exclusively was afterwards added to them; but as the termination is is not very often used in good prose for the masculine, it will be best to treat them as a class of adjectives which have three terminations for the three genders.

Note 1. Ernesti on Tacit. Annal. ii. in fin. goes too far in asserting that the masculine in is is not suited for prose. He himself quotes two passages from Tacitus for celebris, and one in the Auct. ad Herenn. ii. 4.: locus celebris. Several others may be added from Curtius. In Cicero, De Divin. i. 57. we find annus salubris; and in like manner locus, ventus, effectus salubris in Celsus, i. 3., ii. 1., iii. 6.; in Livy, xxvii. 1.: tumultus equestris; xxix. 35.: exercitus terrestris; and xxvii. 26.: tumultus silvestris; also collis and locus silvestris in Cæsar, Bell. Gall. ii. 18., vi. 34.; vomitus acris in Celsus, viii. 4.

Note 2. The names of the months, September, October, November, December, also belong to this class of adjectives. As adjectives, however, they are defective, since the neuter never occurs, and the masculine and feminine

scarcely in any other connection than with mensis (masc.), Calendae, Nonae, and Idus. Horace uses libertate Decembri.

[§ 101.] 2. Other adjectives have in reality two forms, the one for the masculine and feminine in common (generis communis), and the other for the neuter. This class consists of those in is, neut. e, as levis (masc. and fem.), leve, and the comparatives in or (masc. and fem.), us (neut.), as levior, levius.

Note. Some adjectives have a double form; one in us, a, um, the other in is, e.

Hilarus, a, um. hilaris, e. Imbecillus, a, um. — imbecillis, e (rare). - imberbis, e. Imberbus, a, um (rare). Inermus, a, um (rare). - inermis, e. semermis, e.but insomnis, e. Semermus, a, um. Semisomnus, a, um. exanimis, e.semianimis, e.unanimis, e (rare). Exanimus, a, um. Semianimus, a, um. Unanimus, a, um. - bijugis, e (rare). Bijugus, a, um. - quadrijugis, e. Quadrijugus, a, um. - multijugis, e. Multijugus, a, um.

The forms acclivus, declivus, proclivus, and a few others not mentioned here, are but rarely used for acclivis, declivis, and proclivis.

[§ 102.] 3. All other adjectives have only one termination for all three genders; as felix, prudens, anceps, sollers, pauper, dives, vetus, Arpinas. So also the present participles in ns, as laudans, monens, legens, audiens. But all the adjectives of this class have the termination ia in the nom., accus., and vocative plural of the neuter gender. (Very few, and properly speaking only vetus, veteris, have the termination a, respecting which see above, § 65.) E. g. felicia, prudentia, ancipitia, sollertia, laudantia. Opulens and violens are only different forms of opulentus, violentus.

Note 1. Dives is an adjective of one termination, and the neuter therefore is dives, as dives opus, dives munus. There is another form of the word with two terminations, dis, neut. dite, but it very rarely occurs in the nominative singular: dis being found only in Terence, Adelph. v. 1.8., and dite in Valer. Flacc. ii. 296.: but in the other cases and in the plural it is frequently used, as ditem Asiam, diti gaza, ditia stipendia fucere, ditibus promissis; the nominative plural divitia does not seem to occur at all. In the comparative and superlative both forms divitior, divitissimus, and ditior, divissimus, are equally in use; the longer forms in the prose of Cicero, and the shorter ones in poetry and later prose writers. Pubes, genit. puběris, is an adjective of one termination; but the compound impūbes, ĕris, appears also in the form impubis, e, genit. impūbis, e. g. impube corpus.

Note 2. Substantives in tor derived from transitive verbs may likewise be classed among adjectives, as praeceptor, victor; for as they may easily form a feminine in trix (see § 41.), they have almost the character of adjectives; and even in prose we read, e. g., victor exercitus, victrices litterae, in tam corruptrice provincia. Thus Livy says of L. Brutus, ille liberator populi Romani animus; that is, aliquando liberaturus populum Rom.; and Tacitus, eductus in domo regnatrice. (See Bentley on Horace, Carm. iv. 9. 39.) The use of these substantives as adjectives is limited in prose; but the poets extend it much further, and use even the Greek patronymics in as and is in the same manner. Ovid, e. g., says, Pelias hasta, laurus Parnāsis, Ausŏnis ora, Sithŏnis unda; and Virgil, ursa Libystis, &c. A singular feature of these words is, that, together with the feminine termination of the plural trices, they have also a neuter termination, tricia; e. g. victricia bella, ultricia tela; hence in the plural they become adjectives of three terminations, as victores, victrices, victricia. The substantive hospes, too, has in poetry a neuter plural, hospita, in the sense of an adjective.

[§ 103.] 4. With regard to the declension of adjectives, it must be observed that the feminines in a follow the first declension; the masculines in us and er, which make the feminine in a, and the neuters in um, follow the second. All other terminations belong to the third declension. As therefore adjectives follow the same declensions as substantives, the former also have been treated of above, and their irregularities have been pointed out. (See § 51. and 66., &c.)

Note. The following table shows the declension of adjectives of one termination:—

SINGULAR.			PLURAL.	
	Nom.		Nom.	es, neut. ia.
	Gen.	is	Gen.	ium, sometimes um.
	Dat.	i	Dat.	ibus.
	Acc.	em, neut. like nom.	Acc.	like nom.
	Voc.	like nom.	Voc.	like nom.
	Abl.	i, sometimes e .	Abl.	ibus.

5. Indeclinable adjectives are: nequam; frugi (properly a dative of the obsolete frux, but is used quite as an adjective; its derivative frugalis is not found in any ancient writer); praesto (occurs only in connection with the verb esse); and semis, which is always added to other numerals in the sense of "and a half," the conjunction being omitted, e. g. recipe uncias quinque semis, take five ounces and a half. It must not be confounded with the substantive semis, gen. semissis. Potis or pote is obsolete, and occurs only in poetry in connection with esse (whence arose the contracted form posse). Damnas, guilty, is used only as a legal term, in connection with esto and sunto.

Adjectives defective in number are pauci and plerique, which, in ordinary language, have no singular. The diminutive of paucus, however, occurs as a neuter pauxillum or pauxillulum, though rarely in other genders. The singular plerusque is obsolete, and is found only in Sallust, who was fond of old forms of expression, e. g. pleraque juventus, nobilitas; plerumque exercitum; but the neuter plerumque (the greatest part) likewise occurs, though only in an isolated passage of Livy. It is usually an adverb, signifying "mostly," or, "for the most part." (See § 266.)

Of adjectives defective in case there are several of which the nominative is not in use, or, at least, cannot be proved to have been used; e. g. sons, seminex (or seminecis), and a few similar compounds. We further do not find ceterus and ludicrus (or ceter, ludicer?), but the other genders occur in the nominative. The genitive primoris has neither a nominative (primor or primoris), nor the neuter forms. Cicero uses the word only in the phrase primoribus labris (equivalent to primis), others frequently use the plural in the sense of principes, or the grandees of a nation. Parum, too little, is the neuter of the obsolete parus connected with parvus, and is used as a substantive only in the nom. and accusative. Necesse exists only as a neuter in connection with est, erat, &c., and with habeo, habes, &c.; necessum, which is likewise used only with est, erat, &c., very rarely occurs except in old Latin, the adjective necessarius, a, um, being used in its stead. lupe is likewise obsolete, and is used only with est, erat, &c. Of mactus, a, um, which is believed to be a contraction of magis auctus, we have only macte and macti with the imperative of the verb esse. (Comp. § 453.) The genitive of plerique is wanting; but plurimi, which has the same meaning, supplies the deficiency.

CHAP. XXV.

COMPARISON OF ADJECTIVES.

[§ 104.] 1. Adjectives (also the present and past participles when used as adjectives,) may, by means of a change in their termination, be made to indicate that the quality they denote belongs to a subject in a higher or in the highest degree. The degrees of comparison (gradus comparationis), as this change is called, are, the comparative, when a comparison is made between two (persons, things, or conditions), and the superlative, when a comparison takes place among three or more. The fundamental form of the adjective in this respect is called the positive.

Note. An object may be compared either with another, or with itself at different times, or one of its qualities may be compared with another; e. g. Gajus doctior est quam Marcus, or Gajus doctior nunc est quam fuit, or Gajus doctior est quam justior. (Respecting this peculiarity of the Latin language, see § 690.) The comparative, however, is also used, in an elliptic mode of speaking, instead of our "too" (nimis); e. g. si tibi quaedam videbuntur obscuriora; that is, too obscure, or more obscure, than it should be (quam par erat), or, as we may say, "rather obscure," in which sense paulo is sometimes added, as in paulo liberius locutus est, he spoke rather freely. In like manner the superlative, when used without the objects of comparison being mentioned, indicates only that the quality exists in a high degree, which we express by the adverb very, e. g. homo doctissimus, does not always mean "the most learned," but very often "a very learned man;" and intemperantissime vixit, he lived very intemperately.

2. The comparative has the termination ior for the masculine and feminine, and ius for the neuter; and these terminations are added to the stem of the word such as it appears in the oblique cases. The rule may be practically expressed thus: to form the comparative add or or us to that case of the positive which ends in i, that is, in words of the second declension to the genitive, and in those of the third to the dative, e. g. doctus (docti), doctior; liber (liberi), liberior; pulcher (pulchri), pulchrior; levis, levior; acer (acri), acrior; prudens, prudentior; indulgens, indulgentior; audax, audacior; dives, divitior; velox, velocior. (Sinister alone makes the comparative sinisterior, which

has the same meaning as the positive, although its genitive is *sinistri* and not *sinisteri*).

- Note. Some comparatives also have a diminutive form, as grandiusculus, majusculus, longiusculus, meliusculus, minusculus, tardiusculus, plusculum. Their signification varies between a diminution of the comparative and of the positive; e.g. minusculus may mean rather small or rather smaller.
- 3. The superlative ends in *issimus*, a, um, and is formed as the comparative by adding this termination to the stem of the positive, such as it presents itself in the genitive and the other oblique cases, after the removal of the terminations, e.g. doct-issimus, prudent-issimus, audac-issimus, concord-issimus. It has already been remarked (§ 2.) that this termination of the superlative was originally written and pronounced *umus*, and it is even now retained in the editions of some ancient authors, as the comic poets and Sallust.

[§ 105.] 4. The following cases must be noticed as exceptions:

- a) All adjectives in er (those in er, a, um, as liber and pulcher, as well as those in er, is, e, as acer, celeber, and those of one termination, as pauper, gen. pauperis) make the superlative in errimus, by adding rimus to the nominative of the masculine gender, as pulcher-rimus, acer-rimus, celeber-rimus, pauper-rimus. Vetus and nuperus, too, have veterrimus, nuperrimus. Maturus has both forms, maturissimus and maturrimus, though the latter chiefly in the adverb.
- b) Some adjectives in ilis, viz. facilis, difficilis, similis, dissimilis, gracilis and humilis, make the superlative in illimus, by adding limus to the positive after the removal of the termination is, as facil-limus, humil-limus. Imbecillus or imbecillis has two forms, imbecillissimus and imbecillimus; agilis, on the other hand, has no superlative.
- c) Adjectives compounded with dicus, ficus and volus (from the verbs dicere, facere, velle) make the comparative in entior and the superlative in entissimus, from the unusual and obsolete forms dicens, volens, faciens, e. g. maledicentior, benevolentior, munificentior, munificentissimus, magnificentissimus.

Note. Terence (Phorm. v. 6.31.) makes mirificissimus, from mirificus, but this and similar forms are considered by the ancient grammarians as anomalies, and mirificentissimus is the usual form. Several adjectives in dicus, and most of

those in *ficus*, have no comparative and superlative, at least they are not found in our writers. Adjectives compounded with *loquus* (from *loqui*), such as *grandiloquus*, vaniloquus, are said to form their degrees of comparison from *loquens*, but no instance of the kind occurs; in Plautus, however, we find mendaciloquius, and confidentiloquius.

CHAP. XXVI.

COMPARISON BY ADVERBS AND INCREASED COMPARISON.

[§ 106.] 1. Instead of the peculiar forms of the comparative and superlative, we sometimes find a circumlocution, magis and maxime, or adverbs of a similar meaning (as summe), being added to the positive. This rarely occurs in the case of adjectives which form their degrees of comparison in the regular way, and for the most part only in poetry (Horace, e. g., uses magis beatus and magis aptus); but where the regular or grammatical comparison cannot be used, its place is supplied by circumlocution. (See below, § 114.)

[§ 107.] 2. A degree is also expressed by the adverbs admodum, bene, apprime, imprimis, sane, oppido, valde. and multum, and by the particle per, which is united with the adjective (or adverb) into one word, as in perdifficilis (though per is sometimes separated by some intervening word, e. g. per mihi difficilis locus), and, like sane, it is made still more emphatic by the addition of quam, e. g. locus perquam difficilis, an extremely difficult passage. Generally speaking, all simple adjectives, provided their meaning admits of an increase or decrease, may become strengthened by being compounded with per. Some few (especially in late writers) are increased in the same way by being compounded with prae, e. g. praedives, praepinguis, praelongus. Adjectives to which per or prae is prefixed, admit of no further comparison; praeclarus alone is treated like a simple adjective.

Note. Oppido, for the etymology of which we must refer to the dictionary, is of rare occurrence, and belongs to the more ancient language, though it is now and then used by Cicero, e.g. oppido ridiculus, and increased by quam: oppido quam pauci. Multum also is but rarely used in this way. Valde is indeed frequent in Cicero; but it has a peculiar and ethical shade of meaning, and is rarely used in the prose of later times.

THE STATE OF THE S

[§ 108.] 3. When the adverb etiam (still) is added to the comparative, and longe or multo (far) to the superlative, the sense of the degrees is enhanced. Vel, even, and quam, as much as possible, likewise serve to denote an increase of the meaning expressed by the superlative. Both words have acquired this signification by ellipsis: vel by the ellipsis of the positive, e. g. Cicero vel optimus oratorum Romanorum; i. e. Cicero, a good or rather the very best of Roman orators (so also vel with a comparative in the only passage of Cicero where it is known to occur, De Orat. i. 17.: ingenium vel majus,); quam, by the ellipsis of posse, which however is frequently added to it; e. g. quam maximum potest militum numerum colligit; quam maximas possum tibi gratias ago. As these words increase the sense, so paulum or paulo, paululum or paululo, on the other hand, diminish it, as paulo doctior, only a little more learned. Aliquanto increases the sense, and has an affirmative power; it may be expressed by "considerably" or "much." (See Chap. LXXIV. 15.)

CHAP. XXVII.

IRREGULAR AND DEFECTIVE COMPARISON.

[§ 109.] 1. Some adjectives make their degrees of comparison from obsolete forms, or take them from other words of a similar signification.

Bonus,	melior,	optimus.
Malus,	pejor,	pessimus.
Magnus,	major,	maximus.
Multus,	· plus (pl. plures, plura),	plurimus (equivalent in the plural to plerique).
Parvus,	minor,	minimus.
Nequam \ See §	-	nequissimus.
Frugi indec	$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int frugatior,$	frugalissimus.
$Eg\bar{e}nus,$	egentior,	egentissimus (egens).
Providus,	providentior,	providentissimus (pro- videns).

Note. Multus and plurimus as numerals are used only in the plural. In the singular multus is equivalent to "manifold," or "great," as multus labor, multa cura, and sometimes plurimus has the same sense, e.g. plurimam salutem dico. Poets, however, use the singular multus and plurimus also in the sense of the plural, e.g. multa and plurima avis, i.e. multae, plurimae aves, a great many birds; multa canis, many dogs. Of the comparative the neuter only occurs in the nom. and accus. singular (plus), and is used as a substantive; in the genitive pluris, and ablat. plure, with the ellipsis of pretii or pretio, it is used with verbs of value, in the sense of "for more," or "at a higher price." The plural is complete, gen. plurium (better than plurum); but the neuter is commonly plura, and rarely pluria. (See §§ 65, 66.) The superlative plerique is derived from the obsolete plerusque (see § 134.), and has no genitive. In ordinary language plerique only means "most people," or "the majority;" but plurimi both "most people" and "a great many." All writers, however, do not observe this difference. Nepos often uses plerique in the sense of "a great many," and Tacitus quite reverses the significations; comp. Hist. i. 86. and iii. 81., where plerique is followed by plures, and iv. 84., where we read: Deum ipsum multi Aesculapium, quidam Osirim, plerique Jovem, plurimi Ditem patrem conjectant. The sense of plerique is sometimes enhanced by the addition of omnes, as plerique omnes, by far the greater number.

[§ 110.] 2. The following adjectives have a double irregular superlative:—

Exter or exterus, a, um, exterior, extremus and extimus. (Infer or inferus), a, um, inferior, infimus and īmus. (Super or superus), a, um, superior, supremus and summus. (Poster or posterus), a, um, posterior, postremus and postumus.

Note. The forms enclosed in brackets are either not found at all, as poster, posterus, or occur only in obsolete Latin, which, however, does not prevent the use of the oblique cases and of the other genders. Exter signifies "being without," and the plural exteri, foreigners; inferus, "being below," superus, "being above," e.g. mare superum and inferum, the two seas which surround Italy. Posterus (that it once existed is clear from praeposterus) signifies that which succeeds or follows, but the plur. posteri, descendants. The superlative extimus is much less common than extremus, and postumus occurs only in the sense of a last or posthumous child.

[§ 111.] 3. There are some forms of the comparative and superlative which have no adjective for their positive, but an adverb which is derived from an adjective, and has the signification of a preposition.

 (citra),
 citerior,
 citimus.

 (ultra),
 ulterior,
 ultimus.

 (intra),
 interior,
 intimus.

 (prope, whence propinguus),
 propior,
 proximus.

Digital of Mathematic

The following, on the other hand, have neither an adjective nor an adverb for their positive:—

deterior,	deterrimus.
ocior,	ocissimus.
potior,	pot is simus.
 prior,	primus.

Note. Deterior and deterrinus may be compared, but not confounded, with pejor and pessimus. Pejor generally means "worse than something which is bad," and is therefore used as comparative of malus, whereas deterior means something which is inferior, or worse than something which is good, so that it is a descending, just as melior is an ascending comparative of bonus. Potior and potissimus are derived from the obsolete positive potis (see § 103), and prior may be traced to the adverb prae.

[§ 112.] 4. The following adjectives have a superlative, but no comparative:—

Falsus, falsissimus; diversus, diversissimus; inclitus, inclitissimus; novus, novissimus; sacer, sacerrimus; vetus (the comparative is supplied by vetustior), veterrimus (vetustissimus), and some participles which are used as adjectives, as meritus, meritissimus.

[§ 113.] 5. Most adjectives in ilis and bilis derived from verbs, together with those in ilis derived from substantives (see § 250.), have no superlative. To these we must add the following: agrestis, alacer, ater, caecus, declivis, proclivis, deses (comparative desidior), jejunus, longinguus, propinguus, protervus, salutaris, satur, surdus, teres, and vulgaris. In like manner there is no superlative of adolescens, juvenis (comparative junior contracted from juvenior), and senex (comparative senior), which words are regarded as adjectives.

Note. The verbal adjectives anabilis, fertilis, nobilis, ignobilis, mobilis, and utilis, however, have their degrees of comparison complete.

- 6. The two adjectives, anterior and sequior, exist only as comparatives. The neuter of the latter, sequius, and the adverb secius (otherwise), differ only in their orthography.
- [§ 114.] 7. Many adjectives have no degrees of comparison at all, because their signification precludes comparison; such are those which denote a substance, origin, possession, or a definite time; e. g. aureus, adamantinus, Graecus, peregrinus, equinus, socialis, paternus, aestivus, hibernus, vivus.

Note. Dexter and sinister seem likewise to belong to this class; the comparatives dexterior, sinisterior, and the irregular superlative dextimus, do indeed occur (sinistimus is mentioned, but its use cannot be proved), but without differing in meaning from the positive. Dexter also signifies skilful, and in this sense dexterior is used as a real comparative.

Others do not form the comparative and superlative in the usual grammatical manner by the terminations ior and issimus, but by the adverbs magis and maxime, which are put before the adjective, and by the particles mentioned above. Such adjectives are:—

a) Those in which the termination us is preceded by a vowel, as idoneus, dubius, necessarius, noxius, arduus, ingenuus: comparative magis necessarius, superlative maxime necessarius, &c. In qu however, the u is not regarded as a vowel (see above, § 5.); hence antiquus, e.g., has its regular comparative, antiquior, and superlative antiquissimus.

Note. As this rule depends entirely upon euphony, respecting which opinions differ, we cannot be surprised to find exceptions. Adjectives in uus in particular frequently make the superlative in the regular grammatical way. Cicero and Suetonius use assiduissimus, Sallust, strenuissimus, and Ovid, exiguissimus and vacuissimus, while the comparative of these words occurs only in much inferior authorities. Adjectives in ius are found much more seldom with the grammatical degrees of comparison than those in uus, and whenever they do occur, they reject one i, as noxior, in Seneca, de Clem. 13.; industrior in the Pseudo-Cicero, De Domo, 11.; egregius in Juvenal, xi. 12. The only superlatives that occur are egregiissimus, in Gellius, and piissimus very frequently in the silver age of the language, in Curtius, Seneca, and Tacitus, though Cicero had censured the triumvir Antony for having used this wholly un-Latin form. (Philip. xiii. 9.) The forms (piens) pientes and pientissimus are found in inscriptions only. Among the adjectives in čus there are no exceptions, and it is only the later jurists that use the comparative idoneor for the inharmonious idoneior.

b) Many adjectives compounded with substantives and verbs, e. g. degener, inops, magnanimus, consonus, foedifrăgus, pestifer; and those which have the derivative terminations ĭcus, ĭdus, ŭlus, ālis, īlis, bundus, e. g. modicus, credulus, trepidus, rabidus, rubidus, garrulus, sedulus, exitialis, mortalis, principalis, anilis, hostilis, scurrilis, furibundus.

Note. This remark cannot form a rule, for there are a great many compounded adjectives and derivatives like the above, which have their degrees of comparison; for example, those compounded with mens and cor: amens, demens, concors, discors, vecors, and the adjectives ending in dicus, ficus, and volus, which were mentioned above. (§ 105. c). Although it is useful to classify the whole mass of such words under certain divisions, still the dictionary can never be dispensed with.

c) A great number of adjectives which cannot be said to form a distinct class; their want of the degrees of comparison is surprising, and they must be carefully committed to memory: albus, almus, caducus, calvus, canus, curvus, ferus, gnarus, lacer, mutilus, lassus, mediocris, memor, merus, mirus, mutus, navus, nefastus, par, parilis, dispar, properus, rudis, trux (the degrees may be formed from truculentus), vagus.

CHAP. XXVIII.

NUMERALS. - CARDINAL NUMERALS.

[§ 115.] Numerals are partly adjectives and partly adverbs. The adjectives are; 1) Cardinal, denoting simply the number of things, as tres, three; 2) Ordinal, indicating the place or number in succession, as tertius, the third; 3) Distributive, denoting how many each time, as terni, each time three, or three and three together; 4) Multiplicative, denoting how manifold, as triplex, threefold; 5) Proportional, denoting how many times more, as triplum, three times as much; and 6) Adverbial numerals, denoting how many times, as ter, three or three times.

I. CARDINAL NUMERALS.

The cardinal numerals form the roots of the other numerals. The first three, unus, duo, tres, are declined and have forms for the different genders; the rest, as far as one hundred, are indeclinable. The hundreds, as 200, 300, 400, &c., are declinable and have different terminations for the genders. Mille, a thousand, is indeclinable, but has a declinable plural for the series of numbers which follows. A higher unit, such as a million or billion, does not exist in Latin, and a million is therefore expressed by the form of multiplication: decies centena milia, i. e. ten times a hundred thousand, or decies alone, with the omission of centena milia, at least when sestertium (HS) is added, and in like manner vicies, two millions; octogies, eight millions;

centies, ten millions; millies, a hundred millions; bis millies, two hundred millions.

SINGULAR.	Plural.
Nom. unus, una, unum, one.	Nom. uni, unae, una.
Gen. unius.	Gen. unorum, unarum, unorum.
Dat. uni.	Dat. unis.
Acc. unum, unam, unum.	Acc. unos, unas, una.
Voc. une, una, unum.	Voc. —————.
Abl. uno, una, uno.	Abl. unis.

Note. The genitive singular uni and the dative uno, unae, are of rare occurrence and unclassical. (Comp., however, § 49.) The plural uni, unae, una, occurs as a numeral only in connection with pluralia tantum, i. e. such nouns as have no singular, e. g. unae nuptiae, one wedding; una castra, one camp; unae litterae, one letter. (See Chap. XXX). Unus is used also as a pure adjective by dropping its signification of a numeral and taking that of "alone," or "the same," e. g. Cæs. Bell. Gall. iv. 16.: uni Ubii legatos miserant, the Ubians alone had sent ambassadors; Cic. Pro Flacc. 26.: Lacedaemonii septingentos jam annos unis moribus vivunt, with the same manners.

Duo and tres are naturally plurals.

Nom.	duo, duae, duo.	Nom.	tres (mas. and fem.), tria.
Gen.	duorum, duarum, duorum.	Gen.	trium.
Dat.	duobus, duabus, duobus.	Dat.	tribus.
Acc.	duos and duo, duas, duo.	Acc.	tres (mas. and fem.), tria.
Abl.	duobus, duabus, duobus.	Abl.	tribus.

Note. Ambo, ae, o, both, is declined like duo, and has likewise two forms for the accusat., ambos and ambo, which have entirely the same meaning. In connection with pondo (pounds) we find dua pondo, and tre pondo, for duo and tria, a barbarism noticed by the ancients themselves. (Quintil. i. 5. 15.) Duum, a second form of the genit. of duo, is the regular one in compounds, as duumvir, but is frequently used also in connection with milium. Thus Pliny says that he had compiled his work e lectione voluminum circiter duum milium: but Cæsar and Livy likewise use this form.

Pliny says that he had compiled himilium; but Cæsar and Livy likew	is work <i>e lectione voluminum circiter duun</i> ise use this form.
4. IV. quattuor.	14. XIV. quattuordecim.
5. v. quinque.	15. xv. quindecim.
6. VI. sex.	16. XVI. sedecim or decem et sex.
7. VII. septem. 8. VIII. octo.	17. XVII. decem et septem, or septendecim.
9. IX. novem.	18. XVIII. decem et octo, or duo-
10. x. decem.	deviginti.
11. XI. undecim.	19. XIX. decem et novem, or un-
12. XII. duodecim. [tres.	deviginti.

13. XIII. tredecim or decem et 20. XX. viginti.

21. XXI. unus et viginti, or viginti unus.

22. XXII. duo et viginti, or viginti duo.

23. XXIII. tres et viginti, or viginti tres.

28. XXVIII. duodetriginta, or octo et viginti.

29. XXIX. undetriginta, or novem et viginti.

30. xxx. triginta.

40. XL. quadraginta.

50. L. quinquaginta.

60. Lx. sexaginta.

70. LXX. septuaginta.

80. LXXX. octoginta.

90. xc. nonaginta.

100. c. centum.

109. CIX. centum et novem, or centum novem.

200. CC. ducenti, ae, a.

300. ccc. trecenti, ae, a.

400. CCCC. quadringenti, ae,a.

500. D. or 19. quingenti, ae, a. 600. DC. sexcenti, ae, a.

700. DCC. septingenti, ae, a.

800. DCCC. octingenti, ae, a.

900. DCCCC. nongenti, ae, a.

1000. M. or CIO. mille.

2000. CIOCIO. or MM. duo milia, or bis mille.

5000. 100. quinque milia.

10,000. CCIDD. decem milia. 100,000. CCCIDDD. centum milia.

Note 1. The Roman signs for numbers have arisen from simple geometrical figures. The perpendicular line (I) is one; two lines crossing one another (X) make ten; half this figure (V) is five; the perpendicular line with an horizontal one at the lower end (L) is fifty, and if another horizontal line is added at the upper end (\square) we have one hundred. From this sign arose the round C, which is accidentally at the same time the initial of centum. This C reversed (O), which is called apostrophus, with a perpendicular line preceding it (IO), or drawn together as D, signifies 500. In every multiplication with ten a fresh apostrophus is added, thus IOD=5000, IODD=50,000. When a number is to be doubled, as many C are put before the horizontal line, as there are O behind it. Thus CIO=1000, CCIOD=10,000, &c. A thousand is expressed in MSS. by ∞ , which is evidently a contraction of CIO. M, which is used for the same number, is the initial of mille.

Note 2. Wherever, in the above list, two numerals are put together, the first is always preferable. Forms like octodecim and novendecim, which are not mentioned in the list, are not supported by any authority; even septendecim, according to Priscian (De Sign. Num. 4.), is not so good as decem et septem, although it is used by Cicero (In Verr. v. 47.; De Leg. Agr. ii.17.; Philip. v.7.), and also by Tacitus (Annal. xiii. 6.). Septem et decem in Cicero (Cat. 6.) and octo et decem in Pliny (Epist. viii. 18.) are isolated peculiarities. Instead of octoginta we sometimes find octuaginta, and corresponding with it octuagies;

but these forms cannot be recommended.

[§ 116.] The intermediate numbers are expressed in the following manner:—from twenty to a hundred, either the smaller number followed by et precedes, or the greater one precedes without the et; e.g. quattuor et sexaginta or sexaginta quattuor.

For 18, 28, 38, 48, &c., and for 19, 29, 39, 49, the expressions duodeviginti, duodetriginta, up to undecentum, are more frequent than decem et octo, or octo et viginti. In such combinations neither duo nor un (unus) can be declined. Above 100, the greater number always precedes, either with or without et, as mille unus, mille duo, mille trecenti, or mille et unus, mille et duo, mille et trecenti sexaginta sex. The et is never used twice, and poets when they want another syllable take ac, atque, or que, instead. There are indeed exceptions to this rule, but being less common, they cannot be taken into consideration, and some of them are mere incorrect readings. (See my note on Cic. in Verrem, iv. 55.)

The thousands are generally expressed by the declinable substantive milia and the cardinal numbers, as duo milia, tria milia, quattuor milia, decem milia, unum et viginti milia, quadraginta quinque milia. The distributive numerals are used more rarely, as bina milia, quina milia, dena milia, quadragena sena milia. The objects counted are expressed by the genitive which depends on the substantive milia; e. g. Xerxes Mardonium in Graecia reliquit cum trecentis milibus armatorum, unless a lower declined numeral is added, in which case things counted may be used in the same case with milia; e.g. habuit tria milia trecentos milites, or milites tria milia trecentos habuit; but even then the genitive may be used, e. g. habuit militum tria milia trecentos, or habuit tria milia militum et trecentos. (See the commentators on Livy, xxxix. 7.) It is only the poets that express the thousands by the indeclinable adjective mille preceded by an adverbial numeral, as bis mille equi, for duo milia equorum; they are in general fond of expressing a number by the form of multiplication; Ovid (Trist. iv. 10. 4.), for example, says: milia decies novem instead of nonaginta milia.

Note. With regard to the construction of the word mille we add the following remarks. Mille is originally a substantive, which is indeclinable in the singular, but occurs only in the nom. and accus. As a substantive it governs the genitive, like the Greek χιλιάς, e.g. Cic. Pro Milon. 20.: quo in fundo propter insanas illas substructiones facile mille hominum versabatur valentium; Philip. vi. 5: quis L. Antonio mille nummum ferret expensum, and very frequently mille passuum. Livy joins mille as a collective noun (see § 366.) to the plural of the verb, xxiii. 44: mille passuum inter urbem erant castraque; xxv. 24: jam mille armatorum ceperant partem. But mille is also an indeclinable adjective, and as such is most frequently used in all its cases, e.g. equites mille praemissi; senatus mille hominum numero constabat; da

control of Contravel and the professional

mihi basia mille; rem mille modis temptavit, &c. With this adjective mille, as with numerals in general, a genitivus partitivus may be used, according to § 429., and thus we read in Livy, xxi. 61.: cum octo milibus peditum, mille equitum, where the genitive stands for the ablative, owing to its close connection with the word peditum; and xxiii. 46.: Romanorum minus mille interfecti.

CHAP. XXIX.

II. ORDINAL NUMERALS.

[§ 117.] THE ordinals denote the place in the series which any object holds, and answer to the question quotus? All of them are adjectives of three terminations, us

1. primus.	30. tricesimus, son
2. secundus (alter).	times trigesimus.
3. tertius.	40. quadragesimus.
4. quartus.	50. quinquagesimus.
5. quintus.	60. sexagesimus.
6. sextus.	70. septuagesimus.
7. septimus.	80. octogesimus.
8. octavus.	90. nonagesimus.
9. nonus.	100. centesimus.
10. decimus.	200. ducentesimus.
11. undecimus.	300. trecentesimus.
12. duodecimus.	400. quadringentesimus
13. tertius decimus.	500. quingentesimus.
14. quartus decimus.	600. sexcentesimus.
15. quintus decimus.	700. septingentesimus.
16. sextus decimus.	800. octingentesimus.
17. septimus decimus.	900. nongentesimus.
18. octavus decimus, or duode-	1000. millesimus.
vicesimus.	2000. bis millesimus.
19. nonus decimus, or undevi-	3000. ter millesimus.
cesimus.	10,000. decies millesimus.

20. vicesimus, sometimes vige-

21. unus et vicesimus, vicesimus

22. alter et vicesimus, vicesimus

simus.

primus.

secundus.

s, a,	um.	
30.	tricesimus,	some
	times trige	simus.
40.	quadragesin	nus.
50.	quinquagesi	mus.
60.	sexagesimus	
70.	septuagesim	us.
80.	octogesimus	
90.	nonagesimus	S.
100.	centesimus.	
200.	ducentesimu	ts.
300.	trecentesimu	ts.
400.	quadringent	esimus.
	quingentesin	
600.	sexcentesimi	us.
700.	septingentes	imus.
	octingentesin	
	nongentesim	
1000.	millesimus.	

100,000. centies millesimus.

1,000,000. decies centies mille-

simus.

[§ 118.] In expressing the intermediate numbers, the most common practice is to place the smaller number before the greater one with the conjunction et, or to make the greater number precede the smaller one without et, as quartus et vicesimus, or vicesimus quartus. But there are many instances in in which the smaller number precedes without et; e.g. quintus tricesimus; and from 13 to 19 this is the ordinary method, though we also find tertius et decimus, decimus tertius, and decimus et tertius. (See Cic. de Invent., i. 53. and 54.) Instead of primus et vicesimus, &c., we find still more frequently unus et vicesimus, fem. una et vicesima, or with the elision of the vowel, unetvicesima, with the genitive unetvicesimae, as in Tacit. Annal. i. 45., and Hist. i. 67. The 22d, 32d, &c., is more frequently and better expressed by alter et vicesimus or vicesimus et alter, than by secundus et vicesimus. Now and then we meet with duoetvicesimus, duoettricesimus, in which case the word duo The 28th, 38th, &c., are expressed also by is indeclinable. duodetricesimus, duodequadragesimus, and the 29th, 39th, 99th, by undetricesimus, undequadragesimus, undecentesimus, the words duo and unus (un) being indeclinable; and both forms are of more frequent occurrence than octavus and nonus et vicesimus, or vicesimus octavus, vicesimus nonus. There is a class of adjectives in anus which are derived from ordinal numerals, e. g. primanus, secundanus, tertianus, vicesimanus: they express the class or division to which a person belongs; in Roman writers they chiefly denote the legion of the soldiers, whence the first word in their compounds is feminine, e.g. tertiadecimani, quartadecimani, tertia et vicesimani, that is, soldiers of the thirteenth, fourteenth, twenty-third legion. In Tacitus we meet with the forms unetvicesimani and duoetvicesimani.

CHAP. XXX.

III. DISTRIBUTIVE NUMERALS.

[§ 119.] DISTRIBUTIVE numerals denote an equal number distributed among several objects or at different times, and answer to the questions:—"How many apiece?" and, "How many each

time?" (quoteni?) They are always used in the plural. The English language having no corresponding numerals has recourse to circumlocution.

Examples. Horat. Serm. i. 4. 86.: Saepe tribus lectis videas coenare quaternos, to dine four on each couch; Liv. xxx. 30.: Scipio et Hannibal cum singulis interpretibus congressi sunt, each with an interpreter; Cic. in Verr. ii. 49.: pueri senum septenumve denum annorum senatorium nomen nundinati sunt, boys of sixteen or seventeen years each purchased the title of senator; Liv. v. 30.: Senatus consultum factum est, ut agri Vejentani septena jugera plebi dividerentur, each plebeian received seven jugera. The passage in Cicero (ad Att. xvi. 8.), Octavius veteranis quingenos denarios dat, has the same meaning as (ad Fam. x. 32.) Antonius denarios quingenos singulis militibus dat; that is, five hundred denarii to each soldier. When the distributive singuli is expressly added, the cardinal numeral is sometimes used; e. g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 55.: singulis censoribus denarii trecenti ad statuam praetoris imperati sunt.

Hence the distributives are applied in multiplication (with adverbial numerals), the same number being taken several times; e. g. non didicit bis bina quot essent; lunae curriculum conficitur integris quater septenis diebus; Gellius, xx. 7.: Homerus pueros puellasque Niobae bis senos dicit fuisse, Euripides bis septenos, Sappho bis novenos, Bacchylides et Pindarus bis denos; quidam alii scriptores tres fuisse solos dixerunt. Poets in this case sometimes apply the cardinal numerals; e. g. Horace has, bis quinque viri, i. e. decemviri; and in prose we find decies (vicies, tricies) centum milia, although the form decies centena milia, mentioned above (§ 115.), is much more common.

Distributives are further used, instead of cardinals, with words which have no singular; e. g. bini codicilli, bina post Romulum spolia opima (see § 94.); and with those substantives the plural of which, though it has a different signification from the singular, yet retains the meaning of a singular, e. g. aedes, castra, litterae, ludi (§ 96.). It must however be observed, that in this case the Romans commonly used uni instead of singuli, and trini instead of terni, since singuli and terni retain their own distributive signification. We therefore say, for example, bina castra uno die cepit; trinae hodie nuptiae celebrantur; quotidie quinas aut senas litteras accipio; for duo castra would mean "two castles," duae aedes "two temples," and duae litterae "two letters of the alphabet." This, however, is not the case with liberi (children), for this word has not the meaning of a singular (liberi are children, and not a child), and we accordingly say duo liberi, jus trium liberum, &c.

Bini is used for duo to denote things which exist in pairs, as bini boves, binae aures; and in Virgil, Aen. i. 317:: bina manu crispans hastilia. No prose writer goes beyond this in the use of the distributives instead of the cardinals (except in combination with milia, see § 116.). Poets and Pliny the elder use these numerals in the singular in the sense of multiplicatives, e.g. Lucan, viii. 455.: septeno gurgite, with a sevenfold whirl; Plin. xvii. 3.: campus fertilis centena quinquagena fruge, with one hundred and fifty fold corn. In the ordinary language they occur only in the plural, and as adjec-

tives of three terminations, i, ae, a.

singuli.
 quaterni.
 septeni.
 quini.
 octoni.

3. terni, or trini. 6. seni. 9. noveni.

10. deni.	20. viceni.	90. nonageni.
11. undeni.	21. viceni singuli.	100. centeni.
12. duodeni.	22. viceni bini.	200. duceni.
13. terni deni.	23. viceni terni, &c.	300. treceni.
14. quaterni deni.	30. triceni.	400. quadringeni.
15. quini deni,	40. quadrageni.	500. quingeni.
16. seni deni.	50. quinquageni.	600. sexceni.
17. septeni deni.	60. sexageni.	700. septingeni.
18. octoni deni.	70. septuageni.	800. octingeni.
19. noveni deni.	80. octogeni.	900. nongeni.
19. noveni deni.	80. octogeni.	900. nongeni.

A longer form of the hundreds: ducenteni, trecenteni, quadringenteni, &c., which is mentioned by Priscian, cannot be proved to exist. Here too there is some freedom in the combination of the numerals: instead of viceni quaterni, we may say quaterni et viceni or quaterni viceni, and for 18 and 19 we have also the forms duodeviceni and undeviceni. The genitive of these numerals is commonly in um instead of orum, as binum, ternum, quaternum, quinum, &c., but not singulum for singulorum.

"A thousand each time" might, according to analogy, be expressed by milleni, and then continued bis milleni, ter milleni, &c.; but this form is not in use, and instead of it we say singula milia, bina, terna, quaterna, quina milia; e. g. Sueton. Octav. extr.: Legavit Augustus praetorianis militibus singula milia nummum (that is, one thousand to each), cohortibus urbanis quingenos, legionariis trecenos nummos; Livy: in singulis legionibus Romanis quina milia peditum, treceni equites erant. Milia alone is frequently used for singula milia, if its distributive meaning is indicated by some other word; e.g. Livy, xxxvii. 45.: dabitis milia talentum per duodecim annos, i. e. one thousand talents each year; Curtius, v. 19.: singulis vestrum milia denarium dari jussi, where mille is an incorrect reading; comp. Liv. xxii. 36. This use of the plural, which occurs in other words also, as asses, librae, jugera, with the ellipsis of singuli, ae, a, has been established by J. Fr. Gronovius on Livy, iv. 15. and xxix. 15.; and by Bentley on Horace, Serm. ii. 3. 156.

From these distributives are derived adjectives in arius, which indicate of how many units or equal parts a thing consists, whence they are termed partiaria, e. g. numerus binarius, a number consisting of two units, i. e. two; scrobes ternarii, holes of three feet; versus senarius, a verse of six feet; nummus denarius, a coin of ten units, that is, asses; senex octogenarius, an old man of eighty; rosa centenaria, a rose with one hundred leaves; cohors quingenaria, of 500 men. The word numerus is most frequently combined with these adjectives, to supply the

place of the substantives unio, binio, ternio, which are not based on very good authority. (See § 75.) Singularis and milliarius are more commonly used instead of singularius, millenarius.

CHAP. XXXI.

IV. MULTIPLICATIVE NUMERALS.

[§ 120.] MULTIPLICATIVES answer to the question, "How many fold?" (quotuplex?) They are: simplex, duplex, triplex, quadruplex, quincuplex, septemplex, decemplex, centuplex. are the only ones that can be shown to have been in use. Sixfold does not occur in Latin; it might be sexuplex or seplex, but not sextuplex, as some grammarians assert. Octuplex is attested by the derivative octuplicatus, and novemplex by the analogy of septemplex. (Modern writers use also: undecimplex, duodecimplex, sedecimplex, vicecuplex, tricecuplex, quadragecuplex, quinquagecuplex, sexagecuplex, septuagecuplex, octogecuplex, nonagecuplex, ducentuplex, trecentuplex, quadringentuplex, quingentuplex, octingentuplex, &c., and millecuplex.)

It will not be out of place here to add the Latin expressions for fractions, which are always denoted by pars: $\frac{1}{a}$ is dimidia pars, $\frac{1}{3}$ tertia pars, $\frac{1}{4}$ quarta pars, quinta, sexta, septima pars, &c. In cases where the number of the parts into which a thing is divided, exceeds the number of parts mentioned only by one, as in $\frac{2}{3}$, $\frac{3}{4}$, $\frac{4}{5}$, the fractions are expressed in Latin simply by duae, tres, quattuor partes, that is, two out of three, three out of four, and four out of five parts: 1 may be expressed by octava pars, or by dimidia quarta. In all other cases fractions are expressed as in English: 2, duae septimae; 3, tres septimae, &c., or the fraction is broken up into its parts, e. g. $\frac{5}{6}$ by pars dimidia $(\frac{3}{6})$ et tertia $(\frac{2}{6})$; and $\frac{10}{31}$ by tertia et septima.

CHAP. XXXII.

V. PROPORTIONAL NUMERALS.

[§ 121.] PROPORTIONAL numerals express how many times more one thing is than another, but they cannot be used throughout. They answer to the question quotuplus? They are: simplus, a, um; duplus, triplus, quadruplus, quinquiplus, (probably sexuplus,) septuplus, octuplus, (perhaps nonuplus,) decuplus, centuplus, and according to the same analogy we might form ducentuplus, and so on, as in the multiplicatives above. But they are almost universally found only in the neuter.

CHAP. XXXIII.

VI. NUMERAL ADVERBS.

[§ 122.] 1. The numeral adverbs answer to the question, "How many times?" quotiens? to which totiens is the demonstrative, and aliquotiens the indefinite. The form in ns is the original, and prevailed in the best periods of the language; subsequently the termination $\bar{e}s$ was preferred in numerals, but ens still remained in the words just mentioned.

1.	semet.	
2	hie	

3. ter.

4. quater.

5. quinquies.

6. sexies.

7. septies.

8. octies. 9. novies.

10. decies.

11. undecies.

12. duodecies.

13. terdecies or tredecies.

14. quaterdecies or quattuor decies.

15. quinquiesdecies or quindecies.

16. sexiesdecies or sedecies.

17. septiesdecies.

18. duodevicies, or octiesdecies.

19. undevicies, or noviesdecies.

20. vicies.

21. semel et vicies.

22. bis et vicies.

23. ter et vicies, &c.

30. tricies.

40. quadragies. 400. quadringenties. 50. quinquagies. 500. quingenties, &c. 60. sexagies. 800. octingenties, &c. 70. septuagies. 1,000. millies. 80. octogies. 2,000. bis millies. 90. nonagies. 3,000. ter millies, &c. 100. centies. 100,000. centies millies. 200. ducenties. 1,000,000. millies millies.

300. trecenties.

With regard to the intermediate numbers, 21, 22, 23, &c., the method above adopted is the usual one, but we may also say vicies semel and vicies et semel, though not semel vicies; for bis vicies, for example, would mean twice twenty, i. e. forty.

[§ 123.] 2. The numeral adverbs terminating either in um or o, and derived from the ordinals, or rather the ordinals themselves in the acc. or ablat. singular neuter gender, are used in answer to the question "of what number?" or "what in number?" (The Latin quotum? or quoto? cannot be proved to have been used in this way.) e.g. primum or primo, for the first time, or first; secundum or secundo, tertium or tertio, &c., decimum, undecimum, duodecimum, tertium decimum, duodevi-The ancients themselves were in doubt as to whether the termination um or o was preferable (see Gellius, x. 1.); but according to the majority of the passages in classical writers, we must prefer um; the form secundum alone is less common; and instead of it we find iterum, a second time, and secundo. secondly, for which however deinde is more frequently used. The difference between primum and primo is this, that the signification "for the first time" is common to both, but that of "firstly" belongs exclusively to primum, while primo has the additional meaning of "at first."

[§ 124.] Note. It may not be superfluous to notice here some substantives compounded with numerals: thus, from annus are formed biennium, triennium, quadriennium, sexennium, septuennium (more correct than septennium), decennium, a period of two, three, four, six, &c., years. From dies we have biduum, triduum, quatriduum, a time of two, three, four days. From viri are formed duoviri, tresviri, quattuorviri, quinqueviri, se- or sexviri, septemviri, decenviri, quindecenviri, all of which compounds, if they may be so called, denote a commission consisting of a certain number of men, appointed for certain purposes. A member of such a commission is called duumvir, triumvir, from which is formed the plural triumviri, which, properly speaking, is ungrammatical, and, in fact, still wants the sanc-

tion of a good authority. In inscriptions triumviri does not occur, and duomviri only once (Gruter, p. 43. No. 5.): the ordinary mode of writing it was II viri, III viri. Printed books, without the authority of MSS., are not decisive. To these words we may add the three, bimus, trimus, and quadrimus; i.e. a child of two, three, four years.

CHAP. XXXIV.

PRONOUNS AND PRONOMINAL ADJECTIVES.

[§ 125.] 1. Pronouns are words which supply the place of a substantive, such as, I, thou, we, and in Latin, ego, tu, nos, &c. These words are in themselves substantives, and require nothing to complete their meaning; hence they are called pronouns substantive (pronomina substantiva), but more commonly personal pronouns, pronomina personalia.

Note. Sui is a pronoun of the third person, but not in the same way that ego and tu are pronouns of the first and second persons. For the third person (he, she, it) is not expressed in Latin in the nominative, and is implied in the third person of the verb; but if it is to be expressed, a demonstrative pronoun, commonly ille, is used. The other cases of the English pronoun of the third person are expressed by the oblique cases of is, ea, id, the nominative of which belongs to the demonstrative pronouns. Thus we say, pudet me mei, tui, ejus; laudo me, te, eum. Sui, sibi, se, is the pronoun of the third person in a reflective sense, as: laudat se, he praises himself, in which proposition the object is the same as the subject. The use of this reflective pronoun in Latin is somewhat more extensive than in our language; for sui, sibi, se, and the possessive suus, sua, suum, are used not only when the subject to which they refer occurs in the same sentence, but also when in a dependent sentence the subject of the principal or governing sentence is referred to; e.g. putat hoc sibi nocere, he thinks that this injures him (instead of himself). The beginner must observe that whereever he may add "self" to the pronoun of the third person, he has to use the reflective pronouns and the possessive suus, sua, suum; e.g. Gajus contemnebat divitias, quod se felicem reddere non possent, because they could not make him (i. e. himself, and not any other person) happy; but quod eum felicem reddere non possent would mean, because they could not make him (some other person, e.g. his friend) happy.

[§ 126.] 2. Besides these there is a number of words which are adjectives, in as much as they have three distinct forms for the three genders, and their meaning is not complete without a substantive either expressed or understood. But their inflection

differs so widely from what are commonly called adjectives, and they are so frequently used instead of a substantive, that they are not unjustly termed pronouns. They are—

- 1) The adjunctive: ipse, ipsa, ipsum, self.
- 2) The demonstrative: hic, haec, hoc; iste, ista, istud; ille, illa, illud; is, ea, id, and the compound idem, eadem, idem.
- 3) The relative: qui, quae, quod, and the compounds quicunque and quisquis.
- 4) The two interrogatives: viz. the substantive interrogative, quis, quid? and the adjective interrogative, qui, quae, quod?
- 5) The indefinite pronouns: aliquis, aliquia, aliquid and aliquod; quidam, quaedam, quiddam and quoddam; aliquispiam, or abridged quispiam, quaepiam, quidpiam and quodpiam; quisquam, neuter quidquam; quivis, quilibet, and quisque; and all the compounds of qui or quis.

Respecting the use of these pronouns, see Chap. LXXXIV. C. The following observations are intended to develope only the fundamental principles.

[§ 127.] Note 1. Signification of the Demonstrative Pronouns. - Hic, this, is used of objects which are nearest to the speaker, whereas more distant objects are referred to by ille. The person nearest of all to the speaker is the speaker himself, whence hic homo is often the same as ego (see some passages in Heindorf on Horace, Sat. i. 9. 47.); and in this respect hic is called the pronoun of the first person. - Iste points to the person to whom I am speaking, and to the things appertaining to him. Thus iste liber, ista vestis, istud negotium, are equivalent to thy book, thy dress, thy business; and iste is, for this reason, called the pronoun of the second person. -Ille, that, is the pronoun of the third person; that is, it points to the person of whom I am speaking to some one, hence ille liber means the book of which we are speaking. (Compare on these points § 291.) — Is is used: 1) to point to something preceding, and is somewhat less emphatic than "the person mentioned before;" and, 2) as a sort of logical conjunction, when followed by qui, is qui answers to the English "he who." - Idem, the same, expresses the unity or identity of a subject with two predicates; e.g. Cicero did this thing, and he did that also, would be expressed in Latin, idem illud perfecit, hence idem may sometimes answer to our "also;" e.g. Cicero was an orator and also a philosopher, Cicero orator erat idemque (et idem) philosophus.

[§ 128.] Note 2. The compounded Relatives.—They are formed by means of the suffix cunque, which, however, is sometimes separated from its pronoun by some intervening word. It arose from the relative adverb cum (also spelled quum) and the suffix que, expressive of universality (as in quisque, § 129.; and in adverbs, § 288.). Cunque therefore originally signi-

By being attached to a relative pronoun or adverb, fied "whenever." e. g. qualiscunque, quotcunque, ubicunque, utcunque, quandocunque, it renders the relative meaning of these words more general, and produces a relativum generale; and as qui signifies "who," quicunque becomes "whoever," or "every one who;" e. g. quemcunque librum legeris, ejus summam paucis verbis in commentaria referto, or utcunque se res habuit, tua tamen culpa est. It thus always occurs in connection with a verb, as the subject of a proposition. The same signification is produced by doubling the relative; e.g. quotquot, qualisqualis; and in the case of adverbs, ubiubi, utut, quoquo, &c. Thus we should have quiqui, quaequae, quodquod = quicunque, quaecunque, quodcunque; but these forms are not used in the nominative, and instead of them quisquis, quidquid, were formed from the substantive interrogative quis? quid? and the doubled relative quisquis retained its substantive signification, "every one who," whereas quicunque has the meaning of an adjective. So, at least, it is with the neuter quidquid, whatever. The masculine quisquis, by way of exception, is likewise used as an adjective; e.g. in Horace: quisquis erit vitae color; and Pliny: quisquis erit ventus (nay, even the neuter quidquid in Virgil, Aen. x. 493., and Horace, Carm. ii. 13. 9., which is a complete anomaly). In the oblique cases the substantive and adjective significations coincide.

The Indefinite Pronouns. - All the above-men-[§ 129.] Note 3. tioned words are originally at once substantives and adjectives, and for this reason they have two distinct forms for the neuter. According to the ordinary practice, however, quisquam is a substantive only, and is often accompanied by the adjective ullus, a, um. Quispiam, too, is principally used as a substantive; but aliquispiam, in the few passages where it occurs (it is found only in Cic. Pro Sext. 29.: aliquapiam vi; and Tuscul.iii. 9.: aliquodpiam membrum), is used as an adjective; and aliquis, which has the same meaning, is found in both senses. Quisquam, with the supplementary ullus, has a negative meaning; e.g. I do not believe that any one (quisquam) has done this: quispiam and aliquis are affirmative, and quidam may be translated by "a certain." By adding the verbs vis and libet to the relative we obtain quivis and quilibet, any one; and by adding the particle que we obtain quisque and the compound unusquisque. All of these words express an indefinite generality: respecting their difference, compare

Chap. LXXXIV. C.

[§ 130.] 3. The possessive pronouns are derived from the substantive pronouns, and in form they are regular adjectives of three terminations: meus, tuus, suus, noster, vester; to which we must add the relative cujus, a, um; and the pronomina gentilicia (which express origin), nostras, vestras, and cujas.

4. Lastly, we include among the pronouns also what are called *pronominalia*, that is, adjectives of so general a meaning, that, like real pronouns, they frequently supply the place of a noun substantive. Such *pronominalia* are. a) Those which answer to the question, who? and are partly single words and partly compounds: aiius, ullus, nullus, nonnullus. If we ask, which of two? it is expressed by uter? and the answer to it is alter, one of two; neuter, neither; alteruter, either the one or

the other; utervis and uterlibet, either of the two. The relative pronoun (when referring to two) is likewise uter, and in a more general sense utercunque. b) Those which denote quality, size, or number in quite a general way. They stand in relation to one another (whence they are called correlatives), and are formed according to a fixed rule. The interrogative beginning with au coincides with the form of the relative, and according to the theory of the ancient grammarians they differ only in their accent (see § 34.); the indefinite is formed by prefixing ali; the demonstrative begins with t, and its power is sometimes increased by the suffix dem (as in idem); the relative may acquire a more general meaning by being doubled, or by the suffix cunque (§ 128.); the indefinite generality is expressed (according to § 129.) by adding the words libet or vis to the (original) interrogative form. In this manner we obtain the following pronominal correlatives, with which we have to compare the adverbial correlatives mentioned in § 288.

Interrog qualis	. Demonst.	Relat. qualis	Relat. generale. qualisqualis, qualiscunque.	Indefin.	Indef. gener. qualislibet.
quantus	tantus, tan- tundem	quantus	quantusquantus, quantuscunque	aliquantus	quantuslibet, quantusvis.
quot	tot, totidem,	quot	quotquot, quot- cunque	aliquot	quotlibet.
quotus	tŏtus	$qu\breve{o}tus$	quotuscunque.	(aliquotus)	
/D -1		23 (1 21		. 7	

To these we must add the diminutives quantulus, quantuluscunque, tantulus, aliquantulum.

CHAP. XXXV.

DECLENSION OF PRONOUNS.

[§ 131.] 1. Declension of the personal pronouns ego, tu, sui.

	SINGULAR.	
Nom. Ego, I.	Tu, thou.	
Gen. mei, of me.	tui, of thee.	sui, of himself, her-
1		self, itself.
Dat. <i>mihi</i> , to me.	tĭbi, to thee.	sibi, to himself, &c.
Acc. me, me.	te, thee.	se, himself, &c.
Voc. like nom.	like nom.	
Abl. me, from me.	te, from thee.	se, from himself, &c.

Upper the regularity of herosoft

PLUBAL.

		PLURAL.	
Nom.	$N\bar{o}s$, we.	Vos, you.	-
Gen.	nostri, nostrum,	vestri, vestrum,	sui, of themselves.
	of us.	of you.	•
Dat.	$n\bar{o}bis$, to us.	võbis, to you.	sibi, to themselves.
Acc.	nos, us.	vos, you.	se, themselves.
Voc.	nos, O we.	vos, O you!	•
Abl.	nobis, from us.	vobis, from you.	se, from themselves.

Note. The suffix met may be added to all the cases of these three pronouns to express the English emphatic self, as egomet, minimet, temet, semet, and even with the addition of ipse after it, as minimet ipsi, temet ipsum. The genit. plur. and the nominat. tu alone do not admit this suffix. Instead of it the emphasis is given to tu by the suffix te, as tute, and to this again by the addition of met, as tutemet. The accus. and ablat. singular of these pronouns admit a reduplication, meme, tete, sese; of sui alone it is used in the plural also.

The contracted form of the dative, mi for mihi (like nil for nihil) is frequently found in poetry, but rarely in prose. The genitives mei, tui, sui, nostri, vestri, are properly genitives of the possessive pronouns meum, tuum, suum, nostrum, vestrum, for originally the neuters meum, tuum, \S^c c. were used in the sense of "my being," or of "as regards me, thee," &c. (the Greek $\tau \hat{o}$ $\ell \mu \delta \nu$), instead of the simple I, thou, &c. In like manner the genitives nostrum, vestrum, are properly the genitives of the possessives nostri and vestri. (See § 51.) The beginner may pass over the origin of these forms, since they are used as the real genitives of the personal pronouns; but he must be reminded of it in the construction of the gerund, § 660. Respecting the difference between nostri, vestri, and nostrum, vestrum, see § 431.

[§ 132.] 2. Declension of the demonstrative pronouns and ipse.

SINGULAR.		Plural.		
Nom.	& Voc. Hic, haec, hoc,	N_0	m. & Voc. hi, hae, haec,	
	this.		these.	
Gen.	hujus, of this.	Ge	n. horum, harum, horum,	
			of these.	
Dat.	$h\overline{uic}$ (or $h\breve{u}ic$), to this.	Da	t. his, to these.	
Acc.	hunc, hanc, hoc, this.	Ac	c. hos, has, haec, these.	
Abl.	hoc, hac, hoc, from this.	$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{b}$	l. his, from these.	

Note. The ancient form of this pronoun was hice, haece, hoce, in which we recognise the demonstrative ce, which when a word by itself appears in the form ecce. The cases ending in c arose from the omission of the e, which is still found in old Latin, e.g. hance legem, hace lege. (This explains the obsolete form haec for hae or haece in Terence. See Bentley on Ter. Andr. i. 1. 99.) In ordinary language the cases in s alone sometimes take the complete ce to render the demonstrative power more emphatic, e.g. hujusce, hosce. By adding the enclitic interrogative ne to ce or c, we obtain the interrogative hicine, haecine, hocine, &c.

The pronouns iste, ista, istud, and ille, illa, illud, are declined alike, and in the following manner:

SINGULAR.

PLURAL.

Nom. & Voc. ille, illa, illud, he, or that. Nom. & Voc. illi, illae, illa, they or those.

Gen. illīus.

Gen. illorum, illarum, illorum.

Dat. illi.
Acc. illum, illam, illud.

Dat. illis.
Acc. illos, illas, illa.

Abl. illo, illa, illo.

Abl. illis.

Note. Besides the forms iste, ista, istud, and ille, illa, illud, there exist in early Latin the forms istic, istacc, istoc or istuc, and illic, illaec, illoc or illuc, which with regard to inflection follow hic, haec, hoc, but occur only in the cases ending in c, except the dative, that is, in the accus. istunc, istanc, illunc, illanc; ablat. istōc, istāc, illōc, illāc; neut. plur. istaec, illaec. (Istuc and istaec sometimes occur even in Cicero.) Priscian regards these forms as contractions from iste and ille with hic, but it probably arose from the addition of the demonstrative ce according to the analogy of hic, for in early Latin we find also istace, istisce, illace, illisce, illosce, illasce, though very rarely. By means of the connecting vowel i, both c and the complete ce may be united with the interrogative enclitic ne, e.g. istucine, istocine, illicine, illancine, istoscine.

Illi and isti are obsolete forms of the genitive for illius and istius, and the dative istae, illae, for isti, illi; and the nom. plur. fem. istaec, illaec, for

istae, illae. (See Bentley on Terence, Hec. iv. 2. 17.)

Virgil uses olli as a dative sing. and nom. plur., and Cicero, in an antique formula (De Leg. ii. 9.), the plural olla and ollos, from an ancient form ollus.

Ipse (in the ancient language ipsus), ipsa, ipsum, is declined like ille, except that the neuter is ipsum and not ipsud.

Note. This pronoun is called adjunctive because it is usually joined to other nouns and pronouns. In connection with some cases of is, viz. eo, ea, eum, eam, it loses the i in early Latin; thus we find eapse (nom. and ablat.), eopse, eumpse, eampse, in Plautus; and in Cicero the compound reapse = re ipsa, or re $e\bar{a}$ $ips\bar{a}$, in fact, is of common occurrence. The suffix pte in possessive pronouns is of a similar kind.

SINGULAR.

PLURAL.

Nom. is, ea, id, he, she, it, or that.

Nom. ii (ei), eae, ea, they or those.

Gen. ejus. Dat. ei. Gen. eorum, earum, eorum. Dat. iis (eis).

Acc. eum, eam, id.

Acc. eos, eas, ea.

Abl. eo, ea, eo.

Abl. iis (eis).

By the addition of the suffix dem we form from $is - \bar{\imath}dem$, eadem, idem (as it were isdem, eadem, iddem), which is declined in the other cases exactly like the simple is, ea, id. In the accusative eundem and eandem are preferable to eumdem,

Univ Carl - Distrand by Wrotosoft is

eamdem, and in like manner in the genitive plur. eorundem, earundem.

Note. Eae as a dative singular feminine for ei, and ibus and eabus for iis, are obsolete forms. The plural ei is rare, and eidem is not to be found at all. In the dative and ablative plural, too, eis and eisdem are not as common as iis, iisdem. It must, however, be observed that iidem and iisdem were always pronounced in poetry, and therefore probably in the early prose also, as if they had only one i; but whether it was ever written with one i cannot be determined, on account of the fluctuation of the MSS. In most passages, however, only one i is written. In what manner ii and iis were dealt with cannot be ascertained from the poets, because they dislike the pronoun is in general, and more particularly these cases of it, for which they use the corresponding forms of hic (see § 702.); but Priscian (p. 737., and Super xii. vers. p. 1268.) asserts that in this word, as in dii, diis, the double i was formerly regarded in poetry as one syllable, and that in his time it still continued to be thus pronounced.

By composition with ecce or en (behold! the French voilà), we obtain the following expressions, which were of frequent use in ordinary life: eccum, eccam, eccos, eccas; eccillum or ellum, ellam, ellos, ellas; eccistam.

[§ 133.] 3. Declension of the relative pronoun, qui, quae, quod.

SINGULAR.

PLURAL.

Nom. Qui, quae, quod, who or which.

Nom. qui, quae, quae, who or which.

Gen. cujus (quojus, obsol.), of whom.

Gen. quorum, quarum, quorum.

Dat. $c\overline{u}i$ or $c\underline{v}i$ (quoi, obsol.),

Dat. quibus.

to whom.

Acc. quem, quam, quod, whom. Acc. quos, quas, quae.

Abl. quo, qua, quo, from whom. Abl. quibus.

Note. An ancient ablat. singular for all genders was qui. Cicero uses it with cum appended to it, quicum for quocum (§ 324.), when an indefinite person is meant, and when he does not refer to any definite person mentioned before (compare the examples in §§ 561. and 568.). Quicum for quacum is found in Virgil, Aen. xi. 822. Otherwise the form qui for quo occurs in good prose only in the sense of "in what manner?" or "how?" as an interrogative or relative, e.g. qui fit? how does it happen? qui convenit? qui sciebas? que hoc probari potest cuiquam? qui tibi id facere licuit? qui ista intellecta sint, debeo discere, &c., and in the peculiar phrase with uti: habeo qui utar, est qui utamur (I have something to live upon), in Cicero. Instead of quibus in the relative sense, there is an ancient form quis, or queis (pronounced like quis), which is of frequent occurrence in late prose writers also.

[§ 134.] There are two interrogative pronouns, quis, quid? and qui, quae, quod? the latter of which is quite the same in form as

Univ Gain - Digitized by Microsoft

the relative pronoun, and the former differs from it only by its forms quis and quid. The interrogatives quisnam, quidnam? and quinam, quaenam, quodnam? express a more lively or emphatic question than the simple words, and the nam answers to the English "pray."

Note. The difference between the two interrogative pronouns as observed in good prose is, that quis and quid are used as substantives, and qui, quae, quod as adjectives, and this is the invariable rule for quid and quod, e.g. quod facinus commisit? what crime has he committed? not quid facinus, but we may say quid facinoris? Quis signifies "what man?" or "who?" and applies to both sexes; qui signifies "which man?" But in dependent interrogative sentences these forms are often confounded, quis being used for the adjective qui, and vice versâ qui for quis. We do not, however, consider quis to be used for qui in cases where quis is placed in apposition with substantives denoting a human being, as in quis amicus, quis hospes, quis miles, for in the same manner quisquam is changed into an adjective, although there is no doubt of its substantive character, e. g. Cic. In Verr. v. 54.: quasi enim ulla possit esse causa, cur hoc, cuiquam civi Romano jure accidat (viz. ut virgis caedatur). But there are some other passages in which quis is used for qui, not only in poets, such as Virgil, Georg. ii. 178 .: quis color, but in prose writers, e. g. Liv. v. 40.: quisve locus; Tacit. Annal. i. 48.: quod caedis initium, quis finis. In Cicero, however, it is thus used with very few exceptions (such as, Pro Dejot. 13.: quis casus) only before a word beginning with a vowel, e. g. quis esset tantus fructus, quis iste tantus casus. Qui, on the other hand, is used for quis, partly for the same reason of avoiding a disagreeable sound, when the word following begins with s, as in Cic. Divin. 6.: nescimus qui sis; c. 12.: qui sis considera; Ad Att. iii. 10.: non possum oblivisci qui fuerim, non sentire qui sim; but partly without any such reason, as in Cic. În Verr. v. 64.: qui esset ignorabas? Pro Rosc. Am. 37.: dubitare qui indicarit; In Verr. v. 59.: interrogetur Flavius, quinam fuerit L. Herennius. Cicero In Catil, ii. 3.: rideo qui habeat Etruriam, is an incorrect reading, and in Pro Rosc. Am. 34.: qui primus Ameriam nuntiat? the qui must probably be changed into quis. Thus much remains certain, that the rule respecting the use of quis and qui cannot be denied even in indirect questions.

[§ 135.] The indefinite pronoun aliquis also has originally two different forms: aliquis, neut. aliquid which is used as a substantive, and aliqui, aliqua, aliquod. But aliqui is obsolete, although it occurs in some passages of Cicero, e.g. De Off. iii. 7.: aliqui casus; Tuscul. v. 21.: terror aliqui; Acad. iv. 26.: anularius aliqui; De Re Publ. i. 44.: aliqui dux; ibid. iii. 16.: aliqui scrupus in animis haeret, and a few other passages which are less certain. In ordinary language aliquis alone is used, both as a substantive and as an adjective; but in the neuter the two forms aliquid and aliquod exist, and the difference between them must be observed. The femin. singul. and the neuter plur. are both aliqua, and the form aliquae is the femin. nom. plural.

[§ 136.] But there is also a shorter form of the indefinite pronoun, without the characteristic prefix ali, and exactly like the interrogative pronoun: quis, quid, as a substantive, and qui, quae, quod, as an adjective. This form is used, in good prose, only after the conjunctions si, nisi, ne, num, and after relatives, such as quo, quanto, and quum. This rule is commonly expressed thus: the prefix ali in aliquis and its derivatives aliquo, aliquando, and alicubi is rejected when si, nisi, ne, num, quo, quanto, or quum, precede; e.g. Consul videat, ne quid respublica detrimenti capiat; quaeritur, num quod officium aliud alio majus sit; sometimes another word is inserted between; e.g. Cic. De Orat.ii. 41.; si aurum cui commonstratum vellem; Pro Tull. § 17.: si quis quem imprudens occiderit; Philip.i.7.: si cui quid ille promisisset. Some consider the combination of this indefinite quis or qui with the conjunctions si, ne, num, and with the interrogative syllable en (ec) as peculiar and distinct words, as siquis or siqui, numquis or numqui, although properly speaking, ecquis or ecqui alone can be regarded as one word, for en by itself has no meaning. (See § 351.) For the particulars respecting the use of this abridged form, and the difference between it and the complete one, see Chap. LXXXIV. C. With regard to the declension of these compounds it must be observed, 1) that in the nominative the forms quis and qui are perfectly equivalent, which is accounted for by what has been said about aliquis; hence we may say both si qui, ecqui, and si quis, ecquis; 2) that in the femin. singul. and the neuter plur. the form qua is used along with quae, likewise according to the analogy of aliquis. We may therefore say siqua, nequa, numqua, ecqua, but also si quae, ne quae, num quae, ecquae.

Note. Which of the two is preferable, is a disputed point. Priseian (v. p. 565 and 569.) mentions only siqua, nequa, numqua, as compounds of aliqua. As the MSS of prose writers vary, we must rely on the authority of the poets, who are decidedly in favour of the forms in a, with a few exceptions, such as si quae, the neut. plur. in Propert. i. 16. 45., and the femin. sing., according to Bentley's just emendation, in Terent. Heaut. Prol. 44., and Horat. Serm. ii. 6. 10. (Si quae tibi cura, in Ovid, Trist. i. 1. 115., must be changed into siqua est.) Respecting ecqua and ecquae, see my note on Cic. In Verr. iv. 11.

[§ 137.] The compounds of qui and quis, viz. quidam, quispiam, quilibet, quivis, quisque, and unusquisque, are declined like the relative, but have a double form in the neuter singular,

quiddam and quoddam, unumquidque and unumquodque, according as they are used as substantives or as adjectives. (See above, § 129.) Quisquam (with a few exceptions in Plautus) is used only as a substantive, for ullus supplies its place as an adjective, and the regular form of the neuter therefore is quidquam (also written quicquam). It has neither feminine nor plural. Quicunque is declined like qui, quae, quod, and has only the form quodcunque for the neuter; quisquis, on the other hand, has only quidquid (also written quicquid), being generally used in these two forms only as a substantive. The other forms of this double relative are not so frequent as those formed by the suffix cunque.

Note. In Cicero, Pro Rosc. Am. 34., and In Verr. v. 41., we find cuicuimodi instead of cujuscujusmodi, of what kind soever. See my note on the latter passage.

[§ 138.] Each of the two words of which unusquisque is composed is declined separately, as gen. uniuscujusque, dat. unicuique, acc. unumquemque, &c.

CHAP. XXXVI.

DECLENSION OF THE POSSESSIVE PRONOUNS AND OF PRONOUNINALS.

[§ 139.] 1. The possessive pronouns meus, mea, meum; tuus, tua, tuum; suus, sua, suum; noster, nostra, nostrum; vester, vestra, vestrum, are declined entirely like adjectives of three terminations. Meus makes the vocative of the masculine gender mi, as O mi pater! It is only in late writers that mi is used also for the feminine and neuter.

Note. The ablative singular of these pronouns, especially the forms suo, sua, frequently takes the suffix pte, which answers to our word "own;" e.g. in Cicero, suapte manu, suopte pondere; in Plautus, meopte and tuopte ingenio; in Terence, nostrapte culpa, &c. All the cases of suus may, with the same sense, take the suffix met, which is usually followed by ipse; e.g. Liv. vi. 36.: intra suamet ipsum moenia compulere; v. 38.: terga caesa suomet ipsorum certamine impedientium fugam; xxvii. 28.: Hannibal suāmet ipse fraude captus abiit. The expression of Sallust, Jug. 85., meamet facta dicere, stands alone.

- 2. The possessive pronoun cujus, a, um, has, besides the nominative, only the accusative singular, cujum, cujum, cujum; cuja, the ablative singular feminine, and cujae, cujas, the nominative and accusative plural feminine; but all these forms occur only in early Latin and legal phraseology.
- 3. Nostras, vestras, and cujas (i. e. belonging to our, your nation, family, or party), are regularly declined after the third declension as adjectives of one termination: genitive nostrātis, dative nostrāti, &c., plural nostrates, and neuter nostratia; e. g. verba nostratia, in Cic. Ad Fam. ii. 11.
- [§ 140.] 4. The peculiar declension of the pronominal adjectives uter, utra, utrum; alter, altera, alterum; alius (neut. aliud), ullus, and nullus, has already been explained in § 49.

Nom.	uter,	Gen.	utrīus,	Dat.	utri.
	neuter,		$neutr\bar{\imath}us,$		neutri.
	alter		$alter \~ius,$		$altreve{e}ri.$
	alius (neut. aliud),		$al\bar{\imath}us$,		alĭi.
	ullus,		$ull \bar{\imath} us,$		ulli.
	nullus,		$null ar{\imath} us,$		nulli.

Note. In early Latin there occur several instances of the regular formation of the genit. i, ae, and of the dative o, ae, and some are met with even in the best writers. Cic. De Div. ii. 13.: aliae pecudis; De Nat. Deor. ii. 26.: altero fratri; Nepos, Eum. 1.: alterae alae; Caes. Bell. Gall. v. 27.: alterae legioni; Cic. Pro Rosc. Com. 16.: nulli consilii; Cacs. Bell. Gall. vi. 13.: nullo consilio; Propert. i. 20. 25.: nullae curae; ibid. iii. 9. 57.: toto orbi. According to Priscian, the regular form of neuter was even more common than the other, and in a grammatical sense we find, for instance, generis neutri; but neutrius is nevertheless preferable.

The compound alteruter is either declined in both words, genitive alteriusutrius, accusative alterumutrum, or only in the latter, as alterutri, alterutrum. The former method seems to have been customary chiefly in the genitive, as we now generally read in Cicero, for the other cases easily admitted of an elision. The other compounds with uter, viz. uterque, uterlibet, utervis, and utercunque, are declined entirely like uter, the suffixes being added to the cases without any change. The words unus, solus, and totus are declined like ullus.

[§ 141.] Note 1. Alter signifies the other, that is, one of two; alius, another, that is, one of many. But it must be observed, that where we use another to express general relations, the Latins use alter; e.g. detrahere alteri sui commodi causa contra naturam est, because in reality only two persons are here considered as in relation to each other.

Note 2. Uterque signifies both, that is, each of two, or one as well as the other, and is therefore plural in its meaning. The real plural utrique is used only when each of two parties consists of several individuals; e.g. Macedones-Tyrii, uni-alteri, and both together, utrique. But even good prose writers now and then use the plural utrique in speaking of only two persons or things, as Nepos, Timol. 2.: utrique Dionysii; Curtius, vii. 19.: utraeque acies; Liv. xlii. 54.: utraque oppida; and xxx. 8.: utraque cornua; but this is altogether opposed to the practice of Cicero. (See my note on Cic. in Verr. III. 60.)

CHAP. XXXVII.

THE VERB.

- [§ 142.] 1. THE verb is that part of speech by which it is declared that the subject of a sentence does or suffers something. This most general difference between doing which originates in the subject, and suffering which presupposes the doing or acting of another person or thing, is the origin of the two main forms of verbs, yiz. the active and passive (activum et passivum).
- 2. The active form comprises two kinds of verbs: transitive or active properly so called, and intransitive or neuter verbs. The difference between them is this: an intransitive verb expresses a condition or action which is not communicated from the agent to any other object; e.g. I walk, I stand, I sleep; whereas the transitive verb expresses an action which affects another person or thing (which in grammar is called the object and is commonly expressed by the accusative); e.g. I love thee, I read the letter. As far as form is concerned this difference is important, for neuter verbs cannot have a passive voice, whereas every transitive or active verb (in its proper sense) must have a passive voice, since the object of the action is the subject of the suffering; e. g. I love thee - thou art loved; I read the letter—the letter is read.
- [§ 143.] Note 1. It is not meant that every transitive verb must have an object or accusative, but only that an object may be joined with it. It is obvious, that in certain cases, when no object is added, transitive verbs take the sense of intransitive ones. Thus edit, amat, when without an accusative, may be considered to be used for coenat and est in amore, and with regard to their meaning they are intransitive, though in grammar they remain transitive, since aliquid may be understood. In some cases JAN CAP DIGIT SHOWN IN THE PERIOD

the difference between the transitive and intransitive meaning is expressed, even in the formation of the verbs themselves, as in jacere, iacere; pendere, pendere; albare, albere; fugare, fugere; placare, placere; sedare, sedere, and some others of the same kind. Assuesco and consuesco (I accustom myself) have assumed an intransitive meaning, the pronoun being omitted, and the new forms assuefacio and consuefacio were devised for the transitive sense. In the same manner we have the intransitive calere, patere, stupere, and the transitive calefacere, patefacere and stupefacere.

[§ 144.] Note 2. When an accusative is found with a neuter verb, the neuter verb has either assumed a transitive meaning, and then has also a passive voice, or the accusative is used in the sense of an adverb, and is to be accounted for by some ellipsis, or by a licence of speech. (Concerning

both, see § 383.)

Sometimes however a passive voice is formed from real neuter verbs, but only in the infinitive and in the third person singular, and the verb becomes impersonal, i.e. it is without any distinct subject: for instance, stari jubet, he orders (one) to stand; favetur tibi, favour is shown to thee; via excessum est, (people) went out of the way; ventum est, itum est, itur, eatur, ibitur. Thus, when in comedy the question is asked quid agitur? the humorous answer is statur, or vivitur. When the subject is to be added, it is done by means of ab, as in Livy, Roman frequenter migratum est a parentibus raptarum, which is equivalent to parentes migraverunt; and in Cicero, ejus orationi vehementer ab omnibus reclamatum est, and occurritur autem nobis et quidem a doctis et eruditis, equivalent to omnes reclamarunt and docti occurrunt.

[§ 145.] Note 3. With transitive verbs the subject itself may become the object, e.g. moveo, I move, and moveo me, I move myself. It often occurs in Latin that the pronoun is omitted, and the transitive is thus changed into an intransitive. The verb abstineo admits of all three constructions; transitive, as in manus ab aliqua re abstineo, I keep my hands from a thing; with the pronoun of the same person, abstineo me, and intransitive, abstineo aliqua re, I abstain from a thing. There are some other verbs of this class, consisting chiefly of such as denote change; e.g., vertere and convertere, mutare, flectere and deflectere, inclinare; hence we may say, for instance, inclino rem, sol se declinat; and in an intransitive sense, dies, acies inclinat; animus inclinat ad pacem faciendam; verto rem, verto me; detrimentum in bonum vertit, ira in rabiem vertit; fortuna rei publicae mutavit; mores populi Romani magnopere mutaverunt. In like manner the following verbs are used both as transitive and intransitive, though with greater restrictions: augere, abolere, decoquere, durare, incipere, continuare, insinuare, laxare, remittere, lavare, movere (chiefly with terra, to quake, in an intrans. sense, though now and then in other connections also), praecipitare, ruere, suppeditare, turbare, vibrare. The compounds of vertere, devertere, divertere and revertere,—are used only in this reflective sense, but occur also in the passive with the same meaning.

[§ 146.] We must here observe that the passive of many words has not only a properly passive meaning, but also a reflective one, as in crucior, I torment myself; delector, I delight myself; fallor, I deceive myself; feror, I throw myself (upon something); moveor and commoveor, I move or excite myself; homines effunduntur, men rush (towards a place); vehicula franguntur, the vehicles break; lavor, I bathe (myself); inclinor, I incline; mutor, I

alter (myself); vertor, but especially de-di- and re-vertor. Many of these passive verbs are classed among the deponents, the active from which they are formed being obsolete, or because the intransitive meaning greatly differs.

[§ 147.] 3. It is a peculiarity of the Latin language, that it has a class of verbs of a passive form, but of an active (either transitive or intransitive) signification. They are called deponents (laying aside, as it were, their passive signification), e. g. consolor, I console; imitor, I imitate; fateor, I confess; sequor, I follow; mentior, I lie; morior, I die. These verbs, even when they have a transitive signification, cannot have a passive voice, because there would be no distinct form for it.

Note. Many deponents are in fact only passives, either of obsolete actives, or of such as are still in use. The latter can be regarded as deponents only in so far as they have acquired a peculiar signification: e.g. gravor signifies originally "I am burdened," hence, "I do a thing unwillingly," "I dislike," "I hesitate;" vehor, I am carried, or I ride, equo, on horseback, curru, in a carriage. Several passives, as was remarked above, have acquired the power of deponents from their reflective signification; e.g. pascor, I feed myself; versor, I turn myself, and thence I find myself, or I am. The following deponents are in this manner derived from obsolete actives; laetor, I rejoice; proficiscor, I get myself forward, I travel; vescor, I feed myself, I eat. With regard to the greater number of deponents, however, we are obliged to believe that the Latin language, like the Greek with its verba media, in forming these middle verbs, followed peculiar laws which are unknown to us. It must be especially observed, that many deponents of the first conjugation are derived from nouns, and that they express being that which the noun denotes: e.g. ancillor, architector, argutor, aucupor, auguror, &c., as may be seen from the list in § 207.

[§ 148.] 4. Before proceeding we must notice the following special irregularities. The three verbs fio, I become, or am made, vapulo, I am beaten, and veneo, I am sold or for sale, have a passive signification, and may be used as the passives of facio, verbero, and vendo, but, like all neuter verbs, they have the active form, except that fio makes the perfect tense factus sum, so that form and meaning agree. They are called neutralia passiva. The verbs audeo, fido, gaudeo, and soleo have the passive form with an active signification in the participle of the preterite, and in the tenses formed from it: as ausus, fisus, gavisus, solitus sum, eram, &c. They may therefore be called semideponentia, which is a more appropriate name than neutro-passiva, as they are usually termed, since the fact of their being neuters cannot come here into consideration. To

these we must add, but merely with reference to the participle of the preterite, the verbs jurare, coenare, prandere, and potare, of which the participles juratus, coenatus, pransus, and potus, have, like those of deponents, the signification:— one that has sworn, dined, breakfasted and drunk. The same is the case with some other intransitive verbs, which as such ought not to have a participle of the preterite at all; but still we sometimes find conspiratus and coalitus, and frequently adultus and obsoletus (grown up and obsolete) in an active, but intransitive sense, and the poets use cretus (from cresco), like natus.

CHAP. XXXVIII.

MOODS. — TENSES.

[§ 149.] There are four general modes (moods, modi), in which an action or condition expressed by a verb may be represented:
—1) Simply as a fact, though the action or condition may differ in regard to its relation and to time: this is the *Indicative*;
2) As an action or condition which is merely conceived by the mind, though with the same differences as the indicative, Conjunctive, or Subjunctive; 3) As a command, Imperative; 4) Indefinitely, without defining any person by whom, or the time at which, the action is performed, although the relation of the action is defined, Infinitive.

[§ 150.] To these moods we may add the Participle which is, in form, an adjective, but is more than an adjective by expressing at the same time the different relations of the action or suffering, that is, whether it is still lasting or terminated. A third participle, that of the future, expresses an action which is going to be performed, or a condition which is yet to come. The Gerund, which is in form like the neuter of the participle passive in dus, supplies by its cases the place of the infinitive present active. The two Supines are cases of verbal substantives, and likewise serve in certain connections (which are explained in the syntax) to supply the cases for the infinitive.

When an action or condition is to be expressed as a definite and individual fact, either in the indicative or subjunctive, we must know whether it belongs to the past, the present, or the future, or in one word, its time, and time is expressed in a verb by its *Tenses*. We must further know its position in the series of actions with which it is connected, that is, the relation of the action, viz. whether it took place while another was going on, or whether it was terminated before another began. If we connect these considerations, we shall obtain the following six tenses of the verb:—

An action not terminated in the present time; I write, scribo: Present tense.

An action not terminated in the past time; I wrote, scribebam: Imperfect tense.

An action not terminated in the future; I shall write, scribam: Future tense.

An action terminated in the present time; I have written, scripsi: Perfect tense.

An action terminated in the past time; I had written, scripseram: Pluperfect tense.

An action terminated in the future; I shall have written, scripsero: Future perfect tense.

The same number of tenses occurs in the passive voice, but those which express the terminated state of an action can be formed only by circumlocution, with the participle and the auxiliary verb esse: scribor, scribebar, scribar, scriptus sum, scriptus eram, scriptus ero. The subjunctive has no future tenses: respecting the manner in which their place is supplied, see § 496. The infinitive by itself does not express time, but only the relation of an action, that is, whether it is completed or not completed. By circumlocution we obtain also an infinitive for an action or a suffering which is yet to come.

CHAP. XXXIX.

NUMBERS. - PERSONS.

[§ 151.] THE Latin verb has two numbers, singular and plural, and in each number three persons. These three persons, *I*, the one speaking, *thou*, the one spoken to, and *he* or *she*, the one spoken of, are not expressed in Latin by special words, but are

May Call Divinional by Wichter Rev.

implied in the forms of the verb itself. The same is the case in the plural with we, you, they, and these personal pronouns are added to the verb only when the person is to be indicated in an emphatic manner.

The following is a general scheme of the changes in termination, according to the persons, both in the indicative and subjunctive:—

In the Active.

$\mathbf{Person:}$	1.	2.	3.
Sing.		s,	t.
Plur.	mus,	tis,	nt

The termination of the first person singular cannot be stated in a simple or general way, since it sometimes ends in o, sometimes in m, and sometimes in i (see the following Chapter). In the second person singular the perfect indicative forms an exception, for it ends in i. Respecting the vowel which precedes these terminations, nothing general can be said, except that it is a in the imperfect and pluperfect indicative.

In the Passive.

Person:	1.	2.	3.
Sing.	r,	ris,	tur.
Plur.	mur,	mini,	ntur

This, however, does not apply to those tenses of the passive, which are formed by a combination of the participle with a tense of the verb esse.

The imperative in the active and passive has two forms, viz. for that which is to be done at once, and for that which is to be done in future, or an imperative present and an imperative future. Neither of them has a first person, owing to the nature of the imperative. The imperative present has only a second person, both in the singular and plural; the imperative future has the second and the third persons, but in the singular they have both the same form, to in the active, and tor in the passive voice. The imperative future passive, on the other hand, has no second person plural, which is supplied by the future of the indicative, e. g. laudabimini.

CHAP. XL.

FORMATION OF THE TENSES.

[§ 152.] 1. THERE are in Latin four conjugations, distinguished by the infinitive mood, which ends thus:—

1. āre. 2. ēre. 3. ĕre. 4. īre.

The present indicatives of these conjugations end in:

1. o, ās. 2. ĕo, ēs. 3. o, ĭs. 4. ĭo, īs.

Note. Attention must be paid to the difference of quantity in the termination of the second person in the third and fourth conjugations, in order to distinguish the presents of the verbs in io, which follow the third conjugation, e.g. fodio, fugio, capio (see Chap. XLVI.), from those verbs which follow the fourth, such as audio, erudio. This difference between the long and short i remains also in the other persons, with the exception of the third singular, which is short in all the four conjugations; e.g. legimus, legitis; audimus, auditis; for when i is followed by another vowel, it is short according to the general rule that one vowel before another is short. The long a was mentioned above as the characteristic of the first conjugation, but the verb dăre is an exception, for the a here is not a mere part of the termination as in laudāre, but belongs to the stem of the word. The syllable da in this verb is short throughout, dămus, dătis, dăbam, &c., with the only exception of the monosyllabic forms dās and dā.

[§ 153.] 2. In order to obtain the forms of the other tenses, we must further know the perfect and the supine; for the three tenses of the completed action in the active are derived from the perfect; and the participle perfect passive, which is necessary for the formation of the same tenses in the passive, is derived from the supine. These four principal forms, viz. Present, Perfect, Supine, and Infinitive, end thus:—

Praes.		Perf.	Supine.	Infinit.
1.	0,	$\bar{a}vi,$	$\bar{a}tum,$	$\bar{a}re$
2.	ĕo,	ŭi,	itum,	$\bar{e}re.$
3.	0,	i,	tum,	ĕre.
4.	ĭo,	īvi,	ītum,	ire.

Note. We have here followed the example of all Latin grammars and of the Roman grammarians themselves, in regarding the supine as one of the main forms, that must be known in order to derive others from it. But the beginner must beware of supposing that the two participles, of the perfect passive and the future active, are derived in the same manner from the supine as, for example, the pluperfect is from the perfect; and that the supine exists in all the verbs to which one is attributed in the dictionary or grammar. The whole derivation is merely formal; and the supine in fact occurs very rarely. But its existence is presupposed on account of the two participles which do occur, in order to show the changes which the stem of the verb undergoes. If we were to mention the participle of the perfect passive instead of the supine, we should do little better, since it is wanting in all intransitive verbs, though they may have the participle future active; and again, if we were to mention the future participle, we should find the same difficulty, for it cannot be proved to exist in all verbs, and in addition to this we ought not to mention among the main forms of the verb one which is obviously a derivative form. In dictionaries it would be necessary to mention, first the participle perfect, or where it does not occur, the participle future active; but if, as is the case in a grammar, we have to show in one form that which is the basis of several changes, a third form is necessary, and it is best to acquiesce in the supine. In making use of the list which will be given hereafter, the beginner must always bear in mind, that the supine is scarcely ever mentioned for its own sake, but merely to enable him to form those two participles correctly.

- 3. With regard to the first, second, and fourth conjugations, no particular rule is needed as to how the perfect and supine are formed. According to the above scheme they are:—
 - 1. laud-o, laud-avi, laud-atum, laud-are.
 - 2. mon-eo, mon-ui, mon-ĭtum, mon-ēre.
 - 4. aud-is, aud-ivi, aud-ītum, aud-ire.

[§ 154.] 4. But in the third conjugation the formation of the perfect and supine presents some difficulty. The following general rules therefore must be observed (for the details, see the list of verbs of the third conjugation). When the termination of the infinitive $\check{e}re$, or the o of the present tense, is preceded by a vowel, the forms of the perfect and supine are simply those mentioned above, that is, i and tum are added to the stem of the verb, or to that portion of the verb which remains after the removal of the termination, e. g. $acu\check{e}re$, $ac\check{u}-o$, $ac\check{u}-i$, $ac\bar{u}-tum$. The vowel becomes long in the supine, even when it is otherwise short. So also in minuo, statuo, tribuo, and solvo, $sol\bar{u}tum$, for v before a consonant is a vowel.

But when the o of the present is preceded by a consonant, the perfect ends in si. The s in this termination is changed into x when it is preceded by c, g, h, or qu (which is equal to c); when it is preceded by b, this letter is changed into p; if d precedes, one of the two consonants must give way, and either the d is dropped, which is the ordinary practice, or the s; e. g. duco, duxi; rego, rexi; traho, traxi; coquo, coxi; scribo, scripsi; claudo, clausi, but defendo, defendi. Verbs in po pre-

Univident - Digitized by Microsoft W

sent no difficulty: carpo, carpsi; sculpo, sculpsi. That lego makes legi, bibo, bibi, and emo, emi, is irregular according to what was remarked above: but figo, fixi; nubo, nupsi; demo, demsi (or according to § 12. dempsi), are perfectly in accordance with the rule.

- 5. The supine adds tum to the stem of the verb, with some change of the preceding consonants: b is changed into p; g, h, and qu into c; instead of dtum in the verbs in do, we find sum, e. g. scribo, scriptum; rego, rectum; traho, tractum; coquo, coctum (verbs in co remain unchanged, as dictum, ductum); defendo, defensum; claudo, clausum. The supine in xum is a deviation from the rule, as in figo, fixum, and so also the throwing out of the n of the stem, as in pingo, pictum; stringo, strictum; although this is not done without reason; for in several verbs of the third conjugation the n is only an increase to strengthen the form of the present, and does not originally belong to the root; it is therefore thrown out both in the perfect and in the supine, as in vinco, fundo, relinquovici, victum; fusi, fusum; reliqui, relictum; or in the supine alone, as in the two verbs mentioned before, and in fingo, sup. fictum. Of the words in which o is preceded by l, m, n, r, or s, only a few in mo follow the ordinary rule; e.g. como, demo; perf. compsi, dempsi; sup. comptum, demptum: all the others have mixed forms.
- 6. Two irregularities are especially common in the formation of the perfect of the third conjugation. The first is the addition of a syllable at the beginning of the verb, called reduplication, in which the first consonant of the verb is repeated either with the vowel which follows it, or with an ĕ, e. g. tundo, tutŭdi; tendo, tetendi; cano, cecĭni; curro, cucurri; fallo, fefelli; parco, peperci. In the compounds of such words the reduplication is not used, except in those of do, sto, disco, posco, and in some of curro. The second irregularity is that many verbs of the third conjugation form their perfect like those of the second, just as many verbs of the second make that tense like those of the third. This is the case especially with many verbs in lo and mo, as alo, alui, alĭtum (altum); molo, molui, molĭtum; gemo, gemui, gemĭtum. Concerning this and other special irregularities, see the list of verbs in Chap. L.

[§ 155.] 7. The derivation of the other tenses and forms of a verb from these four (present, perfect, supine, and infinitive),

which are supposed to be known, is easy and without irregularity in the detail.

From the infinitive active are formed:

- a) The imperative passive, which has in all conjugations the same form as the infinitive active.
- b) The imperative active, by dropping the termination re. It thus ends in conjugation, 1. in \bar{a} , 2. \bar{e} , 3. \check{e} , 4. $\bar{\imath}$, as ama, mone, lege, audi.
- c) The imperfect subjunctive active, by the addition of m, so that it ends in the four conjugations in \bar{a} rem, \bar{e} rem, \bar{e} rem, \bar{e} rem, \bar{e} rem, \bar{e} rem, and \bar{e} rem, \bar{e} rem,
- \overline{d}) The imperfect subjunctive passive, by the addition of r, as in $am\overline{a}rer$, $mon\overline{e}rer$, $leg\breve{e}rer$, $aud\overline{i}rer$.
- e) The infinitive present passive, by changing e into i, e. g. amari, moneri, audiri, but in the third conjugation the whole termination ĕre is changed into i, as in legĕre, legi.

From the present indicative active are derived:

- a) The present indicative passive, by the addition of r, as amor, moneor, legor, audior.
- b) The present subjunctive active, by changing the o into em in the first conjugation, and in the three others into am; as, amem, moneam, legam, audiam.
- c) The present subjunctive passive, by changing the m of the present subjunctive active into r; as amer, monear, legar, audiar.
- d) The imperfect indicative active, by changing o into abam in the first conjugation, in the second into bam, and in the third and fourth into ebam. A change of the m into r makes the imperfect indicative passive, e. g. amabam, amabar; monebam, monebar; legebam, legebar; audiebam, audiebar.
- e) The first future active, by changing o into abo in the first conjugation, in the second into bo, and in the third and fourth into am. From this is formed the first future passive by adding r in the first and second conjugations, and by changing m into r in the third and fourth; e. g. laudabo, laudabor; monebo, monebor; legam, legar; audiam, audiar.
- f) The participle present active, by changing o in the first conjugation into ans, in the second into ns, and in the third and fourth into ens; e. g. laudo, laudans; moneo, monens; lego, legens; audio, audiens. From this participle is derived the

participle future passive, by changing ns into ndus; e. g. amandus, monendus, legendus, audiendus; and the gerund: amandum, monendum, legendum, audiendum.

From the perfect indicative active are derived:

- a) The pluperfect indicative, by changing i into ĕram: laudaveram, monueram, legeram, audiveram.
- b) The future perfect, by changing i into ĕro: laudavero, monuero, legero, audivero.
- c) The perfect subjunctive*, by changing i into ĕrim: laudaverim, monuerim, legerim, audiverim.
- d) The pluperfect subjunctive, by changing i into issem (originally essem): laudavissem, monuissem, legissem, audivissem.
- e) The perfect infinitive active, by changing i into isse (originally esse): laudavisse, monuisse, legisse, audivisse.

From the supine are derived:

- a) The participle perfect passive, by changing um into us, a, um: laudatus, a, um; monitus, a, um; lectus, a, um; auditus, a, um.
- b) The participle future active, by changing um into ūrus, a, um: laudaturus, a, um; moniturus, a, um; lecturus, a, um; auditurus, a, um.

By means of the former participle we form the tenses of the passive, which express a completed action; and by means of the participle future we may form a new conjugation expressing actions which are to come. See Chap. XLIII.

CHAP. XLI.

THE VERB ESSE.

[§ 156.] The verb esse, to be, is called an auxiliary verb, because it is necessary for the formation of some tenses of the passive voice. It is also called a verb substantive, because it is the most general expression of existence. Its conjugation is

Univ Cali - Diginsou an gherassh o

^{*} We use this name because the tense is most commonly used in the sense of a perfect subjunctive, although its form shows that it is in reality the subjunctive of the future perfect, the termination ĕro being changed into ĕrim.

very irregular, being made up of parts of two different verbs, the Greek εἰμί, ἐστί, ἔσομαι (from which sim and sum, est, eso or ero, were easily formed), and the obsolete fuo, the Greek φύω. The supine and gerund are wanting, but the inflection in the persons is regular.

INDICATIVE.

Subjunctive.

Sing. Sum, I am. ĕs, thou art.

est, he is.

Plur. sumus, we are. estis, ye are. sunt, they are.

Present.

Sing. Sim, I may be. sīs, thou mayst be. sit, he may be.

Plur. sīmus, we may be. sītis, ye may be. sint, they may be.

Imperfect.

Sing Essem, I might be. esses, thou mightst be. esset, he might be.

Plur. essemus, we might be. essetis, ye might be. essent, they might be.

Sing. Eram, I was. eras, thou wast. erat, he was.

Plur. erāmus, we were. erātis, ye were. erant, they were.

Future.

Instead of a subjunctive, the participle futurus is used with sim.

> Futurus sim, sis, &c. I may be about to be.

Sing. Ero, I shall be. eris, thou wilt be. erit, he will be.

Plur. erimus, we shall be. erĭtis, ye will be. erunt, they will be.

Perfect.

Sing. Fuĕrim, I may have been. fueris, thou mayst have been. fuerit, he may have been.

Plur. fuerīmus, we may have been. fuerītis, ye may have been. fuerint, they may have been.

Sing. Fui, I have been. fuisti, thou hast been. fuit, he has been.

Plur. fuïmus, we have been. fuistis, ye have been. fuērunt, } they have been.

Pluperfect.

Sing. Fuissem, I should, or would have been.

fuisses, thou shouldst, &c. fuisset, he should, &c.

Plur. fuissēmus, we should, &c. fuissētis, ye should, &c. fuissent, they should, &c.

Sing. Fueram, I had been.

fueras, thou hadst been. fuerat, he had been.

Plur. fuerāmus, we had been. fuerātis, ye had been. fuerant, they had been.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

No Subjunctive.

Future Perfect.

Sing. Fuero, I shall have been. fueris, thou wilt have been.

fuerit, he will have been.

Plur. fuerīmus, we shall have been.

fuerītis, ye will have been. fuerint, they will have been.

IMPERATIVE.

Present, Sing. Es, be thou.

Plur. este, be ye.

Future, Sing. Esto, thou shalt be. esto, he shall be.

Plur. estōte, ye shall be. sunto, they shall be.

Infinitive.

Present, state not terminated, esse, to be. Perfect, terminated, fuisse, to have been. Future, futurum (am, um) esse, or fore, to be about to be.

PARTICIPLES.

Present, not terminated (ens), being. Future, futūrus, a, um, one who is about to be.

Note. The participle ens is only used as a substantive in philosophical language (see above, § 78. in fin.), and also in the two compounds, absens and praesens.

The compounds absum, adsum, desum, insum, intersum, obsum, praesum, subsum, supersum, have the same conjugation as sum. Prosum inserts a dwhen pro is followed by e; e.g. prodes, prodest, &c. Possum, I can (from pot, for potis, and sum), has an irregular conjugation. (See the irregular verbs, § 211.)

The *i* in simus and sitis is long, and the *e* in eram, ero, &c., is short, as is indicated above in the conjugation itself, and also in the compounds: prosimus, proděram, proděrant, proděrit, &c.

Siem, sies, siet, sient, and fuam, fuas, fuat, fuant (from the obsolete fuo), are antiquated forms for the corresponding persons of sim, and occur in the comic writers and in Lucretius. Instead of essem we have another form for the imperfect subjunctive, forem (likewise from fuo), in the singular and the third person plural. The infinitive fore belongs to the same root. Cicero rarely uses the form forem, but Livy frequently, especially in the sense of the conditional mood, "I should be." Other writers, especially the poets and Tacitus, use it in all respects like essem. The perfect fūvi, and the tenses derived from it, fūveram, fūvissem, fūvero, are other forms of fui, &c., and occur in the earliest poets; and in like manner we find, in the ancient language, escit, escunt, for erit and erunt.

URIU Cam - Digitizado ay Wienosan III

CHAP. XLII.

THE FOUR CONJUGATIONS.

[§ 157.] In the following table the terminations are separated from the root of the verb, which renders it easy to conjugate any other verb according to these models. The verb lego (see Chap. XL.) is irregular in the formation of its perfect, but it has been retained as an example of verbs of the third conjugation, because the very absence of any peculiar termination in the perfect is a safeguard against misunderstandings which might arise; for example, from duco, duxi; scribo, scripsi; or claudo, clausi.

I. ACTIVE VOICE.

First Conjugation.

Sing.		I love.
	am-ās,	thou lovest.

INDICATIVE.

am-at, he loves.

Plur. am-āmus, we love
am-ātis, ye love.
am-ant, they love.

Sing. am-ābam, I loved. am-abās. am-abat

am-abat. Plur. am-abāmus. am-abātis. am-abant.

Sing. am-ābo, I shall love. am-abis am-abit Plur. am-abimus.

Plur. am-abĭmus. am-abĭtis. am-abunt.

Sing. am-āvi, I have loved. am-avisti. am-avit.

Plur. am-avimus.
am-avistis.
am-avērunt (e).

Subjunctive.

Present.

Sing. Am-em, I may love. $am\text{-}\bar{e}s$, thou mayst love. am-et, he may love.

Plur. am-ēmus, we may love. am-ētis, ye may love. am-ent, they may love.

Imperfect.

Sing. am-ārem, I might love. am-arēs. am-aret. Plur. am-arēmus.

am-aretis.
am-arent.

Future.

Perfect.

Sing. am-avĕrim, I may have loved. am-averis. am-averit.

Plur. am-averīmus. am-averītis. am-averint.

Upin Calif - Dignized by Microsoft w

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Pluperfect.

Sing. am-averam, I had loved. am-averās.

am-averat.

Plur. am-averāmus. am-averatis. am-averant.

Sing. am-avissem, I might have loved. am-avissēs. am-avisset.

Plur. am-avissēmus. am-avissētis.

am-avissent.

Second Future, or Future Perfect.

Sing. am-avero, I shall have loved.

am-averis. am-averit.

Plur. am-averīmus,

am-averītis. am-averint.

IMPERATIVE. Present, Sing. am- \bar{a} , love thou.

Future, Sing. am-āto, thou shalt love. am-āto, he shall love.

Plur. am-āte, love ve.

Plur. am-atōte, ye shall love. am-anto, they shall love.

INFINITIVE.

Pres. and Imperf. (or of an action still going on) am-āre, to love. Perf. and Pluperf. (or of an action completed) am-avisse, to have loved. Future, am-aturum esse, to be about to love.

GERUND.

Gen. am-andi; Dat. am-ando; Acc. am-andum; Abl. am-ando.

SUPINE.

am-atum; am-atu.

Participles.

Pres. and Imperf. (of an action still going on) am-ans, loving. Future, am-aturus, about to love.

Second Conjugation.

Present.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Sing. Mon-eo, I advise.

mon-ēs. mon-et.

Plur. mon-ēmus.

mon-ētis. mon-ent.

Sing. Mon-eam, I may advise.

mon-eās. mon-eat.

Plur. mon-eāmus. mon-eatis. mon-eant.

Indicative.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Imperfect.

Sing. mon-ēbam, I advised.

mon-ebās. mon-ebat.

Plur. mon-ebāmus. mon-ebātis. mon-ebant.

Sing. mon-ērem, I might advise.

mon-erēs. mon-eret.

Plur. mon-erēmus. mon-eretis. mon-erent.

Future.

Sing. mon-ēbo, I shall advise. mon-ebis.

mon-ebit.

Plur. mon-ebīmus.

mon-ebitis.

mon-ebunt.

Perfect.

Sing. mon-ŭi, I have advised. mon-uisti.

mon-uit.

Plur. mon-uĭmus.

mon-uistis. mon-uērunt (e).

mon-ueris. mon-uerit. Plur. mon-uerīmus.

Sing. mon-uĕrim, I may have advised.

Sing. mon-uissem, I should have ad-

Tvised.

mon-uerītis. mon-uerint.

Pluperfect.

Sing. mon-uĕram, I had advised.

mon-uerās. mon-uerat.

Plur. mon-uerāmus. mon-uerātis.

mon-uerant.

Plur. mon-uissēmus. mon-uissētis. mon-uissent.

mon-uissēs.

mon-uisset.

Second Future, or Future Perfect.

Sing. mon-uĕro, I shall have advised.

mon-ueris.

mon-uerit.

Plur. mon-uerīmus.

mon-uerītis.

mon-uerint.

IMPERATIVE.

Present, Sing. mon-ē, advise thou. Plur. mon-ēte, advise ye.

Future, Sing. mon-ēto, thou shalt advise. Plur. mon-etōte, ye shall advise. mon-ēto, he shall advise. mon-ento, they shall advise.

Infinitive.

Pres. and Imperf. mon- $\bar{e}re$, to advise. Perf. and Pluperf. mon-uisse, to have advised. Future, mon-iturum esse, to be about to advise.

Digitizata bir Waronan in

GERUND.

Gen. mon-endi; Dat. mon-endo; Acc. mon-endum; Abl. mon-endo.

SUPINE.

mon-ĭtum; mon-ĭtu.

PARTICIPLES.

Pres. and Imperf. mon-ens, advising. Future, mon-iturus, about to advise.

Third Conjugation.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Present.

Sing. Leg-o, I read.

leg-ĭs. leg-it.

Plur. leg-ĭmus.

leg-ĭtis. leg-unt.

Imperfect.

Sing. leg-ēbam, I read.

leg-ebās. leg-ebat.

Plur. leg-ebāmus.

leg-ebātis. leg-ebant.

Sing. leg-ĕrem, I might read. leg-ĕrēs.-

Sing. Leg-am, I may read.

leg-ās.

leg-at.

leg-atis.

leg-ant.

Plur. leg-āmus.

leg-eret.

Plur. leg-erēmus. leg-eretis. leg-erent.

Future.

Sing. leg-am, I shall read.

leg- $\bar{e}s$. leg-et.

Plur. leg-ēmus.

leg-ētis. leg-ent.

leg-isti.

leg-istis. $leg-\bar{e}runt$ (e).

leg-it.

Plur. leg-ĭmus.

Perfect.

Sing. leg-i, I have read. Sing. leg-ĕrim, I may have read.

> leg-eris. leg-erit.

Plur. leg-erīmus.

leg-erītis.

leg-erint.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Pluperfect.

Sing. leg-ĕram, I had read.

leg-erās. leg-erat.

Plur. leg-erāmus. leg-eratis.

leg-erant.

Pluperiect

Sing. leg-issem, I should have read.

leg-issēs. leg-isset.

Plur. leg-issēmus.

leg-issētis. leg-issent.

Second Future, or Future Perfect.

Sing. leg-ĕro, I shall have read.

leg-eris. leg-erit.

Plur. leg-erimus.

leg-eritis. leg-erint.

IMPERATIVE.

Present, Sing. leg-ĕ, read thou. Plur. leg-ĕte, read ye.

Future, Sing. leg-ĭto, thou shalt read. Plur. leg-itōte, ye shall read. leg-ito, he shall read. leg-unto, they shall read.

INFINITIVE.

Pres. and Imperf. leg-ĕre, to read. Perf. and Pluperf. leg-isse, to have read. Future, lec-turum esse, to be about to read.

GERUND.

Gen. leg-endi; Dat. leg-endo; Acc. leg-endum; Abl. leg-endo.

SUPINE.

lec-tum; lec-tu.

PARTICIPLES.

Pres. and Imperf. leg-ens, reading. Future, lec-turus, about to read.

Fourth Conjugation.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Present.

Sing. Aud-io, I hear. aud-īs.

aud-it.

aud-ītis. aud-iunt.

Plur. aud-īmus.

Sing. Aud-iam, I may hear. aud-iās.

and-iat.

Plur. aud-iāmus. aud-iatis. and-iant.

Imperfect.

Sing. aud-iēbam, I heard.

aud-iebās. aud-iebat.

Plur. aud-iebāmus. aud-iebātis. aud-iebant.

Sing. aud-īrem, I might hear.

aud-irēs. and-iret.

Plur. aud-irēmus. aud-iretis. aud-irent.

Future.

Sing. aud-iam, I shall hear.

aud-iēs. aud-iet.

Plur, aud-iēmus. and-iētis. aud-ient.

Perfect.

Sing. aud-īvi, I have heard.

aud-ivisti. and-init.

Plur. aud-ivimus.

aud-ivistis. aud-ivērunt (e). Sing. aud-ivěrim, I may have heard.

aud-iveris. aud-iverit.

Plur. aud-iverīmus. aud-iverītis. and-inerint.

Pluperfect.

Sing. aud-iveram, I had heard.

aud-iverās. aud-iverat.

Plur. aud-iverāmus.

aud-iveratis. aud-iverant.

Sing. aud-ivissem, I might have heard.

aud-ivissēs. aud-ivisset.

Plur. aud-ivissēmus. aud-ivissētis.

aud-ivissent.

Second Future, or Future Perfect.

Sing. aud-ivero, I shall have heard. aud-iveris.

aud-iverit.

Plur. aud-iverīmus.

and-ineritis. aud-iverint.

IMPERATIVE.

Present, Sing. aud-ī, hear thou.

Plur. aud-īte, hear ye.

Future, Sing. aud-īto, thou shalt hear. aud-īto, he shall hear.

Plur. aud-itōte, ye shall hear. aud-iunto, they shall hear.

Infinitive.

Pres. and Imperf. aud-īre, to hear. Perf. and Pluperf. aud-ivisse, to have heard. Future, aud-iturum esse, to be about to hear.

GERUND.

Gen, aud-iendi; Dat. aud-iendo; Acc. aud-iendum; Abl. aud-iendo.

SUPINE.

aud-ītum; aud-ītu.

Participles.

Pres. & Imperf. aud-iens, hearing. Future, aud-iturus, about to hear.

[6 158.] II. PASSIVE VOICE.

First Conjugation.

Present.

INDICATIVE.

Sing. Am-or, I am loved. am- $\bar{a}ris$ (e).

am-atur. Plur. am-amur.

am-amini. am-antur.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Sing. Am-er, I may be loved. am- $\bar{e}ris$ (e).

am-etur,

Plur. am-emur. am-emini. am-entur.

Imperfect.

Sing. am- $\bar{a}bar$, I was loved. am-abāris (e).

am-abatur.

Plur. am-abamur.

am-abamini.

am-abantur.

Sing. am-ārer, I might be loved. am-arēris (e).

am-aretur. Plur. am-aremur.

am-aremini. am-arentur.

Future.

Sing. am- $\bar{a}bor$, I shall be loved.

am-abĕris (e). am-abitur.

Plur. am-abimur.

am-abimini. am-abuntur.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Perfect.

Sing. am-atus (a, um) sum, I
have been loved.
am-atus es.

am-atus est.

Plur. am-ati (ae, a) sumus. am-ati estis.

am-ati sunt.

Sing. am-ātus (a, um) sim, I may have been loved.

am-ātus sis. am-ātus sit.

Plur. am-āti (ae, a) simus.

am-āti sitis. am-āti sint.

Pluperfect.

Sing. am-ātus (a, um) eram, I had been loved.

am-ātus eras. am-ātus erat.

Plur. am-āti (ae, a) eramus.

am-āti eratis. am-āti erant. Sing. am-ātus (a,um) essem, I might have been loved.

am-ātus esses. am-ātus esset.

Plur. am-āti (ae, a) essemus. am-āti essetis. am-āti essent.

Second Future, or Future Perfect.

Sing. am-ātus (a, um) ero, I shall have been loved.

am-ātus eris. am-ātus erit.

Plur. am-āti (ae, a) erimus.

am-āti eritis.

am-āti erunt.

IMPERATIVE.

Present, Sing. am-are, be thou loved. Plur. am-amini, be ye loved.

Future, Sing. am-ator, thou shalt be loved. Plur. am-aminor, ye shall be loved.

am-ator, he shall be loved.

am-antor, they shall be loved.

INFINITIVE.

Pres. and Imperf. (or of a passive state still going on), am-ari, to be loved. Perf. and Pluperf. (or of a state completed), am-ātum (am, um) esse, to have been loved.

Future, am-ātum iri, to be about to be loved.

PARTICIPLES.

Perfect, am-ātus, a, um, loved.

In dus (commonly called Future, or Future of Necessity), am-andus, a, um, deserving or requiring to be loved.

Second Conjugation.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Sing. Mon-eor, I am advised.

mon- $\bar{e}ris$ (e). mon-etur.

Plur. mon-emur. mon-emini. mon-entur. Present.

Sing. Mon-ear, I may be advised. mon- $e\bar{a}ris$ (e).

mon-eatur. Plur. mon-eamur.

mon-eamini. mon-eantur.

Imperfect.

Sing. mon-ēbar, I was advised.

mon-ebāris (e). mon-ebatur.

Plur. mon-ebamur. mon-ebamini. mon-ebantur.

. Sing. mon-ērer, I might be advised. mon-erēris (e).

mon-eretur. Plur. mon-eremur. mon-eremini. mon-erentur.

Future.

Sing. mon-ēbor, I shall be advised. mon-ebĕris (e).

mon-ebitur.

Plur. mon-ebimur. mon-ebimini. mon-ebuntur.

Perfect.

Sing. mon-ĭtus (a, um) sum, I have been advised.

> mon-ĭtus es. mon-ĭtus est.

Plur. mon-ĭţi (ae, a) sumus. mon-ĭti estis.

mon-ĭti sunt.

Sing. mon-tus (a, um) sim, I may have been advised.

Sing. mon-ĭtus (a, um) essem, I

mon-ĭtus sis. mon-ĭtus sit.

Plur. mon-ĭti (ae, a) simus. mon-ĭti sitis.

mon-ĭti sint.

Pluperfect.

Sing. mon-ĭtus (a, um) eram, I had been advised.

> mon-ĭtus eras. mon-ĭtus erat.

Plur. mon-ti (ae, a) eramus.

mon-ĭti eratis. mon-ĭti erant.

should have been advised. mon-ĭtus esses.

mon-ĭtus esset.

Plur. mon-ĭti (ae, a) essemus. mon-ĭti essetis. . mon-ĭti essent.

Second Future, or Future Perfect.

Sing. mon-ĭtus (a, um) ero, I shall have been advised.

mon-ĭtus eris. mon-ĭtus erit.

Plur. mon-ĭti (ae, a) erimus. mon-ĭti eritis.

mon-ĭti erunt.

Deward her were and ou

IMPERATIVE.

Present, Sing. mon-ēre, be thou advised. Plur. mon-emini, be ye advised.

Future, Sing. mon-ētor, thou shalt be Plur. mon-eminor, ye shall be advised. advised.

mon-ētor, he shall be, &c.

mon-entor, they shall be, &c.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Sing. Leg-ar, I may be read.

Sing. leg-ĕrer, I might be read.

leg-erēris (e).

leg-eretur.

leg-eremini.

leg-erentur.

Plur. leg-eremur.

leg-āris (e).

leg-atur.

leg-amini. leg-antur.

Plur. leg-amur.

INFINITIVE.

Pres. and Imperf. mon-eri, to be advised.

Perf. and Pluperf. mon-itum (am, um) esse, to have been advised.

Future, mon-itum iri, to be about to be advised.

PARTICIPLES.

Perfect, mon-itus, advised.

In dus (commonly called Future, or Future of Necessity), mon-endus, deserving or requiring to be advised.

Third Conjugation.

INDICATIVE.

Present.

Imperfect.

Sing. Leg-or, I am read.

leg-ĕris (e).

leg-ĭtur.

Plur. leg-ĭmur. leg-imini.

leg-untur.

Sing. leg-ēbar, I was read.

leg-ebāris (e). leg-ebatur.

Plur. leg-ebamur.

leg-ebamini. leg-ebantur.

Future.

Sing. leg-ar, I shall be read.

leg-ēris (e). leg-ētur.

Plur. leg-ēmur.

leg-emini.

leg-entur.

Perfect. ·

Sing. lec-tus (a, um) sum, I have been read.

lec-tus es.

lec-tus est.

Plur. lec-ti (ae, a) sumus.

lec-ti estis.

lec-ti sunt.

Sing. lec-tus (a, um) sim, I may have been read.

lec-tus sis.

lec-tus sit.

Plur. lec-ti (ae, a) simus.

lec-ti sitis.

lec-ti sint.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Pluperfect.

Sing. lec-tus (a, um) eram, I had been read.

l'ec-tus eras. lec-tus erat.

Plur. lec-ti (ae, a) eramus. lec-ti eratis.

lec-ti erant.

Sing. lec-tus (a, um) essem, I should have been read.

lec-tus esses. lec-tus esset.

Plur. lec-ti (ae, a) essemus.

lec-ti essetis.

Second Future, or Future Perfect.

Sing. lec-tus (a, um) ero, I shall have been read.

lec-tus eris. lec-tus erit.

Plur. lec-ti erimus.

lec-ti eritis.

IMPERATIVE.

Present, Sing. leg-ĕre, be thou read. Plur. leg-imini, be ye read.

Future, Sing. leg-itor, thou shalt be read. Plur. leg-iminor, ye shall be read. leg-untor, they shall be read.

Infinitive.

Pres. and Imperf. leg-i, to be read.

Perf. and Pluperf. lec-tum (am, um) esse, to have been read.

Future, lec-tum iri, to be about to be read.

PARTICIPLES.

Perfect, lec-tus, read.

In dus (commonly called Future, or Future of Necessity), leg-endus, deserving or requiring to be read.

Fourth Conjugation.

INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Present.

Sing. Aud-ior, I am heard. aud-īris (e).

aud- $\bar{\imath}tur$.

Plur. aud-īmur. aud-imini. aud-iuntur. Sing. Aud-iar, I may be heard. aud-iāris (e).

aud-iatur.
Plur. aud-iamur.

aud-iamini. aud-iantur.

Imperfect.

Sing. aud-iēbar, I was heard. aud-iebāris (e). aud-iebatur. Sing. aud-īrer, I might be heard. aud-irēris (e). aud-iretur. INDICATIVE.

SUBJUNCTIVE.

Plur. aud-iebamur. aud-iebamini.

aud-iebamini. aud-iebantur. Plur. aud-iremur. aud-iremini. aud-irentur.

Future.

Sing. aud-iar, I shall be heard.

aud-iēris (e). aud-iētur.

Plur. aud-iēmur. aud-iemini.

aud-ientur.

Perfect.

Sing. aud-ītus (a, um) sum, I have been heard.

aud-ītus es. aud-ītus est.

Plur. aud-īti (ae, a) sumus. aud-īti estis. aud-īti sunt. Sing. aud-ītus (a, um) sim, I may have been heard.

aud-ītus sis. aud-ītus sit.

Plur. aud-īti (ae, a) simus. aud-īti sitis.

aud-īti sint.

Pluperfect.

Sing. aud-ītus (a, um) eram, I had been heard.

aud-ītus eras. aud-ītus erat.

Plur. aud-īti (ae, a) eramus.

aud-īti eratis. aud-īti erant. Sing. aud-itus (a, um) essem, I might have been heard.

aud-ītus esses. aud-ītus esset.

Plur. aud-īti (ae, a) essemus. aud-īti essetis.

aud-īti essent.

Second Future, or Future Perfect.

Sing. aud-ītus (a, um) ero, I shall have been heard.

aud-ītus eris. aud-ītus erit.

Plur. aud-īti (ae, a) erimus.

aud-īti eritis.

IMPERATIVE.

Present, Sing. aud-īre, be thou heard. Plur. aud-imini, be ye heard.

Future, Sing. aud-itor, thou shalt be heard. Plur.aud-iminor, ye shall be heard. aud-iuntor, they shall be, &c.

INFINITIVE.

Pres. and Imperf. aud-īri, to be heard.

Perf. and Pluperf. aud-itum (am, um) esse, to have been heard.

Future, aud-ītum iri, to be about to be heard.

Participles.

Perfect, aud-ītus, heard.

In dus (commonly called Future, or Future of Necessity), aud-iendus, deserving or requiring to be heard.

III. DEPONENTS.

[§ 159.] With regard to conjugation the deponent differs from the passive only by the fact that it has both the participles of the active and of the passive voice, that is, for all the three states of an action: that in ns for an action not completed; that in us, a, um for an action completed; and that in urus, a, um for one about to take place. The fourth participle in ndus with a passive signification is an irregularity, and is used only in those deponents which have a transitive signification; e.g. hortandus, one who should be exhorted. Of deponents which have an intransitive meaning, e. g. loqui, this participle is used only sometimes, chiefly in the neuter gender (often, but erroneously, called the gerund), and in a somewhat different sense, e.g. loquendum est, there is a necessity for speaking. It will be sufficient in the following table to give the first persons of each tense, for there is no difficulty, except that these verbs with a passive form have an active meaning.

A. INDICATIVE.

3d Conjug.

4th Conjug.

mus.

2d Conjug.

1st Conjug.

eramus.

	Pi	esent.	
S. hort-or, I ex- hort.	ver-eor, I fear.	sequ-or, I follow.	bland-ior, I flatter.
P. hort-amur.	ver- $emur$.	sequ-imur.	bland-imur.
	Imp	perfect.	
and the second s	ver-ebar. ver-ebamur.	sequ-ebar. sequ-ebamur.	bland-iebar. bland-iebamur.
	First	Future.	
S. hort-abor. P. hort-abimur.	ver-ebor. ver-ebimur.	sequ-ar. sequ-ēmur.	bland-iar. bland-iēmur.
	$\mathbf{P}\epsilon$	erfect.	
S. hort-atus (a, um) sum. P. hort-ati (ae a)	sum.	secū-tus (a, um) sum. secū-ti (ae, a) su-	sum.
sumus.	mus.	mus.	mus.
	Plup	erfect.	
um) $eram.$	eram.	eram.	bland-itus (a, um) eram.
P. hort-ati (ae, a)	ver- $iti(ae, a) era$ -	secu-ti(ae,a)era-	bland-iti (ae, a) era-

mus.

2d Conjug. 3d Conjug. 1st Conjug. 4th Conjug. Future Perfect. ver-itus (a, um) secu-tus (a, um) bland-itus (a, um) S. hort-atus (a, um) ero. ero. P. hort-ati (ae, a) ver-iti (ae, a) erisecu-ti (ae, a) eribland-iti (ae, a) erierimus. mus. mus. mus. B. Subjunctive. Present. S. hort-er. bland-iar. ver-ear. sequ-ar. P. hort-emur. sequ-amur. bland-iamur. ver-eamur. Imperfect. S. hort-arer. ver-ērer. sequ-ĕrer. bland-irer. bland-iremur. P. hort-aremur. ver-eremur. sequ-eremur. Perfect. ver-itus (a, um) secu-tus (a, um) bland-itus (a, um) S. hort-atus (a, um) sim. sim. sim. sim. P. hort-ati (ae, a) ver-iti (ae, a) si- secu-ti (ae, a) sibland-iti (ae, a) sisimus. mus. mus. mus. Pluperfect. ver-itus (a, um) secu-tus (a, um) S. hort-atus bland-itus (a, um) um) essem. essem. essem. essem. bland-iti (ae, a) es-P. hort-ati (ae, a) ver-iti (ae, a) es- secu-ti (ae, a) esessemus. semus. semus. semus. C. IMPERATIVE. Present. S. 2. hort-are. ver-ēre. sequ-ĕre. bland-ire. P. 2. hort-amini. ver-emini. sequ-imini. bland-imini. Future. S. 2. hort-ator. ver-ētor. sequ-itor. bland-itor. 3. hort-ator. ver-etor. sequ-itor. bland-itor. P. 2. (is wanting, but is supplied by the Future Indicative.) sequ-untor. 3. hort-antor. ver-entor. bland-iuntor. D. Infinitive. Present and Imperfect. hort-ari. ver-ēri. bland-iri. sequ-i.Perfect and Pluperfect. ver-itum (am, um) secu-tum (am, um) (am,hort-atum bland-itum(am, um)

esse.

um) esse.

Future.

ver-iturum (am, secu-turum (am,

esse.

bland-iturum

um) esse.

(am,

um) esse.

um) esse.

hort-aturum (am,

esse.

um) esse.

E. GERUND.

ver-endi. Gen. hort-andi. sequ-endi. bland-iendi. Dat. hort-ando. ver-endo. sequ-endo. bland-iendo. Acc. hort-andum. ver-endum. sequ-endum. bland-iendum. Abl. hort-ando. ver-endo. sequ-endo. bland-iendo.

F. Participles.

Present and Imperfect.

hort-ans. ver-ens. sequ-ens. bland-iens.

Perfect and Pluperfect.

hort-atus, a, um. ver-ĭtus, a, um. secū-tus, a, um. bland-ītus, a, um.

Future.

 $hort\text{-}aturus, a, um. \quad ver\text{-}iturus, \ a, \ um. \quad secu\text{-}turus, \ a, \ um. \quad bland\text{-}iturus, \ a, \ um.$

Future, with Passive Signification.

hort-andus, a, um. ver-endus, a, um. sequ-endus, a, um. bland-iendüs, a, um.

G. SUPINE.

 1. hort-atum.
 ver-ītum.
 secū-tum.
 bland-ītum.

 2. hort-atu.
 ver-ītu.
 secū-tu.
 bland-ītu.

Note. The supine secutum and the participle secutus are analogous to solutum and solutus, from solvo, in pronunciation and orthography; for the consonant v, which is audible in the present sequor, is softened into the vowel u, and lengthened according to the rule mentioned above, § 154. In sequutum, as some persons write, the additional vowel u cannot be explained in any way. The same is the case with locutum from loquor. (Comp. above, § 5. in fin.)

CHAP. XLIII.

REMARKS ON THE CONJUGATIONS.

[§ 160.] 1. In the terminations avi, evi, and ivi of the tenses expressing a completed action, viz. of the perfect and pluperfect, indicative and subjunctive, and of the future perfect, as well as of the infinitive perfect active, a syncopation takes place.

a) In the first conjugation the v is dropped and the vowels a-i and a-e are contracted into a long a. This is the case wherever avi is followed by an s, or ave by an r; e. g. amavisti, amasisti; amavissem, amasistem; amavissem; amavissem; amavisse; amaverunt, amarunt;

W/W == W - Digitized by Microsoff W

amaverim, amârim; amaveram, amâram; amavero, amâro, &c. Both forms, the entire and the contracted one, are on the whole of the same value, but the latter seems to be chiefly used, when the contracted vowel is followed by an s, whereas the entire form was preferred in those cases where an r follows, although even in this case Livy is rather partial to the contracted form; e.g. vindicarimus, oppugnarimus, necarimus, maturarimus; in Cicero too it is not uncommon. A contracted form of the verb juvare (adjuvare) occurs only in the more ancient language; e.g. adjuro for adjuvero in a verse of Ennius (ap. Cic. Cat. Maj. 1.).

- b) The termination evi in the second and third conjugations is treated in the same manner; e.g. neo, I spin, nevi, nêsti, nêstis, nerunt. Thus we often find complêssem, delêram, and in the third conjugation consuerunt for consueverunt, quiêssem, decrêssem, decrêsse for decrevisse; siris, sirit, for siveris and siverit. The termination ovi however is contracted only in novi, novisse, with its compounds, and in the compounds of moveo, movi; e.g. norunt, nôsse, cognôram, cognôro, commôssem.
- c) In the fourth conjugation ivi is frequently contracted before s, hence instead of audivisse, audivisti, audivissem, we find audisse, audisti, audissem, and in the time of Quintilian the latter forms must have been more commonly used than the others. But there is another form of the tenses expressing a completed action, which arises from simply throwing out the v: audii, audiissem, audieram, audiero. But it must be observed that those forms in which two i meet are not used at all in good prose (as in Cicero), except in the compounds of the verb ire (see § 205.), and are found only here and there in poetry, as in Virgil: audiit, mugiit, muniit, especially when the word would not otherwise suit the dactylic hexameter, as for example oppëtii, impëdiit. In those forms, on the other hand, where i and e meet, the v is frequently thrown out even in good prose; e.g. audierunt, desierunt, definieram, quaesieram.

Note. A contraction occurs in the perfect of the first, second, and fourth conjugations, when a t or m follows; the forms of the perfect then become externally like those of the present tense, and can be distinguished only in some cases by the length of the vowel. This contraction occurs only in poetry, but not very commonly. Some grammarians have denied it altogether, and have endeavoured to explain such passages by supposing that they contain an enallage, that is, an interchange of tenses; but such a sup-

MORE DESCRIPTION OF PARTY

position involves still greater difficulties. Priscian, in several passages, mentions the contracted forms fumāt, audīt, cupīt, for fumavit, audivit, cupivit, as of common occurrence, which at least supports in general the view of the ancient grammarians, although it does not render an examination of the particular passages superfluous. We shall pass over the less decisive passages; but it for iit is undeniable in petiit (in Virg. Aen. ix. 9.); desit (in Martial, iii. 75. 1., and x. 86. 4.); abit, obit, and perit (in Juvenal, vi. 128. 559. 295. 563., and x. 118.). We accordingly consider that quum edormit, in Horace (Serm. ii. 3. 61.), is likewise a perfect. In the first and second conjugations there are some instances which cannot be denied. To view donat in Horace (Serm. i. 2. 56.) as a present would be exceedingly forced; but if we consider it as a contracted perfect, it quite agrees with the construction. Compare Terent. Adelph. iii. 3. 10.: omnem rem modo seni quo pacto haberet enarramus ordine; Propert. ii. 7. 2.: flemus uterque diu ne nos divideret. Lastly, the first person in ii is found contracted into i; Persius, iii. 97.: sepeli; Seneca, Herc. Oet. 48.: redi; Claudian, in Rufin. ii. 387.: unde redi nescis.

- 2. Another syncopation, which frequently occurs in early Latin, and is made use of even in the later poetical language of Virgil and Horace, consists in the throwing out of the syllable is in the perfect and pluperfect of the third conjugation after an s or an x; e.g. evasti, for evasisti; dixti, for dixisti; divisse, for divisisse; admisse, for admisisse; iss too is rejected in forms like surrexe, for surrexisse; consumpse, for consumpsisse; so also abstraxe, for abstraxisse; abscessem, for abscessissem; erepsemus, for erepsissemus, and others.
- [§ 161.] 3. The forms of the future perfect and of the perfect subjunctive in the first conjugation in asso and assim, for avero and averim; in the second in esso and essim, for uero and uerim; and in the third in so and sim, for ero and erim, are obsolete. Numerous instances of these occur in ancient forms of laws (and in later imitations of such forms) and in Plautus and Terence.
- Note. In this manner are formed, commonstrasso, levasso, peccasso, creassit, cooptassit, imperassit, and many others of the first conjugation. The following belong to the second: licessit, cohibessit, prohibessis, and ausim. Capso, capsis, capsit, capsimus, accepso, rapsit, surrepsit, occisit, incensit, adempsit, axim, adaxint, taxis, objexim, objexis, and others, occur in the third conjugation. The following forms deserve especial mention: faxo, faxim, faxit, faxīmus (Plaut. Truc. i. 1. 40.), faxitis, faxint. But there is no instance of such a syncopation in the fourth conjugation. We believe that this form is to be explained by the ancient interchange of r and s (comp. § 7.) and a syncopation: hence the transition would be this: levavero—levaveso—levasso; accepero—accepeso—accepso; ademero—ademeso—adempso; occiderit—occidesit—occisit, where the d before the s is dropped, as in incenderit, incensit. The few words of the second conjugation seem to have been formed in this manner, on the model of the very numerous words of the third. The irregularity in forming the perfect of words of the third

conjugation (capso, accepso, faxo, and axim, instead of fexo, exim) is in accordance with the ancient language: thus taxis is derived from tago, tango, and ausim from the perfect ausi, which has fallen into disuse. The form in so is acknowledged to have the meaning of a future perfect: one example may suffice: Ennius ap. Cic. Cat. Maj. 1.: si quid ego adjuro (for adjuvero) curamve levasso, ecquid erit praemi? For this and other reasons we cannot adopt Madvig's view (Opusc. tom. ii. nr. 2.), that this form is a future made according to the Greek fashion: levo, levasso, like $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \acute{a}\omega$, $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \acute{a}\omega$.

A few remnants only of this formation remained in use in the best period of the Latin language; e.g. jusso for jussero in Virg. Aen. xi. 467.; and faxo, in the sense of "I will" or "am determined to do" (see § 511.) in poetry, and in Livy, vi. 35., faxo ne juvet vox ista Veto, I will take care that this word Veto shall be of no avail to you. But especially the subjunctive faxit, faxint, expressing a solemn wish, as Cicero (in Verr. iii. 35.) says in a prayer, dii immortales faxint; and Livy (xxix. 27.) in a prayer says, dii - faxitis - auxitis; and in a subordinate sentence in Horace, Serm. ii. 6. 15., oro ut faxis, and in Persius, i. 112., veto quisquam faxit. Lastly ausim and ausit as a subjunctive expressive of doubt or hesitation - "I might venture," - occurs in Cicero, Brut. 5., and frequently in Livy and Tacitus. From these and the numerous passages in Plautus and Terence, however, it is clear that this subjunctive in sim never has the signification of a perfect subjunctive, but, in accordance with its formation, it retains the meaning of a future subjunctive.

Note. In the ancient Latin language we find a passive voice of this form of the future; viz. turbassitur, in a law in Cic. de Leg. iii. 4., and jussitur in Cato, de Re Rust. 14., instead of turbatum fuerit and jussus fuerit; and the deponent mercassitur in an inscription (Gruter, p. 512. line 20.), for mercatus fuerit. An infinitive also, with the signification of a first future active, is formed from it: as in Plautus: expugnassere, impetrassere, reconciliassere; and in Lucretius (Fragm. Non. ii. 218.): depeculassere et deargentassere (consequently only in verbs of the first conjugation); for which, in later times, the circumlocution expugnaturum esse, &c. was used exclusively.

[§ 162.] 4. In the remains of the early Latin language, and sometimes also in the poetical productions of the best age, the infinitive passive is lengthened by annexing the syllable er; e. g. amarier, mercarier, labier, legier, mittier; the e in the termination of the imperfect of the fourth conjugation is thrown out, e. g. nutribam, lenibam, scibam, largibar, for nutriebam, lenibam, sciebam, largiebar, — and the future of the same conjugation is formed in ibo instead of iam; e. g. scibo, servibo, for sciam,

serviam (the two last peculiarities are retained, in ordinary language, only in the verb ire); and lastly, the termination im is used for em and am in the present subjunctive of the first and third conjugations, but only in a few verbs; e. g. edim and co-medim for edam and comedam, frequently occur in Plautus; also in Cicero, ad Fam. ix. 20. in fin., and Horace, Epod. iii. 3., and Serm. ii. 8. 90. Duim for dem, and perduim for perdam, from duo and perduo, ancient forms of these verbs, are found also in prose in forms of prayers and imprecations; e. g. Cic. in Catil. i. 9., pro Dejot. 7. The same form has been preserved in the irregular verb volo, with its compounds, and in sum: velim, nolim, malim, and sim.

[§ 163.] 5. For the third person plural of the perfect active in erunt there is in all the conjugations another form, ēre, which indeed does not occur at all in Nepos, and in the prose of Cicero very rarely (see Cic. Orat. 47., and my note on Cic. in Verr. i. 6.), but is very frequently used by Sallust and later writers, especially by the historians, Curtius and Tacitus. In the contracted forms of the perfect this termination cannot well be used, because the third person plural of the perfect would in most cases become the same as the infinitive; e. g. if we were to form: amaverunt, amarunt, amare; or deleverunt, delerunt, delere.

The vowel e, in the uncontracted termination $\bar{e}runt$, is sometimes shortened by poets, as in Horace, Epist. i. 4. 7.: $Di\ tibi$ divitias dedĕrunt artemque fruendi; and Virg., Aen. ii. 774.: obstupui stetĕruntque comae, vox faucibus haesit.

[§ 164.] 6. The four verbs, dicere, ducere, facere, and ferre, usually reject the e in the imperative (to avoid ambiguity): hence we say dic, duc, fac, fer, and so also in their compounds, as educ, effer, perfer, calefac, with the exception of those compounds of facere which change a into i; e. g. confice, perfice. Inger for ingere is rare and antiquated.

Of scire the imperative sci is not in use, and its place is supplied by the imperative future scito. Scitote is preferred to scite in order to avoid the possible confusion with scite, the adverb, which signifies "skilfully."

Note. The imperative future of the passive voice, but more especially of deponents, has some irregularities in the early language and later imitations of it: a) The active form is used instead of the passive one; thus we find arbitrato, amplexato, utito, nitito, for arbitrator, amplexator, &c.; and censento

for censentor; utunto, tuento, patiunto, in laws. (See Cic. de Leg. iii. 3. fol.)
b) In the second and third persons singular we not uncommonly find the forms hortamino, veremino, and others, for hortator, veretor, &c. The forms antestamino, arbitramino, praefamino, profitemino, fruimino, and progredimino, occur in Cato, Plautus, and in laws; and passages of this kind have given rise to the erroneous opinion that there is a second person plural in minor, such as hortaminor.

[§ 165.] 7. Respecting the quantity of the *i* in the terminations *rimus* and *ritis*, in the future perfect and the perfect subjunctive, the statements of the ancient grammarians not only differ, but contradict one another. The poets use it long or short according as the verse requires it; though to judge from the analogy of *erimus*, *eritis*, it seems to be naturally short. In connection with this (comp. § 29.) it must be observed, that the termination *ris* of the second person singular is used by poets both long and short, as in Horace, *Carm.* III. 23. 3., and IV. 7. 20 and 21., and in the following distich of Ovid, *Amor.* I. 4. 31.:

Quae tu reddiderīs, ego primus pocula sumam, Et qua tu biberīs, hac ego parte bibam:

where however the influence of the caesura also has its effect.

[§ 166.] 8. Instead of the termination ris in the second person in the passive, re is also used, and with Cicero this is the common termination in the present and imperfect subjunctive, and in the imperfect and future indicative, even in cases where the repetition of the syllable re produces a disagreeable sound, as in vererere, pro Quint. 16., in Verr. iii. 18.; mererere, Divin. 18., de Fin. ii. 35. In the present indicative, on the other hand, re is used for ris only in the following passages: Divin. 12. in fin. and in Verr. iii. 80. init.: arbitrare; pro Balb. 18.: delectare; Philip. ii. 43.: inaugurare; ad Fam. vi. 21.: recordare; and v. 13.: videre. Such forms as amere, moneare, loquare, audiare; amarere, amabare, amabĕre, monerēre, loquerēre, &c. are of common occurrence in all the conjugations.

[§ 167.] 9. The participle future passive of the third and fourth conjugations (including the deponents) is formed in undus instead of endus, especially when i precedes. In the verb potior potiundus is the usual form. In other verbs it seems to have been indifferent which of the two forms was used; though in some phrases, such as, in finibus dividundis or regundis, in jure dicundo, there seems to have been something conventional in the

unio care pignissi pri marpini in

use of these forms. We must leave it to the student's own observation to collect other peculiarities of this kind. Respecting the verbal adjectives in *bundus*, see § 248.

[§ 168.] 10. This is the place to speak of what is called the conjugatio periphrastica, or the conjugation by circumlocution. This name is applied in general to any conjugation formed by means of a participle and the auxiliary verb esse; but it is usually limited to the conjugation formed by means of the two participles future, in the active and passive, and of the verb esse, for a conjugation made up of the participle present and esse does not occur in Latin, (e. g., amans sum would be the same as amo,) and the combinations of the participle perfect passive with sum, sim, eram, essem, ero, esse, are considered as a part of the ordinary conjugation of a verb in the passive voice, as for example amatus eram, which is the pluperfect passive of amo. But it must be observed, that in the conjugation of the passive the perfects of esse are sometimes used instead of the abovementioned forms for an incomplete action, such as sum, eram, ero, &c. Amatum fuisse, therefore, is equal to amatum esse as an infinitive perfect passive; amatus fueram is equivalent to amatus eram, and amatus fuero to amatus ero. Amatus fuero, in particular, is used so frequently for amatus ero, that formerly it was looked upon as the ordinary future perfect passive, and was marked as such in the tables of the four conjugations.* But when the participle is used in the sense of an adjective, and expresses a permanent state, a difference is clearly discernible; e. g. epistola scripta est, when it is a perfect tense, signifies the letter has been written; but if scripta is conceived as an adjective (in contradistinction to a letter not written), the meaning is, the letter is written, and epistola scripta fuit, in this case, would signify, the letter has been written (has been a written one), or has existed as a written one, meaning, that at present it no longer exists. And this is the usual sense in which fui is used with the participle perfect, e.g. Liv. xxxviii. 56.: Literni monumentum monumentoque statua superimposita

^{*} We have abandoned the common practice, partly on account of the analogy, and partly because the number of instances in which the regular future perfect with *ero* occurs is so considerable that there can be no doubt about it. We do not quote any passages, because this truth is now universally recognised.

fuit (is there no longer). quam tempestate dejectam nuper vidimus ipsi; Martial, i. 44.: bis tibi triceni fuimus vocati, that is, "we were invited, but got nothing to eat:" tantum spectavimus omnes. The passages therefore in which amatus fui is found as an ordinary perfect in the sense of amatus sum, may be doubted in good authors.

Note. Justin (i. 19.), however, writes: Itaque grave bellum natum, in quo et diu et varia victoria proeliatum fuit (passive); Gellius (v. 10.): Sic magister eloquentiae confutatus est, et captionis versute excogitatae frustratus fuit (passive); and Plautus several times in deponents; e.g. oblitus fui, Poenul. Prolog. 40.; miratus fui, ibid. v. 6. 10.; and other passages.

[§ 169.] But by the combination of the participle future active with the tenses of esse, a really new conjugation is formed, denoting an intention to do something. This intention may arise either from the person's own will, or from outward circumstances, so that, e.g., scripturus sum may either mean "I have a mind to write, or I am to write," or "I have to write." The former sense is also expressed by "I am on the point of writing," or "I am about to write," and this signification is carried through all the tenses of esse.

write.

Scripturus eram, I was about to write.

to write.

Scripturus sum, I am about to Scripturus fui, I was or have been about to write.

> Scripturus fueram, I had been about to write.

Scripturus ero, I shall be about Scripturus fuero, I shall have been about to write.

But the last of these forms was very seldom used, and occurs only in one passage of Seneca, Epist. ix. § 14.: sapiens non vivet si fuerit sine homine victurus, that is, if he should be obliged to live without human society. The subjunctive occurs in the same manner.

Scripturus sim. Scripturus essem. Scripturus fuerim. Scripturus fuissem.

Scripturus sim and scripturus essem serve at the same time as subjunctives to the future scribam; but scripturus fuerim and scripturus fuissem are not used as subjunctives to the future perfect, scripsero. The infinitive scripturum fuisse denotes an action to which a person was formerly disposed, and answers to the English "I should have written," so that in hypothetical sentences it supplies the place of an infinitive of the pluperfect subjunctive; e. g. in Sueton. Caes. 56.: Pollio Asinius Caesarem existimat suos rescripturum et correcturum commentarios fuisse, that is, that he would have re-written and corrected, if he had lived longer. The infinitive with esse likewise first denotes an intention: scripturum esse, to intend writing, or to be on the point of writing; but it then assumes, in ordinary language, the nature of a simple infinitive future, for which reason it is incorporated in the table of conjugations. For the particulars, see the Syntax, Chap. LXXVI.

Note. In the passive these gerundive tenses (tempora gerundiva), as they may be called, are expressed by longer circumlocutions: in eo est, or futurum est ut epistola scribatur, the letter is to be written, or about to be written; in eo erat, or futurum erat ut epistola scriberetur, the letter was to be written, or about to be written; in eo erit or futurum erit ut epistola scribatur, it will then be necessary for the letter to be written.

[§ 170.] The participle future passive expresses (in the nominative) the necessity of suffering an action, and in combination with the tenses of esse it likewise forms a new and complete conjugation (tempora necessitatis); e. g. amandus sum, I must be loved; amandus eram, it was necessary for me to be loved, and so on with all the tenses of esse. Its neuter combined with esse and the dative of a person expresses the necessity of performing the action on the part of that person, and may likewise be carried through all the tenses, as,

mihi scribendum est, I must write.

mihi scribendum erat, I was obliged to write.

mihi scribendum erit, I shall be obliged to write.

mihi scribendum fuit, I have been obliged to write.

mihi scribendum fuerat, I had been obliged to write.

mihi scribendum fuerit, I shall have been obliged to write.

And so also in the subjunctive and infinitive: mihi scribendum esse; mihi scribendum fuisse.

LIST OF VERBS

WHICH ARE

IRREGULAR IN THE FORMATION OF THEIR PERFECT AND SUPINE.

CHAP. XLIV.

FIRST CONJUGATION.

[§ 171.] THE irregularity of the verbs of this conjugation consists chiefly in this, that they take ui in the perfect, and itum in the supine, like verbs of the second; which i, however, is sometimes thrown out. It will be seen from the following list* that some verbs, in some form or other, again incline towards a regular formation of their tenses.

Crepo, crepui, crepitum, make a noise, rattle, creak.

Compounds: concrepo, make an intense noise; discrepo, differ; increpo, chide, rattle.

Cŭbo, cubui, cubitum, cubare, lie.

There is some authority for the perfect cubavi, incubavi. (See Oudendorp on Caes. B. Civ. iii. 63.) Compounds: accübo, recline at table; excübo, keep watch; incubo, lie upon; recubo, lie upon the back; secubo, lie apart, and some others. When the compounds take an m before b, they are conjugated after the third, but keep their perfect and supine in ui, itum. (See Chap. XLVIII.)

Domo, ui, itum, tame, subdue.

Edŏmo and perdŏmo strengthen the meaning.

Sono, ui, itum, resound. (Participle sonaturus.)

Consŏno, agree in sound; dissŏno, disagree in sound; persŏno, sound through; resŏno, resound. (Resonavit, Manil. v. 566.)

* It has not been the object to include in this list every irregular verb, especially compounds, but those only which are necessary in good prose. When no meaning is assigned to a compound verb, it is because the sense is easily discoverable from that of the root and the preposition with which it is compounded.

Tŏno, ui, (itum), thunder.

Attono (active), strike with astonishment (participle attonitus); intono, commonly intransitive, make a sound (participle intonatus); circumtono.

Věto, ui, ĭtum, forbid. (Vetavit, only in Persius, V. 90.)

Mico, ui, (without supine,) dart out, glitter.

Emico, ui, atum, dart forth rays; but dimico, fight, makes dimicavi, atum.

Frico, fricui, fricatum, and frictum, rub.

Defrico, infrico, perfrico, refrico, are formed in the same way.

Seco, ui, sectum, cut. (Part. secaturus.)
Desĕco, reseco, cut off; dissĕco, cut in parts.

Juvo, jūvi, support, assist; the supine jūtum is rare (see Tac. Ann. xiv. 4.); but the participle juvaturus is found in Sallust, Jug. 47.; and Plin., Epist. iv. 15.

So also the compound adjūvo, adjūvi, adjūtum, in the participle adjuturus (Liv. xxxiv. 37.), and adjuvaturus in Petron. 18. Frequentative, adjūto.

Lăvo, lāvi, lavatum, lautum, lotum, lavare, wash, or bathe, which is properly lavari.

The infinitive *lavěre*, whence the perfect *lavi* seems to come, is preserved in old Latin, and is found in poetry, e. g. Hor. *Carm.* iii. 12. init.: mala vino lavere.

- Neco, kill, is regular; but from it are formed, with the same meaning, eneco, avi, atum, and enecui, enectum, both of which forms are equally well established, but the participle is usually enectus; interneco has internecatus.
- From Plico, fold, are formed applico, avi, atum, and ui, itum; so explico, avi, atum, unfold, explain; implico, implicate. Cicero regularly uses applicavi and explicavi; otherwise usage on the whole decides in favour of the perfect ui, and the supine atum. But those derived from nouns in plex form the perf. and sup. regularly: supplico, duplico, multiplico. Of replico, whose perfect replicavi occurs in the vulgate, replicatus only is in use (replictus is an isolated form in Statius, Silv. iv. 9. 29.).
- Pōto, drink, is regular, except that the supine usually, instead of potatum, is potum, whence potus, which is both active and passive, having been drunk, and having drunk. Compounds, appōtus, active; and epōtus, passive.

Do, dědi, dătum, dăre, give.

Circumdo, surround; pessundo, ruin; satisdo, give security; venundo, sell; are formed like do. The other compounds addo, condo, reddo, belong to the third conjugation. (See Chap. XLVII.) From a second form duo we find in early Latin the subjunctive duim, duis, duit, also in the compounds credo and perdo—creduam and creduim, perduim. Cic. p. Reg. Dejot. 7.: di te perduint. See § 162.

Sto, stěti, stātum, stāre, stand.

The compounds have *it* in the perfect; e.g. consto, to consist of; exsto, exist or am visible; insto, insist; obsto, hinder; persto, persevere; praesto, surpass; resto, remain over and above. Only those compounded with a preposition of two syllables retain *eti* in the perfect, viz. antesto, circumsto, intersto, supersto. The supine, which is mentioned especially on account of the participle future, does not exist in all the compounds, but wherever it is found, it is *atum.* The supine praestitum of praesto is certain in late authors only, whereas praestaturus is frequent. Of disto, the perfect and supine are wanting.

The active verbs juro and coeno have a participle with a passive form, but an active signification: juratus (with the compounds conjuratus and injuratus), one who has sworn; and coenatus, one who has dined. From the analogy of conjuratus, the same active signification was afterwards given to conspiratus, one who has formed a conspiracy or joined a conspiracy.

CHAP. XLV.

SECOND CONJUGATION.

[§ 172.] The irregularity of verbs of the second conjugation consists partly in their being defective in their forms, and partly in their forming the perfect and supine, or one of them, like verbs of the third conjugation. With regard to the first irregularity, there are a great many verbs in this conjugation which have no supine, that is, which not only have no participle perfect passive (which cannot be a matter of surprise, since their meaning does not admit of it), but also no participle future active. (See § 153.) The regular form of the perfect is $\tilde{u}i$, and of the supine $\tilde{t}tum$; but it must be observed at the same

time that some verbs throw out the short i in the supine; and all verbs which in the present have a v before eo undergo a sort of contraction, since, e. g., we find $c\bar{a}vi$, cautum, instead of $c\check{a}vui$, $c\check{a}vitum$, from caveo, but this can scarcely be considered as an irregularity, since v and u was only one letter with the Romans. Respecting the lengthening of the vowel in dissyllabic perfects, see § 18.

We shall subjoin a list of the regular verbs of this conjugation as exercises for the beginner, confining ourselves to the form of the present.

Căleo, am warm.

Inchoat. calesco.

Căreo, am without.

 $D\bar{e}beo$, owe.

Dŏleo, feel pain.

Hăbeo, have.

Compounds: adhibeo, cohibeo,

&c., a being changed into i.

Jăceo, lie.

Liceo, am to be sold.

Not to be confounded with the impersonal *licet*, it is permitted.

See Chap. LX.

Měreo, merit. Mŏneo, admonish. Nŏceo, injure. Pāreo, obey (appear).

Compound: appāreo, appear.

Plăceo, please.
Praebeo, offer, afford.

Tăceo, am silent.

The partic tacitus, is commonly an adjective.

Terreo, terrify.

Văleo, am well.

To these regular verbs we may first add those of which we spoke shortly before, viz.:

[§ 173.] a) Those which make the Perfect in vi instead of vui.

Căveo, cāvi, cautum, cavere, take care.

Praecaveo, take precaution.

Connīveo, nivi, or nixi (neither very common), no supine; close the eyes.

Făveo, fāvi, fautum, am favourable.

Foveo, fovi, fotum, cherish.

Mŏveo, mōvi, mōtum, move.

Commoveo and permoveo strengthen the meaning; amoveo and submoveo, remove; admoveo, bring to; promoveo, bring forwards; removeo, bring back, or remove.

Păveo, pāvi, (no supine), dread.

Hence the compound inchoat. expavesco, expavi, is more commonly used, especially in the perfect.

Võveo, võvi, võtum, vow; devoveo, devote with imprecation.

Ferveo, fervi, and ferbui, (no supine,) glow, am hot.

Fervit, fervat, fervere, after the third (comp. Virg. Georg. i. 455., with Quintil. i. 6. 7.), is an archaism. The inchoatives of the third conjugation effervesco, refervesco, have the perfect in vi and bui (vi is more frequent in Cicero); in confervesco, bui alone is known.

[§ 174.] b) Those which make the Perfect in evi instead of ui.

Deleo, delevi, deletum, extinguish, destroy.

Fleo, flevi, fletum, weep.

Neo, nevi, netum, spin.

(From Pleo), compleo, complevi, completum, fill up; expleo, impleo.

From ŏleo, grow, we have the compounds: aboleo, abolish; abolesco, cease; adoleo, adolesco, grow up; exoleo or exolesco and obsoleo or obsolesco, grow obsolete; all of which have ēvi in the perfect; but the supine of aboleo is abolitum, of adolesco, adultum, and the rest have ētum: exoletum, obsoletum. Besides abolitum, however, there exist only the adjectives adultus, exoletus, obsoletus.

[§ 175.] c) Those which throw out the short i in the Supine.

Dŏceo, docui, doctum, teach.

Compounds: edoceo and perdoceo, strengthen the meaning; dedoceo, teach otherwise.

Těneo, tenui, (tentum, rare,) hold, keep.

Abstineo, abstain; attineo, keep occupied by or at a thing; contineo, keep together; detineo, keep back; distineo, keep asunder; retineo, retain; sustineo, keep upright. All these have in the supine tentum. Pertineo, belong to, has no supine.

Misceo, miscui, mixtum or mistum, mix.

Mixtum is better attested by MSS. than mistum. Compounds are, admisceo, commisceo, immisceo, permisceo.

Torreo, torrui, tostum, roast.

To these we may add-

Censeo, censui, censum (participle also censītus), estimate, believe.

Percenseo, enumerate, without supine. Of accenseo, reckon with, we find accensus; of succenseo, am angry, succensurus; and recenseo, examine, makes both recensum and recensitum, the latter of which is perhaps better attested.

[§ 176.] d) Those which make the Perfect regularly in ui, but have no Supine.

Arceo, arcui, arcere, keep off.

But the compounds coerceo, coerce; exerceo, exercise; have a supine in itum.

Calleo, have a hard skin, am skilled in (callidus).

Candeo, shine, glow (candidus).

Egeo, want. Compound, indigeo.

(From mineo), emineo, stand forth.

Floreo, flourish.

Frondeo, have foliage; effrondui.

Horreo, shudder, am horrified (horridus).

Compounds: abhorreo, and a number of inchoatives, as horresco, perhorresco.

Langueo, am languid (languidus).

Lăteo, am concealed.

Compounds: interlateo, perlateo, sublateo.

Mădeo, am wet (madidus).

Niteo, shine (nitidus).

Compounds: eniteo, interniteo, praeniteo.

Oleo, smell.

Compounds: abŏleo and redŏleo, have the smell of; suboleo, smell a little.

Palleo, am pale.

Păteo, am open.

Rigeo, am stiff (rigidus).

Rŭbeo, am red (rubidus).

Sĭleo, am silent.

Sorbeo, sorbui, sip.

Perf. sorpsi, very rare. Compounds: absorbeo and exsorbeo.

Sordeo, am dirty (sordidus).

Splendeo, am splendid (splendidus).

Studeo, endeavour, study.

Stupeo, am startled, astonished (stupidus).

Timeo, fear (timidus).

Torpeo, am torpid.

Tumeo, swell, am swollen (tumidus).

Vigeo, am animated.

Vireo, am green or flourish.

Besides these, there is a number of similar verbs which are derived from adjectives, and occur more rarely, and chiefly in the form of inchoatives, for the Latin language has great freedom in the formation of these intransitive verbs and in that of inchoatives either with or without a primary form. Compare Chap. LII.

The following are really irregular verbs, and follow the analogy of the third conjugation:—

[§ 177.] 1. Verbs which make the Perfect in si and the Supine in sum.

Ardeo, arsi, arsum, ardere, burn.

Haereo, haesi, haesum, cleave.

Compounds: adhaereo, cohaereo, inhaereo.

Jubeo, jussi, jussum, command.

Măneo, mansi, mansum, remain. (But māno, as, flow).

Permaneo (permănes), wait; remaneo, remain behind.

Mulceo, mulsi, mulsum, stroke, caress.

The compounds demulceo and permulceo strengthen the meaning. The participle permulsus is certain, but demulctus and permulctus likewise occur.

Mulgeo, mulsi, mulsum, milk.

Participle comp. emulsus. The derivative nouns mulctus, ūs, the milking, mulctra, and mulctrale, show that formerly mulctum also existed.

Rīdeo, risi, risum, laugh.

Compounds: arrideo (arrides), smile upon or please: derideo and irrideo, laugh at, scorn; subrideo, smile.

Suadeo, suasi, suasum, advise.

Dissuadeo, dissuade; persuadeo, persuade; but, like suadeo, with the dative.

Tergeo, tersi, tersum, tergēre, wipe; is used also as a verb of the third conjugation: tergo, tersi, tersum, tergëre.

Cicero uses tergo more frequently as a verb of the third conjugation,

whereas the compounds abstergeo, detergeo, extergeo, incline more towards the second (abstergebo, Cic. ad.Q. Frat. ii. 10.), although in these compounds too the forms of the third are not uncommon.

Of denseo, the ancient and poetical form for denso, densare, condense (see Bentley on Horace, Carm. i. 28. 19.), the perfect densi is mentioned by the grammarians, and the existence of a supine is attested by the adjective densus.

[§ 178.] 2. Verbs which make the Perfect in si, but have no Supine.

Algeo, alsi, algere, shiver with cold.

The supine is wanting, but from it is derived the adjective alsus, a, um, cold.

Fulgeo, fulsi, fulgere, shine, am bright. (Fulgere is poetical, but occurs also in Livy, xxxiv. 3.)

Turgeo, tursi (rare), swell.

Urgeo or urgueo, ursi, press.

3. Verbs with the Perfect in si and the Supine in tum.

Indulgeo, indulsi, indultum, indulge.

Torqueo, torsi, tortum, twist.

Compounds: contorqueo, twist together; distorqueo, twist away; extorqueo, wrest out or from.

4. Verbs with the Perfect in xi and the Supine in tum.

Augeo, auxi, auctum, increase.

 $L\bar{u}ceo$, luxi, lucere, shine; has no supine.

Lūgeo, luxi, lugere, mourn; has no supine.

Frigeo, frixi, frigere, am cold; has no supine.

[§ 179.] 5. Verbs with the Perfect in i, and the Supine in sum.

Prandeo, prandi, pransum, dine. The participle pransus has an active signification: one who has dined.

Sĕdeo, sēdi, sessum, sit.

Assideo (assides), sit by; desideo, sit down; circumsedeo or circumsideo, surround; insideo, sit upon; supersedeo, do without; possideo, possess; dissideo, dissent; praesideo, preside; resideo, settle down. The last three have no supine.

Video, vidi, visum, see.

Invideo (invides), envy, alicui; pervideo, see through; praevideo, fore-see; provideo, provide.

Strīdeo, strīdi, without supine. In poetry striděre.

6. Verbs with a Reduplication in the Perfect

Mordeo, momordi, morsum, bite.

Pendeo, pĕpendi, pensum, am suspended.

Dependeo, depend, and impendeo, soar above, am impending, lose the reduplication.

Spondeo, spospondi, sponsum, vow.

Despondeo, despondi, promise; respondeo, respondi, answer, are likewise without the reduplication.

Tondeo, tŏtondi, tonsum, shear.

The compounds lose the reduplication, as attordeo, detondeo.

[§ 180.] 7. Verbs without Perfect and Supine.

Aveo, desire. Compare Chap. LIX. 9.

Calveo, am bald (calvus).

Cāneo, am grey (canus).

Clueo (also in the passive clueor, and after the third conjugation, cluo, cluere), am called, is obsolete.

Flaveo, am yellow (flavus).

Foeteo, stink (foetidus).

Hěbeo, am dull, stupid (hebes).

Hūmeo, am damp (humidus).

Liveo, am pale or envious (lividus).

(Mineo) immineo, to be imminent, threatening. Promineo, am prominent.

Maereo, mourn (maestus).

Polleo, am strong.

Renideo, shine, smile.

Scăteo, gush forth (Scatere in Lucretius).

Squāleo, am dirty (squalidus).

Věgeo, am gay (vegětus).

Cieo, cière, is the same word as the rare and obsolete cio, cire, stir up; both make the perfect cīvi, according to the fourth conjugation; in the supine they differ in quantity, cieo making cĭtum, and cio, cītum.

Note. In the compounds too, e.g. concieo, excieo, the forms of the second and fourth conjugation cannot be separated; but we must observe, that in the signification of "to call," the forms of the fourth are preferred, e.g. imperf. cibam, cirem; infinit. ciri; the participles concitus and excitus signify "excited;" whereas excitus means "called out." Percieo and incitos retain the signification of "to excite," hence percitus and incitus; but accire, to call towards, summon or invite (of which the present indicative does not occur), has only accitus. Derived from citum are: cito, quick; the frequentative citare, and hence excito, incito, and suscito.

[§ 181.] 8. Semideponents. (See above § 148.)

Audeo, ausus sum, venture. (Partic. future ausurus.)

The ancient future subjunctive (see § 162.) ausim, ausis, ausit, ausint, is a remnant of the obsolete perfect ausi. The participle ausus, and its compound inausus, are used in poetical language with a passive signification.

Gaudeo, gavīsus sum, rejoice. (Partic. fut. gavisurus.)

Soleo, solitus sum, am accustomed (to do something).

The impersonal compound assölet, signifies "it usually happens."

CHAP. XLVI.

THIRD CONJUGATION.

In the list of verbs of this conjugation it seems to be still more necessary, than in the preceding one, to include those verbs which, according to Chapter XL., form their perfect and supine regularly. We divide them into several classes according to the characteristic letter which precedes the o in the present, agreeably to the method which has long since been adopted in Greek grammars.

[§ 182.] 1. Verbs which have a Vowel before o including those in yo.

The following have the Perfect and Supine regular: Acŭo, acŭi, acūtum, sharpen.

Exacuo and peracuo, strengthen the meaning; praeacuo, sharpen at the end.

Arguo, accuse, convict of (perf. passive in the latter sense usually convictus, from convincere.) Argūtus as an adjective signifies "clear."

Coarguo, the same; redarguo, refute a charge.

Imbuo, to dip, imbue.

Induo, put on; exuo, strip off.

Luo (participle luiturus), pay, atone for.

Abluo and eluo, wash off; polluo, defile; diluo, refute, are derived from another luo (lavo), and all make the supine in lūtum.

Minuo, lessen.

Comminuo, deminuo, diminuo, imminuo, strengthen the meaning.

(Nuo, nod, does not occur; from it are formed)

Abnuo, refuse; annuo, assent; innuo, allude, or refer to; renuo, decline; all of which have no supine; abnuo alone has a participle future, abnuiturus.

Ruo (supine ruitum, ruiturus at least is derived from it; rŭtum occurs only in compounds, and is otherwise obsolete), fall.

Dirŭo, dirŭi, dirŭtum, destroy; obruo, overwhelm; proruo, rush forwards. Corruo, fall down; and irruo, rush on, have no supine.

Spuo, spit.

Conspuo, spit on; despuo, reject with disgust.

Statuo, establish.

Constituo and instituo, institute; restituo, re-establish; substituo, establish instead of; destituo, abandon.

Sternuo, sneeze (without supine); the frequentative sternūto is more commonly used.

Suo, sew.

Consuo, sew together; dissuo and resuo, unsew.

Tribuo, allot to.

Attribuo, the same; distribuo, divide; contribuo, contribute.

Solvo, solvi, solūtum, loosen.

Absolvo, acquit; dissolvo, dissolve; exsolvo, release; persolvo, pay.

Volvo, roll (frequentative volūto).

Evolvo, unroll; involvo, roll up; pervolvo, read through.

The following are without a Supine:

Congruo, congrui, agree, and ingruo, penetrate. The simple verb (gruo or ruo?) does not exist.

Metuo, metui, fear. (Timeo likewise without supine.) So Priscian. But metutum occurs in Lucret. v. 1139.

Pluo, pluvi, usually impersonal, it rains. Priscian knows only the perfect plui, which often occurs in Livy. Charisius mentions pluxi. Impluvi or implui are doubtful. The comp. compluo and perpluo do not occur in the perfect.

The following are irregular:

[§ 183.] Capio, cēpi, captum, capere, take hold of.

The compounds change \check{a} into \check{i} , and in the supine a into e, except antecapio. Accipio, receive; excipio, receive as a guest, succeed; recipio, receive; suscipio, undertake; decipio, deceive; percipio, comprehend; praecipio, give a precept.

Făcio, fēci, factum, do, make.

Arefacio, dry up; assuefacio and consuefacio, accustom; calefacio and tepefacio, warm; frigefacio, cool; labefacio, make to totter; patefacio, open; satisfacio, satisfy. These have in the passive -fio, -factus sum, -fieri. But those which change a into i form their own passive in -ficior, and make the supine in -fectum: afficio, affect; conficio and perficio, complete; deficio, fall off, am wanting; interficio, kill; proficio, make progress; reficio, revive, repair; officio, stand in the way, injure. Confit, confieri, however, is used as a passive of conficio, but only in the third person, and not by Cicero. Defit, it is wanting, is common in the comic writers.

Other compounds of facio follow the first conjugation: amplifico, sacrifico, and the deponents gratificor, ludificor.

Jăcio, jēci, jactum, throw.

The compounds change & into i, and in the supine into e, except superjacio, of which, however, superjectum also is found. Abjicio, throw away; adjicio, add; dejicio, throw down; ejicio, throw out; injicio, throw in; objicio, throw against; rejicio, throw back; transjicio or trajicio, throw or carry across. These compounds are sometimes found with i instead of ji: abicere, inicere, reicere (in the last ei is a diphthong in Virg. Ecl. iii. 96.: a flumine reice capellas); and this pronunciation was with the ancients much more frequent, or perhaps the common one, for in MSS. it is written so almost everywhere; and Priscian mentions a form icio as synonymous with jacio. No certain conclusion, however, can be come to, as the most ancient MSS., such as the Codex Mediceus of Virgil, have a simple i where the length of the preceding syllable shows the existence of the consonant j.

[§ 184.] The following have x in the Perfect:

(From the obsolete *lacio*, entice, of which *lacto* is the frequentative), *allicio*, *exi*, *ectum*, allure; *illicio*, entice in; *pellicio*, lead astray; but *elicio* makes *elicui*, *elicitum*, draw out.

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft W.

(From specio, xi, ctum, see, of which the frequentative is specto) aspicio, exi, ectum, look on; conspicio, the same; despicio, look down, despise; dispicio and perspicio, understand; inspicio, look into; respicio, look back; suspicio, look up, reverence.

Fluo, fluxi, fluctum, flow.

Affluo, flow in; confluo, flow together; effluo, flow out; interfluo, flow between.

Struo, struxi, structum, build, pile.

Construo and exstruo, build up; destruo, pull down; instruo, set in order.

Vīvo, vixi, victum, live.

[§ 185.] Other Irregularities.

Fŏdio, fōdi, fossum, dig.

Effodio, dig out; confodio and perfodio, dig, pierce through; suffodio, undermine.

Fŭgio, fūgi, fugitum, flee.

 $Auf \check{u} gio$ and effugio, flee away, escape; confugio and perfugio, take refuge.

Cŭpio, -īvi, -ītum, desire.

Discupio, percupio, strengthen the meaning. Concupio only in the participle concupiens, otherwise concupisco.

Răpio, rapui, raptum, rob, snatch.

Arripio, arripui, arreptum, seize; abripio and eripio, snatch away; deripio, plunder; surripio, steal clandestinely.

Părio, pepëri, partum, bring forth. (But the particip. fut. act. pariturus.) Lucretius has pariri.

Quătio (quassi is not found), quassum, shake.

Concutio, ussi, ussum, shake violently; discutio, shake asunder; excutio, shake out, off (fig. examine); incutio, drive into; percutio, strike; repercutio, rebound.

Săpio, ivi and ui, (no supine,) am wise.

 $Des \check{\imath} pio$ (without perfect), am foolish; resipio, have a taste of, or become wise again.

(From the obsolete present coepio,) coepi and coeptus sum, coeptum, (coepere,) have begun. See § 221.

CHAP. XLVII.

[§ 186.] 2. VERBS IN DO AND TO.

The following are regular:

Claudo, clausi, clausum, claudere, close.

Conclūdo, shut up, conclude; excludo and secludo, shut out; includo; shut in, are all derived from a form cludo which is still in use.

Divido, divīsi, divīsum, divide.

Laedo, injure.

Allīdo, strike against; illīdo, strike upon; collīdo, strike together; elīdo, strike out.

Lūdo, sport.

Collūdo, play with; allūdo, play upon; eludo, deludo, and illudo, ridicule.

Plaudo, si, sum, clap.

Applaudo, applaud. The other compounds (with a different pronunciation) have -ōdo, -ōsi, -ōsum; as explodo, explode; complodo, clap the hands; supplodo, stamp with the feet.

Rādo, shave, scrape; so in abrādo, circumrādo, derādo, erādo; corrādo, scrape together.

Rodo, gnaw.

Abrōdo and derodo, gnaw off; arrodo, nibble; circumrodo, nibble all round; perrodo, gnaw through.

Trūdo, thrust, with its compounds: detrudo, thrust down; extrudo, thrust out; protrudo, thrust forwards.

Vādo (no perfect or supine), go.

But evādo, evasi, evasum, escape; invado, attack; pervado, go through.

[§ 187.] The following are irregular:

a) With a Reduplication in the Perfect.

Cădo, cecidi, cāsum, fall.

Of the compounds, these have a supine: incido, incidi, incāsum, fall in or upon; occido, set; recido, fall back. The rest have none: concido, sink together; decido, fall down; excido, fall out of; accidit, it happens (used most commonly of a misfortune).

Caedo, cecidi, caesum, cut.

Abscīdo, abscīdi, abscīsum, cut. off; concīdo, cut to pieces; incīdo, cut into; occīdo, kill; recīdo, cut away. So decīdo, excīdo, praecīdo, and others.

Pedo, pepēdi, (peditum,) πέρδεσθαι.

Pendo, pependi, pensum, weigh.

Appendo, appendi, appensum, weigh out to; expendo, spend, also consider, like perpendo; suspendo, hang from; dependo, pay; impendo, employ upon or in something. See § 179.

Tendo, tetendi, tensum and tentum, stretch.

Extendo, ostendo, protendo, and retendo, have both supines; but ex- and protentum are more frequent; but ostensum. Retentus is found only in Ovid, Metam. iii. 166., retensus only in Phaedrus, iii. 14. 5. Detendo has detensus, in Caes. B. C. iii. 85.; this participle does not elsewhere occur. The other compounds have only tum in the supine: attendo (sc. animum), attend; contendo (sc. me), strive; distendo, separate, or enlarge by stretching; intendo, strain; obtendo and praetendo, commonly used in the figurative sense of alleging; subtendo, stretch beneath.

Tundo, tutŭdi, tunsum and tūsum, beat, pound.

The compounds have only tūsum; contundo, contūdi, contusum, pound small; extundo, (figurative) elaborate; obtundo and retundo, blunt.

Crēdo, credidi, creditum, believe.

Accrēdo, accredidi, give credit to.

The compounds of do, except those mentioned in § 171.

Condo, condidi, conditum, build, conceal; abdo, abdidi, hide. So addo, add; dedo, give up; edo, give out, publish; perdo, ruin, lose; reddo, give back, render, with an adjective of quality; trado, deliver; vendo, sell. (The passive vendi, except the participles venditus and vendendus, is rare, and occurs only in late writers; venire is used instead. See § 215. But abscondo appears in the perfect more frequently without the reduplication, abscondi, than with it, abscondidi.)

[§ 188.] b) Making di in the Perfect, and sum in the Supine.

Accendo, incendo, succendo, -cendi, -censum, light, kindle.

Cūdo, forge.

Excūdo and procūdo, fashion, hammer out.

Defendo, defend, ward off.

Edo, eat. See § 212.

Exědo and comědo, -ēdi, -ēsum, (but also comestus,) consume. Ibid.

Mando (perfect very rare), chew.

Offendo, offend.

Prehendo, seize; in early times frequently contracted into prendo.

Apprehendo, comprehendo, lay hold of, (figurative) understand; deprehendo, detect, seize in the fact; reprehendo, blame.

Scando, climb.

Ascendo and escendo, climb up; descendo, descend; conscendo and inscendo, mount, embark.

Strīdo (also strīdeo), strīdi (no supine), grate, make a harsh noise.

Fundo, $f\bar{u}di$, $f\bar{u}sum$, pour.

Diffundo, pour out, spread abroad; offundo, pour over; profundo, waste; affundo, confundo, effundo, infundo.

[§ 189.] c) Other Irregularities, especially that of a double s in the Supine.

Cēdo, cessi, cessum, yield, go.

Abscēdo, go away; accēdo, go to; antecedo, surpass; concedo, give way; decedo, go away; discedo, separate myself; excedo, go out; incedo, march; intercedo, come between, interpose; recedo, retreat; succedo, come into one's place.

Findo, fidi, fissum, split.

Diffindo, diffidi, split asunder.

Scindo, scidi, scissum, cut.

Conscindo, conscidi, conscissum, tear to pieces; e.g. vestem, epistolam; discindo, interscindo (e. g. pontem), perscindo, and proscindo have similar meanings. Rescindo, annul. Respecting the forms of abscindo, cut off, and exscindo, destroy, there is considerable doubt. According to Gronovius on Livy, xliv. 5., and Drakenborch on Silius Ital. xv. 473., two analogous formations are now generally distinguished: abscindo, abscidi, abscissum, and exscindo, excidi, excissum; and abscissum and excissum are said to occur where the present is abscindo, exscindo; but abscisum and excisum, where abscīdo and excīdo are derived from caedo. But this supposition is contradicted by usage; for we find, e. g., urbes excisæ, although exscindere urbem is a frequent expression; and all the MSS. of Horace, Serm. ii. 3. 303., have caput abscisum, although we may say abscindere caput. In short, our opinion is that the forms abscissum and exscissum do not exist at all, because, in pronunciation, they are the same as abscisum and excisum, from abscidere and excidere, whose signification is not very different; and, moreover, that the perfect exscidi also is not founded on any authority, since the s by which it is distinguished is not heard in pronunciation, and is better not introduced in writing. Respecting the pronunciation and orthography, see § 6. and Chap. LXVI. Thus there remain only abscindo, abscidi, abscindere, and excindo, excindere.

Frendo (the perfect does not occur), fressum and fresum, gnash with the teeth; also frendeo, frendere.

Měto, messui, messum, cut, reap.

Deměto, cut off. The perfects messui and demessui are not common; in the sense of reaping, messem feci is more commonly used.

Mitto, mīsi, missum, send.

Admitto, admit, commit; amitto, lose; committo, intrust, commit a fault; demitto and dimitto, dismiss; emitto, send forth; immitto, send in, against; intermitto, omit; omitto and praetermitto, leave out; permitto, permit; promitto, promise; remitto, send back; submitto, send up, send aid.

Pando, pandi, passum (pansum rare), spread abroad.

Expando has expansum and expassum; dispando only dispansum.

Pěto, petīvi (in poetry, especially in compounds, petii), petītum, ask, seek.

Appěto and expěto, strive for; oppeto, encounter; repeto, repeat, seek again; competo, meet together, correspond.

Sido (the perfect and supine usually from sedeo), sit down.

The compounds, too, usually take the perfect and supine from sedeo: consīdo, consēdi, consessum; so assido, seat myself beside; subsido, sink; insido, sit upon; desido and resido, seat myself down. But the form sīdi cannot be entirely denied, either in the simple verb or its compounds.

Sisto, stiti (obsolete), stătum, stop (whence stătus), but sisto, in a neutral sense, makes the perfect and supine from stare.

The compounds are all intransitive, and have stiti, stitum; subsisto, substiti, substitum, stand still; absisto (no supine) and desisto, desist; assisto, place myself beside; consisto, halt, consist; existo, come forth (perf. exist); insisto, tread upon; obsisto and resisto, resist; persisto, persist. Those compounded with dissyllabic prepositions may make the perfect in steti, e.g. circumstěti in Suet. Caes. 82.; Tacit. Ann. xiii. 52.

Sterto, stertui, (no supine,) snore: the perf. sterti rests on the authority of the old reading in Ov. Her. viii. 21.

Verto, verti, versum, turn.

Adverto and converto, turn towards; animadverto (animum adverto), turn attention to; averto, turn from; everto, destroy; perverto and subverto, overturn.

Deverto, turn in to a house of entertainment; praeverto, anticipate; and

reverto, turn back; are used in the present, imperfect, and future as deponents more commonly than as actives.

Fido, fīsus sum, fidere, trust.

So confido, confide; diffido, distrust; which have rarely confidi, diffidi, in the perfect.

CHAP. XLVIII.

[§ 190.] 3. VERBS IN BO AND PO.

Regular are:

Glūbo (glupsi), gluptum (at least degluptum is found), glubere, peel.

 $N\bar{u}bo$, cover, am married (applied only to the female), participle nupta, one who is married.

Obnūbo, cover over.

Scribo, write.

Describo, copy; adscribo, inscribo, praescribo, &c.

Carpo, pluck.

Concerpo and discerpo, tear asunder; decerpo, gather.

 $R\bar{e}po$, creep.

Arrēpo, creep up to; irrēpo, obrepo, subrepo, prorepo.

Scalpo, grave with a pointed tool, or scratch with the finger.

Sculpo, work with the chisel.

Exculpo, cut out; insculpo, engrave.

Serpo, creep. The supine has not yet been found. Inserpo, proserpo.

[§ 191.] The following are irregular:

The compounds of *cubare*, to lie, which take an *m* with a change of meaning; those which do not change the simple *cubare*, denote 'to lie;' the compounds of the 3d Conjugation commonly signify 'to lay oneself down.'

Accumbo, -cubui, -cubitum, recline at table; incumbo, lean upon, apply to

Univ Calif - Dignized by Microsoft W

something; procumbo, lie down; succumbo, fall under; occumbo (suppl. mortem), die.

Bibo, bibi, bibitum, drink.

Ebibo, imbibo.

Lambo, lambi, (lambitum, Priscian,) lambere, lick.

Rumpo, rūpi, ruptum, break, tear.

Abrumpo, break off; erumpo, break out; corrumpo, destroy; interrumpo, interrupt; irrumpo, break in; perrumpo, break through; prorumpo, break forth.

Scăbo, scabi, scabere, scratch with the finger.

Strepo, strepui, strepitum, make a noise.

CHAP. XLIX.

[§ 192.] 4. VERBS WITH A PALATAL LETTER, G, C, CT, H, QU, AND GU (IN WHICH U IS NOT CONSIDERED AS A VOWEL), BEFORE O.

Regular are:

Cingo, cinxi, cinctum, cingere, gird, surround.

Accingo, in the passive, or me, has the same meaning; discingo, ungird; and others.

From fligo, which rarely occurs, are formed:

Affligo, strike to the ground; confligo, fight; infligo, strike upon. Profligo belongs to the first conjugation.

Frigo (supine regular, frictum, rarely frixum), roast, parch.

Jungo, join.

Adjungo and conjungo, join to, with; disjungo and sejungo, separate; subjungo, annex.

Lingo, lick. (Hence ligūrio or ligurrio.)

Mungo, blow the nose (rare); emungo.

Plango, beat, lament.

Rĕgo, rule, guide.

Arrigo, arrexi, arrectum, and erigo, raise on high; corrigo, amend; dirigo, direct; porrigo, stretch out. Pergo (for perrigo), perrexi, per-

rectum, go on; surgo (for surrigo), surrexi, surrectum, rise; and hence assurgo, consurgo, exurgo, insurgo.

Sūgo, suck, exugo.

Tĕgo, cover.

Contego and obtego, cover up; detego and retego, uncover; protego, protect.

Tingo or tinguo, dip, dye.

Ungo or unguo, anoint.

Perungo, strengthens the meaning; inungo, anoint.

Stinguo, put out (has no perfect or supine, and is of rare occurrence).

Compounds: extinguo, and restinguo, -inxi, -inctum; so distinguo and instinguo, though from a different root, the Greek $\sigma\tau i\zeta\omega$. Only the participle instinctus is used in the sense of 'spurred on, inspired,' and no other tense is found (otherwise instigare is used).

Trăho, draw.

Pertrăho strengthens the meaning; attraho, contraho, detraho, extraho, protraho, retraho; subtraho, withdraw secretly.

Věho, carry (active); frequent. vecto, -as.

Advěho, carry to; inveho, carry or bring in. The passive of this verb vehor, vectus sum, vehi, is best rendered by a neuter verb of motion. So circumvěhor, travel round; praetervěhor, sail past; invěhor, inveigh against These verbs therefore are classed among the deponents.

Dico, say.

Addīco, adjudge; contradico, edico, indico; interdico, forbid; praedico.

Dūco, guide, lead, draw.

 $Abd\bar{u}co$, adduco, circumduco; conduco, hire; deduco, diduco, educo, induco, introduco, obduco, perduco, produco, reduco; seduco, lead aside; subduco, traduco.

Cŏquo, coxi, coctum, dress.

Concoquo, digest; decoquo, boil down, squander.

[§ 193.] Irregular in the Supine, throwing out n, or assuming x.

Fingo, finxi, fictum, feign.

Confingo, the same; affingo, falsely ascribe; effingo, imitate; refingo, fashion anew.

Mingo (a more common form of the present is meio), minxi, mictum, make water.

Pingo, pinxi, pictum, paint.

Depingo, represent by painting; appingo, expingo.

Stringo, strinxi, strictum, squeeze together.

Astringo, draw close; constringo, draw together; destringo, draw out; distringo, draw asunder; obstringo, bind by obligation; perstringo, ridicule.

Figo, fixi, fixum, fasten.

Affigo, affix; transfigo, pierce through.

Verbs in cto, in which t only strengthens the form of the Present.

Flecto, flexi, flexum, bend. Comp. inflecto.

Necto, nexi and nexui, nexum, bind.

Pecto, pexi, pexum, comb.

Plecto, without perfect and supine, from the Greek, πλήσσω, strike; usually only in the passive, plector, am punished, smart for. Another plecto, from the Greek πλέκω, twist, is obsolete as an active, but forms the foundation of the deponents: amplector, complector; participle amplexus, complexus.

Of ango, anxi, torment; and ningo, ninxi, snow, no supine is found.

Of clango, ring loudly, neither perfect nor supine; according to analogy the former would be clanxi.

[§ 194.] The following are irregular in the formation of the Perfect:

a) Taking a Reduplication.

Parco, peperci, parsum, spare; parsi is rare, and an archaism; parcitum is uncertain.

The distinction is commonly made, that in the sense of sparing life, health, peperci, parcitum, in that of sparing money parsi, parsum, are used; but the distinction cannot be carried out, for the sense is, in fact, the same, viz. to consume as little as possible of any thing. Parco or comparco, -parsi or -persi, -parsum, to accumulate by saving, with the accus, occurs indeed in comedy; but this use of the word is very rare, and does not seem to have been common in ordinary life, where other expressions were used, such as pecuniam facere, or in futuros usus colligere, and parco retained its dative and its ordinary meaning.

Pungo, pupugi, punctum, pierce.

The compounds have in the perfect punxi; as compungo, dispungo, and interpungo, distinguish with points.

Tango, tetigi, tactum, touch.

Attingo and contingo, -tigi, -tactum, touch; contingit, contigit; obtingit, obtigit (as impersonals), it falls to the lot; usually in a good sense.

Pango, in the sense of strike, drive in, panxi (obsolete pegi), panctum; in the sense of bargain, pepigi, pactum. In this sense paciscor is employed in the present.

The compounds have $p\bar{e}gi$, pactum; as compingo, fasten together; impingo. So also oppango, $opp\bar{e}gi$, strike upon. Of depango and repango, the perfect and supine are found in the classics.

[§ 195.] b) Without changing the Characteristic Letter.

Ago, ēgi, actum, agere, drive.

Cōgo (coăgo), cŏēgi, coactum, drive together, force; perăgo, carry through; abĕgo, drive away; adigo, exigo, redigo, subigo, transigo. Prodigo, -egi (without supine), squander; ambigo, am irresolute, doubt, and satago (satis ago), am busy, are both without perfect and supine.

Dēgo, dēgi (rare), no supine, spend (vitam, aetatem).

Frango, frēgi, fractum, break.

Confringo and perfringo strengthen the meaning; effringo and refringo, break open.

Lěgo, lēgi, lectum, read. (But lēgo, as, send off).

So perlěgo, praelěgo, with those changing ě into ř, as colligo, deligo, eligo, and seligo, are conjugated. But diligo, intelligo (obsolete intellěgo), and negligo (obsolete neglěgo), have -exi in the perfect. The perfects intellěgi and neglēgi are uncertain or unclassical.

Ico or icio, ici, ictum, strike, in connection with foedus. Priscian (p. 877. and 886.) mentions both forms, but nothing can be decided, as icit only occurs in the present, and iciunt in Tacitus (Ann. xi. 9.) is only a wrong conjecture for faciunt. Otherwise ferio is used in the present instead.

Vinco, vīci, victum, conquer.

Convinco, persuade; devinco, overcome; evinco, carry a point, establish by argument.

Linquo, liqui, leave, (no supine,) chiefly used in poetry.

The compounds relinquo, derelinquo, delinquo, have lictum in the supine.

[§ 196.] c) Perfect si, Supine sum.

Mergo, mersi, mersum, dip.

Emergo, demergo, and immergo, submergo.

Spargo, sparsi, sparsum, scatter.

 $Aspergo,\ conspergo,\ and\ respergo,\ -ersi,\ -ersum,\ besprinkle\ ;\ expergo,\ sprinkle\ abroad.$

Tergo, tersi, tersum, wipe. (See above, § 177.)

Vergo, vergere, incline towards, without perfect and supine.

CHAP. L.

[§ 197.] 5. VERBS WHICH HAVE L, M, N, R, BEFORE O.

Regular verbs in mo.

Cōmo, compsi, comptum, comere, adorn.

Dēmo, take away.

Prōmo, bring out.

Deprōmo, exprōmo, the same in signification.

 $S\bar{u}mo$, take.

Absūmo and consumo, consume; assumo, desumo.

Temno, temnere, despise (poetical).

Contemno, contempsi, contemptum, the same meaning.

Irregular.

[§ 198.] a) Conjugated according to the Analogy of the Second Conjugation.

Alo, alui, alitum (or altum), alere, nourish.

Altus occurs in Cicero and Sallust; afterwards alitus becomes the common form, as in Livy and Val. Maximus. See Garatoni on Cic. p. Planc. 33.

Cŏlo, colui, cultum, till.

Excolo and percolo strengthen the meaning; incolo, inhabit a country.

Consulo, consului, consultum, ask advice.

Mŏlo, molui, molitum, grind.

Occulo, occului, occultum, conceal.

Frěmo, fremui, fremitum, murmur. Adfrěmo, confrěmo.

Gemo, gemui, gemitum, groan.

Congemo (congemisco), ingemo (ingemisco), ui, no supine, lament.

Tremo, tremui (no supine), tremble. Contremo strengthens the meaning.

Vŏmo, vomui, vomitum, vomit. Evŏmo, revŏmo.

Gigno, beget, has (from the obsolete geno), genui, genitum. Ingigno, implant; progigno, bring forth.

Pono, posui (posivi obs.), positum, place.

Antepōno, prefer; appono, place by; compono, arrange; depono, lay down; dispono, set out, or in order; expono, explain; oppono, oppose; postpono, to place after; praepono, prefer; sepono, set on one side. Respecting the short o in the perfect and supine see § 18. 3.

(From the obsolete *cello*)—

Antecello, excello, praecello, ui, (without supine,) surpass; but percello, perculi, perculsum, strike down.

[§ 199.] b) Forming the Perfect with Reduplication.

Căno, cecini, cantum, canere, sing.

Succino, succinui, succentum, sing to; so occino (or occano), sing, sound against; concino, ui, harmonize, or, in an active sense, begin a song, without supine, but the substantive concentus is derived from it. Of accino, intercino, and recino (or recano), no perfect or supine is found; but from accino we have the substantive accentus.

Curro, cucurri, cursum, run.

The compounds, accurro, decurro, excurro, incurro, percurro, praecurro, and others, sometimes retain, but more frequently drop the reduplication in the perfect.

Fallo, fefelli, falsum, cheat.

Refello, refelli, (no supine,) refute.

Pello, pepŭli, pulsum, drive away.

Appello, appŭli, appulsum, come to land. In the same way are conjugated,

compello, urge, compel; depello, propello, repello, drive away; expello, drive out; impello and perpello, urge on.

[§ 200.] c) Making vi in the Perfect.

Cerno, crevi, cretum, separate, see, perceive. In the sense of seeing, perceiving, the verb has neither perfect nor supine. The perfect crevi is used in juristical language in the sense of decrevi, and in the phrase hereditatem cernere for hereditatem adire.

Compounds: Decerno, decrevi, decretum, decree; so discerno, excerno, secerno, separate, distinguish.

Lina, lēvi (or livi), lĭtum, smear.

Collino, illino, perlino, oblino (participle oblitus, not to be confounded with oblitus from obliviscor), perlino, besmear. There is also a regular verb, of the fourth conjugation, of the same meaning, from which the compounds allinio, circumlinio, illinio, and others used by later writers, are derived.

Sino, sivi, situm, allow. In the perfect subjunctive we find sirim, siris, sirit, along with siverit. (Situs, situated, is perhaps derived from this verb).

Desino, desivi and desii (at least desit for desiit in Martial, see § 160. note, for desierunt is no proof), desitum, cease. (Desitus est is also used as a perfect with the infin. passive, like coeptus est. See § 221.)

Sperno, sprevi, spretum, despise.

Sterno, strāvi, stratum, stretch out on the ground.

Consterno, insterno, spread out (but consterno, as, frighten); prosterno, throw down; substerno, spread under.

Sero, in the sense of sowing, has sevi, satum; in that of arranging and connecting together it is said to have serui, sertum, but these forms of the simple verb do not occur, though serta, garlands, is derived from sertum.

The compounds are variously conjugated according to their meaning. Consero and insero make -ui, -ertum, in the sense of joining; -evi, -itum, in the sense of sowing. The following compounds are used only in the sense of joining:—Desero, dissero, exsero, and accordingly make only serui, sertum. That the verbs sero, sevi, and sero, serui, are really the same, is proved by the interchange of inserere and conserere in good authors, of which any dictionary may furnish examples.

Těro, trīvi, tritum, rub.

Contero, rub to pieces; attero, rub away, injure (perfect also atterui); extero, remove by rubbing.

[§ 201.] d) Other Irregularities.

Vello, velli, and vulsi (but more frequently velli), vulsum, pluck out.

The compounds convello, revello, and divello, have only velli in the perfect, but avello and evello have also avulsi and evulsi.

Psallo, psalli, psallere, play on a stringed instrument.

Emo, emi, emptum, buy.

Coemo, collect by purchase; redimo, purchase back. The signification "take" appears in the compounds adimo, take away; dirimo, divide; eximo, take out; interimo, take away, kill; perimo, destroy.

Prěmo, pressi, pressum, press.

Comprimo, press together; deprimo, opprimo, supprimo, press down; exprimo, press out.

Gĕro, gessi, gestum, carry, transact.

Congero, bring together; digero, arrange; ingero, introduce.

Uro, ussi, ustum, burn.

 $Ad\bar{u}ro$, kindle; $comb\bar{u}ro$, consume by fire; $in\bar{u}ro$, burn in, brand; $ex\bar{u}ro$, burn out.

Verro, verri, versum, sweep out.

Quaero, quaesīvi, quaesītum, seek.

Another pronunciation of the same word is quaeso. (See § 224.) Acquiro, acquire; conquiro, collect; anquiro, exquiro, inquiro, perquiro, examine; requiro, miss, require.

(Fŭro), furere, rage (without perfect or supine); insanivi is used as a perfect instead. Even the first person present is not found, though furis and furit are common.

Fero, tŭli, lātum, ferre, is irregular in several points. See below, § 213.

CHAP. LI.

[§ 202.] 6. VERBS IN SO AND XO.

Depso, depsui, depsitum and depstum knead.

Pinso, pinsui and pinsi, pinsitum and pistum (also pinsum), pound, grind.

Viso, visi, visere, visit. The supine visum belongs to videre, from which visere itself is derived.

Texo, texui, textum, weave.

Compounds frequently with a figurative signification: attexo, add; contexo, put together; obtexo, cover; pertexo, carry out; praetexo, add a hem; retexo, to undo that which is woven, destroy.

After the Analogy of the Fourth Conjugation:

Arcesso, or accerso, -īvi, -ītum, summon.

Both modes of writing this word are found in good MSS. and editions; compare Schneider's *Elementarlehre*, p. 257. foll., and the quotations in Kritz on Sallust, *Catil.* 40. The infinitive passive *arcessiri* occurs sometimes, as in Caes. *Bell. Gall.* v. 11. Oudendorp.

Capesso, undertake.

Facesso, give trouble, especially with negotium and periculum, also equivalent to proficiscor, get off. (facesseris, in Cic. Div. in Q. Caec. 14.)

Incesso, attack; no supine. Perfect, incessivi: incessi is doubtful (Tac. Hist. iii. 77.), unless we refer to this root, and not to incedo, the frequently occurring phrase, cura, desperatio, &c., incessit animos.

Lacesso, provoke.

[§ 203.] 7. Verbs in sco, either not Inchoatives, or of which the Simple is no longer found.

Cresco, crēvi, crētum, grow.

So also con-, de-, excresco, and without a supine: accresco, incresco, grow up, and succresco, grow up gradually.

Nosco, nōvi, nōtum, become acquainted with. The original form is gnosco (Greek γυγνώσκω), and the g reappears in the compounds, if possible.

The perfect novi takes the signification of the present, "I know" (§ 221.); the supine is mentioned only on account of the compounds, for the participle notus has become an adjective, and the participle future does not occur. The comp. agnosco, recognise, cognosco (perf. cognovi, I know), and recognosco, recognise, have in the supine agnitum, cognitum,

recognitum; ignosco, pardon, has ignotum; dignosco and internosco have no supine.

Pasco, pavi, pastum, feed.

Depasco, feed down. The deponent pascor, feed or eat.

Quiesco, quievi, quietum, rest.

Acquiesco, repose with satisfaction; conquiesco, requiesco, rest.

Suesco, suevi, suetum, mostly intransitive, grow accustomed, or, more rarely, accustom another. But suetus signifies "accustomed."

So also assuesco, consuesco, insuesco, generally accustom one's self; desuesco, disaccustom one's self. Some passages where they occur in a transitive sense (in which otherwise the compounds with facio are used, see § 183.) are referred to by Bentley on Horace, Serm. i. 4. 105.

Compesco, compescui, (no supine,) restrain.

Dispesco, dispescui, (no supine,) divide.

Disco, didici, (no supine: disciturus in Appuleius,) learn.

Addisco, addidici, learn in addition; dedisco, unlearn; edisco, learn by heart.

Posco, poposci, (no supine), demand.

Deposco, depoposci, and reposco, demand back; exposco, expoposci, challenge.

Glisco, gliscere, increase.

Hisco, hiscere, open the mouth, gape.

CHAP. LII.

majtter

INCHOATIVES.

[§ 204.] The inchoatives (see § 234.) in sco are partly formed from verbs (chiefly of the second conjugation*), and partly from nouns (substantives or adjectives), and are accordingly called inchoativa verbalia or inchoativa nominalia, that is, verbal or nominal

^{*} According to a passage in Gellius, vi. 15., they were probably pronounced with a naturally long e, as $cal\bar{e}sco$, $pall\bar{e}sco$.

inchoatives. The first have no other perfect than that of the simple verb; the others either have none, or form it in a similar way in ui. Few of the verbal inchoatives have the supine of the simple verb.

Only those which are of most frequent occurrence are given in the following list. There are a great many more, but their formation is easy and analogous. Thus we may form inchoatives to the intransitive verbs in Chap. XLV., if there is any occasion for it, and we may be assured that it occurs in some passage or other of the ancients.

1. Verbal Inchoatives with the Perfect of the Simple Verb.

Acesco (aceo), acui, grow sour; coacesco, peracesco.

Albesco, and exalbesco (albeo), exalbui, grow white.

Aresco (areo), arui, grow dry.

Calesco (caleo), calui, become warm.

Canesco (caneo), canui, become grey.

Conticesco (taceo), conticui, am reduced to silence.

Contremisco (tremo), contremui, tremble.

Defervesco (ferveo), deferbui, gradually lose my heat.

Delitesco (lateo), delitui, lurk.

Effervesco (ferveo), efferbui, grow hot.

Excandesco (candeo), excandui, grow of a white heat; figuratively, am enraged.

Extimesco, pertimesco (timeo), extimui, am terrified.

Floresco, de-, effloresco (floreo), efflorui, bloom.

Haeresco, and ad-, inhaeresco (haereo), ad-, inhaesi, adhere to. Horresco, exhorresco, perhorresco (horreo), exhorrui, am struck with horror.

Ingemisco (gemo), ingemui, groan.

Intumesco (tumeo), intumui, swell up. Irraucisco (raucio), irrausi, become hoarse.

Languesco, elanguesco, relanguesco (langueo), elangui, become feeble.

Liquesco (liqueo), licui, melt away.

Madesco (madeo), madui, become wet.

Marcesco (marceo), comp. commarcesco, emarcesco, emarcui, fade.

Occallesco (calleo), occallui, acquire a callous surface.

Pallesco, expallesco (palleo), pallui, turn pale.

Putresco (putreo), putrui, moulder.

Resipisco (sapio), resipui and resipivi, recover wisdom.

Rubesco, erubesco (rubeo), grow red, blush.

Senesco, consenesco (seneo), consenui, grow old. The participle senectus, grown old, is little used.

Stupesco and obstupesco (stupeo), obstupui, am struck.

Tabesco (tabeo), tabui, pine, waste away.

Tepesco (tepeo), tepui, grow lukewarm. Viresco, comp. conviresco, eviresco, reviresco (vireo), virui, grow green.

2. Verbal Inchoatives which have the Supine as well as Perfect of the Simple Verb.

Abolesco, abolevi, abolitum, cease, am annihilated.

Exolesco, exolevi, exoletum, grow useless by age. So also obsolesco.

Adolesco, adolevi, adultum, grow up. See § 174. Oleo.

Coalesco (alere), coalui, coalitum, grow together.

Concupisco (cupere), concupivi, concupitum, desire.

Convalesco (valēre), convalui, convalitum, recover health.

Exardesco (ardēre), exarsi, exarsum, am inflamed.

Indolesco (dolēre), indolui, ĭtum, feel pain.

Inveterasco (inveterare), inveteravi, atum, grow old.

Obdormisco (dormire), ivi, itum, fall asleep; edormisco, sleep out.

Revivisco (vivere), revixi, revictum, recover life.

Scisco (scire), scivi, scītum, resolve, decree. Hence plebiscītum, populiscītum.

[205.] 3. Inchoatives derived from Nouns.

a) Without a Perfect.

Aegresco (aeger), grow sick.

Ditage (diver) grow rich

Ditesco (dives), grow rich.

Dulcesco (dulcis), grow sweet.

Grandesco (grandis), grow large.

Gravesco and ingravesco (gravis), grow heavy.

Incurvesco (curvus), become crooked.

Integrasco (integer), become renovated.

Juvenesco (juvenis), grow young.

Mitesco (mitis), grow mild. Mollesco (mollis), grow soft.

Pinguesco (pinguis), grow fat.

Plumesco (pluma), get feathers.

Puerasco, repuerasco (puer), become a child (again).

Sterilesco (sterilis), become barren.

Teneresco, tenerasco (tener), become tender.

b) With a Perfect.

Crebresco, increbresco, and percrebresco (creber), crebrui, grow frequent or current.

Duresco, obduresco (durus), durui, grow hard.

Evanesco (vanus), evanui, disappear.

Innotesco (notus), innotui, become known.

Macresco (macer), macrui, grow lean.

Mansuesco (mansuetus), mansuevi, grow tame.

Maturesco (maturus), maturui, grow ripe.

Nigresco (niger), nigrui, grow black.

Obmutesco (mutus), obmutui, become dumb.

Obsurdesco (surdus), obsurdui, become deaf.

Recrudesco (crudus), recrudui, to open again (of a wound that had been closed).

Vilesco and evilesco (vilis), evilui, become cheap or worthless.

CHAP. LIII.

FOURTH CONJUGATION.

[§ 206.] THE desiderative verbs (see § 232.) in ŭrio, e. g. coenaturio, dormiturio, empturio, have neither perfect nor supine with the exception of esurio, desire to eat, perfect esurivi, particip. esuriturus; nupturio, desire to marry, and parturio, am in labour, have only perfects, nupturivi and parturivi, but no supine.

The following verbs vary, either in the perfect or in the supine, or in both, from the regular form ($\bar{i}vi$, $\bar{i}tum$).

Cio, civi, citum, regular; but see § 180.

Eo, ivi, itum, with its compounds. See Defective Verbs, § 215.

Farcio, farsi, fartum (also written farctum), farcire, stuff. The supine farsum is more rare and not as good.

Confercio and refercio, fersi, fertum, fill up; effercio, infercio, are conjugated like the simple verb.

Fulcio, fulsi, fultum, fulcire, prop.

The perfect thus presents no external difference from the perfect of fulgeo.

Haurio, hausi, haustum, haurire, draw.

The supine hausum is rare, but the participle hausurus is as common as hausturus.

Queo, quivi or quii, quitum, quire. See § 216.

Raucio, rausi, rausum, raucire, am hoarse (raucus).

The compound irrauserit, in Cic. de Orat. i. 61. See § 204.

Saepio, saepsi, saeptum, saepire (some write sepio), hedge in.

Sălio, salui, more rarely salii (saltum), salire, spring.

In the comp. desilio, exilio, insilio, &c., the perf. -silui is far better than the forms in silii and salivi, and must be restored in the authors of the best age from the MSS. See Drakenb. on Liv. ii. 10., and Schwarz on Pliny, Paneg. 66. The supine does not exist either in the simple verb or in the compounds, though the derivatives saltus, ūs, desultor, insultare, lead us to a form saltum, and in compounds sultum. The regular verb salire,

salt, must not be confounded with salire, spring. The former is synonymous with the obsolete salĕre or sallĕre, from which salsus is derived.

Sancio, sanxi, sancītum and sanctum, sancire, decree, sanction. Sanctus is found as a participle, though it is commonly an adjective, but sancitus is more common.

Sarcio, sarsi, sartum, sarcire, patch.
Resarcio, repair.

Sentio, sensi, sensum, sentire, feel, think.

Consentio, agree; dissentio, disagree; praesentio, perceive beforehand. The compound assentio is not as common as the deponent assentior, but is founded on good authority, e.g. Cic. ad Att. ix. 9.: assentio; ad Fam. v.2.: assensi; and three other instances of the perfect, which are quoted by Bünemann on Lactant. i. 15. 19.

Sepelio, -ivi, sepultum, sepelire, bury.

Věnio, vēni, ventum, venire, come.

Advenio, arrive; convenio, meet; obvenio, encounter; pervenio, reach; invenio, find.

Vincio, vinxi, vinctum, vincire, bind. Devincio, bind closely, bind by duty.

Amicio, amictum, amicire, clothe. (The perfects amixi and amicui are attested by the grammarian Diomedes, p. 364., but are not found in our authors. Amicivi (amicisse) on the other hand occurs in Fronto).

Aperio, ui, rtum, aperire, open.

So operio and cooperio, cover. But comperio makes comperi, compertum, comperire (is used in the present and infinitive, also as a deponent, comperior, comperiri), experience, and reperio, reperi (or repperi), repertum, find.

Ferio—ferire, strike. (In the active percussi is used as a perfect, and in the passive ictus sum.)

Ferocio-ferocire, am wild or insolent.

Visio — visire, βδέω.

Punio, punish, is regular; but is sometimes used by Cicero, as a deponent, de Off. i. 25.: punitur; Tuscul. i. 44.: puniantur; Philip. viii. 3.: puniretur; p. Milon. 13.: punitus es; de Invent. ii. 27.: punitus sis.

CHAP. LIV.

LIST OF DEPONENT VERBS.*

[§ 207.] DEPONENT VERBS OF THE FIRST CONJUGATION.

Adminiculor, aid.

Adversor, oppose myself.

Adūlor, flatter.

Aemulor, rival.

*Altercor, quarrel.

Alucinor (also alluc. and halluc.),

dote, talk idly.

Amplexor, embrace.
Ancillor, am a handmaid.

Apricor, sun myself.

Aquor, fetch water; frumentor, collect corn; lignor, collect wood;

materior, fell timber; pabulor, forage.

Arbitror, think.

Architector, build (architectus).

Argumentor, prove.

Argūtor, chatter, am argutus.

Aspernor, despise.

Assentor, agree, flatter. Auctionor, sell at auction.

Aucupor, catch birds, am auceps.

Aversor, dislike, avoid with horror.

Auguror (augur),
*Auspicor (auspex),
Hariolor (hariolus),

saying.

Vaticinor (vates),

Auxilior, aid.

Bacchor, revel as a Bacchanal.

Calumnior, cavil.

Cavillor, ridicule.

Caupōnor, deal, retail.

Causor, allege.

Circulor, form a circle around me.

Comissor, feast.

Comitor, accompany (comes, active

only in the poets).

Commentor, reflect upon, dispute.

Contionor, harangue. *Conflictor, contend.

Conor, attempt.

Consilior, advise.

Conspicor, behold.

Contemplor, contemplate.

Convicior, revile.

Convivor, feast (conviva).

Cornīcor, chatter as a crow.

Criminor, accuse.

Cunctor, delay.

Depecūlor, plunder.

Despicor, despise; despicio, but despicatus is passive, despised.

Deversor, lodge.

Digladior, fight.

Dignor, think worthy. Cicero however sometimes uses it in a passive sense, "I am thought worthy."

Dedignor, disdain.

Dominor, rule (dominus).

Elucubror, produce by dint of labour.

Epulor, feast.

Execror, execrate.

*Fabricor, fashion.

Fabulor, confabulor, talk.

Famulor, serve (famulus).

Feneror, lend at interest (the active,

^{*} The words to which an asterisk is prefixed, are used also as actives, but better as deponents. Some deponents have been omitted in the list, which are either of very rare occurrence or more commonly used as actives. Respecting the latter see the note at the end.

"to restore with interest," occurs in Terence; in later writers it is the same as the deponent).

Ferior, keep holiday. Frustror, disappoint.

Furor, suffuror, steal.

Glorior, boast.

Graecor, live in the Greek style, that is, luxuriously.

Grassor, advance, attack.

Gratificor, comply with.

Grator, and gratulor, g

Grator, and gratulor, give thanks, present congratulations.

(Gravor, think heavy, is the passive of gravo.)

Helluor, gluttonise (helluo).

Hortor, exhort; adhortor, exhortor, dehortor.

Hospitor, am a guest (hospes), lodge.

Imaginor, imagine.

Imitor, imitate.

Indignor, am indignant, spurn.

Infitior, deny.

Insidior, plot.

Interpretor, explain, am an interpres. Jaculor, throw, dart.

Jocor, jest.

Laetor, rejoice (laetus).

Lamentor, lament.

Latrocinor, rob, am a latro.

Lenocinor (alicui), flatter. Libidinor, am voluptuous.

Licitor, bid at an auction.

Lucror, gain.

Luctor, strive, wrestle (obluctor and reluctor, resist).

*Ludificor, ridicule.

Machinor, devise.

Medicor, heal.

Meditor, meditate.

Mercor, buy.

*Meridior, repose at noon.

Metor, measure out.

Minor and minitor, threaten.

Miror, wonder; demiror, the same;

admiror, admire.

Miseror, commiseror, pity. Moderor, restrain, temper.

Modulor, modulate.

Morigeror, comply, am morigerus.

Moror, delay; trans. and intrans.; comp. commoror.

*Muneror, remuneror, aliquem alique re, reward.

Mutuor, borrow.

Negotior, carry on business.

Nidŭlor, build a nest.

Nugor, trifle.

Nundinor, deal in buying and selling.

Nutricor, nourish.

Odōror, smell out.

Ominor, prophesy; abominor, abominate.

Operor, bestow labour on.

Opinor, think.

Opitulor, lend help.

*Oscitor, yawn. Osculor, kiss.

Otior, have leisure.

*Palpor, stroke, flatter.

Parasitor, act the parasite (parasitus). Patrocinor, patronize.

Percontor, inquire.

Peregrinor, dwell as a stranger.

Periclitor, try, in later writers, am in danger.

Philosophor, philosophize.

*Pigneror, take a pledge, bind by a pledge.

Pigror, am idle (piger).

Piscor, fish.

*Populor, lay waste.

Praedor, plunder.
Praestolor, wait for, with the dat. or

accus. (the quantity of the o is uncertain, though probably short).

Praevaricor, walk with crooked legs, act dishonestly, as a praevaricator, that is, as a false accuser.

Prěcor, pray; comprecor, invoke; deprecor, deprecate; imprecor, imprecate.

Proelior, fight a battle.

Ratiocinor, reason.

Recordor, remember.

Refrāgor, oppose.

Rimor, examine minutely.

Rixor, wrangle.

Rusticor, live in the country. Scitor and sciscitor, inquire.

Scrutor, perscrutor, search.

Sector, the frequentative of sequor, follow; assector, consector, insector.

Sermocinor, hold discourse.

Solor, consolor, comfort. Spatior, expatior, walk.

Speculor, keep a look out.

Stipulor, make a bargain; adstipulor, agree.

Stomächor, am indignant.

Suavior, kiss.

Suffragor (the contrary of refragor), assent to.

Suspicor, suspect.

Tergiversor, shuffle.

Testor and testificor, bear witness.

Tricor, make unreasonable difficulties (tricas).

Tristor, am sad.

Trutinor, weigh.

Tumultuor, make uproar.

Tutor, defend.

Vador, summon to trial.

Văgor and pălor, wander.

Velificor, steer towards (figuratively, gain a purpose), whence it is construed with the dat., as honori meo. Velitor, skirmish with light troops.

Veneror, venerate.

Venor, hunt.

Verecundor, feel shame at doing.

Versor (properly, the passive of verso), dwell, am occupied in; aversor, detest; obversor, float before.

Vociferor, vociferate.

Urīnor, dip under water (to void urine is urinam facere or reddere).

Note. We must here notice some verbs which are commonly used as actives, but by some writers, and of good authority, as deponents also. Such are: communicor, communuror (Cic. in Pis. 25.), fluctuor, fruticor (Cic.), lacrimor, luxurior, nictor. Velificor, in the figurative sense of striving after, is used by Cicero as a deponent, but in the primary sense of "sailing" it is much more usually active. Adulor, arbitror, criminor, and more especially dignor, are used by Cicero as passives, as well as deponents, throughout, and not merely in the participle, as is the case with many others. See the Chapter on the Participle, in the Syntax.

CHAP. LV.

[§ 208.] DEPONENTS OF THE SECOND CONJUGATION.

Fateor, fassus sum, fateri, acknowledge.

Confiteor, confessus sum, the same, but usually, confess a crime; profiteor, profess; diffiteor (no participle), deny.

Liceor, licitus sum, with the accus., bid at an auction.

Polliceor, promise.

Mědeor, without a participle, for which medicatus, from medicari, is commonly used.

* Mëreor, meritus sum, deserve. The active is used in the sense of serving or earning, as merere stipendia; but the forms are not kept distinct.

Commercor, demercor, promercor, have the same meaning.

Misereor, miseritus or misertus sum, pity.

Respecting the impersonal verb miseret or miseretur me, see § 225.

Reor, rătus sum, reri, think.

Tueor, tuitus sum, look upon, fig. defend.

Contueor, intueor, look upon. There was an old form tuor, after the third conjugation, of which examples are found in the comic writers and in Lucretius, and in Nep. Chabr. 1. 3. intuuntur is found for the common intuentur. The adject tutus is derived from the form tuor.

Vereor, veritus sum, fear.

Revereor, reverence; subvereor, slightly fear.

CHAP. LVI.

[§ 209.] DEPONENTS OF THE THIRD CONJUGATION.

From the obsolete apiscor, aptus sum, apisci, are derived:
Adipiscor, adeptus sum, and indipiscor, obtain.

Expergiscor, experrectus sum, expergisci, awake.

The verb expergefacere signifies to awaken, whence expergefactus, awakened. Expergo, with its participle expergitus, is obsolete.

Fruor, fructus and fruitus sum, frui, enjoy. (Particip. fruiturus).

Perfruor, perfructus sum, strengthens the meaning.

Fungor, functus sum, fungi, perform, discharge. Defungor, perfungor, completely discharge, finish.

Gradior, gressus sum, grădi, proceed.

Aggredior, aggressus sum, aggredi, assail; congredior, meet; digredior, depart; egredior, go out of; ingredior, enter on; progredior, advance; regredior, return.

Irascor, irasci, properly an inchoative, grow angry; iratus sum

DEPONENT VERBS.

REESE LIBR UNIVERS

means only, I am angry. I have been or was angry may be expressed by succensui.

Lābor, lapsus sum, lābi, fall.

Collābor, sink together; dilābor, fall in pieces; prolabor, fall down; delabor, relabor.

Lŏquor, locutus sum, lŏqui, speak.

Allŏquor, address; colloquor, speak with; eloquor, interloquor; obloquor, speak against, revile.

(From the obsolete miniscor,)

Comminiscor, commentus sum, comminisci, devise, imagine (the participle commentus usually in a passive sense, feigned); reminiscor, reminisci, has no perfect; recordatus sum is used instead of it.

Mŏrior, mortuus sum, (participle future, moriturus,) mori, die (moriri is obsolete, but still occurs in Ovid, Metam. xiv. 215.).

Emorior, commorior, demorior.

Nanciscor, nactus sum, nancisci, obtain. The participle is also found written nanctus, as in many passages of Livy.

Nascor, natus sum, nasci (nasciturus only in late writers), am born; passive in sense, but without an active. It was originally gnascor, and the g reappears in agnatus, cognatus.

Enascor, innascor, renascor.

Nitor, nisus or nixus sum, nīti, lean upon, strive.

Adnītor, strive for; connītor and enītor, exert myself; in the sense of "bring forth," or "give birth," enixa est is preferable; obnītor, strive against.

Obliviscor, oblītus sum, oblivisci, forget.

Paciscor, pactus sum (or pepigi), make a bargain.

Comp. compaciscor, depaciscor, or compeciscor and depeciscor, compactus, depactus sum, whence the adverb compacto or compecto for ex or de compacto, according to contract.

Pascor, pastus sum, feed; intransitive. Properly the passive of pasco, pavi, pastum, give food; see above, Chap. LI.

Patior, passus sum, păti, suffer.

Perpetior, perpessus sum, perpeti, endure.

(From plecto, twine,)

Amplector and complector, complexus sum, embrace.

Proficiscor, profectus sum, proficisci, travel.

Queror, questus sum, queri, complain. Conqueror, lament.

Ringor, ringi, grin, show the teeth, whence rictus.

Sĕquor, secutus sum, sĕqui, follow.

Assequor and consequor, overtake, attain; exequor, execute; insequor, follow; obsequor, comply with; persequor, pursue; prosequor, attend; subsequor, follow close after.

Vehor, see § 192.

Vescor, vesci, eat. Edi is used as the perfect.

Ulciscor, ultus sum, ulcisci, revenge, punish.

Utor, usus sum, ūti, use.

Abūtor, abuse; deutor only in Nepos, Eum. 11.

Devertor, praevertor, and revertor, see under verto. They take their perfects from the active form: reverti, reverteram, revertissem; only the participle reversus is used in an active sense, one who has returned.

Reversus sum for reverti is very rare, but occurs in Nep. Them. 5.; Vell. ii. 42.; Quintil. vii. 8. 2. xi. 2. 17., and other less classic authors, but never in Cicero.

CHAP. LVII.

[§ 210.] DEPONENTS OF THE FOURTH CONJUGATION.

Assentior, assensus sum, assentiri, assent. (As an active, assentio, assensi, assensum, assentire, it is not so common; see above, § 206.)

Blandior, blanditus sum, blandiri, flatter.

Expěrior, expertus sum, experiri, experience, try.

Comperior, am informed, is used only in the present tense, along with comperio; the perfect therefore is comperi.

Largior, largitus sum, largiri, give money; dilargior, distribute money.

Mentior, mentitus sum, mentiri, lie; ementior, the same.

Mětior, mensus sum, metiri, measure.

Dimetior, measure out; emetior, measure completely; permetior.

Molior, molitus sum, moliri, move a mass (moles); plan.

Amolior, remove from the way; demolior, demolish, and others.

Opperior, oppertus sum, in Terence, and opperitus sum in Plautus, opperiri, wait for.

Ordior, orsus sum, ordiri, begin.

Exordior, the same; redordior, begin over again.

Orior, ortus sum, oriri (partic. oriturus), rise. (The partic. fut. pass. oriundus has a peculiar signification "descended" from a place or person.) The present indicat. follows the third conjugation: orĕris, orĭtur, orĭmur. In the imperf. subjunct. both forms orerer and orirer are found. See Liv. xxiii. 16.; Tac. Ann. ii. 47.; comp. xi. 23.

So also the compounds coorior and exorior (exoreretur in Lucretius, ii. 506.); but of adorior, undertake, the forms adoriris and adoritur are certain, whereas adoreris and adoritur are only probable; adoreretur is commonly edited in Sueton. Claud. 12.

Partior, partitus sum, partiri, divide (rarely active).

Dispertior, dispertitus sum (more frequently active), distribute; impertior (also impertio, impartio, impartior), communicate.

Potior, potitus sum, potiri, possess myself of.

It is not uncommon, especially in the poets, for the present indicative and the imperfect subjunctive to be formed after the third conjugation; potitur, potimur, poteretur, poteremur.

Sortior, sortitus sum, sortiri, cast lots.

Punior, for punio. See § 206. in fin.

CHAP. LVIII.

IRREGULAR VERBS.

[§ 211.] The term Irregular Verbs is here applied to those which depart from the rule not only in the formation of their perfect and supine, but have something anomalous in their

conjugation itself. They are, besides sum (treated of before, § 156.), possum, edo, fero, volo, nolo, malo, eo, queo, nequeo, fio.

1. Possum, I am able.

Possum is composed of pŏtis and sum, often found separately in early Latin; by dropping the termination of potis, we obtain potsum, possum. It therefore follows the conjugation of sum in its terminations, but the consonants t, s, and f, produce some changes, when they come together.

INDICATIVE.

Subjunctive.

Possum, potes, potest. possumus, potestis, possumt.

possim, possīs, possit. possīmus, possītis, possint.

Imperfect.

Present.

poteram, poteras, poterat. poteramus, -eratis, -erant. possem, posses, posset.
possemus, possetis, possent.

Future.

potěro, poteris, poterit. poterĭmus, -erĭtis, -ĕrunt.

Perfect.

potui, potuisti, potuit.
potuimus, -istis, -ērunt.

potuerim, -eris, -erit. potuerimus, -itis, -int.

Pluperfect.

potuĕram, -eras, -erat. potueramus, -eratis, -erant. potuissem, -isses, -isset. potuissemus, -issetis, -issent.

Future Perfect.

potuero, potueris, potuerit. potuerīmus, potuerītis, potuerint.

(No Imperative.)

Infinitive.

PARTICIPLE.

Pres. & Imp. posse. Perf. & Plup. potuisse. Potens has become an adjective).

2. Edo, I eat.

[§ 212.] The verb čdo, ēdi, ēsum, edere, is declined regularly according to the third conjugation, but here and there it has syncopated forms, besides its regular ones, similar to the cor-

responding tenses of sum, except that the quantity of the vowel in the second person singular of the indic. present and of the imperative makes a difference, the e in es from edo being long by nature. The tenses in which this resemblance occurs are seen in the following table:—

Indicative.
Present.

Sing. Edo, edis, edit, (or ēs, ēst.)
Plur. edimus, editis, edunt. (ēstis.) Subjunctive. Imperfect.

Sing. ederem, ederes, ederet, (or ēssem, ēsses, ēsset.) Plur. ederemus, ederetis, ederent, (or ēssemus, ēssetis, ēssent.)

IMPERATIVE.

Sing. ede, ēs. Plur. edite, ēste. Sing. edito, ēsto.

Plur. edito, ēsto, editote, ēstote. edunto. Infinitive. edere or ēsse.

In the Passive only editur, ēstur; ederetur, ēssetur.

In the same way the compounds abědo, ambedo, comedo, exedo, and peredo are conjugated.

3. Fero, I bear.

[§ 213.] Fĕro consists of very different parts, perfect tŭli (originally tĕtuli, which is still found in Plautus and Terence); supine, lātum; infinitive, ferre; passive, ferri. But with the exception of the present indicat. and the imperative, the detail is regular.

Active.
Indicative.

Passive.
Indicative.

Pres. Sing. Fero, fers, fert.
Plur. ferimus, fertis, ferunt.
Plur. ferimus, fertinin, feruntur.

IMPERATIVE.

IMPERATIVE.

Pres. Sing. fer. Plur. ferte. Pres. Sing. ferre. Plur. ferimini.

Fut. Sing. ferto. Plur. fertote, ferto. ferunto. fertor.

Note. The rest is regular; imperfect; ferebam; future, feram, -es; future passive, ferar, ferēris (ferēre), feretur, &c.; present subjunctive, feram, feras; passive, ferar, feraris, feratur; imperfect subjunctive, ferrem; passive, ferrer.

The compounds of fero—affero, antefero, circumfero, confero, defero, and others, have little that is remarkable. Aufero (originally abfero) makes abstuli, ablatum, auferre. Suffero has no perfect or supine, for sustuli, subla-

tum, belong to tollo. Cicero, however (N. D. iii. 33.), has poenas sustulit, but sustinui is commonly used in this sense. Differo is used only in the present tense, and those derived from it in the sense of "differ;" distuli and dilatum have the sense of "delay."

4. Volo, I will. 5. Nolo, I will not. 6. Malo, I will rather.

[§ 214.] Nolo is compounded of ne (for non) and volo. The obsolete ne appears in three persons of the present in the usual form of non; malo is compounded of mage (i. e. magis) and volo, properly măvŏlo, măvellem, contracted mālo, māllem.

INDICATIVE.

	Present.	
Sing. Vŏlo	$Nar{o}lo$	$Mar{a}lo$
vis	non vis	mavis
vult	non vult	mavult
Plur. volumus	nolŭmus	malŭmus
vultis	non vultis	mavultis
volunt.	nolunt.	malunt.
	Imperfect.	
Sing. volebam, &c.	nolebam, &c.	malebam, &c.
Plur. volebamus, &c.	nolebamus, &c.	malebamus, &c.
	Future.	
Sing. volam, voles, et	nolam, noles, et	malam, males, et
Plur. volemus, etis, ent.	nolemus, etis, ent.	malemus, etis, ent.
	Perfect.	
Sing. volui	nolui	malui
. voluisti, &c.	. noluisti, &c.	maluisti, &c.
	Pluperfect.	,
volueram, &c.	nolueram, &c.	malueram, &c.
	Future Perfect.	
_voluero, is, &c.	noluero, is, &c.	maluero, is, &c.
	Subjunctive.	
	Present.	
Sing. vělim	nolim	malim
$vel ar{\imath} s$	nolis	malīs
velit	nolit	malit
Plur. velīmus	$nol\bar{\imath}mus$	$mal\bar{\imath}mus$
$vel ilde{\imath} tis$	nolītis	$mal\bar{\imath}tis$

malint.

nolint.

velint.

Imperfect.	
nollem, &c.	mallem, &c.
nollēmus, &c.	mallēmus, &c.
Perfect.	
noluerim, &c.	maluerim, &c.
	maluerimus, &c.
Pluperfect.	
. noluissem, &c.	maluissem, &c.
kc. noluissemus, &c.	maluissemus, &c.
IMPERATIVE.	
2d Pers. noli, nolīte.	
2d Pers. nolito, nolitote.	-
3d Pers. nolito, nolunto.	_
Infinitive.	
nolle	malle
noluisse.	maluisse.
PARTICIPLE.	
nolens.	OTHER
Convers	
nolendi.	*****
	nollem, &c. nollemus, &c. Perfect. noluerim, &c. c. noluerimus, &c. Pluperfect. noluissem, &c. noluissemus, &c. IMPERATIVE. 2d Pers. nolit, nolite. 2d Pers. nolito, nolutote. 3d Pers. nolito, nolunto. INFINITIVE. nolle noluisse. Participle.

Eo, I go. 7.

[§ 215.] The verb eo, ivi, itum, ire, is for the most part formed

regularly, according to the for	arth conjugation; only the present,
and the tenses derived from	t, are irregular.
Indicative.	Subjunctive.

Present.

Sing.	Eo,	s, it.	
Plur.			

Sing. eam, eas, eat. Plur. eāmus, eātis, eant.

Imperfect.

Sing. ībam, ibas, ibat. Plur. ibamus, ibatis, ibant. Sing. irem, ires, iret. Plur. iremus, iretis, irent.

Future. Sing. ībo, ibis, ibit. Plur. ibimus, ibitis, ibunt.

IMPERATIVE. Sing. i. Sing. 2. ito. 3. ito. Plur. ite. Plur. 2. itote. 3. eunto

INFINITIVE.

Pres. ire. Perf. ivisse or isse. Fut. iturum (-am, -um) esse. Gerund. Gen. eundi. Dat. eundo, &c. Supine. itum, itu.

PARTICIPLES.

Pres. iens, euntis.

Fut. iturus, -a, -um.

In the passive voice it exists only as an impersonal, itur, itum est. Some compounds, however, acquire a transitive meaning; they accordingly have an accusative in the active, and may also have a complete passive: e. gr. adeo, I approach; ineo, I enter; praetereo, I pass by. Thus the present indic. pass. adeor, adīris, adītur, adīmur, adimini, adeuntur; subjunct. adear; imperf. adibar; subj. adirer; fut. adībor, adiberis (e), adibitur, &c.; imperat. pres. adire, adimini; fut. adītor, adeuntor; participles, adītus, adeundus.

These and all other compounds, abeo, coëo, exeo, intereo and pereo (perish), prodeo, redeo, have usually only ii in the perfect: perii, redii. Circumeo and circueo, I go round something, differ only in their orthography, for in pronunciation the m was lost; in the derivatives, circuitus and circuitio, it is therefore, with more consistency, not written. Vēneo, I am sold, a neutral passive verb, without a supine, is compounded of venum and eo, and is accordingly declined like ire; whereas ambio, I go about, which changes the vowel even in the present, is declined regularly according to the fourth conjugation, and has the participle ambiens, ambientis, and the gerund ambiendi. The part. perf. pass. is ambītus, but the substantive ambitus has a short i. See the Commentators on Ovid, Metam. i. 37.

Note. A second form of the future, eam instead of ibo, is mentioned by Priscian, but is not found in any other writer. It is only in compounds, though chiefly in late and unclassical authors, that we find -eam, ies, iet, ient, along with ibo, ibis, &c. See Bünemann on Lactant. iv. 13. 20. Transiet in Tibull. i. 4. 27. is surprising. Veneo, I am sold, sometimes abandons the conjugation of eo, and makes the imperfect veniebam instead of venibam, for so, at least, we find in good MSS. of Cicero, Philip. ii. 37., and in Verr. III. 47. Ambio sometimes follows eo; e. g. ambibat in Ovid, Metam. v. 361.; Liv. xxvii. 18.; Plin. Epist. vi. 33.; Tac. Ann. ii. 19.; and ambibunt for ambient is said to occur in Pliny (H. N. viii. 35.?).

[§ 216.] 8. Queo, I can. 9. Nequeo, I cannot.

These two verbs are both conjugated like eo: perfect, quivi, nequivi; supine, quitum, nequitum. Most of their forms occur;

quierunt (e).

but, with the exception of the present, they are not very frequent in prose, and some authors, such as Nepos and Caesar, never use this verb at all. Instead of nequeo, non queo also was used, and in Cicero the latter is even more frequent. Quis and quit are found only with non.

INDICATIVE.

Present.

Sing. Queo, quis, quit. Nequeo, non quis, non quit. Plur. quimus, quitis, queunt. nequeums, nequeums, nequeums.

Imperfect.

Sing. Quibam, quibat, &c. nequībam, nequibat, -ant.

Future.

Sing. Quibo. Plur. quibunt. Sing. — Plur. nequibunt.

Perfect.

Sing. Quivi, quivit.

Plur. —— quiverunt.

nequivi, nequisti, nequivit (iit).

nequiverunt or ne-

Pluperfect.

nequierat, nequierant.

Subjunctive. Present.

Sing. Queam, queas, queat. nequeam, nequeas, nequeat.
Plur. queamus, queatis, queant. nequeamus, nequeatis, nequeant.

Imperfect.

Sing. Quirem, quiret. nequirem, nequiret.
Plur. — quirent. nequiremus, nequirent.

Perfect.

Sing. — quiverit. nequiverim, nequierit, nequierint.

Pluperfect.

Sing. —— nequisset. Plur. — quissent. — nequissent.

Infinitive.

Quire, quivisse (quisse). nequire, nequivisse (nequisse).

PARTICIPLE.

Quiens (gen. queuntis). nequiens (gen. nequeuntis).

There is also a passive form of these verbs: quitur, nequitur, quita est, nequitum est, but it occurs very rarely, and is used, like coeptus sum, only when an infinitive passive follows; e. g. in Terence: forma in tenebris nosci non quita est, the figure could not be recognised.

[§ 217.] 10. Fio, I become, or am made.

Fio is properly an intransitive verb, the Greek $\phi i \omega$, without a supine. But owing to the affinity existing between the ideas of becoming and being made, it was used also as a passive of facio, from which it took the perfect factus sum, and the latter then received the meaning "I have become," along with that of "I have been made." In consequence of this transition into the passive, the infinitive became fieri instead of the original form fiere. Hence, with the exception of the supplementary forms from facere (factus, faciendus, factus sum, eram, &c.) and the passive termination of the infinitive, there is no irregularity in this verb. In the present, imperfect, and future, it follows the third conjugation; for the i belongs to the root of the word, and is long, except in fit and those forms in which an r occurs in the inflection. (See § 16.)

INDICATIVE.

Present.

Sing. Fio, fis, fit. Plur. fimus, fitis, fiunt.

Imperfect.

Sing. fiebam, as, at. Plur. fiebamus, atis, ant.

Future.

Sing. fiam, fies, fiet. Plur. fiemus, fietis, fient.

INFINITIVE.

fieri (factum esse, factum iri).

Subjunctive.

Present fiam, fias, fiat. fiamus, fiatis, fiant

Imperfect.

fierem, es, et. fieremus, etis, ent.

IMPERATIVE.

Pres. Sing. fi. Plur. fite. (rare, but well attested.)

Part. Pres. is wanting.

Note. Among the compounds the following must be noticed as defectives: infit, which is used only in this third person sing., he or she begins; e. g., loqui, or with the ellipsis of loqui; and defit, defiat, defiant, defieri, which does not occur in prose. Respecting confit, see above, § 183.

CHAP. LIX.

[§ 218.] DEFECTIVE VERBS.

THE term Defective Verbs is here applied to those only in which the defectiveness is striking, and which are found only in certain forms and combinations, for there is, besides, a very large number of defective verbs, of which certain tenses are not

found on account of their meaning, or cannot be shown to have been used by the writers whose works have come down to us. Many of them have been noticed in the lists of verbs in the preceding Chapters; with regard to others, it must be left to good taste cultivated by reading the best authors, as to whether we may use e. g. cupe from cupio, like cape from capio, and whether we may say dor, I am given, like prodor, or putatus sum like habitus sum. (Putatum est occurs in Cicero, p. Muren. 17.) We shall here treat of the verbs ajo and inquam, I say; fari, to speak; the perfects coepi, memini, novi and odi; the imperatives apage, ave, salve, vale; cedo and quaeso; and lastly of forem.

1. Ajo, I say, say yes, or affirm.

 Indicative.
 Subjunctive.

 Present.
 Present.

 Sing. Ajo, ăis, ăit.
 Sing. — ajas, ajat.

 Plur. — — ājunt.
 Plur. — ajant.

Imperfect. (The imperative ai is obsolete. The participle ajens is used only as an adject. instead of affirmativus.)

Perfect. All the rest is wanting, or unclassing. —— \check{ait} (like the present).

Note. In prose, as well as in poetry, ain'? do you think so? is frequently used for aisne, just as we find viden', abin' for videsne, abisne. See § 24. The comic writers, especially Terence, use the imperfect aibam, &c., as a word of two syllables.

[§ 219.] 2. Inquam, I say.

This verb is used only between the words of a quotation, while ait, ajunt, are found most frequently in the oratio obliqua.

INDICATIVE. SUBJUNCTIVE. Present. Present. Sing. — inquias, inquiat. Plur. — inquiatis, inquiant. Sing. Inquam, inquis, inquit. Plur. inquimus, inquitis, inquiunt. Imperfect. Future. Sing. inquiebam, &c. Sing. — inquies, inquiet. Plur. inquiebamus, &c. Plur. -Perfect. IMPERATIVE. Sing. —— inquisti, inquit. Plur. —— inquistis, ——. Sing. inque, inquito. Plur. inquite.

A 9

Note. The first person of the perfect (more probably inqui than inquii) is not found; the present inquam is used instead, and inquit may therefore just as well be taken for the present. The present subjunctive has been here given according to Priscian, p. 876., but has not yet been confirmed by any other authority.

[§ 220.] 3. Fari, to speak, say.

This very irregular verb, with its compounds affāri, effāri, profāri, is, generally speaking, more used in poetry than in ordinary prose. The third persons of the present, fatur, fantur, the imperative fare, and the participle fatus, a, um (effatum is used also in a passive sense), occur most frequently. The ablative of the gerund, fando, is used in a passive sense even in prose, in the phrase fando audire, to know by hearsay.

Compounds: affamur, Ovid; affamini, Curtius; affabar, Virgil; effabor and effaberis also occur in poetry. The first person for, the subjunctive fer, feris, fetur, &c., and the participle fans in the nominative, do not occur, though the other cases of fans are found in poetry. Fandus, a, um, only in the combination fandum et nefandum; fanda, nefanda, which are equivalent to fas et nefas.

[§ 221.] 4. Coepi, 5. Měmĭni, 6. Novi, 7. Odi, I have begun. I remember. I know. I hate.

These four verbs are perfects of obsolete presents, which have gone out of use, with the exception of nosco, and coepio, coepere. They consequently have those tenses only, which are derived from the perfect. In meaning, měmini, nōvi, and ōdi are presents; novi, I know, shows the transition most clearly, for it properly means "I have learnt to know." (See § 203.) Hence the pluperfect has the meaning of an imperfect: memineram, I remembered; noveram, I knew; oderam, I hated, not "I had hated," and the future perfect has the signification of a simple future, e.g. odero, I shall hate; meminero, I shall remember. Otherwise the terminations are quite regular.

INDICATIVE.

Perfect.

		21000	a
Coepi,	Memini,	Novi,	Odi,
coepisti,	meministi,	novisti (nosti),	odisti,
coepit.	meminit.	novit.	odit.
coepimus,	meminimus,	novimus,	odimus,
coepistis,	meministis,	novistis (nostis),	odistis,
coeperunt.	meminerunt.	noverunt (norunt).	oderunt.

	TO			
	Pluper			_
coeperam, &c.	memineram, &c.	noveram, & (noram.)		oderam, &c.
	Futu	ıre.		
coepero, &c.	meminero, &c.	novero. noveris, &c (noris.)		odero, &c.
	Subjun	CTIVE.		
	Perfe	ect.		
coeperim, &c.	meminerim, &c.	noverim, &c	.	oderim, &c.
	Pluper	fect.		
coepissem, &c.	meminissem, &c.	novissem, & (nossem.)	cc.	odissem, &c.
	Impera	TIVE.		
	only the sing. me- mento and plur. mementote.			Management
9	~			
E .	Infinit			
coepisse.	meminisse.	novisse.		odisse.
	Partici	PLES.		
Perf. pass. coeptus (begun). Fut. act. coepturus.		-	(perosus, ea active m osurus.	cosus, with an eaning.)
Eut. act. coepiarus.		_	veurus.	

Hence coepisse has a perfect passive coeptus (a, um) sum; e.g. Liv. xxx. 30.: quia a me bellum coeptum est; xxviii. 14.: quum a neutris pugna coepta esset; but it is used especially in connection with an infinitive passive, as in pons institui coeptus est; Tyrus septimo mense, quam oppugnari coepta erat, capta est; de re publica consuli coepti sumus; the active forms coepit, coeperat, however, may likewise be used in this connection. Compare desitus est, § 200. Compounds are occoepi, which is not unfrequently used along with the regular occipio (the same as incipio), and commemini.

[§ 222.] 8. Apäge, 9. Ave, 10. Salve, 11. Vale, hail. hail. be gone. farewell.

Note. Apage is the Greek imperative ἄπαγε of ἀπάγω, and akin with abigo: apage istas sorores! away with them! especially apage te, get thyself off, or, with the omission of the pronoun, apage, begone. Salveo in Plautus, Trucul. ii. 2. 4., may be regarded as the present of salve. Comp. Probus. Instit. Gram., p. 141., ed. Lindemann. Vale and ave, on the other hand, are regular imperatives of valeo, I am well, and aveo, I desire; and they are mentioned here only on account of their change of meaning.

The plural is, avete, salvete, valete; the imperat. fut. aveto, salveto, valeto. The future, salvebis, valebis, is likewise used in the sense of an imperative.

and the infinitives mostly with jubeo: avere, salvere, valere.

[§ 223.] 12. Cĕdo, give, tell.

This word is used as an imperative in familiar language, for da and dic, both with and without an accusative. A plural cette occurs in old Latin.

The e is short in this word, which thus differs from the complete verb $c\bar{e}do$, I yield, give way.

[§ 224.] 13. Quaeso, I beseech.

Quaeso is originally the same as quaero, but in good prose it is generally inserted in another sentence. Besides this first person singular, we find only the first person plural quaesumus.

14. Forem, I should be.

This imperfect subjunctive, which is conjugated regularly, has arisen from *fuerem* of the obsolete verb *fuo*, and belongs to *sum*. (See above, § 156.)

CHAP. LX.

IMPERSONAL VERBS.

[§ 225.] 1. THE term Impersonal Verbs strictly applies only to those of which no other but the third person singular is used, and which do not admit a personal subject (I, thou, he), the subject being a proposition, an infinitive, or a neuter noun understood. (See § 441. &c. Verbs of this kind are:

Miseret (me), I pity, perfect miseritum est.

Piget (me), I regret, piguit or pigitum est.

Poenitet (me), I repent, poenituit, fut. poenitebit.

Pudet (me), I am ashamed, puduit or puditum est.

Taedet (me), I am disgusted with (taeduit very rare), pertaesum est.

Oportet, it is necessary, oportuit, fut. oportebit.

Note. Miseruit, the regular perfect of miseret, occurs so seldom, that we have not here noticed it. The form commonly used is miseritum or misertum

est, which is derived from the impersonal me miseretur tui, which is not uncommon, although the deponent misereri is otherwise used only as a personal verb, misereor tui. Compare the passages, Cic. p. Ligar. 5.: cave te fratrum pro salute fratris observatium misereatur; in Verr. i. 30.: jam me tui misereri non potest, where the verb is likewise impersonal.

[§ 226.] 2. Besides these impersonals, there are some others, which likewise have no personal subject, but yet are used in the third person plural, and may have a nominative (at least a neuter pronoun) as their subject. Such verbs are:

Libet (mihi), I like, choose; perf. libuit or libitum est.

Licet (mihi), I am permitted; perf. licuit or licitum est.

Decet (me), it becomes me, and dedecet, it does not become me; perf. decuit, dedecuit.

Liquet, it is obvious; perf. licuit.

Note. Libuit has been mentioned here as a perfect of libet, but it is usually found only as a present, in the sense of libet.

- [§ 227.] 3. There is also a considerable number of verbs which are used impersonally in the third person, while their other persons occur with more or less difference in meaning. To these belong: interest and refert in the sense of "it is of importance to," with which no nominative can be used as a subject; further, accidit, fit, evenit, and contingit, it happens; accedit, it is added to, or in addition to; attinet and pertinet (ad aliquid), it concerns; conducit, it is conducive; convenit, it suits; constat, it is known or established; expedit, it is expedient; delectat and juvat, it delights, pleases; fallit, fugit, and praeterit me, it escapes me, I do not know; placet, it pleases; perf. placuit and placitum est; praestat, it is better; restat, it remains; vacat, it is wanting; est in the sense of licet, it is permitted or possible, e.g. est videre, non est dicere verum, but especially in poetry and late prose writers.
- [§ 228.] 4. The verbs which denote the changes of the weather: pluit, it rains; ningit, it snows; grandinat, it hails; lapidat (perf. also lapidatum est), stones fall from heaven; fulgurat and fulminat, it lightens (with this difference, that fulminat is used of a flash of lightning which strikes an object); tonat, it thunders; lucescit and illucescit (perf. illuxit), it dawns; vesperascit and advesperascit (perf. advesperavit), the evening approaches; in all these cases the subject understood is sup-

posed to be deus or coelum, which are in fact often added as their subjects.

[§ 229.] 5. The third person singular passive of a great many words, especially of those denoting movement or saying, is or may be used impersonally, even when the verb is neuter, and has no personal passive, e.g. curritur, they or people run; itur, ventum est, clamatur, fletur, scribitur, bibitur, &c.

[§ 230.] 6. All these impersonal verbs, as such, have no imperative, the place of which is supplied by the present subjunctive, e.g. pudeat te, be ashamed of! The participles also (together with the forms derived from them, the gerund and the infinitive future) are wanting, with a few exceptions, such as libens, licens and liciturus, poenitens and poenitendus, pudendus.

CHAP. LXI.

ETYMOLOGY OF NOUNS AND VERBS.

[§ 231.] WE have hitherto treated of the changes which one particular form of nouns and verbs, supposed to be known (the nominative in nouns, and the infinitive in verbs), may undergo in forming cases and numbers, persons, tenses, moods, &c. But the origin of that form itself, which is taken as the basis in inflection, is explained in that special branch of the study of language, which is called Etymology. Its object is to trace all the words of the language to their roots, and it must therefore soon lead us from the Latin to the Greek language, since both are nearly allied, and since the Greek was developed at an earlier period than the Latin. Other languages, too, must be consulted. in order to discover the original forms and significations. cannot, however, here enter into these investigations, and must content ourselves with ascertaining, within the Latin language itself, the most prominent laws in the formation of new words from other more simple ones; a knowledge of these laws is useful to the beginner, since it facilitates his acquiring the language. But we shall here confine ourselves to nouns (substantive and adjective) and verbs, for the derivation and

composition of pronouns and numerals have been discussed in a former part of this work; with regard to the (unchangeable) particles, on the other hand, etymology is necessary, as it supplies the place of inflection.

The formation of new words from others previously existing takes place either by *Derivation*, or the addition of certain terminations; or by *Composition*. In regard to derivation, we have to distinguish primitive and derivative words; and, with regard to composition, simple and compound words. We shall first treat of derivation.

I. VERBS.

Verbs are derived either from other verbs or from nouns.

A. With regard to the former, we distinguish four classes of verbs: 1. Frequentative; 2. Desiderative; 3. Diminutive; and 4. Inchaative.

1. Frequentatives, all of which follow the first conjugation, denote the frequent repetition or an increase of the action expressed by the primitive verb. They are derived from the supine by changing the regular atum, in the first conjugation into ito, itare; other verbs of the first conjugation as well as of the others remain unchanged, the termination of the supine, um, alone being changed into o, are. Of the former kind are, e.g., clamo, clamito; impero, imperito; rogito, volito; of the latter, domo, domitum, domito; adjuvo, adjūtum, adjūto; and from verbs of the third conjugation: curro, cursum, curso; cano, cantum, canto; dico, dictum, dicto; nosco, notum, noto; and so also accepto, pulso, defenso, gesto, quasso, tracto. Some of these latter frequentatives, derived from verbs of the third conjugation, serve again as primitives from which new frequentatives are formed, as cursito, dictito, defensito. There are some double frequentatives of this kind, without the intermediate form of the simple frequentative being used or known, such as actito from ago (acto), and so also lectito from lego, scriptito from scribo, haesito from haereo, visito from video, ventito from venio, advento.

Some few frequentatives with the termination *ito*, *itare*, are not derived from the supine, but from the present of the primitive verb. This formation is necessary when the primitive

verb has no supine, as is the case with lateo, paveo—latito, pavito. But the following are formed in this manner without there being such a reason: agito, noscito, quaerito, cogito. Some frequentatives have the deponential form, as amplexor from amplector, minitor from minor, tutor from tueor, scitor and sciscitor from scisco.

[§ 232.] 2. Desideratives end in ŭrio, ŭrire (after the fourth conjugation), and express a desire of that which is implied in the primitive. They are formed from the supine of the latter, e. g. esŭrio, esŭris, I want to eat, from edo, esum; so also coenaturio from coenatum, dicturio from dictum, empturio from emptum, parturio from partum, and in this manner Cicero (ad Att. ix. 10.) jocosely formed Sullatŭrit et proscriptŭrit, he would like to play the part of Sulla and to proscribe.

Note. Some verbs in urio after the fourth conjugation, such as ligurire, scaturire, prurire, are not desideratives, and it should be observed that the u in these words is long.

- [§ 233.] 3. Diminutives have the termination illo, illare, which is added to the stem of the primitive verb, without any further change, and they describe the action expressed as something trifling or insignificant; e. g. cantillare from cantare, to sing in an undervoice, or sing with a shaking; conscribillare, scribble; sorbillare from sorbere, sip. The number of these verbs is not great.
- [§ 234.] 4. Inchoatives have the termination sco, and follow the third conjugation. They express the beginning of the act or condition denoted by the primitive; e. g. caleo, I am warm, calesco, I am getting or becoming warm; areo, I am dry, aresco, I begin to be dry; langueo, I am languid, languesco, I am becoming languid. It frequently happens that a preposition is prefixed to an inchoative, as in timeo, pertimesco; taceo, conticesco. The vowel preceding the termination sco, scere, is either a (asco), e (esco), or i (isco), according as the inchoative is derived from a primitive of the first, second, or third and fourth conjugation (in the last two cases it is isco); e. g.

labasco from labare, totter.

pallesco from pallēre, be pale.

ingemisco from gemere, sigh.

obdormisco from dormire, sleep.

Many inchoatives, however, are not derived from verbs, but from substantives and adjectives, e. g.

> puerasco, I become childish, from puer. maturesco, I become ripe, from maturus, a, um.

All inchoatives take their perfect and the tenses derived from it from the primitive verb, or form it as it would be in the primitive. (See Chap. LII., the list of the most important inchoatives.) It must, however, be observed, that not all verbs ending in sco are inchoatives. See § 203.

[§ 235.] B. In regard to the derivation of verbs from nouns, we see that in general the language followed the principle of giving the termination of the second conjugation to verbs of an intransitive signification, and that of the first to such as have a transitive signification. Thus we have, e. g.,

a) flos, floris, florere, bloom.
 frons, frondis, frondere, have foliage.
 vis, vires, virere, be strong.
 lux, lucis, lucere, shine.

but,
b) numerus, numerare, count.
signum, signare, mark.
fraus, fraudis, fraudare, deceive.
nomen, nominis, nominare, name.
vulnus, vulneris, vulnerare, wound.

arma, armare, arm.

and from adjectives:

albus, albere, be white.

calvus, calvere, be bald.

flavus, flavere, be yellow.

hebes, hebere, be blunt or dull.

albus, albare, whitewash.

aptus, aptare, fit.

liber, a, um, liberare, liberate.

celeber, bris, bre, celebrare, make

frequent, or celebrate.

memor, memorare, mention.

communis, communicare, communicate.

Both kinds are found compounded with prepositions, without the simple verbs themselves being known or much used; e.g.

Laqueus, illaqueare, entwine; acervus, coucervare, accumulate; stirps, extirpare, extirpate; hilaris, exhilarare, cheer.

The observation of § 147. must be repeated here, that many deponents of the first conjugation (in ari) are derived from substantives for the purpose of expressing "to be that which the substantive indicates;" e. g. among the first verbs in the list there given, we find aemulari, ancillari, architectari, aucupari, augurari; and in like manner: comes, comitis, comitari; dominus, dominari; fur, furari. See § 237. The Latin language has much freedom in formations of this kind, and we may even now form similar words, just as Persius invented (or was the first, as far as we know, that used) cornicari, chatter like a crow, and Horace graecari, live luxuriously like a Graeculus.

II. Substantives.

[§ 236.] Substantives are derived —

A. From Verbs.

1. By the termination or, appended in place of the um of the supine in transitive verbs, to denote a man performing the action implied in the verb; e. g.

amator, monitor, lector, audītor, adulator, fautor, conditor, conditor, adjutor, censor, petītor, largītor,

and a great many others. Those which end in tor form feminines in trix, as fautrix, adjutrix, victrix; and if in some cases no such feminine can be pointed out in the writings that have come down to us, it does not follow, considering the facility of their formation, that there never existed one. In regard to the masculines in sor, the formation of feminines is more difficult, but tonsor makes tonstrix; defensor, defenstrix; and expulsor, throwing out the s, makes expultrix.

Some few substantives of this kind ending in tor are formed also from nouns; as aleator, gambler, from alea; janitor, from janua; viator from via.

2. The same termination or, when added to the unaltered stem of a word, especially of intransitive verbs, expresses the action or condition denoted by the verb substantively; e. g. pavere, pavor, fear; furere, furor, fury; nitere, nitor, shine or gloss. So also, e. g.

clamor, albor, horror, favor, ardor, amor, rubor, timor, maeror, splendor.

[§ 237.] 3. Two terminations, viz. io gen. ionis, and us, gen. ūs, when added to the supine after throwing off the um, express the action or condition denoted by the verb abstractedly. Both terminations are frequently met with in substantives derived from the same verb, without any material difference, as concursio and concursus, consensio and consensus; so also contemptio and contemptus, digressio and digressus, motio and motus, potio and potus, tractatio and tractatus, and others. Some verbs in are which have different forms of the supine (see § 171.), make also substantives of two forms; thus we have fricatio and

frictio, lavatio and lotio, potatio and potio, and according to their analogy also cubatio and cubitio, although the supine of cubare is cubitum only.

In this manner are formed from actives and deponents, for example,

- a) sectio. motio. lectio. auditio. cunctatio. cautio. ultio. sortitio. acclamatio. admonitio. actio. largitio.
- b) crepitus. fletus. cantus. ambitus. sonitus. visus. congressus. ortus.

Note. Strictly speaking, the Latin language makes this difference, that the verbal substantives in io denote the action or condition as actually going on, and those in us as being and existing; but this difference is frequently neglected, and it is to be observed, that the writers of the silver age (especially Tacitus) prefer the forms in us without at all attending to the difference. A third termination producing pretty nearly the same meaning is ura; as in pictura, painting; conjectura, conjecture; cultura, cultivation. Sometimes it exists along with the other two, as in positio, positus, positura; censio, census, censura. Usually, however, one of them is preferred, in practice, with a definite meaning. Thus we have mercatus, the market, and mercatura, commerce. In some substantives the termination ēla produces the same meaning; as querela, complaint; loquela, speech; corruptela, corruption.

[§ 238.] 4. The termination men expresses either the thing to which the action belongs, both in an active and passive sense, as fulmen from fulgere, lightning; flumen from fluere, river; agmen from agere, troop or army in its march; examen from exigere, a swarm of bees driven out: or, the means of attaining what the verb expresses; e. g. solamen, a means of consolation; nomen (from novimen), a means of recognising, that is, a name. The same thing is expressed also by the termination mentum, which sometimes occurs along with men; as tegmen and tegumentum, velamen and velamentum, but much more frequently alone, as in adjumentum from adjuvare, a means of relief; condimentum from condire, condiment, i. e. a means of seasoning; documentum, a document, a means of showing or proving a thing. Similar words are:

allevamentum. monumentum. additamentum. experimentum. ornamentum. fomentum. alimentum. blandimentum.

Some substantives of this kind are derived from nouns; thus from ater, black, we have atramentum. The connecting vowel

a before mentum, however, may show that a link was conceived to exist between the primitive ater and the derivative atramentum, such, perhaps, as a verb atrare, blacken. In like manner we have calceamentum, a covering for the feet; capillamentum, a head-dress, wig.

[§ 239.] 5. The terminations bùlum and cùlum (or ùlum, when c or g precedes) denote an instrument or a place serving a certain purpose; e. g. venabulum, a hunter's spear; vehiculum, a vehicle; jaculum, a javelin; cingulum, a girdle. So also,

umbraculum. cubiculum. ferculum. vinculum. poculum. latibulum. stabulum. operculum.

The termination *cŭlum* is sometimes contracted into *clum*, as in *vinclum*; and *clum* is changed into *crum*, and *bulum* into *brum*, when there is already an *l* in the stem of the word; e. g. *fulcrum*, support; *lavacrum*, bath; *sepulcrum*, sepulchre; *flagrum*, scourge; *ventilabrum*. A similar meaning belongs to *trum* in aratrum, plough; *claustrum*, lock; *rostrum*, beak. Some words of this class are derived from substantives, as *turibulum*, censer (*tus*, *turis*); *acetabulum*, vinegar cruet; *candelabrum*, candelabre.

6. Other and less productive terminations are a and o, which, when appended to the stem of the word, denote the subject of the action: conviva, guest; advena, stranger; scriba, scribe; transfuga, deserter; erro, vagrant; bibo, drunkard; comědo, glutton. By means of the termination io words are derived from substantives, denoting a trade to which a person belongs, as ludio, the same as histrio, an actor; pellio, furrier; restio, rope maker.

-ium expresses the effect of the verb and the place of the action; e. g. gaudium, joy; odium, hatred; colloquium, colloquy; conjugium and connubium, marriage; aedificium, building, edifice; re- and confugium, place of refuge; comitium, place of assembly.

-igo expresses a state or condition: origo from oriri, origin; vertigo, giddiness; rubigo, a blight; petigo and impetigo, scab; prurigo, itch; and hence, porrigo, scurf. A similar meaning belongs to ido in cupido, libido, formido.

[§ 240.] B. From other Substantives.

1. The diminutives, or, as Quintilian, i. 5. 46., calls them,

vocabula deminuta, are mostly formed by the terminations ŭlus, ŭla, ŭlum, or cŭlus, a, um, according to the gender of the primitive word: ulus, a, um, is appended to the stem after the removal of the termination of the oblique cases, e. g. virga, virgula; servus, servulus; puer, puerulus; rex (regis), regulus; caput (capitis), capitulum. So also:

portula. nummulus. rapulum. facula. litterula. hortulus. oppidulum. adolescentulus.

Instead of ulus, a, um, we find ŏlus, a, um, when the termination of the primitive substantive, us, a, um, is preceded by a vowel, e. g.

filiolus. gloriola. ingeniolum. alveolus. lineola. horreolum.

The termination $c\@alpha lumber lum$

corculum. fraterculus. flosculus. munusculum. tuberculum. sororcula. osculum. corpusculum.

And so also pulvisculus, vasculum from vas, vasis; arbuscula from the form arbos; and in a somewhat different manner rumusculus from rumor; lintriculus and ventriculus from linter and venter. Sometimes the s of the nominative terminations is and es is dropped, as in

igniculus. aedicula. nubecula. diecula. pisciculus. pellicula. vulpecula. plebecula.

In words of other terminations of the third declension, and in those of the fourth, i steps in as a connecting vowel between the stem of the word and the diminutive termination culus; e. g.

ponticulus. denticulus. versiculus. anicula.
particula. ossiculum. articulus. corniculum.
coticula. reticulum. sensiculus. geniculum.

The termination ellus, a, um, occurs only in those words of the first and second declensions which have l, n, or r in their terminations. Thus oculus makes ocellus; tabula, tabella; asinus, asellus; liber, libellus; libra, libella; lucrum, lucellum. So also

popellus, fabella, lamella, patella, agellus, cultellus, flabellum, flagellum, labellum, sacellum. Cistella is the same as cistula, and thence we have again cistellula, just as puellula from puella. Catellus from canis, and porcellus from porcus, cannot be brought under any rule. The termination illus, a, um, occurs more rarely, as in bacillum, sigillum, tigillum, pupillus, like pupulus, from the obsolete pupus; villum from vinum. So also codicillus, lapillus, anguilla. The termination unculus, a, um, is appended chiefly to words in o, gen. onis or inis; as,

sermunculus. ratiuncula. homunculus. pugiunculus. quaestiuncula. virguncula.

A few diminutives of this sort are formed also from words of other terminations, viz. avunculus from avus, domuncula from domus, furunculus from fur, ranunculus from rana. The diminutive termination lĕus occurs seldom; but it is found in equus, equuleus; acus, aculeus; hinnus, hinnuleus.

Note. Only a few diminutives differ in gender from their primitive words, as aculeus from acus, fem.; curriculum from currus, masc.; and also ranuculus from rana, and scamillus (a foot-stool) from scamnum, along with which however we also find the regular diminutives ranula and scamellum. Hence, there are instances of double diminutives in cases where the primitives have double forms (see § 98.); e.g. catillus and catillum; pileolus and pileolum, and a few others. The diminutives of common nouns (§ 40.) are said to have regularly two forms, one in us and the other in a, to designate the two sexes, as infantulus and infantula, tirunculus, a, from infans and tiro.

[§ 241] 2. The termination ium appended to the radical syllable of the primitive expresses either an assemblage of things or persons, or their relation to one another; e. g. collega, collegium, an assembly of men who are collegae (colleagues) of one another; so convivium, repast, or assembly of convivae; servitium, the domestics, also servitude; sacerdotium, the office of priest; minister, ministerium, service; exul, exilium, exile; consors, consortium, community. When this termination is appended to verbal substantives in or, it denotes the place of the action, as in repositorium, repository; conditorium, a place where a thing is kept, tomb; auditorium, a place where people assemble for the purpose of listening to a person.

[§ 242.] 3. -arium denotes a receptacle; e.g. granarium, a granary or place where grain is kept; armarium (arma), a cupboard; armamentarium, arsenal, or place where the armamenta

are kept. So also plantarium and seminarium, aerarium, columbarium, tabularium, valetudinarium.

- [§ 243.] 4. —ētum appended to the names of plants denotes the place where they grow in great number; e. g. quercus, quercetum, a plantation of oaks; so also vinetum, lauretum, esculetum, dumetum, myrtetum, olivetum; and, after the same analogy, saxetum, a field covered with stones; and, with some change, salictum (from salix), pasture, instead of salicetum; virgultum instead of virguletum, arbustum from arbos (for arbor), instead of arboretum.
- [§ 244.] 5. ile appended to names of animals indicates the place in which they are kept; e.g. bubile (rarely bovile), stall of oxen; equile, stable (of horses); so also caprile, hoedile, ovile. Some which are formed from verbs indicate the place of the action expressed by the verb, as cubile, sedile. All these words are properly neuters of adjectives, but their other genders are not used. Compare § 250.
- [§ 245.] 6. With regard to patronymics, or names of descent, which the Latin poets have adopted from the poetical language of the Greeks, the student must be referred to the Greek grammar. The most common termination is ides, as Priamus, Priamides; Cecrops, Cecropides; names in eus and cles make ides (ειδης); e. g. Atrīdes, Pelides, Heraclidae. The names in as of the first declension make their patronymics in ides; as Aeneas, Aeneades. The termination iddes should properly occur only in names ending in ius, such as Thestius, Thestiades; but it is used also in other names, according to the requirements of the particular verse; as Laertes, Laertiades; Atlas, Atlantiades; Abas, Abantiades; Telamon, Telamoniades.

The feminine patronymics are derived from the masculines, ides being changed into is, ides into ēis, and iades into ias; e. g. Tantalides, Tantalis; Nereus, Nerēis; Thestius, Thestias. Aeneades (from Aeneas) alone makes the feminine Aenēis, because the regular feminine, Aeneas, would be the same as the primitive. In some instances we find the termination ine or ione, as Neptunine, Acrisione.

[§ 246.] C. From Adjectives.

1. The termination *itas* is the most common in forming substantives denoting the quality expressed by the adjective as an

abstract notion, and is equivalent to the English ty or ity. The adjective itself in appending itas undergoes the same changes as in its oblique cases, especially in the one which ends in i. Thus from atrox, atroci, we obtain atrocitas; from cupidus, cupidi, cupiditas. So also capax, capacitas; celer, celeritas; saluber, salubritas; crudelis, crudelitas; facilis, facilitas; clarus, claritas; fecundus, fecunditas; verus, veritas. Libertas is formed

The adjectives in ius make their substantives in ietas; e. g. anxietas, cbrietas, pietas, varietas; those in stus make them in stas: honestas, venustas, vetustas; in a similar manner potestas and voluntas are formed from posse and velle.

without a connecting vowel, and facultas and difficultas with

a change of the vowel, as in the adverb difficulter.

2. Another very common termination is ia, but it occurs only in substantives derived from adjectives of one termination, which add ia to the crude form of the oblique cases. From audax, dat. audaci, we have audacia, and from concors, concordi, concordia. So also clemens, clementia; constans, constantia; impudentia, elegantia; appetentia and despicientia occur along with appetitio and appetitus, despectio and despectus. Some adjectives in us and er, however, likewise form their substantives in ia; e. g. miser, miseria; angustus, angustia; perfidus, perfidia; and several verbal adjectives in cundus; as, facundus, facundia; iracundus, iracundia; verecundus, verecundia.

[§ 247.] 3. There are numerous substantives in which $t\bar{u}do$ is appended to the case of the adjective ending in i; e. g. acritudo, aegritudo, altitudo, crastitudo, longitudo, magnitudo, fortitudo, similitudo; and in polysyllables in tus, tudo directly grows out of this termination, as in consuetudo, mansuetudo, inquietudo, sollicitudo. Valetudo stands alone. Some of these substantives exist along with other forms, as beatitudo, claritudo, firmitudo, lenitudo, and sanctitudo, along with beatitas, claritas, firmitas, &c. In these cases the words in $\bar{u}do$ seem to denote the duration and peculiarity of the quality more than those in itas. To these we must add the termination monia, which produces the same signification, e. g. sanctimonia, castimonia, acrimonia, after the analogy of which parsimonia and querimonia (stronger than querela) are formed from verbs.

4. Substantives in *itia*, from adjectives in *us*, are of more rare occurrence, as *justitia* from *justus*, *justi*. So avaritia, laetitia, maestitia, pudicitia; but also tristitia from tristis.

5. The termination $\bar{e}do$ occurs only in a few substantives; as albedo, dulcedo, gravedo (heaviness or cold in the head), pinguedo (along with pinguitudo).

III. ADJECTIVES.

Adjectives are derived:—

A. From Verbs.

[§ 248.] 1. With the termination bundus, chiefly from verbs of the first conjugation, e. g. errabundus from errare, cogitabundus from cogitare, gratulabundus from gratulari, populabundus from populari. Their signification is, in general, that of a participle present, with the meaning strengthened, a circumstance which we must express in English by the addition of other words; e. g. haesitabundus, full of hesitation; deliberabundus, full of deliberation; mirabundus, full of admiration; venerabundus, full of veneration; lacrimabundus, weeping profusely. Thus Gellius explains laetabundus as one qui abunde laetus est. There are but few adjectives of this kind derived from verbs of the third conjugation: fremebundus, gemebundus, furibundus, ludibundus, moribundus, nitibundus. There is only one from a verb of the second conjugation, viz. pudibundus; and likewise one only from a verb of the fourth, lascivibundus.

Note. These verbal adjectives in bundus however cannot be regarded as mere participles, for in general they do not govern any case. But we find in Livy the expressions vitabundus castra, mirabundi vanam speciem. A considerable list of such expressions is given in Ruddimannus, Instit. Grammat. Lat. tom. i. p. 309. ed. Lips.

Some verbal adjectives in *cundus* are of a similar kind: facundus, eloquent; iracundus, irascible; verecundus, full of bashfulness; rubicundus, the same as rubens, reddish.

[§ 249.] 2. The ending idus, chiefly in adjectives formed from intransitive verbs, simply denotes the quality expressed by the verb:

calidus, from calere. algidus, from algere. madidus, from mådere. rubidus, from rubere. turgidus, from turgere. rapidus, from rapere.

The termination uus is of more rare occurrence; e. g. congruus from congruo, agreeing; assiduus, nocuus and innocuus.

When derived from transitive verbs, it gives to the adjective a passive meaning, as in *irriguus*, well watered; *conspicuus*, visible; *individuus*, indivisible.

- 3. The terminations ilis and bilis denote the possibility of a thing in a passive sense; e. g. amabilis, easy to love, hence amiable; placabilis, easy to be conciliated; delebilis, easy to be destroyed; vincibilis, easy to be conquered; facilis, easy to do; docilis, docile; fragilis, fragile. Some of these adjectives, however, have an active meaning: horribilis, producing horror, horrible; terribilis, terrible, that is, producing terror; fertilis, fertile.
- 4. -ax appended to the stem of the verb expresses a propensity, and generally a faulty one:

pugnax.furax.edax and vorax.audax.loquax.rapax.

The few adjectives in *ŭlus* have a similar meaning, as *credulus*, credulous; *bibulus*, fond of drinking; *querulus*, querulous.

[§ 250.] B. From Substantives, viz.

a) From Appellatives:

1. The ending ĕus denotes the material, and sometimes similarity, e. g.

ferreus. ligneus. plumbeus. virgineus. aureus. citreus. cinereus. igneus. argenteus. buxeus. corporeus. vitreus.

Some adjectives of this kind have a double form in -neus and -nus; as, eburneus and eburnus, ficulneus and ficulnus, iligneus and ilignus, querneus and quernus, saligneus and salignus.

2. -icus expresses belonging or relating to a thing; e. g. classicus from classis; civicus, relating to a citizen; dominicus, belonging to a master; rusticus, rural; aulicus, relating to a court; bellicus, relating to war, &c.

3. The termination *ilis* (comp. § 20.) has the same meaning, but assumes also a moral signification, e. g. civilis and hostilis, the same as civicus and hosticus, but also answering to our civil and hostile. So servilis, senilis, anilis, juvenilis, puerilis, virilis.

4. The endings aceus and icius sometimes express a material and sometimes the origin, e. g. chartaceus, membranaceus,

papyraceus; caementicius, latericius, patricius, tribunicius. So also those derived from participles: collaticius, arisen from contributions; commenticius, fictitious; subditicius, supposititious, and others.

[§ 251.] 5. The termination ālis (in English al) is appended not only to words in a, but also to substantives of other terminations, in which, however, the termination is appended to the crude form of the oblique cases; e. g. ancora, conviva, letum — ancoralis, convivalis, letalis; but from rex, regis, we have regalis; virgo, virginalis; sacerdos, sacerdotalis; caput, capitalis; corpus, corporalis. So also auguralis, aditialis, comitialis, annalis, fluvialis, mortalis, novalis, socialis, and others. Also from proper names, as Augustalis, Claudialis, Flavialis, Trajanalis, to denote classes of priests instituted in honour of those emperors. The ending aris is somewhat more seldom, and principally occurs in such words as contain an l; such as, articularis, consularis, popularis, puellaris, vulgaris, Apollinaris.

The termination atilis denotes fitness for the thing expressed

by the root; as, aquatilis, fluviatilis, volatilis.

6. The termination ius occurs most frequently in derivatives from personal nouns in or; e.g. accusatorius, amatorius, aleatorius, censorius, imperatorius, praetorius, uxorius. It occurs more rarely in substantives of other terminations, though we have regius, patrius, aquilonius. From substantives in or which do not denote persons, but abstract notions, adjectives are formed by simply appending us; as decor, decorus, and so also canorus, odorus, honorus (less frequently used than honestus).

[§ 252.] 7. -inus is found especially in derivations from names of animals (especially to denote their flesh), e. g.

asininus. ferinus. haedinus anserinus. caninus. equinus. caballinus. anatinus. camelinus. taurinus. arietinus. viperinus.

But it also occurs in adjectives derived from names of other living beings, e. g. divinus, libertinus, inquilinus (from incola), masculinus, femininus (marīnus, living in the sea, stands alone). Medicina, sutrina, tonstrina, pistrinum, textrinum, are to be explained by the ellipsis of a substantive, and denote the locality in which the art or trade is carried on.

The termination *inus*, on the other hand, occurs chiefly in derivations from names of plants and minerals, to denote the material of which a thing is made; e. g. cedrinus, faginus, adamantinus, crystallinus, and the ending tinus in derivative adjectives denoting time, as crastinus, diutinus, hornotinus, annotinus. See § 20.

8. The termination arius expresses a general relation to the noun from which the adjective is formed, but more particularly the occupation or profession of a person; e. g.

coriarius. carbonarius. scapharius. ostiarius. statuarius. aerarius. navicularius. consiliarius. sicarius. argentarius. codicarius. classiarius.

9. The ending ōsus denotes fulness or abundance; as in

aerumnosus.aquosus.bellicosus.animosus.lapidosus.caliginosus.artificiosus.vinosus.tenebricosus.

The ending uosus occurs exclusively in derivations from words of the fourth declension: actuosus, portuosus, saltuosus, vultuosus; but also monstruosus which is used along with monstrosus.

10. The termination *lentus* denotes plenty, and is commonly preceded by the vowel \check{u} , and sometimes by \check{o} :

fraudulentus.vinolentus.pulverulentus.turbulentus.opulentus.violentus.esculentus.potulentus.sanguinolentus.

11. Less productive and significant terminations are: -anus which denotes belonging to a thing: urbanus, montanus, humanus (from homo). (Respecting the adjectives formed from numerals by means of this termination, see § 118. Thus we find febris tertiana, quartana, a fever returning every third or fourth day); —īvus generally denotes the manner or nature of a thing: furtivus, votivus, aestivus, tempestivus; also from participles: captivus, nativus, sativus; —ernus denotes origin: fraternus, maternus, paternus, infernus, externus. The same termination and urnus occur in adjectives denoting time: vernus, hibernus, hesternus, aeternus (from aeviternus), diurnus, nocturnus; — itimus occurs in finitimus, legitimus, maritimus. The termination -ster in the adjectives mentioned in § 100. denotes the place of abode or a quality.



[§ 253.] A very extensive class of derivative adjectives end in atus, like participles perfect passive of the first conjugation, but they are derived at once from substantives, without its being possible to show the existence of an intermediate verb. Thus we have, e. g., aurum and auratus, gilt; but a verb aurare does not occur, and its existence is assumed only for the sake of derivation. Some adjectives of this kind are formed from substantives in is and end in ītus, as aurītus, provided with ears; pellitus, covered with a skin; turritus, having towers, and so also mellitus, sweet as honey. Some few are formed by the ending ūtus from substantives in us, gen. us; as, cornutus, astutus; and according to this analogy nasutus, from nasus, i. Those in atus are very numerous, e. g.

barbatus. calceatus. aeratus, togatus. clipeatus. dentatus. galeatus. oculatus. falcatus.

[§ 254.] b) From Proper Names.

We may here distinguish four classes:—1. names of men, 2. of towns, 3. of nations, 4. of countries.

1. The termination ianus is the most common in forming adjectives from Roman names of men, not only from those ending in ius, such as Tullianus, Servilianus, but also from those in us and other endings; as Crassianus, Marcellianus, Paulianus, Caesarianus, Catonianus, Ciceronianus: anus occurs only in names in a, and is therefore found less frequently; as Cinnanus, Sullanus, still, on the other hand, we find septa Agrippiana, legio Galbiana. Gracchus is the only name in us that commonly makes Gracchanus; for Augustanus, Lepidanus, and Lucullanus occur along with Augustianus, Lepidianus, and Lucullianus. The termination inus is found chiefly in derivatives from names of families, e.g. Messalinus, Paulinus, Rufinus, Agrippina, Plancina; in real adjectives it occurs much more rarely, but it is well established in Jugurtha, Jugurthinus (for which however Jugarthanus also might have been used); Plautus, Plautinus; Verres, Verrinus, to distinguish them from Plautius, Plautianus; Verrius, Verrianus. In Suetonius, moreover, we find bellum Viriathinum, fossa Drusina, and in Cicero oratio Metellina (an oration delivered against Metellus), ad Att. i. 13.; bellum Antiochinum, Philip. xi. 7.; and partes Antiochinae, ad Fam. ix. 8. The termination ĕus in Caesareus, Herculeus, Romuleus, is used only by poets.

There are two terminations for forming adjectives from Greek names of men, ēus or īus (in Greek ειος, see § 2.) and ĭcus. Some names form adjectives in both terminations with a slight difference in meaning, e.g. Philippeus and Philippicus, Pythagoreus and Pythagoricus, Isocrateus and Isocraticus, Homerius and Homericus. Of others, one form only is used, as Demosthenicus, Platonicus, Socraticus. To these we must add those in -iacus formed from names in ias, e.g. Archias. On the other hand, we have Antiochius, Aristotelius, or with a different pronunciation, Achilleus, Epicureus, Heracleus, Sophocleus, Theodoreus. Sometimes adjectives in ēus are formed also from Latin names, though, at the best period of the language, never without a definite reason; e.g. in Cicero, in Verr. iii. 49., Marcellia and Verria, Greek festivals in honour of those persons; but afterwards we find, without this peculiar meaning, Augusteus, Luculleus (in Pliny and Suetonius), Neroneus, Roman objects being thus designated by words with a Greek termination.

Note. It must however be observed that the Roman gentile names in ius were originally adjectives, and were always used as such. We thus read lex Cornelia, Julia, Tullia, via Flaminia, Valeria, Appia, aqua Julia, circus Flaminius, theatrum Pompejum, horrea Sulpicia, instead of the adjectives in anus. Nay, the Romans made this very proper distinction, that the adjectives in ius denoted every thing which originated with the person in question and was destined for public use, while those in anus denoted that which was named after the person for some reason or other; e.g. lex Sulpicia, but seditio Sulpiciana; aqua Appia, but mala Appiana; porticus Pompeja, but classis Pompejana, &c. The former meaning is also expressed when the name itself is used adjectively, as aqua Trajana, portus Trajanus, though an adjective in ianus was formed even from names ending in anus, as malum Sejanianum, SCtum Silanianum. According to this analogy Augustus, a, um, was used for Augustianus, Augustanus, or Augustalis; e.g. domus Augusta, pax Augusta, scriptores historiae Augustae. The poets went still further, and Horace, for example (Carm. iv. 5. 1.) says: Romulae gentis custos, for Romuleae.

- [§ 255.] 2. From names of places, and chiefly from those of towns, adjectives are derived ending in *ensis*, *īnus*, *as* and *anus*.
- a) -ensis, also from common or appellative nouns, e. g. castrensis from castra; circensis from circus; and from names of towns: Cannae, Cannaensis; Catina, Catinensis; Ariminum, Ariminensis; Comum, Comensis; Mediolanum, Mediolanensis; Sulmo, Sulmonensis; from (Greek) towns in īa (ēa): Antiochensis, Antigo-

nensis, Attalensis, Nicomedensis, but in Heracliensis the i is preserved.

- β) -īnus from names in ĭa and ium; e. g. Ameria, Amerinus; Aricia, Aricinus; Florentia, Florentinus; Caudium, Caudinus; Clusium, Clusinus; Canusium, Canusinus. And so also from Latium, Latinus, and from Capitolium, Capitolinus.
- γ) -as (for all genders) is used less extensively, and only forms adjectives from names of towns in um, though not from all. It occurs in Arpinum, Arpinas; Aquinum, Aquinas; Privernum, Privernas; Ferentinum, Ferentinas (ager); Casilinum, Casilinas (along with Casilinensis). But Ravenna also makes Ravennas; Capena, Capenas; Ardea, Ardeas; Interamna, Interamnas (also ager); Frusino, Frusinas. Antium makes Antias, but we find also Antiense templum and Antiatinae sortes.
- δ) -anus from names of towns in a and ae; e. g. Roma, Romanus; Alba, Albanus*; Sparta, Spartanus; Cumae, Cumanus; Syracusae, Syracusanus; Thebae, Thebanus; also from some in um and i: Tusculum, Tusculanus; Fundi, Fundanus.

[§ 256.] Greek adjectives, however, formed from names of towns, or such as were introduced into Latin through the literature of the Greeks, follow different rules which must be learned from a Greek grammar. We will here only remark that the most frequent ending is *ĭus*, by means of which adjectives are formed also from Greek names of countries and islands; e.g. Aegyptus, Aegyptius; Lesbos, Lesbius; Rhodus, Rhodius; Corinthus, Corinthius; Ephesus, Ephesius; Chius, Chius (instead of Chiius); Lacedaemon, Lacedaemonius; Marathon, Marathonius; Salamis, Salaminius; Eretria, Eretrius. Other names in a take the termination aeus, as Smyrna, Smyrnaeus; Tegea, Tegeaeus; Larissa, Larissaeus; Perga, Pergaeus, and so also Cumae (Κύμη) makes the Greek adjective Cumaeus. In the case of towns not in Greece, even when they are of Greek origin, we most frequently find the termination inus: Tarentum, Tarentinus; Agrigentum, Agrigentinus; Centuripae, Centuripinus; Metapontum, Metapontinus; Rhegium, Rheginus, whereas the Latin Regium Lepidi makes the adjective Regiensis. It not unfrequently happened that the Romans, as may be observed

^{*} Albanus is formed from Alba Longa, Albensis from Alba on lake Fucinus.

in some instances already mentioned, formed adjectives from Greek names of towns in their own way, and without any regard to the Greek forms; e. g. Atheniensis instead of Athenaeus, Thebanus instead of Thebaeus (while Thebaicus is an adjective derived from the Egyptian Thebes), Eretriensis along with Eretrius, Syracusanus along with Syracusius, Eleusinus more frequently than the Greek form Eleusinius. The Greek ending sus was most commonly changed into ensis; sometimes, however, it was retained along with the Latin form, as Halicarnasseus and Halicarnassensis. In like manner the Greek trys was sometimes retained, as in Abderites; and sometimes changed into anus, as in Panormitanus, Tyndaritanus, especially in all the Greek names of towns compounded with polis, as Neapolitanus, Megalopolitanus. The other Greek terminations are usually retained in Latin.

[§ 257.] 3. From names which originally belong to nations, adjectives are formed in icus and ius, in most cases in icus, e. g. from Afer, Britannus, Gallus, Germanus, Italus, Marsus, Medus, Celta, Persa, Scytha, Arabs, Aethiops, we have the adjectives Africus, Britannicus, Celticus, Arabicus, &c.; those in ius are formed from some Greek names, as Syrus, Syrius; Cilix, Cilicius: Thrax, Thracius. Other names of nations are at once substantives and adjectives, as Graecus, Etruscus, Sardus, or adjectives and at the same time substantives, as Romanus, Latinus, Sabinus. Other substantive names again serve indeed as adjectives, but still form a distinct adjective in icus, as Hispanus, Hispanicus; Appulus, Appulicus; Samnis, Samniticus. In like manner, Caeres, Vejens, Camers, Tiburs are both substantives and adjectives, but still form distinct adjectives according to the analogy of names of towns: Caeretanus, Vejentanus, Camertinus, Tiburtinus.

Note. It must be remarked that poets and the later prose writers, in general, use the substantive form also as an adjective; e.g. Marsus aper, Colcha venena, although Colchicus and Marsicus exist; Horat. Carm. iv. 6. 7.: Dardanas turres quateret; vers. 12.: in pulvere Teucro; vers. 18.: Achivis flammis urere, instead of Achaicis. And this is not only the case with these forms of the second declension, which externally resemble adjectives, but Ovid and Juvenal say Numidae leones, Numidae ursi instead of Numidici; and Persius says: Ligus ora for Ligustica. The Greek feminine forms of names of nations are likewise used as adjectives; thus Virgil says: Cressa pharetra for Cretica, Ausonis ora for Ausonia, and the like. The same liberty is taken by poets with the names of rivers in us. Thus Horace, Carm. iv. 4. 38. has: Metau-

Unio Gali - Dieutzad by Microsoft w

rum flumen; de Art. Poet. 18.: flumen Rhenum. Even prosewriters sometimes follow their example in this respect: Plin. Hist. Nat. iii. 16.: ostium Eridanum; Caes. B. G. iii. 7., and Tacit. Ann. i. 9., Hist. iv. 12.: mare Oceanum.

[§ 258.] 4. The names of countries, with some exceptions, such as the Latin names of districts, Latium and Samnium, and those borrowed from the Greek language, Aegyptus, Epirus, Persis, are themselves derived from the names of nations; e.g. Britannia, Gallia, Italia, Syria, Thracia, sometimes with slight changes, as in Sardi, Sardinia; and Siculi, Sicilia. Africa and Corsica are real adjectives, to which terra is understood. From some of these countries, adjectives are formed with the terminations ensis and anus, as Graeciensis, Hispaniensis, Siciliensis; Africanus, Gallicanus, Germanicianus, which must be carefully distinguished from the adjectives derived from the names of the respective nations. Thus exercitus Hispaniensis signifies an army stationed in Spain, but not an army consisting of Spaniards; but spartum Hispanicum is a plant indigenous in Spain. The following are some peculiar adjectives of Greek formation: Aegyptiacus, Syriacus. Graecanicus is strangely formed, and expresses Greek origin or Greek fashion.

[§ 259.] C. From other Adjectives.

Diminutives are formed from some adjectives by the terminations ulus, olus, culus, and ellus, according to the rules which were given above, § 240., with regard to diminutive substantives. Thus we have parvulus, horridulus, nasutulus, primulus; aureolus; pauperculus, leviculus, tristiculus; misellus, novellus, pulchellus, tenellus. Double diminutives are formed from paucus and paulus: paululus or pauxillus, and pauxillulus, a, um; and from bonus (benus), bellus and bellulus. Respecting the diminutives derived from comparatives, comp. § 104. 2. Note.

The termination aneus appended to the stem of an adjective (and participle) in us, expresses a resemblance to the quality denoted by the primitive; e. g. supervacaneus, of a superfluous nature; but there are only few words of this kind: rejectaneus, subitaneus, collectaneus, and, according to their analogy, consentaneus, praecidaneus, succidaneus.

[§ 260.] Besides derivation new words are also formed by composition. In examining such words we may consider either the first or the second part of which a compound consists.

The first word is either a noun, a verb, or a particle. The

second remains unchanged, e. g. benefacio, beneficium, maledico, satago; a contraction takes place only in $n\bar{v}lo$, from ne (for non) and $v\bar{v}lo$, and in $m\bar{u}lo$, from mage (for magis) and $v\bar{v}lo$. Prepositions are used more frequently than any other particles in forming compound words. Respecting their signification and the changes produced in pronunciation by the meeting of heterogeneous consonants, see Chap. LXVI.

There are only a few words in which verbs form the first part of a compound, and wherever this is the case, the verb facio forms the latter part, as in arefacio, calefacio, madefacio, patefacio, condocefacio, commonefacio, assuefacio and consuefacio. The only change in the first verbs (which belong to the second conjugation) is that they throw off the o of the present.

When the first word is a noun (substantive or adjective), it regularly ends in a short *i*.

patricida.	armiger.	particeps.	a equiparo.
artifex.	aquilifer.	ignivomus.	amplifico.
tubicen.	capripes.	misericors.	breviloquens.
causidicus.	carnivorus.	rupicapra.	alienigena.
aedifico.	belligero.	stillicidium.	vilipendo.

So also biceps, trigemini fratres, centifolia rosa, centimanus Gyges, from centum, whereas otherwise the compositions with numerals are different, as quadrupes, and without any change: quinqueremis. A contraction takes place in tibūcen for tibūcen, from tibia and cano, whereas in tubicen and fidicen the connecting vowel is short according to the rule, there being no i in the words tuba and fides. When the second word begins with a vowel, the connecting i is thrown out, as in magnanimus, unanimis, with which we may compare unimanus and uniformis.

Those words the parts of which are declined separately, may likewise be regarded as compounds, although they form one word only in so far as they are commonly written as such; as respublica, jusjurandum, rosmarinus, tresviri. So also those of which the first word is a genitive, as senatūsconsultum, plebiscitum, duumvir, triumvir, that is, one of the duoviri or tresviri.

Note. The Greek language regularly makes the first part of a compound, when it is a noun, end in ο; e. g. φιλόσοφος, λογογράφος, σωματοφύλαξ, Συροφοίνιξ. As many such Greek compounds passed over into the Latin language, such as philosophus, philologus, graecostasis, Gallograeci, we may form similar compounds in modern Latin, but only in the case of proper names.

University - Digitized by Microsoft in

Francogalli, Graeco-Latinus. There is no good reason for rejecting them, if they really denote one thing which is formed by the combination of two elements.

[§ 261.] The latter word in the composition determines to what part of speech the whole belongs. In compositions with particles, the second word either remains unchanged, or undergoes only a slight variation in its vowel. This variation must be here considered, especially with regard to the radical vowel of the verb; for the vowels i, o, u, \bar{a} and \bar{e} remain unchanged, as in ascrībo, comminor, appono, excolo, addūco, illābor, subrēpo; but ă and ĕ and the diphthong ae frequently undergo a change: 1. ă remains only in the compounds of caveo, maneo, and traho; but in most other cases it is changed into i, e.g. constituo from statuo, accipio from capio, abjicio from jacio, arripio from rapio, incido from cado, adigo from ago; so also attingo from tango, confringo from frango; it is changed into e in ascendo, aspergo, confercio, refello, impertio (along with impartio). 2. & sometimes remains unchanged, as in appeto, contego, contero, congero, but sometimes it is changed into i: assideo from sedeo, abstineo from teneo, arrigo from rego, aspicio from specio. Both forms occur in the compounds of legere, e.g. perlego, read through; intelligo, understand, but intellego too was used in early times. 3. The diphthong ae remains unchanged only in the compounds of haereo, as adhaereo; it is changed into i in the compounds of caedo, laedo, quaero, e.g. incido, illido, inquiro. Other particulars may be gathered from the lists of irregular verbs.

In the composition of nouns with verbs, the second word undergoes more violent changes, and the rules already given respecting derivation must be taken into account here. But nouns are also formed in composition with verbs by the mere abbreviation of the ending, and without any characteristic syllable of derivation. Thus we have from cano, tubicen; from gero, claviger, armiger; from fero, cistifer, signifer; from facio, artifex, pontifex; from capio, princeps, municeps, particeps. Compounded adjectives are derived from verbs by the termination us, which is appended to the verbal stem: mortiferus, ignivomus, dulcisonus, like consonus, carnivorus, causidicus; and from substantives with a very slight or no change at all, e. g. centimanus, capripes, misericors, uniformis.

Note. When the parts of a compound word are separated by the insertion of one or two unaccented words, it is called, by a grammatical term, a tmesis. Such a tmesis, however, occurs in prose only in the case of relative pronouns compounded with cunque, more rarely in those with libet and in adjectives or adverbs compounded with per, so that we may say, e. g. quod enim cunque indicium subierat vicit; qua re cunque potero tibi serviam; quale id cunque est; per mihi gratum feceris; per mihi, inquam, gratum feceris.

CHAP. LXII.

ETYMOLOGY OF PARTICLES.

ADVERBS.

[§ 262.] 1. As the adjective qualifies a substantive, so the adverb qualifies a verb, an adjective (consequently a participle also), and even another adverb; e.g. prudens homo prudenter agit; felix homo feliciter vivit; eximie doctus; domus celeriter extructa; satis bene scripsit.

Note. There are only certain cases in which an adverb can be joined with a substantive, viz. when the substantive is used as an adjective or participle, and accordingly denotes a quality, as populus late rex for late regnans, ruling far and wide; admodum puer erat, he was very young, or very much like a boy; — or when a participle is understood to the adverb, e. g. Tacit. Ann. ii. 20.: gravibus superne ictibus conflictabantur, that is, superne accidentibus, coming from above; ibid. 12. 61.: nullis extrinsecus adjumentis velavit, that is, extrinsecus ductis or assumptis, by outward or external reasons. In this manner Livy frequently uses the adverb circa in the sense of neighbouring; e.g. i. 17.: multarum circa civitatum irritatis animis. An adverb may be joined with pronominal adjectives, when their adjective character predominates, as in homo plane noster, entirely ours, that is, devoted to us.

2. Adverbs belong to those parts of speech which are incapable of inflexion, for they have neither cases nor any other forms to denote the difference of persons, tenses, or moods. But an adverb approaches nearest the declinable parts of speech, inasmuch as adverbs derived from adjectives or participles take the same degrees of comparison as the latter. We have, therefore, in the first place to consider only the etymology of adverbs and then their degrees of comparison.

With regard to their etymology, adverbs are either simple or

primitive (primitiva) or derived (derivata). We shall first treat of derivative adverbs; their number is great, and certain laws are followed in their formation.

[§ 263.] 3. By far the greater number of derivative adverbs end in \bar{e} and ter, and are derived from adjectives and participles (present active and perfect passive).

Adjectives and participles in us, a, um, and adjectives in er, a, um (that is, those which follow the second declension), make

Adverbs with the termination e.

Thus altus, longus, molestus, doctus, emendatus, ornatus, make the adverbs alte, longe, moleste, docte, emendate, ornate. With regard to adjectives in er, a, um, the formation of adverbs varies according as they throw out the e in the oblique cases or retain it (see § 48. and 51.), for the adverbs follow the oblique cases. Thus liber and miser make libere and misere; but aeger (aegri) and pulcher (pulchri) make aegre and pulchre. Bonus makes the adverb běně, from an ancient form benus. Běně and mălě are the only adverbs of this class that end in a short e.

Note 1. Inferne, below, and interne, within, although derived from adjectives in us, are used with a short e, the former by Lucretius and the latter by Ausonius, the only writers in which these adverbs respectively occur. To these we must add superne, above, in Lucretius and Horace, Carm. ii. 20. 11., though in the latter the quantity of the e is a disputed point. It cannot be ascertained whether the poets made the e in these words short by a poetical licence, or whether these adverbs have any thing particular.

Note 2. Some adverbs in \bar{e} differ in their meaning from their respective adjectives, but they must nevertheless be regarded as derived from them. Thus sane (from sanus, sound, well) signifies "certainly;" valde (from validus, strong, contracted from valide, which furnishes the degrees of comparison) signifies "very;" and plane signifies "plainly," like planus, but also takes the

meaning of "entirely," or "thoroughly."

[§ 264.] 4. All other adjectives and the participles in ns (consequently all adjectives which follow the third declension) form their

Adverbs in ter,

and retain the changes which occur in the genitive. The genitive is is changed into iter, except the genitive in ntis (from the nom. in ns), which makes the adverb in nter; e. g. elegans, eleganter; amans, amanter; conveniens, convenienter; but par,

MO COLL Digital diagnostic scale

pariter; utilis, utiliter; tenuis, tenuiter; celer, ĕris, celeriter; saluber, salubriter, and so also ferociter, simpliciter, dupliciter, concorditer, audaciter (or more frequently contracted into audacter).

Note 1. The termination ter serves also to form the adverbs aliter, otherwise, and propter, beside; the former from the original form alis, neuter alid, and the latter from prope, being abridged for propiter. (See No. 7. note 1.) Vehementer is derived from vehemens, but takes the signification of "very," like valde; e. g. Cic. de Off. ii. 21.: vehementer se moderatum praebuit. The

indeclinable nequam has the adverb nequiter.

Note 2. The adjectives mentioned in § 101., which have double terminations, us, a, um, and is, e, ought to have also a double form of their adverbs, but this is the case only in hilare and hilariter; with regard to imbecillus it remains uncertain, as the positive of the adverb does not occur; and in the case of the other adjectives of this kind the adverb is wanting altogether. There are, on the other hand, some adjectives in us, a, um, of which the adverbs have two forms (abundantia); as dure, duriter; firme, firmiter; nave, naviter; humane, inhumane—humaniter, inhumaniter; large, largiter; luculente, luculenter; turbulente, turbulenter; and in the early language many more, which are mentioned by Priscian, xv. 3. Of violentus, fraudulentus, and temulentus, adverbs in ter only exist: violenter, fraudulenter, temulenter.

[§ 265.] 5. Although in grammar an adverb is assigned to every adjective, yet the dictionary must frequently be consulted, for there are some adjectives whose very signification does not admit the formation of an adverb, as, for example, those which denote a material or colour; while with respect to others we can say no more than that no adverb of them is found in the writers whose works have come down to us, as of the adjectives amens, dirus, discors, gnarus, rudis, trux, imbellis, immobilis, inflexibilis, and others compounded in the same manner. Of vetus the adverbs are vetuste and antique, and of fidus, fideliter, derived from other adjectives of the same meaning. It frequently happens that adverbs exist in the degrees of comparison, without their form of the positive being found; e. g. tristiter and socorditer are not to be found, and instead of uberiter, ubertim is used; but the comparatives tristius, socordius, uberius, and the superlatives are of common use. The adverb magne does not occur, but its irregular comparative magis, and the superlative maxime, are of very common occurrence. Multum, plus, plurimum have no adverbs, but these neuters in some cases serve themselves as adverbs.

[§ 266.] 6. Sometimes particular cases of adjectives supply the place of the regularly formed adverbs in \bar{e} ; a) of some ad-

jectives in us, a, um, and er, a, um, the ablative singular in \bar{o} is used as an adverb; e.g. arcano and secreto, secretly; cito, quickly; continuo, immediately; crebro, frequently; falso, wrongly; gratuito, gratis; liquido, clearly; manifesto, manifestly; mutuo, as a loan, hence mutually; necessario, necessarily; perpetuo, perpetually; precario, perpetuo, perpetually; precario, perpetuo, perpetuo

Note 1. Vere and vero have a somewhat different sense: the regular adverb of verus, true, is vere: but vero is used in answers in the sense of "in truth," or "certainly," but it is more commonly applied as a conjunction in the sense of "but," or "however." We will explain its use in answers by an example. When I am asked, adfuistine heri in convivio? I answer, ego vero adfüt; or, without a verb, ego vero, minime vero; and vero thus being merely indicative of a reply, will often be untranslatable into English. The case of certe and certo is generally different from that of vere and vero: the adverb which usually takes the meaning of its adjective is certo, while certe takes the signification of "at least," to limit an assertion; e. g. victi sumus, aut, si dignitas vinci non potest, fracti certe. Certe, however, is frequently used also in the sense of our "certainly," especially in the phrase certe scio, which, in Cicero, is even more frequent than certo scio. See my note on Cic. lib. i. in Verr. 1.

Note 2. Omnino, from omnis, altogether, or in general, may also be reckoned among this class of adverbs. The etymology of oppido, very, is very doubtful. Profecto, truly, also belongs to this class, if it be derived from profectus, a, um; but if it be the same as pro facto, which is more probable, it belongs to those which we shall mention under No. 10.

[§ 267] 7. b) In some adjectives of the third declension the neuter singular supplies the place of the adverb; as facile, difficile, recens, sublime, impūne and abunde, which, however, is not derived from an adjective abundis, but from abundus. To these we must add some belonging to adjectives of the second declension: ceterum, plerumque, plurimum, potissimum more frequent than potissime, multum and paulum (for which, however, in combination with comparatives, the ablatives multo and paulo are more commonly used), nimium (the same as nimis), parum, and lastly the numeral adverbs primum, iterum, tertium, quartum, &c., which have also the termination o (see § 123.), and pos-

tremum (o), and ultimum (o), which are formed according to the analogy of the numeral adverbs. Poets in particular and Tacitus who follows their example are accustomed to use the neuter of adjectives, of the second as well as of the third declension, as adverbs; e. g. multum similis, acutum cernere, mite, dulce, crassum, perfidum ridere, indoctum canere, certum and incertum vigilare, triste and torvum clamare, immite sibilare, aeternum discordare, and in the plural multa gemere, tristia ululare, crebra ferire.

Note 1. We have every reason to consider the adverb prope, which has become a preposition, as the neuter of an obsolete adjective, propis; for propter, which, as an adverb, has the same meaning, is evidently the regular adverb, being contracted from propiter, and the comparative propior, and the adverb propius, must likewise be traced to propis. Saepe is perhaps a word of the same kind, but the degrees of the adjective, saepior and saepissimus, are no longer in use.

Note 2. Instead of difficile, however, the regular adverbial forms diffi-

ciliter and difficulter are still more common. Faciliter is unclassical.

[§ 268.] 8. A considerable number of adverbs have the termination im, and are for the most part derived from participles; e.g. caesim, punctim, conjunctim, mixtim, contemptim, cursim. citatim, gravatim (the same as gravate), nominatim, passim (from pandere), praesertim (from prae and sero), privatim, pedetentim, raptim, sensim, carptim, separatim, statim, strictim, tractim. Adverbs of this kind however are formed also from other parts of speech, but they generally take the participial termination atim, even when they are not derived from nouns of the first declension: catervatim, cuneatim, gregatim, turmatim, curiatim, gradatim, ostiatim, oppidatim, provinciatim, vicatim, paulatim, singulatim, generatim, summatim, minutatim. Also confestim (connected with festinare), furtim, singultim, tribūtim, ubertim. viritim, vicissim. Affătim is of doubtful etymology; interim is derived from inter; olim from the obsolete ollus which is the same as ille.

[§ 269.] 9. A smaller class of adverbs is formed from nouns by the termination *ĭtŭs*, generally to denote origin from that which is expressed by the primitive; as coelitus, from heaven; funditus, from the foundation, radically; medullitus, penitus, primitus the same as primum, radicitus, stirpitus. Some are derived from adjectives, as antiquitus, divinitus, and humanitus.

Among the same class we reckon those adverbs which end in

us or itus, and are not derived from nouns, but from other parts of speech. That they are derivatives is obvious, but their signification is variously changed. Such are cominus, from a near point; eminus, from afar; intus, from within; subtus, from below; extrinsecus and intrinsecus, from without and within; mordicus (from mordere), e. g. mordicus tenere; versus, towards (from vertere), which is commonly used as a preposition.

[§ 270.] 10. A large number of adverbs, lastly, arises from the adverbial use of different cases of substantives, and from the composition of different parts of speech. In this manner arose the adverbs of time: noctu, vesperi, mane, tempore or tempori, simul (from similis), diu and quamdiu, tamdiu, aliquamdiu, interdiu, hodie (though contracted from hoc die), quotidie, quotannis, postridie, perendie, pridie, nudius tertius (from nunc dies tertius, the day before yesterday, or the third day from the present), nudius quartus, nudius quintus, nudius tertiusdecimus, propediem, initio, principio, repente and derepente (ablative of repens), imprimis and cumprimis, protenus and protinus (from pro and the preposition tenus), alias, actutum, commodum (just or directly, while the regular adverb commode retains the meaning "conveniently"), modo, postmodo, alternis, interdum, cummaxime, tummaxime, nunc ipsum and tum ipsum, denuo (i. e. de novo), ilicet (ire licet), illico (properly in loco), and extemplo; interea and praeterea lengthen the \bar{a} , so that it is not quite certain whether they may be considered as compounds of inter, praeter and ea, the neuter plural.* So also the adverbs of place: foris, foras, insuper, obviam, obiter (from ob and iter), peregre, praesto, rectā (scil. viā), unā. In hactenus, eatenus, quaterus, aliquaterus, the ablative is governed by the preposition tenus. The signification of these adverbs is originally that of locality, but they are frequently used also in a figurative sense.

[§ 271.] The mode or manner of an action, in answer to the question qui (an ancient ablative of quid), how? is expressed by adverbs of the same class; as sponte, an old ablative; forte, an ablative of fors; fortuito (u), forsit, forsitan (fors sit an), forsan and fors have the same meaning as fortasse and fortassis (in

^{*} Prof. Key, The Alphabet, p. 77. foll., accounts for the length of the a by the very probable supposition that the original forms were posteam, inteream, praeteream, on the analogy of the existing words postquam, antequam, praeterquam, &c.—Transl.

prose fortasse and forsitan alone are used); nimirum, scilicet, videlicet, utpote (from ut and pote, properly "as possible," hence "namely," or "as"), dumtaxat, praeterquam, quomodo, quemadmodum, admodum, quamobrem, quare, quapropter, quantopere, tantopere, maximopere and summopere, or separately quanto opere, tanto opere, &c.; quantumvis or quamvis, alioqui or alioquin, ccteroqui or ceteroquin, frustrā, to be explained by the ellipsis of viā, and to be derived from fraus, fraudo; incassum, nequicquam, summum (not ad summum), tantum, solum, and tantummödo, solummödo, gratis (from gratiis, whence ingratiis), vulgo, bifariam, trifariam, multifariam and omnifuriam, with which partem must be understood.

Lastly partim which was originally the same as partem, as in Liv. xxvi. 46: partim copiarum ad tumulum expugnandum mittit, partim ipse ad arcem ducit, but it is more commonly used either with a genitive or the preposition ex, in the sense of alii—alii; e. g. Cic. Phil. viii. 11.: quum partim e nobis ita timidi sint, ut omnem populi Romani beneficiorum memoriam abjecerint, partim ita a republica aversi, ut huic se hosti favere prae se ferant; and in the sense of alia—alia, as in Cic. De Off. ii. 21: eorum autem beneficiorum partim ejusmodi sunt, ut ad universos cives pertineant, partim singulos ut attingant.

[§ 272.] Note. On the signification of some of the above-mentioned adverbs. The adverbs continuo, protinus, statim, confestim, subito, repente and derepente, actutum, illico, ilicet, extemplo, signify in general "directly" or "immediately," but, strictly speaking, continuo means immediately after; statim, without delay; confestim, directly; subito, suddenly, unexpectedly; protinus, further, i. e. in the same direction in which the beginning was made; hence, without interruption; repente, and derepente, which strengthens the meaning, signifies "at once," and is opposed to sensim, gradually; e. g. Cic. de Off. i. 33.: amicitias, quae minus delectent et minus probentur, magis decere censent sapientes sensim dissuere, quam repente praecidere; actutum is instantaneously, eodem actu; ilicet occurs more rarely than illico, but has almost the same meaning, "forthwith," or "the instant;" e.g. Sallust, Jug. 45.: ubi formido illa mentibus decessit, ilicet lascivia atque superbia incessere; Cic. p. Muren, 10.: simulatque increpuit suspicio tumultus, artes illico nostrae conticescunt. Extemplo, which is similar in its derivation (for templum is a locus religiosus), is similar also in meaning; e. g. Liv. xli. 1.: alii gerendum bellum extemplo, antequam contrahere copias hostes possent, alii consulendum prius senatum censebant.

[§ 273.] Praesertim, praecipue, imprimis, cumprimis, and apprime, are generally translated by "principally;" but they have not all the same meaning. Praesertim is our "particularly," and sets forth a particular circumstance with emphasis; praecipue retains the meaning of its adjective, praecipuus

being the opposite of communis: jus praecipuum therefore is a privilege and opposed to jus commune, so that praecipue answers to our "especially." Thesense of imprimis and cumprimis is clear from their composition—before or in preference to many others, principally; apprime, lastly, occurs more rarely, and qualifies and strengthens only adjectives, as apprime doctus, apprime utilis. Admodum also strengthens the meaning; it properly signifies "according to measure," that is, in as great a measure as can be, e.g. admodum gratum mihi feceris; litterae tuae me admodum delectarunt. In combination with numerals it denotes approximation, and occurs frequently in Livy and Curtius; in Cicero we find only nihil admodum, that is, "in reality nothing at all."

[§ 274.] It is difficult to determine the difference among the words which we generally translate by "only," viz. modo, dumtaxat, solum, tantum, solummodo, tantummodo. The common equivalent for only is modo; solum (alone) is "merely," and points to something higher or greater; tantum is only or merely, but intimates that something else was expected, e. g. dixit tantum, non probavit. These significations are strengthened by composition: tantummodo and solummodo, the latter of which however occurs only in late writers. Dumtaxat is not joined with verbs, and seems to answer to our "solely;" e.g. Caes. Bell. Civ. iii. 40.: peditatu dumtaxat procul ad speciem utitur, solely from afar; Curt. viii. 4. (1.): quo (carmine) significabatur male instituisse Graecos, quod tropaeis regum dumtaxat nomina inscriberentur; ibid.ix.36. (9.): aestus totos circa flumen campos inundaverat, tumulis dumtaxat eminentibus, velut insulis parvis. In another signification this word is the same as certe, at least (see § 266.), and denotes a limitation to a particular point, as in Cicero: nos animo dumtaxat vigemus, re familiari comminuti sumus, in courage at least I am not wanting; valde me Athenae delectarunt, urbs dumtaxat et urbis ornamenta et hominum benivolentia. Saltem also signifies "at least," but denotes the reduction of a demand to a minimum; e.g. when I say: redde mihi libros, si non omnes, saltem tres, or, as Cicero says, cripe mihi hunc dolorem, aut minue saltem; finge saltem aliquid commode.

[§ 275.] Frustra conveys the idea of a disappointed expectation, as in frustra suscipere labores; nequicquam that of the absence of success, as in Horat. Carm. i. 3. 21.: nequicquam deus abscidit Oceano terras, si tamen impiae rates transiliunt vada. Incassum is less commonly used; it is composed of in and cassum, hollow, empty, and therefore properly signifies "into the

air," or "to no purpose," as tela incassum jactare.

Alias and alioqui both mean "elsewhere," but alias signifies "at another time," or "in another place," whereas alioqui (like ceteroqui and ceterum) means "in other respects;" as in Livy: triumphatum de Tiburtibus, alioquin mitis victoria fuit, or "or else" (in case of a thing mentioned before not taking place), like aliter; as in Tacitus: dedit tibi Augustus pecuniam non ea lege, ut semper daretur: languescet alioqui industria. No difference in the use of alioqui and alioquin has yet been discovered. The addition or omission of the n, at least, does not appear to depend upon the letter at the beginning of the word following.

CHAP. LXIII.

PRIMITIVE ADVERBS.

- [§ 276.] 1. The Simple or Primitive Adverbs are few in number, when compared with the derivatives, especially with those derived from adjectives, and ending in \bar{e} and ter. The signification of the latter depends upon that of their adjective, and has generally a very definite extent; but the primitive adverbs express the most general circumstances that are to be considered in connection with a fact, and are indicated by the questions how? when? where? whether? and the general answers to them; but they are for this reason deserving of particular attention, together with their compounds and derivatives.*
- 2. To this class belong the negative particles: non, haud, and ne, together with immo; the affirmatives: nae, quidem, and utique, certainly (from which word the negative adverb neutiquam, by no means, is formed), nempe, namely, surely; vel, in the sense of "even" (see § 108.); and the interrogative cur, why? (probably formed from quare or cui rei): the words which express, in a general way, the mode of an action, viz. paenë, ferë, and fermë, nearly, almost; temerë, at random; ritë, duly, according to custom; vix, scarcely; nimis (and nimium, see § 267.), too much; satis or sat, enough, sufficiently; saltem, at least; sīc and ită, so, thus; and item and itidem (which are derived from ita), just so, and the double form identidem, which, however, has assumed the meaning of a particle of time, "constantly," "one time like the other;" ut or uti, as, and hence sicut or sicuti; quam, how much; tam, so much; tamquam, like; périnde and
- * With regard to the following list of particles, which, from their great importance towards understanding the ancient writers, has been drawn up with care, we must observe, that by the term primitive adverbs we do not understand those, of which no root is to be found, but those which cannot in any useful or practical way be included among the classes of derivative adverbs mentioned before. A more deep etymological investigation would lead us into too slippery ground, on which we could expect but little thanks either from teachers or pupils.

URIV Calif - Digitized by Microsoft 1

proinde (derived from inde), as though, like; secus, otherwise, differently: the adverbs of place: uspiam and usquam, somewhere; nusquam, nowhere; procul, far; prope, near (§ 267. note); ubi, where? ibi, there; unde, whence? inde, hence, together with their numerous compounds and correlatives, of which we shall speak presently: the adverbs of time: quando, when? with its compounds aliquando, once; quandoque, at some time; quandocunque, whenever; quondam, formerly (contains the original relative quum, which has become a conjunction); nunc, now; tunc and tum, then; unquam, ever; nunquam, never; jam, already; etiam (from et and jam) and quoque, also; etiamnunc and etiantum, still, yet; semel, once; bis, twice (the other adverbial numerals, see Chap. XXXIII.); saepe, often; usque, ever; heri or here, yesterday; cras, to-morrow; olim, formerly; mox, soon after; dudum, previously; pridem, long since; tandem, at last or length; demum, not until; from inde are derived déinde and éxinde, or abridged dein and exin, thereupon, afterwards; súbinde*, immediately after, or repeatedly; deinceps, in succession; denique, lastly: further, the adverbs with the suffix per: semper, always; nuper, lately; parumper and paulisper, for a short time; tantisper, for so long, commonly to indicate a short time, "for so short a time."

Most of the prepositions are originally adverbs, but as they usually take the case of a substantive after them, they are regarded as a distinct class of the parts of speech. But they must still be looked upon as adverbs when they are joined with a verb without a case; as in Virgil, Pone subit conjunx, "behind there follows my wife." Hence it happens that clam, secretly, and coram, in the presence of, are generally reckoned among the prepositions, whereas palam (propălam), publicly, is universally called an adverb, though it is formed precisely in the same manner. Ante and post, when used as adverbs, generally have the lengthened forms anteā and posteā (also antehac and posthac), but occur as adverbs also without any change of form.

Note 1. We must not pass over unnoticed the transition of particles of place into particles of time, which occurs in other languages also. This

^{*} The accent on the antepenultima for the compounds of *inde* is necessary according to Priscian, p. 1008. (618. Kr.)

accounts for the use of hic, ibi, ubi, where we should use an adverb expressive of time. Nor can we wonder at several of these adverbs appearing frequently as conjunctions (in which character they will have to be mentioned again in Chap. LXVII.), for whenever they serve to connect sentences, they become, grammatically speaking, conjunctions; but when within a sentence they denote a circumstance connected with a verb, they are real adverbs. Some of them are used in both characters.

[§ 277.] Note 2. The Signification of the above Primitive Adverbs.

The ordinary negation is non; haud adds to the negation a special subjective colouring, with very different meanings—either "not at all," or "not exactly." The comic writers use this negation frequently, and in all kinds of combinations; but the authors of the best age limit its use more especially to its combination with adjectives and adverbs denoting a measure; e. g. haud multum, haud magnum, haud parvus, haud mediocris, haud paulo, haud procul, haud longe, especially haud sane in connection with other words; as haud sane facile, res haud sane difficilis, haud sane intelligo; also haud quisquam, haud unquam, haud quaquam, by which combination something more is expressed than by the simple negation. In connection with verbs, haud appears much less frequently, and on the whole only in the favourite phrase haud scio an, which is the same as nescio an, until later writers, such as Livy and Tacitus, again make unlimited application of it.

Ne does not belong to this place as a conjunction in the sense of "in order that not," but only in so far as it is used for non in the connection of ne-quidem, not even, and with imperatives, e. g. Tu ne cede malis, sed contra audentior ito, do not yield to misfortunes. Hence nec (neque) also must be mentioned here, because it is used instead of ne-quidem, seldom with Cicero, but more frequently with Quintilian; e. g. ii. 13.7.: alioqui nec scriberem; v. 10. 119.: alioqui nec tradidissem; i. v. 18.: extra carmen non deprehendas, sed nec in carmine vitia ducenda sunt.

Immo signifies "no," but with this peculiarity, that at the same time something stronger is put in the place of the preceding statement which is denied; e.g. Cic. ad Att. ix. 7.: causa igitur non bona est? Immo optima, sed agetur foedissime; de Off. iii. 23.: si patriam prodere conabitur pater, silebitne filius? Immo vero obsecrabit patrem, ne id faciat. This increase may be sometimes expressed in English by "nay," or "nay even." But this does not justify the assertion that immo is an affirmative adverb.

[§ 278.] Quidem is commonly used to connect sentences, and must then be looked upon as a conjunction; but it is employed also as an adverb to set forth a word or an idea with particular emphasis, and then answers to our "certainly" or "indeed." Very frequently, however, especially with pronouns, it only increases their force by the emphasis; e.g. optare hoc quidem est, non docere, this I call wish, but not teach; praecipitare istud quidem est, non descendere. Hence it also happens that on the other hand, when quidem is necessary to connect sentences, a pronoun is added, for the sake of quidem, which might otherwise be dispensed with. Ciero, e.g., says: Oratorias exercitationes non tu-quidem, ut spero, reliquisti, sed certe philosophiam illis anteposuisti. From quidem arose equidem, which is considered to be a compound of ego and quidem, and is used exclusively in this sense by Cicero, Virgil, and Horace; but in others, and more particularly in later authors, it occurs precisely in the same sense as quidem; e.g. Sallust. Cat. 52. 16.: quare

Unividadil - Diginzod by Microsoft w

vanum equidem hoc consilium est; Curt. v. 35.; certiora deinde cognoscit ex Bagistane Babylonio, non equidem vinctum regem, sed in periculo esse, aut mortis aut vinculorum.

Nempe answers pretty nearly to our "surely," and frequently assumes a sarcastic meaning, when we refute a person by concessions which he is obliged to make, or by deductions. It is never used for the merely explanatory "namely," or "that is," which in the case of simple ideas is either not expressed at all, or by the forms is (ea, id) est, qui est, dico, or intelligi volo, or by the adverbs scilicet and videlicet. Respecting the manner in which it is

expressed in the connection of propositions, see § 345.

[§ 279.] The adverbs paene, fere, and ferme, to which we may add prope, on account of its meaning (from § 267. note), all serve to limit a statement, but there are certain differences in their application. Paene and prope approach each other nearest: paene being almost and prope nearly; and thus we say in Latin paene dixerim and prope dixerim in quite the same sense, I might almost say. As prope contains the idea of approximation, so paene denotes a degree. Thus we say: hi viri prope aequales sunt, are nearly of the same age; and Caesar, on the other hand, says: non solum in omnibus (Galliae) civitatibus, sed paene etiam in singulis domibus factiones sunt, "but almost in every family," which is more than the factions in the towns. Propemodum, in a certain degree, is formed from prope. Fere and ferme differ from the other primitive adverbs, in regard to their long e, for the others end in a short e. They therefore seem to be derived from adjectives; but the derivation from ferus leads to no results. The two words differ only in form, and are used in inaccurate and indefinite statements, especially with round numbers and such notions as may be reduced to a number. We say centum fere homines aderant to express our "somewhere about one hundred;" paene or prope centum, nearly a hundred, implying thereby that there should have been exactly one hundred. And so also fere omnes, fere semper; and with a verb: sic fere fieri solet, so it mostly or generally happens, the same as fere semper fit. Hence it is frequently used as a mere form of politeness, when there can be no doubt about the correctness of a statement; as in quoniam fere constat, as it is a fact, I presume.

[§ 280.] Temere, at random, is opposed to a thing which is done consulto, or deliberately; hence the expressions inconsulte ac temere, temere et imprudenter, temere et nullo consilio. Combined with non, temere acquires (but not in Cicero) a peculiar signification; it becomes the same as non facile, and softens an assertion; for instance, in Horace: vatis avarus non temere est animus, a poet is not easily avaricious; or non temere quis tam invitis omnibus ad principatum accessit quam Titus. Rite seems to be an ancient ablative like ritu; its meaning accords with the supposition, but the form (ris, ritis)

is uncertain.

[§ 281.] The words sic, ita, tam, answer to the English "so;" and to them we may add tantopere from § 271., and adeo from § 289. With regard to their difference we remark, that sic is more particularly the demonstrative "so" or "thus," as in sic sum, sic vita hominum est, sic se res habet; ita defines more accurately or limits, and is our "in such a manner," or "in so far;" e. g. ita senectus honesta est, si suum jus retinet; ita defendito, ut neminem laedas. Very frequently, however, ita assumes the signification of sic, but not sic the limiting sense of ita, respecting which we shall have occasion to speak in another place (§ 726.). Tam, so much, increases the degree,

and has its natural place before adjectives and adverbs, but rarely before verbs, where tantopere is used instead. Adeo, to that degree or point, increases the expression to a certain end or result; e.g. adeone hospes es in hac urbe, ut hace nescias? Hence in the connection of propositions, it forms the transition to the conclusion of an argument, or to the essential part of a thing. Cicero, when he has related a thing, and then chooses to introduce the witnesses or documents themselves, frequently says: id adeo ex ipso senatusconsulto cognoscite; id adeo sciri facilime potest ex litteris publicis civitatum (in Verr. iv. 64. iii. 51.), and puts the adeo always after a pronoun. (Comp. Spalding on Quintil. ii. 16. 18.)

[§ 282.] Ut, as, must be mentioned here as a relative adverb, expressive of similarity. From it is formed utique by means of the suffix que, which will be considered in § 288. It signifies "however it may be," and hence "certainly." Curt. iv. 44.: nihil quidem habeo venale, sed fortunam meam

utique non vendo.

The compounds sicut, velut, tamquam, to which we must add quasi, when used without a verb and as an adverb, signify "as" or "like." The difference in their application seems to be, that tamquam and quasi express a merely conceived or imaginary similarity, whereas sicut denotes a real one. Hence Cicero says: tamquam serpens e latibulis intulisti te; gloria virtutem tamquam umbra sequitur; philosophia omnium artium quasi parens est, where the similarity mentioned is a mere conception or supposition; but it approaches nearer to reality in me sicut alterum parentem diligit; defendo te sicut caput meum. Velut is used by late authors in the same sense as quasi; but in Cicero it has not yet acquired this signification, but has the peculiar meaning of our "for example," as bestiae, quae gignuntur e terra, velut crocodili; non elogia monumentorum hoc significant, velut hoc ad portam? and other passages. All these adverbs occur also as conjunctions; in Cicero, however, only tamquam (besides quasi), with and without the addition of si.

Perinde and proinde have the same meaning, and are adverbs of similarity; but perinde is much more frequently found in prose writers. The reading is often uncertain; and as proinde is well established as a conjunction in the sense of "therefore" (see § 344.), many philologers have been of opinion that proinde, wherever the sense is "like," is only a corruption of perinde. But this supposition is contradicted by the authority of the poets, who use proinde as a word of two syllables. (Comp. Ruhnken on Rutil. Lupus, p. 31.) We most frequently find the combinations perinde ac, perinde ac si, as if, as though; perinde ut, in proportion as, to connect sentences. (See § 340.) But without any such additions, Cicero, for example, de Fin. i. 21. says: vivendi artem tantam tamque operosam et perinde fructuosam (and as fruitful) relinquat Epicurus?

[§ 283.] Sĕcus has been classed among the primitives, because its derivation is uncertain. We believe that it is derived from sĕquor; and we might therefore have included it, like mordicus, among those adverbs mentioned in § 269. We hold that its primary signification is "in pursuance," "after," "beside," which still appears in the compounds intrinsecus and extrinsecus. (§ 289.) Hence it comes to signify "less," or "otherwise," viz. "than it should be." Thus we say, mihi aliter videtur, recte secuse, nihil ad te, justly or less justly, where we might also say an minus; si res secus ceciderit,

if the thing should turn out differently, that is, less well. A comparative secius (also spelled sequius) occurs very rarely, because secus itself has the signification of a comparative; it is joined with an ablative, nihilo secius, not otherwise, nevertheless; quo secius the same as quo minus, in order that not.

[§ 234.] To unquam, ever, and usquam, somewhere, we must apply that which has already been said of quisquam, § 129.: they require a negation in the sentence; and although this negation may be connected with another word, unquam and usquam become the same as nunquam and nusquam; e.g. neque te usquam vidi, the same as te nusquam vidi. The place of a negative proposition may, however, be taken by a negative question, as num tu eum unquam vidisti? hast thou ever seen him? But uspiam is not negative, any more than the pronoun quispiam; but it is the same as alicubi, except that its meaning is strengthened, just as quispiam is the same as aliquis. In the writings of modern Latinists and grammarians we find the form nuspiam, which is said to be the same as nusquam. But nuspiam does not exist at all, and its formation is contrary to analogy.

[§ 285.] It is difficult to define the difference between tum and tunc, because the editions of our authors themselves are not everywhere correct. But in general the difference may be stated thus: tunc is "then," "at that time," in opposition to nunc; tum is "then," as the correlative of the relative quum; e.g. quum omnes adessent, tum ille exorsus est dicere, when all were present, then he began to speak. Without a relative sentence, tum is used in the sense of our "hereupon," "thereupon;" but we may always supply such a sentence as "when this or that had taken place." The same difference exists between etiamnunc and etiamtum, which we translate by "still" or "yet," and between nunc ipsum and tum ipsum, quummaxime and tummaxime, just or even then; for etiamnunc, nunc ipsum, and quummaxime, refer to the present; but etiamtum, tum ipsum, and tummaxime to the past; e.g. etiamnunc puer est, and etiamtum puer erat; adest quummaxime frater meus, and aderat tummaxime frater, my brother was just then present. Compare § 732.

[§ 286.] Jam, combined with a negative word, answers to our "longer;" e. g. nihil jam spero, I no longer hope for anything; Brutus Mutinae vix jam sustinebat, could scarcely maintain himself any longer. It is also used for the purpose of connecting sentences, and then answers to our "further" or

"now."

Usque, ever and anon, does not occur very frequently in this sense; e. g. in Horace, Epist. i. 10. 24.: naturam expellas furca, tamen usque recurret. It is commonly accompanied by a preposition; viz. ad and in, or ab and ex, and denotes time and place; e. g. usque ad portam, usque a prima actate. See Chap. LXV. 4.

[§ 287.] $N\bar{u}per$, lately, is used in a very relative sense, and its meaning depends upon the period which is spoken of; for Cicero (de Nat. Deor. ii. 50.) says of certain medical observations, that they were nuper, id est paucis ante saeculis reperta, thinking at the time of the whole long period in which men had made observations. In like manner, the length of time expressed by modo (see § 270.) and mox is indefinite. The latter word, as was observed above, originally signified "soon after," but it is very often used simply in the sense of "afterwards." Dudum is probably formed from diu (est)

dum, and answers to the English "previously" or "before," in relation to a time which has just passed away; whence it may often be translated by "shortly before;" e. g. Cic. ad Att. xi. 24.: quae dudum ad me et quae etium ante ad Tulliam scripsisti, ea sentio esse vera. But the length of time is set forth more strongly in jamdudum, long before, or long since. This word, with poets, contains the idea of impatience, and signifies "without delay," "forthwith," as in the line of Virgil, Aen. ii. 103.: jamdudum sumite poenas. The same strengthening of the meaning appears in jampridem, long since, a long time ago. Tandem, at length, likewise serves to express the impatience with which a question is put, and even more strongly than nam (§ 134.); e. g. Cic. Philip, i. 9.: haec utrum tandem lex est an legum omnium dissolutio?

[§ 288.] 3. The Adverbs of Place, mentioned above, No. 2., ubi, where? and unde, whence? together with the adverbs derived from the relative pronoun, viz. quo, whither? and qua, in what way? are in relation to other adverbs, demonstratives, relatives, and indefinites, which are formed in the same manner. together form a system of adverbial correlatives, similar to that of the pronominal adjectives. (See above, § 130.) We shall begin with the interrogative form, which is the simplest. form (as in English) is the same as that of the relative, and differs from it only by its accent. The relative acquires a more general meaning, either by being doubled, or by the suffix cunque, which is expressed in English by "ever," as in "wherever." Without* any relative meaning, the simple form acquires a more general signification by the suffix que, or by the addition of the particular words vis and libet. (We call it an adverbium loci generale.) The fact of the suffix que not occurring with quo and qua is easily accounted for by the possibility of confounding them with the adverb quoque and the ablative quaque; but still, in some passages at least, quaque is found as an adverb, and so also the compound usquequaque, in any way whatever. The demonstrative is formed from the pronoun is, and its meaning is strengthened by the suffix dem. The indefinite is derived from the pronoun aliquis, or by compositions with it. We thus obtain the following correlative adverbs: -

^{*} We say without in regard to the general analogy. There are, however, passages in which the suffix que forms a generalising relative, and in which, e.g. quandoque is used for quandocunque, as in Horat. Ars Poet. 359.: quandoque bonus dormitat Homerus, and frequently in Tacitus. See the commentators on Livy, i. 24: 3.

Interrog.	Relative.	Demonstr.	Indefinite.	Universal.
Ubi, where?	ubi, where. ubiubi. ubicunque.	ibi, there. ibīdem.	alicubi, some- where.	ubīque, ubīvis, ubilibet, every- where.
Unde, whence?	unde, whence. undeunde. undecunque.	inde, thence. indĭdem.	alicunde, from some place.	undique, undevis, undelibet. from every- where.
Quo, whither?	quo, whither. quoquo. quocunque.	eo, thither. eodem.	aliquo, to some place.	quovis, quolibet, to every place.
Qua, in what direction? in what way?	qua, in the way in which.	ea, in that way.	aliqua, in some way.	
•	quaqua. quacunque.	eādem.		

[§ 289.] To these we must add those which are formed by composition with alius, nullus, uter, and answer to the question where? alibi, elsewhere; nullibi, nowhere (which, however, is based only on one passage of Vitruvius, vii. 1., its place being supplied by nusquam); utrubi or utrobi, in which of two places? with the answer utrobique, in each of the two places. Inibi is a strengthening form of ibi, and signifies "in the place itself." To the question whence? answer aliunde, from another place; utrimque, from both sides, which formation we find again in intrinsecus, from within, and extrinsecus, from without. To the question whither? answer alio, to another place; to utro, to which of two sides? answer utroque, to both sides, and neutro, to neither. lowing are formed with the same termination, and have the same meaning: quopiam and quoquam, to some place (the former in an affirmative, and the latter in a negative sentence, like quisquam); intro, into; retro, back; ultro, beyond; citro, this side, chiefly used in the combination of ultro et citro, ultro citroque (towards that and this side), but ultro also signifies "in addition to," and "voluntarily." Porro is formed from pro, and signifies "onwards" or "further," e. g. porro pergere. the latter sense it is used also as a conjunction to connect sentences. Compounds of eo are: adeo, up to that degree or point, so much; eousque, so long, so far; and of quo: quousque and quoad, how long? We have further to notice the adverbs with the feminine termination of the ablative \bar{a} (which is probably to be explained by supplying $vi\bar{a}$), which have become prepositions; viz. citra, contra, extra, intra, supra, derived from the original forms, cis, con, ex, in, super; also infra, below; and ultra, beyond (from the adjectives infer and ulter, which however do not occur); circa, around; and juxta, by the side or in like manner. The derivation of the two last is doubtful, but they belong to the adverbs of place. In this way arose also: nequaquam and haudquaquam, in no way; usquequaque, in all points, in all ways, composed of the above-mentioned quaque and usque.

[§ 290.] We here add the correlatives to the question whither? quorsum or quorsus? (contracted from quoversum or quoversus). The answers to them likewise end in us and um (but sometimes the one and sometimes the other is more commonly used): horsum, hither; aliquoversum, towards some place; aliorsum, towards another place; quoquoversus, towards every side; utroqueversum, introrsum, prorsum, forward (prorsus is better known in the derivative sense of "entirely"); rursum, or more frequently retrorsum, backward (rursus remained in use in the sense of "again"); sursum, heavenward (also sursum versus, a double compound); deorsum, downwards; dextrorsum, to the right; sinistrorsum, to the left; adversus or adversum, towards or opposite, usually a preposition; seorsus or seorsum, separately.

[§ 291.] 4. The above-mentioned demonstratives, *ibi*, there; *inde*, hence, and *eo*, thither, are used only with reference to relative sentences, which precede; e. g. *ubi te heri vidi*, *ibi nolim te iterum conspicere*, where I saw thee yesterday, there I do not wish to see thee again; *unde venerat*, *eo rediit*, he returned thither, whence he had come. More definite demonstratives, therefore, are requisite, and they are formed in Latin from the three demonstrative pronouns by means of special terminations.

The place where? hic, istic, illic, (there).

whither? huc, istuc, illuc, (thither).

whence? hinc, istinc, illinc, (thence).

Instead of istuc and illuc, the forms isto and illo also are in use. These adverbs are employed with the same difference which we pointed out above (§ 127.) as existing between the pronouns hic, iste, and ille, so that hic, huc, and hinc point to the place where I, the speaker, am; istic, istuc, and istinc, to the place of the second person, to whom I speak; and illic, illuc, and illinc to

the place of the third person or persons, who are spoken of. The following are compounds of huc and hinc: adhuc, until now; hucusque, as far as this place; abhinc and dehinc, from this moment (counting backwards). To the question qua? in what way? we answer by the demonstratives hac, istac, illac, which are properly ablatives, the word viā being understood.

Note 1. Cicero thus writes to Atticus, who was staying at Rome, while he himself lived in exile at Thessalonica, in Macedonia (iii. 12.): Licet tibi significarim, ut ad me venires, id omittam tamen: intelligo te re istic prodesse, hic ne verbo quidem levare me posse. Istic, where you are, that is, at Rome, you can be really useful to me; hic, here where I live, that is, at Thessalonica, you would not even be able to comfort me with a word. In this manner the Romans in their letters briefly and distinctly express the localities of the writer and the person addressed, as well as of the persons written about.

[§ 292.] Note 2. Adhuc expresses the duration of time down to the present moment, and therefore answers to our "still," when it signifies "until now" (we also find usque adhuc); and strictly speaking, it should not be confounded either with etiamnunc, which does not contain the idea of duration of time, and answers to the question when? or with usque eo and etiamnum, which are the corresponding expressions of the past time. But even good authors apply the peculiar meaning of the word to the present, and use adhuc also of the relative duration of the time past; e. g. Liv. xxi. 48.: Scipio quamquam gravis adhuc vulncre eral, tamen—profectus est; Curt. vii. 19.: praecipitatus ex equo barbarus adhuc tamen repugnabat. "Not yet," is expressed by nondum, even in speaking of the present, more rarely by adhuc non.

CHAP. LXIV.

COMPARISON OF ADVERBS.

- [§ 293.] 1. The Comparison of Adverbs is throughout dependent upon the comparison of adjectives, for those adverbs only have degrees of comparison, which are derived from adjectives or participles by the termination \bar{e} (o) or ter; and wherever the comparison of adjectives is wanting altogether or partly, the same deficiency occurs in their adverbs.
- 2. The comparative of adverbs is the same as the neuter of the comparative of adjectives (majus only has the adverb magis, § 265.), and the superlative is derived from the superlative of the adjectives by changing the termination us into \bar{e} ; e. g.

doctior, doctius; elegantior, elegantius; emendatior, emendatius; superlative: doctissimus, doctissime; elegantissime, emendatissime; summus, summe. The positives in o (e. g. cito, raro) also make the superlative in e; meritissimo and tutissimo however are more commonly used than meritissime and tutissime.

Note. Thus the positive (see § 111.) is wanting of deterius, deterrime; potius, potissime (we more frequently find potissimum); prius, primum or primo (for prime is not used, but apprime, principally); the positive ociter, to which ocius and ocissime belong, occurs very rarely, since the comparative ocius has at the same time the meaning of a positive. Of valde, very (contracted from valide, § 263.) the degrees validius and validissime do not, indeed, occur in Cicero, but are used in the silver age of the language.

[§ 294.] 3. The primitive adverbs, and those derived from other words by the terminations im and tus, together with the various adverbs enumerated in § 270. foll., that is, in general all adverbs which are not derived from adjectives and participles by the endings e (or o instead of it) and ter, do not admit the degrees of comparison. The only exceptions are diu and saepe: diutius, diutissime; saepius, saepissime. Nuper has a superlative nuperrime, but no comparative, and satis and temperi have the comparatives satius (also used as a neuter adjective) and temperius (in Cicero). Respecting secius, the comparative of secus, see § 283.

Note. There are a few diminutive adverbs: clanculum from clam, primulum from primum, celeriuscule, saepiuscule, from the comparatives celerius and saepius. Belle, prettily, is a diminutive of bene, and from belle are derived bellus and bellissimus, without a comparative, and hence the adverb bellissime.

CHAP. LXV.

PREPOSITIONS.

[§ 295.] 1. Prepositions are indeclinable words, or, to use the grammatical term, particles, which express the relations of nouns to one another or to verbs: e. g. a town in Italy; a journey through Italy; my love for you; the first century after Christ; he came out of his house; he lives near Berlin; on the

Rhine, &c. They govern in Latin either the accusative or ablative, and some (though mostly in a different sense) both cases. Their Latin name is derived from the fact of their being placed, with a few exceptions, before their noun. We have already observed (Chap. LXII.) that a considerable number of these particles are properly adverbs, but are justly reckoned among the prepositions, as they more or less frequently govern a case. Apart from their etymology, and considering only their practical application in the language, we have the following classes of prepositions:—

1. Prepositions with the Accusative.

Ad, to.

Apud, with, near.

Ante, before (in regard to both time and place).

Adversus and adversum, against.

Cis, citra, on this side.

Circa and circum, around, about.

Circiter, about (indefinite time or number).

Contra, against.

Erga, towards.

Extra, without.

Infra, beneath, below (the contrary of supra).

Inter, among, between.

Intra, within (the contrary of extra).

Juxta, near, beside.

Ob, on account of.

Penes, in the power of.

Per, through.

Pone, behind.

Post, after (both of time and space).

Praeter, beside.

Prope, near.

Propter, near, on account of.

Secundum, after (in time or succession), in accordance with, as secundum naturam vivere.

Supra, above.

Trans, on the other side.

Versus (is put after its noun), towards a place; e. g. in Galliam versus, Massiliam versus.

Ultra, beyond.

2. Prepositions with the Ablative.

A, ab, abs (a, before consonants; ab, before vowels and some consonants; and abs only in the combination of abs te, for which, however, a te also is used), from, by.

Absque, without (obsolete).

Cōram, before, or in the presence of.

Cum, with.

De, down from, concerning.

E and ex (e before consonants only, ex before both vowels and consonants), out of, from.

Prae, before, owing to.

Pro, before, for.

Sine, without.

Tënus (is put after its noun), as far as, up to.

3. Prepositions with the Accusative and Ablative.

In with the accus.—1. in, on, to the question Whither?—
2. against. With the ablat. in, on, to the question Where?

Sub, with the accus.—1. under, to the question Whither?—2. about or towards, in an indefinite statement of time, as sub vesperam, towards evening. With the ablat., under, to the question Where? Desub is also used in this sense.

Super, with the accus., above, over; with the ablat., upon, concerning, like de.

Subter, under, beneath, is used with the accusative, whether it expresses being in or motion to a place; it rarely occurs with the ablative, and is in general little used.

Remarks upon the Signification of the Prepositions.

[§ 296.] 1. Prepositions with the Accusative.

Ad denotes in general an aim or object both in regard to time and place, and answers to the questions Whither? and Till when? e.g. venio, proficiscor ad te; Sophocles ad summam senectutem tragoedias fecit. Hence it also denotes a fixed time, as ad horam, at the hour; ad diem, on the day fixed upon; ad tempus facere aliquid, to do a thing at the right time. In other cases ad tempus signifies "for a time," e.g. perturbatio animi plerumque brevis est et ad tempus. Sometimes also it denotes the approach of time, as ad lucem, ad vesperam, ad extremum, towards daybreak, evening, towards the end; and the actual arrival of a certain time, as in Livy: ad prima signa

veris profectus, at the first sign of spring.

Ad, in a local sense, signifies "near a place," to the question Where? as ad urbem esse, to be near the town; ad portas urbis; cruentissima pugna ad lacum Trasimenum; pugna navalis ad Tenedum; urbs sita est ad mare; it is apparently the same as in in such phrases as ad aedem Bellonae; or with the omission of the word aedem: ad Opis; ad omnia deorum templa gratulationem fecimus; negotium habere ad portum; ad forum; but in all these cases there is an allusion to buildings or spaces connected with the places named. With numerals ad is equivalent to our "to the amount of" or "nearly," e.g. ad ducentos, to the amount of two hundred, or nearly two hundred, and without any case it is an adverb like circiter, as in Caesar, occisis ad hominum milibus quatuor, reliqui in oppidum rejecti sunt; Liv. viii. 18: ad viginti matronis per viatorem accitis (ablat. absol.); iv. 59: quorum ad duo milia et quingenti capiuntur. The phrase omnes ad unum, ad unum omnes perierunt means, "even to the very last man," including the last himself.

Ad, denoting an object or purpose, is of very common occurrence, and hence arises its signification of "in respect of;" e. g. vidi forum comitiumque adornatum, ad speciem magnifico ornatu, ad sensum cogitationemque acerbo et lugubri; or facinus ad memoriam posteritatis insigne; homo ad labores belli impiger, ad usum et disciplinam peritus; ad consilia prudens, &c. But this preposition is used also in figurative relations to express a model, standard, and object of comparison, where we say "according to," or "in comparison with;" as ad modum, ad effigiem, ad similitudinem, ad speciem alicujus rei, ad normam, ad exemplum, ad arbitrium et nutum, ad voluntatem alicujus facere aliquid; persuadent mathematici, terram ad universum coeli complexum quasi puncti instar obtinere. Particular phrases are, ad verbum, word for word; nihil ad hanc rem, ad hunc hominem, nothing in comparison with this thing or this man.

[§ 297.] Apud, "with," both in its proper and figurative sense; e.g. with me the opinion of the multitude has no weight, apud me nihil valet hominum opinio. In connection with names of places it signifies "near," like ad; e.g. Epaminondas Lacedaemonios vicit apud Mantineam; male pugnatum est apud Caudium, apud Anienem (the name of a river). It must however be observed that the early writers sometimes (see my note on Cic. in Verr. iv. 22.), and Tacitus and later authors frequently, use apud for in, and not merely for ad; as Augustus apud urbem Nolam extinctus est; statua apud theatrum Pompeji locatur; apud Syriam morbo absumptus est; apud senatum dixit, and in many other passages, in which the context leaves no doubt. In apud

praetorem and apud judices, the preposition must likewise be taken to denote the place of the judicial transactions; we use in this case "before," which however cannot be rendered in Latin by ante.

Apud is used also with the names of authors, instead of in with the name of their works; as apud Xenophontem, apud Terentium, apud Ciceronem legitur, &c., but not in Xenophonte, because in Latin the name of an author is not used for that of his works as in our language.

Ante, "before," denotes also a preference, as ante omnia hoc mihi maxime placet, above all other things; hic erat gloria militari ante omnes, he excelled

[§ 298.] Cis and citra are commonly used in reference to place, e.g. cis Taurum montem, and are the contrary of trans: citra Rubiconem, on this side of the Rubicon. But in later though good prose writers (Quintilian, Pliny) it frequently occurs for sine, "without," as in citra invidiam nominare; citra musicen grammatice non potest esse perfecta nec dici citra scientiam musices potest.

Circum is the more ancient, and circa the later form; Cicero uses them both in the sense of "around" (a place); and circum, with the strengthened meaning, "all around;" c.g. urbes quae circum Capuam sunt, and urbes circa Capuam; homines circum and circa se habere; terra circum axem se convertit; homo practorem circum omnia fora sectatur. The phrases circum amicos, circum vicinos, circum villas, circum insulas mittere, signify to send around to one's friends, &c. Circa is used besides, of time also, in the sense of sub (but not by Cicero); Livy and Curtius, e.g., say: circa lucis ortum, circa eandem horam, circa Idus. Circa in the sense of concerning, like de, erga, and adversus, the Greek κατά, occurs only in the silver age of the language, in Quintilian, Pliny, and Tacitus; e.g. varia circum haec opinio; circa deos et religiones negligentior; publica circa bonas artes socordia.

Circiter is used, it is true, with an accusative, as in circiter meridiem, about noon; circiter Calendas, circiter Idus Martias, circiter octavam horam, but it is more frequently an adverb.

[§ 299.] Adversus and contra originally signify "opposite to;" but they express also the direction of an action towards an object, with this difference, that contra always denotes hostility, like our "against" (while erga denotes a friendly disposition, "towards"), whereas adversus is used in either sense. Thus Cicero says: praesidia illa, quae pro templis omnibus cernitis, contra vim collocata sunt; and frequently contra naturam, contra leges; but meus erga te amor, paternus animus, benivolentia, and similar expressions. We say adversus aliquem impetum facere as well as modestum, justum esse, and reverentiam adhibere adversus aliquem. But erga also occurs now and then in a hostile sense, not indeed in Cicero, but in Nepos and Tacitus, e.g. Nep. Datam. 10.: odio communi, quod erga regem susceperant.

[§ 300.] Extra, "without," "outside of," occurs also in the sense of practer,

excepting, apart, as extra jocum.

Infra, e.g. infra lunam nihil est nisi mortale et caducum. It also implies a low estimation; as in infra se omnia humana ducere, judicare, or infra se posita; and "below" or "under" in regard to measure or size: uri sunt magnitudine paulo infra elephantos.

Inter denotes also duration of time, like our "during;" as inter tot annos, inter coenam, inter epulas. With regard to its ordinary signification "among," we must observe that inter se is our "one another;" e. g. amant inter se pueri, obtrectant inter se, furtim inter se aspiciebant, where in reality another pronoun is omitted.

Intra, "within," to both questions Where? and Whither? intra hostium praesidia esse and venire; nullam intra Oceanum praedonum navem esse auditis; majores nostri Antiochum intra montem Taurum regnare jusserunt. It also denotes time, both in its duration and a period which has not come to its close, e. g. omnia commemorabo quae intra decem annos nefarie facta sunt, during the last ten years; intra nonum diem opera absoluta sunt, intra decimum diem urbem cepit, that is, before nine or ten days had elapsed.

Juxta, "beside," e.g. juxta murum, juxta urbem, sometimes also "next to" in rank and estimation, as in Livy: fides humana colitur apud eos juxta divinas religiones. But it is only unclassical authors that use juxta in the sense of

secundum or according to.

Ob, "on account of," implies a reason or occasion, e.g. ob egregiam virtutem donatus; ob delictum; ob eam rem, for this reason; quamobrem or quamobcausam, for which reason; ob hoc ipsum, for this very reason. In the sense of ante, its use is more limited, as in ob oculos versari.

Penes rarely occurs as a preposition of place in the sense of apud, and is more commonly used as denoting, in the possession or power of; e.g. penes

regem omnis potestas est; penes me arbitrium est hujus rei.

[§ 301.] Per, denoting place, signifies "through" and occurs very frequently; but it also signifies "in" in the sense of "throughout;" e.g. Caesar conjurationis socios in vinculis habendos per municipia censuit, that is, in all the municipia; per domos hospitaliter invitantur; milites fuga per proximas civitates dissipati sunt. When it denotes time, it signifies during: per noctem cernuntur sidera; per hosce dies, during these days; per idem tempus, during the same time; per triennium, per secessionem plebis, during the secession of the plebs.

Per with the accusative of persons is "through," "by the instrumentality of," e.g. per te salvus sum. Per, in many cases, expresses the manner in which a thing is done; as per litteras, by letter; per injuriam, per scelus et latrocinium, per potestatem auferre, eripere, with injustice, criminally, by authority; per ludum ac jocum fortunis omnibus evertit, by play and joke he drove him out of his property; per iram, from or in anger; per simulationem amicitiae me prodiderunt; per speciem honoris or auxilii ferendi, &c., per causam, under the pretext; per occasionem, on the occasion; per ridiculum, in a ridiculous manner. In many cases a simple ablative might be used instead of per with the accus., but per expresses, in reality, only an accidental mode of doing a thing, and not the real means or instrument.

Per, in the sense of "on account of," occurs only in a few phrases: per aetatem, on account of his age; per valetudinem, on account of illness; per me licet, it is allowed, as far as I am concerned. In supplication or swearing, it is the English "by;" as jurare per aliquid, aliquem orare per aliquid; and

so also in exclamations: per deos immortales, per Jovem, &c.

[§ 302.] Pone, "behind," is not frequently used either as an adverb or a preposition, and is almost obsolete. Tacitus, e.g., says, manus pone tergum

vinctae, for post tergum.

Praeter. From the meaning "beside," or "along" (implying motion or passing by), as in Cicero: Servi praeter oculos Lolli pocula ferebant, there arises the signification of "excepting;" e.g. in Livy: In hoc legato vestro nec hominis quidquam est praeter figuram et speciem, neque Romani civis praeter habitum et sonum Latinae linguae; and in Cicero: Amicum tibi ex

consularibus neminem esse video praeter Lucullum, except, or beside Lucullus. It also signifies "besides," when something is added to what has been already said, and it is then followed by etiam; e. g. praeter auctoritatem etiam vires ad coercendum habet, praeter ingentem populationem agrorum—pugnatum etiam egregie est, and may often be translated by "independent of," or "not to mention."

Praeter also indicates a distinction, as in praeter ceteros, praeter alios,

praeter omnes excellere or facere aliquid.

The signification of "against," or "contrary to," is connected with that of beside; e.g. praeter consuetudinem, praeter opinionem, expectationem, voluntatem alicujus; praeter modum, immoderately; praeter naturam, contrary to nature.

Propter, for prope, near, is not uncommon, e.g. propter Siciliam insulae Vulcaniae sunt; duo filii propter patrem cubantes, &c. It has already been

remarked (§ 264.), that it is a contraction of propiter.

But it most frequently signifies "on account of," implying the moving cause, as in ego te propter humanitatem et modestiam tuam diligo. It is more rarely used in the sense of per with persons, as in propter te liber sum,

propter quos vivit, through whose aid he lives.

[§ 303.] Secundum is derived from sequor, secundus, and therefore properly signifies "next," "in the sequel," "in succession," e.g. secundum comitia, immediately after the comitia; Livy: Hannibal secundum tam prosperam ad Cannas pugnam victoris magis quam bellum gerentis curis intentus erat. Also "next in rank;" as in Cicero, secundum deum homines hominibus maxime utiles esse possunt; secundum fratrem tibi plurimum tribuo; secundum te nihil est mihi amicius solitudine; Livy says that the Roman dominion was maximum secundum deorum opes imperium. The signification "along," is still more closely conected with its original meaning, as in secundum mare iter facere, secundum flumen paucae stationes equitum videbantur.

In a figurative sense secundum is the opposite of contra: consequently, 1. "in accordance with," as secundum naturam vivere, secundum arbitrium alicujus facere aliquid; 2. "in favour of," as in secundum praesentem judicavit, secundum te decrevit, secundum causam nostram disputavit. So also in the legal expression vindicias secundum libertatem dare, postulare, for a person's

liberty.

Supra is the opposite of infra, and is used to both questions, Where? and Whither? In English it is "above," implying both space and measure, e. g. supra vires, supra consuetudinem, supra numerum; and with numerals, supra duos menses, seniores supra sexaginta annos. It is more rarely used in the sense of praeter, beside; as in Livy, supra belli Latini metum id quoque accesserat; and in that of ante, before, as in Caesar, paulo supra hanc memoriam, a little before the present time.

Versus is joined also (though rarely) to the prepositions ad or in: ad

Oceanum versus proficisci, in Italiam versus navigare.

Ultra not unfrequently occurs as denoting measure; e. g. ultra feminam mollis, ultra fortem temerarius, more than a woman, and more than a brave man usually is.

2. Prepositions with the Ablative.

[§ 304.] Ab (this is the original form, in Greek $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$), from, in regard to both place and time (a cujus morte, ab illo tempore tricesimus annus est), and

also to denote a living being as the author of an action, as in amari, diligi ab aliquo, discere ab aliquo, and with neuter verbs, which have the meaning of a passive; e. g. interire ab aliquo, which is the same as occidi ab aliquo. The

following particulars, however, must be observed: -

a) With regard to its denoting time, we say a prima aetate, ab ineunte aetate, a primo tempore or primis temporibus aetatis, ab initio aetatis and ab infantia, a pueritia, ab adolescentia, as well as in connection with concrete nouns: a puero, a pueris, ab adolescentulo, ab infante, all of which expressions signify "from an early age." The expressions a parvis, a parvulo, a tenero, a teneris unguiculis, are less common and of Greek origin. A puero is used in speaking of one person, and a pueris in speaking of several; e.g. Diodorum Stoicum a puero audivi, or Socrates docuit fieri nullo modo posse, ut a pueris tot rerum insitas in animis notiones haberemus, nisi animus, antequam corpus intrasset, in rerum cognitione viguisset.

Ab initio and a principio, a primo properly denote the space of time from the beginning down to a certain point. Tacitus, e. g., says, urbem Romam a principio reges habuere, that is, for a certain period after its foundation. Frequently, however, this idea disappears, and ab initio, &c. become the same as initio, in the beginning; e. g. Consuli non animus ab initio, non fides ad extremum defuit, he was neither wanting in courage at first, nor in faithfulness at the last; ab initio hujus defensionis dixi, at the beginning of my

defence.

b) When ab denotes place, it frequently expresses the side on which a thing happens, or rather whence it proceeds; as a fronte, a tergo, ab occasu et ortu (solis); Alexander a fronte et a tergo hostem habebat; Horatius Cocles a tergo pontem interscindi jubebat; Caesar a dextro cornu proelium commisit. Hence a reo dicere, to speak on behalf of the defendant, and with the verb stare; as a senatu stare, to stand on the side of the senate, or to be of the party of the senate; a bonorum causa stare, to be on the side of the patriots, - or without the verb stare, in the same sense: hoc est a me, this is for me, in my favour, supports my assertion; haec facitis a nobis contra vosmet ipsos, to our advantage, or facere in an intransitive sense: hoc nihilo magis ab adversariis, quam a nobis facit, this is no less advantageous to our opponents than to ourselves. So also, the adherents or followers of a school are called a Platone, ab Aristotele, a Critolao, although in these cases we may supply profecti, that is, persons who went forth from such a school. Sometimes, though chiefly in the comic writers, ab is used instead of a genitive: ancilla ab Andria, fores and ostium ab aliquo concrepuit.

[§ 305.] In a figurative sense it signifies "with regard to;" e.g. Antonius ab equitatu firmus esse dicebatur; imparati sumus quum a militibus, tum a pecunia; mediocriter a doctrina instructus; inops ab amicis; felix ab omni laude; Horace: Nihil est ab omni parte beatum. In the sense of "on the side of," it also denotes relationship, as in Augustus a matre Magnum Pom-

pejum artissimo contingebat gradu, on his mother's side.

Ab denotes that which is to be removed, and thus answers to our "from," or "against," e.g. forum defendere a Clodio, custodire templum ab Hannibale, munire vasa a frigore et tempestatibus, that is, contra frigus. So also tutus a periculo, secure from danger, and timere a suis, to be afraid of one's own friends.

Statim, confestim, recens ab aliqua re, "immediately after," have originally reference to place, but pass from their meaning of place into that of time;

e.g. Scipio confestim a proelio—ad naves rediit, immediately after the battle Scipio returned to the fleet; hostes a prospera pugna castra oppugnaverunt,

Liv.; ab itinere facere aliquid, to do a thing while on a journey.

Ab, further, often describes a circumstance as the cause of a thing, and may be translated by, "in consequence of," "from," or "out of," as in Livy: dicebantur ab eodem animo ingenioque, a quo gesta sunt, in consequence of the same sentiment; ab eadem fiducia animi, ab ira, a spe. Legati Carthaginienses aliquanto minore cum misericordia ab recenti memoria perfidiae auditi sunt, in consequence of the yet fresh recollection; Curtius: Alexander vates quoque adhibere coepit a superstitione animi, from superstitious prejudices.

Ab, used to denote an official function, is quite a peculiarity of the Latin language; e.g. alicujus or alicui esse (scil. servum or libertum) a pedibus, to be a person's lacquey, ab epistolis (secretary), a rationibus (keeper of

accounts), a studiis, a voluptatibus.

[§ 306.] Absque is found only in the comic writers, and modern Latinists should not introduce such antiquated words into their writings. See Burmann on Cic. de Invent. i. 36.; Ruhnken, Dict. Terent. p. 228. ed. Schopen. There is only one passage in Cicero, ad Att. i. 19.: nullam a me epistolam ad te sino absque argumento pervenire, in which the writer seems to have intentionally used absque, because he could not well have written the proper word sine,

on account of the proximity of sino.

[§ 307.] Cum, "with," not only expresses "in the company of persons," as cum aliquo esse, cum aliquo ire, venire, proficisci, facere aliquid (also secum, that is, with one's self'), but also accompanying circumstances, as Verres Lampsacum venit cum magna calamitate et prope pernicie civitatis; hostes cum detrimento sunt depulsi; and numerous other instances; also equivalent to our "in," in the sense of "dressed in;" as in hac officina Praetor (Verres) majorem partem dici cum tunica pulla sedere solebat et pallio. When combined with verbs denoting hostility, cum, like our "with," has the meaning of "against:" cum aliquo bellum gerere, to be at war with somebody; thus cum aliquo queri,

to complain of or against a person.

[§ 308.] De is most commonly "concerning," "about," or "on," as in multa de te audivi, liber de contemnenda morte, scil. scriptus; Regulus de captivis commutandis Romam missus est. Also in the phrases de te cogito, I think of thee; actum est de me, I am undone. Consequently, traditur de Homero, is something very different from traditur ab Homero; in the former sentence Homer is the object, and in the latter the subject. In the epistolary style, when a new subject is touched upon, de is used in the sense of quod attinet ad aliquid; as in Cicero: de fratre, confido ita esse, ut semper volui; de me autem, suscipe paulisper meas partes, et eum te esse finge, qui sum ego; de rationibus referendis, non erat incommodum, &c. But very frequently it has the signification of "down from," or "from a higher point;" as descendere de rostris, de coelo; Verres palam de sella ac tribunali pronuntiat; further, it denotes the origin from a place; as homo de schola, declamator de ludo, nescio qui de circo maximo, Cic. pro Milon. 24.; or "of," in a partitive sense, as homo de plebe, unus de populo, unus de multis, one of the many; unus de septem, one of the seven wise men; C. Gracchum de superioribus paene solum lego; versus de Phoenissis, verses from the tragedy of the Phoenissae; partem de istius impudentia reticebo, and in the phrases de meo, tuo, suo, &c., de alieno, de publico.

De also denotes time, which arises from its partitive signification. Cicero

says, Milo in comitium de nocte venit, that is, even by night, or spending a part of the night in coming to the comitium; vigilare de nocte, Alexander de die inibat convivia, even in the daytime; hence multa de nocte, media de nocte, that is, "in the depth of night," "in the middle of the night," the signification of the point of beginning being lost in that of the time in general. Fac, si me amas, ut considerate diligenterque naviges de mense Decembri, i. e. take care, as you are sailing in (a part of) the month of December.

In other cases also de is not unfrequently used for ab or ex; thus Cicero says, audivi hoc de parente meo puer, and with a somewhat far-fetched distinction between what is accidental and what is intentional; in Verr. iii. 57.: Non hoc nunc primum audit privatus de inimico, reus ab accusatore; effugere de manibus; Dionysius mensas argenteas de omnibus delubris jussit auferri; especially in connection with emere, mercari, conducere de aliquo. Gloriam, victoriam parere, parare, de aliquo or ex aliquo; triumphum agere de Gallis,

Allobrogibus, Aetolis, or ex Gallis, &c. are used indiscriminately.

In some combinations de has the signification of "in accordance with," or "after," like secundum: de consilio meo, de amicorum sententia, de consilii sententia, according to the resolution of the council; de communi sententia; de more. In other cases de with a noun following denotes the manner or cause of an action: denuo, de integro, afresh; de improviso, unexpectedly; de industria, purposely; de facie novi aliquem, I know a person by his appearance. In combination with res and causa: qua de re, qua de causa, quibus de causis, for which reasons.

[§ 309.] Ex (for this is the original form, it was changed into e when consonants followed, whence a certain custom was easily formed), "from," "out of," is quite common to denote a place, as an answer to the question whence? and in some peculiar phrases; such as: ex equo pugnare; ex equis colloqui, to converse while riding on horseback; ex muro passis manibus pacem petere; ex arbore pendere; ex loco superiore dicere; ex itinere scribere; conspicari aliquid ex propinquo, e longinquo videre aliquid, ex transverso impetum facere; ex adverso, and e regione (not ex), opposite; ex omni parte, in or from all parts. Ex aliquo audire, accipere, cognoscere, scire, and the like, to hear from a person's own mouth; victoriam reportare ex aliquo populo, where ex is the same as de. Ex vino, ex aqua coquere, bibere, where we say, "with wine," &c. are common medical expressions.

Ex, when a particle of time, denotes the point from which: ex illo die, from that day; ex hoc tempore, ex quo (not e), since; ex consulatu, ex praetura, ex dictatura, after the consulship, &c.; diem ex die expectare, to wait one day

after another, or day after day.

Ex, "from," denoting cause; as in ex aliquo or aliqua re dolere, laborare ex pedibus, e renibus, ex oculis, ex capite; perire ex vulneribus; ex quodam rumore nos te hic ad mensem Januarium expectabamus; ex lassitudine artius dormire, after a fatigue, or on account of fatigue; quum e via languerem, from or after the journey; ex quo vereor, whence I fear, and still more frequently, ex quo, whence, or for which reason. Hence it has also the signification of "in consequence of," or "in accordance with," and that in a great many expressions; such as: ex lege, ex decreto, ex testamento, ex Senatusconsulto, ex Senatus auctoritate, ex sententia equivalent to de sententia, ex consuetudine, e more.

With this we must connect the cases in which ex denotes the manner of an action; as in ex animo laudare, to praise heartily; ex sententia and ex voluntate,

according to one's wish; e natura vivere, in accordance with nature; ex improviso, ex inopinato, ex composito, ex praeparato, ex aequo, &c.

Ex denoting a change of a previous state: e servo te libertum meum feci; nihil est tam miserabile quam ex beato miser; repente Verres ex homine tamquam

epoto poculo Circaeo factus est verres.

In a partitive sense, ex denotes the whole from which something is taken, and is of frequent occurrence: thus unus e plebe, unus e multis, is the same as unus de plebe and de multis. Connected with this are the phrases: aliquid est e re mea, something is to my advantage; e republica (not ex), for the good of the state.

[§ 310.] Prae, "before," signifies place only in combination with agere, ferre, or other verbs expressing motion, and with pronouns: prae me fero, prae se fert, prae vobis tulistis, which denote the open display of a thing or of a sentiment.

Prae is commonly used in comparisons; as in Cicero: prae se omnes contemnit: ut ipse Consul in hac causa prae me minus etiam quam privatus esse videatur, in comparison with me; Romam prae sua Capua irridebunt; omnium

minas atque omnia pericula prae salute sua levia duxerunt.

It is frequently used also in the sense of "on account of," implying an obstacle; e.g. solem prae sagittarum multitudine non videbitis; non medius fidius prae lacrimis possum reliqua nec cogitare nec scribere; non possum prae fletu et dolore diutius in hoc loco commorari, and so always with a negative particle, which however is sometimes implied in the negative signification of the verb; e.g. Liv. vi. 40.: quum prae indignitate rerum stupor silentiumque ceteros patrum defixisset; xxxviii. 33.: silentium prae metu ceterorum fuit.

[§ 311] Pro, in regard to place "before," or "in front of a thing;" e. g. pro vallo, pro castris aciem instruere, that is, in the front of, close by, or under the wall; copias pro oppido collocare; pro templis omnibus praesidia collocata sunt; hasta posita est pro aede Jovis Statoris; Antonius sedens pro aede Castoris in foro. It also signifies, "at the extreme point of a thing," so that the person spoken of is in or upon the thing, e. g. pro suggestu aliquid pronuntiare, pro tribunali edicere, pro rostris laudare. Hence also pro testimonio dicere, to declare as a witness, and other expressions denoting place, where pro is the same as in: e.g. Tacit. Ann. i. 44: stabant pro contione, the same as in contione; ibid. ii. 81: pro muris vocans, on the edge of the wall.

The signification of something standing "before" a thing is the origin of that of "for," both in the sense of "instead," and that of protection: Unus Cato est pro centum milibus; Marcelli statua pro patibulo fuit; homo jam pro damnato est; se gerere or esse pro cive; habere pro hostibus, pro sociis; habere pro certo; aliquid pro mercede, pro praemio est; aliquid pro nihilo estimare, habere, putare; also "for" in speaking of payment: pro vectura solvere, to pay for freight; dixit se dimidium, quod pactus esset, pro illo carmine daturum; praemia mihi data sunt pro hac industria maxima. "For," the opposite of "against:" hoc pro me est, or valere debet; Cicero pro Murena orationem habuit, and in numerous other instances.

[§ 312.] Pro, "in accordance with" or, "in proportion to," occurs very frequently; e.g. civitatibus pro numero militum pecuniarum summas describere, according to the number of soldiers furnished by them; ego vos pro mea summa et vobis cognita in rempublicam diligentia moneo, pro auctoritate consulari hortor, pro magnitudine periculi obtestor, ut paci consulatis. Hence in many particular phrases; as, pro tempore or pro temporibus, in accordance

5

with the circumstances of the time, that is, pro conditione temporum, but by no means "for the time being," or "for a time;" pro re or pro re nata, according to circumstances or emergencies; pro meo jure, according to my right; pro eo ut, pro eo ac, according as; e.g. Di gratiam mihi referent pro eo ac mereor, i.e. pro eo quod, quantum, according to my merits; especially to denote divisions or share: pro parte, or pro mea, tua, sua parte, for my part, as far as lies in me; pro virili parte, according to the capacity of an individual; as in: pro virili parte rempublicam defendere; pro portione, in proportion; pro rata portione, or pro rata parte, in a correct proportion. In the phrase pro se quisque, every one for his part, the three words have almost grown into one; e.g. pro se quisque aurum, argentum et aes in publicum conferunt, every one, though with a somewhat strengthened meaning, "every one without exception." Quam pro after comparatives deserves especial notice; e.g. major quam pro numero hominum pugna editur; sedes excelsior quam pro habitu corporis.

[§ 313.] Tenus is used to denote limitation, e.g. Antiochus Tauro tenus regnare jussus est, as far as Mount Taurus, especially in the combination of verbo and nomine tenus, as far as the word or the name goes. So also ore tenus sapientia exercitatus in Tacitus, that is, that he could speak wisely, but not act wisely. It is only in poetry that this preposition is connected with a genitive, and chiefly with a genitive plural; e. g. labrorum tenus, up to the lip; crurum tenus, laterum tenus; but in Livy, xxvi 24., too we find Corcyrae tenus.

The accusative is still more rare.

3. Prepositions with the Accusative and Ablative.

[§ 314.] In with the accusative expresses the point in space towards which a movement is directed, like our "to," or "into:" in aedem ire, in publicum prodire, in Graeciam proficisci, in civitatem recipere; also the direction in which a thing extends, e.g. decem pedes in latitudinem, in longitudinem, in altitudinem, in breadth, length, height; further, independent of locality, it denotes the object towards which an action is directed, either with a friendly or hostile intention: amor in patriam, odium in malos cives, in duces vehemens, in milites liberalis, dicere in aliquem, and so also oratio in aliquem, a speech against some one.

It also denotes an object or purpose: have commutari ex veris in falsa non possunt; in majus celebrare, for something greater, so that it becomes something greater; is imperator in poenam exercitus expetitus esse videtur; pecunia data est in rem militarem; paucos in speciem captivos ducebant, for the sake of appearance; in contumeliam perfugae appellabantur, for the purpose of disgracing them; cum in eam sententiam multa dixisset, in support of this opinion; in hanc formulam, in has leges, in have verba, &c., scribere, foedus

facere.

[§ 315.] When joined with words denoting time, it expresses a predetermination of that time like the English "for;" e.g. invitare aliquem in posterum diem, for the following day; praedicere in multos annos, in paucos dies, in multos menses subsidia vitae habere, in hodiernum diem, for this present day; and so in many phrases; as, in diem vivere, to live only for the day; in futurum, in posterum, in reliquum, for the future; in aeternum, in perpetuum, for ever; in praesens, for the present; in all these cases the word tempus may be added. Without denoting time, in is used also with the

accusative of other words to express the future; e.g. Patres in incertum comitiorum eventum auctores fiunt, give their sanction to the yet uncertain resolutions of the comitia.

When joined with the numeral singuli, or when this word is to be understood, in expresses a distribution, like the English "on," "for," or "over;" e. g. in singulas civitates binos censores describere; queritur Sicilia tota, Verrem ab aratoribus pro frumento in modios singulos duodenos sestertios exegisse; so also pretium in capita statuere, i. e. in singula capita; ternis nummis in pedem tecum transegit, i. e. in singulos pedes. We must here notice also the expression in singulos dies, or in dies alone, "from day to day," with comparatives and verbs containing the idea of a comparative, such as crescere, augere.

It lastly denotes, in some phrases, the manner of an action; servilem, hostilem, miserandum in modum; mirum, mirabilem, mirandum in modum; in universum, in general; in commune, in common; in vicem, alternately, or instead of; in Bruti locum consulatum petere, in the place or instead of.

[§ 316.] In with the ablative, when it denotes place, most commonly expresses "being in a place or in a thing," while with the accusative it indicates a movement or direction towards it. It may sometimes be translated by "on," or "upon," but always answers to the question Where? c.g. coronam in collo habere; aliquid in humeris ferre; in ripa fluminis; in litore maris urbs condita est; pons in flumine est. When a number or quantity is indicated it answers to "among;" e.g. esse, haberi, poni, numerari in bonis civibus; in magnis viris, in mediocribus oratoribus, in septem vagantibus, among the seven planets, so that in is equal to inter. A particular phrase is aliquid in manibus est, a thing is in hand, or has been commenced; as in Livy: haec contentio minime idoneo tempore, quum tantum belli in manibus esset, occuparat cogitationes hominum. In manibus habere, to be engaged upon a thing; as in Cicero: Quam spem nunc habeat in manibus et quid moliatur, breviter jam exponam. Aliquid in oculis est, a thing is obvious.

Now and then we find, in good authors, in with the accusative, where the grammatical rule requires the ablative. See the commentators on Livy ii. 14.; but this is limited to a very few political and legal expressions, such as in potestatem, in amicitian dicionemque esse, manere (Cic. Divin. in Q. Caecil. 20., in Verr. v. 38), in vadimonium, in moram esse, and even these cases must be considered only as exceptions. In the comic writers, however, we not unfrequently find mihi in mentem est. See Bentley on Terent. Heaut. v. 2.

[§ 317.] The general signification of in with the ablative is "in," or "with," and without reference to locality it denotes a coincidence of certain circumstances and attributes; e. g. in hoc homine, in hac re, hoc admiror, hoc laudo, hoc displicet, in this man; a phrase of this kind is quantum in eo or in me, te, &c., fuil, as much as was in my power. In the following sentences it is our "with," or "notwithstanding:" in summa copia oratorum, nemo tamen Ciceronis laudem aequavit; in summis tuis occupationibus, with all thy very important engagements; alter, uti dixit Isocrates in Ephoro et Theopompo, frenis egit, alter calcaribus, as Isocrates said when speaking of Ephorus and Theopompus.

[§ 318] When real expressions of time, such as sacculum, annus, mensis, dies, nox, vesper are employed, the simple ablative denotes the time at which (see § 475.); but in is used with substantives, which by themselves do not

denote time, but acquire that meaning by being connected with in; as, in consulatu, in praetura, in meo reditu, in primo conspectu, in principio, in bello, although in these cases too the simple ablative is sometimes used; but in appears more especially in connection with a gerund, as in legendo and in legendis libris, in urbe oppugnanda, in itinere faciendo—all these expressions in the first instance denoting time, but passing into kindred meanings. In praesenti or praesentia, signifies "at the present moment," or "for the present." The phrase, est in eo, ut aliquid fiat, signifies, something is on the point of happening.

[§ 319.] Sub, e.g. Romani sub jugum missi sunt; se conjicere sub scalas, to throw oneself under the stairs; alicui scamnum sub pedem dare, and figuratively, sub imperium tuum redeo, and so also aliquid cadit sub aspectum, "a thing falls within the horizon," as well as cadit sub judicium et delectum sapientis, sub intelligentiam, it belongs to the philosopher, is left to him. When it denotes time, it signifies, 1. "about," that is, shortly before, as sub ortum solis, shortly before sunrise; sub noctem, sub vesperam; 2. more rarely, "immediately after;" e.g. sub eas litteras statim recitatae sunt tuae, Cic. ad Fam. x. 16.; statim sub mentionem, Coelius in Cic. ad Fam. viii. 4.; Africo bello, quod fuit sub recentem Romanam pacem, Liv. xxi. 11.; and sub haec dicta, sub hanc vocem, are used by the same writer. The phrase sub idem tempus contains only an approximate definition of time, and signifies "about the same time."

Sub, with the ablative, is always "under;" first, with regard to things that strike our senses, and secondly, to denote inferiority in rank: sub divo, or sub dio, under the sky, in the open air; sub oculis, under, i. e. before our eyes; sub regibus esse, sub imperio, sub hoc sacramento militari, sub magistro esse: it rarely denotes a condition, and only in late writers; e. g. sub lege, sub poena. Sub specie, "under the appearance," and sub obtentu, "under the pretext," are little used. Sometimes sub is found with the ablative to denote time, but only where contemporaneity is to be indicated; e. g. Ovid. Fast. v. 491.: Haec tria sunt sub eodem tempore festa; Caes. Bell. Civ. i. 27.: ne sub ipsa profectione milites oppidum irrumperent; and in like manner we may say sub adventu, e. g. Romanorum, while they were arriving. Compare Drakenborch on Liv. ii. 55.; who, however, gives to this sub too great an extent.

[§ 320.] Super has, in prose, the ablative only when used in the sense of de, "concerning," or "in respect of," as in super aliqua re ad aliquem scribere,

but chiefly in writers of the silver age of the language.

With the accusative it signifies "over," "above," and answers to both questions Whither? and Where? super aliquem sedere, accumbere, situs est Aeneas super Numicium flumen, Aeneas was buried above the river; that is, on its banks, but on an eminence of the bank. The phrase super coenam signifies "during dinner." With numerals it is "above," or "more than;" e. g. Annulorum tantus acervus fuit, ut metientibus dimidium super tres modios explesse sint quidam auctores, one half more than three modii, or three modii and a half; and in other expressions, as res super vota fluunt, more than was wished. In these two significations of "above" (in its sense of place as well as that of "more than"), super is the same as supra; but it is used more frequently than the latter in the sense of "besides," or "in addition to: "super bellum annona premit; super morbum etiam fames affecit exercitum, super cetera; so also in the phrase alius super alium, one after the other.

Subter is rarely used with the ablative, and only in poetry: Cicero uses the accusative in the expression Plato iram in pectore, cupiditatem subter praecordia locavit. Otherwise it frequently occurs as an adverb, in the sense of our "below."

[§ 321.] 2. The adverbs clam, palam, simul and procul, are sometimes connected by poets and late prose writers with an ablative, and must then be regarded as prepositions: clam and its diminutive clanculum, "without a person's knowledge," e. g. clam uxore mea et filio, are frequently found as prepositions in the comic writers, but are joined also with the accusative; palam is the opposite of clam, and the same as coram; e. g. palam populo, in the presence of the people; simul is used by poets, without the preposition cum, in the sense of "with;" e. g. Sil. Ital. v. 418.: avulsa est protinus hosti ore simul cervix, the neck together with the face: Horace uses simul his, together with these, and Tacitus frequently; e. g. Annal. iii. 64.: Septemviris simul; procul, with the omission of ab, is frequent in Livy and Tacitus, and signifies, "far from;" e. g. procul urbe, mari, voluptatibus, and in the phrase procul dubio or dubio procul, instead of sine dubio.

[§ 322.] Respecting usque as an adverb, see above, § 286. It is commonly accompanied by a preposition ab and ex, or ad, in and sub, and expresses the idea of continuity from one point to another; e. g. vetus opinio est, usque ab heroicis ducta temporibus; usque ex ultima Syria atque Aegypto navigare; similis plausus me usque ad Capitolium celebravit; usque in Pamphyliam legatos mittere; usque sub extremum brumae imbrem, where usque is our "until." It is only in poetry and late prose writers, that usque alone is used for usque ad; e. g. Curtius, viii. 31., says of the Indians:—corpora usque pedes carbaso velant. This is independent of the names of towns, where the prepositions ad and ab are generally omitted.

[§ 323.] 3. But many of the above-mentioned prepositions are used as adverbs, that is, without a noun depending on them. This is chiefly the case with those which denote place: ante and post, adversum and exadversum (opposite), circa (around), circumcirca (all around), contra (opposite), coram (in the presence of), extra, infra, juxta, prope and propter (near), pone (behind), supra, ultra, super and subter. Circiter also,

and sometimes ad (§ 296.), are used in the adverbial sense of "about" or "nearly" with numbers, which are indefinitely stated. *Contra*, when used without a case and for the purpose of connecting sentences, is a conjunction, like our "but," or "however."

Note. Instead of ante and post as adverbs, we have also the special forms anteā and posteā (consequently the conjunctions anteāquam, posteāquam), see § 276. Ante, however, is preferred as an adverb in combination with participles; e.g. ante dicta, vita ante acta, and post is frequently used to connect sentences.

Contra, as an adverb, occurs in the phrase of Plautus, auro contra, or contra auro; that is, gold being placed on the other side; so that auro is not a dative, but an ablative; for which other authors, however, use the pre-

position contra aurum, for gold, when a price is indicated.

Juxta, as an adverb, commonly signifies "equally," or "in like manner," and is the same as aeque; e.g. in Livy: aliaque castella (dedita sunt) juxta ignobilia; Sallust: eorum ego vitam mortemque juxta aestimo, I deem of equal importance; margaritae a feminis juxta virisque gestantur, by women as well as by men. It is frequently followed by ac or atque, in the sense of "as."

as by men. It is frequently followed by ac or atque, in the sense of "as."

Praeter is used as an adverb for praeterquam; that is, not with the accusative, but with the case required by the verb preceding, as in Sallust: ceterae multitudini diem statuit, ante quam sine fraude (without punishment) liceret ab armis discedere, praeter rerum capitalium condemnatis. We thus might say, hoc nemini, praeter tibi, videtur; but it is better to say praeter te, or praeterquam (nisi) tibi.

Prope and propter are very frequently used as adverbs; prope, however, is sometimes accompanied by the preposition ab, as in tam prope a Sicilia bellum gestum est, so near Sicily; prope a meis aedibus sedebas, near my house.

Ultra, as an adverb, and accompanied by a negative particle, signifies "no longer:" haud ultra pati possum; bellum Latinum non ultra dilatum est. When it denotes place or measure, it signifies "further" or "beyond."

[§ 324.] 4. It was remarked above, that the prepositions versus and tenus are placed after their case. Some other prepositions also may take the same place, but not indiscriminately. Thus, the four prepositions ante, contra, inter and propter, are sometimes placed after the relative pronoun (occasionally after the demonstrative hic also); e. g. diem statuunt, quam ante ab armis discederet, quem contra venit, quos inter, quem propter; other prepositions of two or more syllables, as circa, circum, penes, ultra, and adversus, are more rarely used in this way; the monosyllabic prepositions, post, per, ad and de, are thus used only in isolated cases or phrases, and de scarcely in any other than legal formulæ; e. g. quo de agitur, res qua de judicatum est. Further, those same four dissyllabic prepositions

ante, contra, inter and propter, together with the monosyllabic ob, post, de, ex and in, when they govern a substantive accompanied by an adjective or pronoun, are frequently placed between the adjective and substantive; e. g. medios inter hostes, certis de causis, magna ex parte, aliquot post menses, and still more frequently between the relative pronoun and the substantive; e.g. quod propter studium, qua in re, quam ob rem, quam ob causam. Per, ab, and ad are but rarely placed in this way. The preposition cum is always placed after or rather appended to the ablative of the personal pronouns me, te, se, nobis and vobis. The same is commonly the case with the ablatives of the relative pronoun, quo, qua, and quibus, but we may also say, cum quo, cum qua, and cum quibus. This preposition also prefers the middle place between the adjective or pronoun and the substantive. (See § 472.) What has been said here applies to ordinary prose; and the practice of those prose writers, who place the above-mentioned prepositions and others even after substantives, must be regarded as a peculiarity. Tacitus, for example, we often find such arrangements as, Misenum apud, viam propter, Scythas inter, Euphratem ultra, cubiculum Caesaris juxta, litora Calabriae contra, ripam ad Araxis. verbera inter ac contumelias, and the like. The place of coram after its noun seems, comparatively speaking, to be established by better authority than that of any other. Poets go still further, and separate a preposition entirely from the case belonging to it; e.g. in Horace, Serm. i. 3. 70.: - Amicus dulcis cum mea compenset vitiis bona.

CHAP. LXVI.

PREPOSITIONS IN COMPOSITION.

[§ 325.] THE majority of the prepositions are used also to form compound words, especially verbs, modifying, naturally, by their own meaning that of the words to which they are joined. The prepositions themselves often undergo a change in their pro-

nunciation and orthography, on account of the initial letter of the verb to which they are prefixed. But the opinions of ancient as well as modern grammarians differ on no point so much as upon the detail of these changes, some taking into account the facility of pronunciation, and assimilating the concurrent letters of the prepositions and the simple verb accordingly, others preferring to leave the prepositions unchanged, at least in writing, because the former method admits of much that is arbitrary. Even in old MSS, and in the inscribed monuments of antiquity the greatest inconsistency prevails, and we find, e. g., existere along with exsistere, collega along with conlega, and imperium along with inperium, in the same book. In the following remarks, therefore, as we must have something certain and lasting, we can decide only according to prevalent usage, but there are some points which we must determine for ourselves as well as we can.

Ad remains unchanged before vowels, and before the consonants d, j, v, m; before other consonants it undergoes an assimilation, that is, the d is changed into the letter which follows it, and before qu into the kindred c, as in acquiro, acquiesco. Before gn the d is dropped, as in agnatus, agnosco. But grammarians are not agreed as to whether d is to be retained before l, n, r, s, and still less, as to whether it may stand before f. Even the most ancient MSS. are not consistent, and we find in them, e. g. adloquor, adfecto, adspiro, and on the other hand, allicio, affligo, assuetus, aspectus, ascendo. Our own opinion is in favour of the assimilation, and we make an exception only in the case of adscribo, on account of the agreement of the MSS. on this point. The signification of ad remains the same as usual, as in adjungo, assūmo, affero, appono, alloquor. In approbo and affirmo it either expresses a direction towards, or merely strengthens the meaning of the simple verb.

Ante remains unchanged; in anticipare and antistare alone, the e is changed into i, though antesto also is approved of. Its meaning is "before," as in antepōno, antefēro.

Circum remains unchanged, and retains, in writing, its m even before vowels, although in pronunciation (but without the elision of the vowel preceding) it was lost. Only in circumco and its derivatives the m is often dropped, as circueo. Its

meaning is "around," "about," as in circumăgo, circumdo, circumfero.

Inter remains unchanged, except in the word intelligo. Its meaning is "between" or "among," as in interpono.

Ob remains generally unchanged, and undergoes the assimilation only before c, f, g, and p. In obsolesco, from the simple verb oleo, and in ostendo from tendo, we must recognise an ancient form obs, like abs for ab. Its meaning of "against" or "before" appears in oppono, offero, occurro, oggannio.

[§ 326.] Per remains unchanged even before l, though some think otherwise; in pellicio, however, it is universally assimilated. The r is dropped only in the word pejero, I commit a perjurium. Its meaning is "through," as in perlĕgo, perluceo, perăgo. When added to adjectives it strengthens their meaning (§ 107.), but in perfĭdus and perjūrus, it has the power of a negative particle.

Post remains unchanged, except in pomoerium and pomeridianus, in which st is dropped; its meaning is "after," as in postpono.

Praeter remains unchanged, and signifies "passing by," as in praetereo, praetermitto.

Trans remains unchanged before vowels, and for the most part also before consonants. In the following words the ns is dropped: trado, traduco, trajicio, trano, which forms are more frequent than transdo, transduco, transjicio, transno, though the latter are not to be rejected. When the verb begins with s, the s at the end of trans is better omitted, and we should write transcribo, transilio. Its meaning "through," "over," or "across," appears in transco, trajicio, and transmitto, I cross (a river); trado, surrender.

[§ 327.] A, ab, abs, viz.: a before m and v; ab before vowels and most consonants, even before f, though afui exists along with abfui; in aufero (to distinguish it from affero) and aufugio, ab is changed into av or au; abs occurs only before c and t, but appears mutilated in asporto and aspernor. Its meaning is "from" or "away," as in amitto, avehor, abeo, abficio, abrado, aufero, abscondo, abstineo.

De, "down" or "away from," as in dejicio, descendo, detraho, detero, rub off; despicio, look down upon, despise. In some

compounds, especially adjectives, it has a negative power, as in decŏlor, deformis, demens, desipio, despēro; in demīror, deămo, and dejĕro, on the other hand, it seems to strengthen the meaning.

E and ex, viz.: ex before vowels, and before consonants some-

times e and sometimes ex: ex before c, p, q, s, t, except in escendo and epōto; before f it assimilates to it; e is used before all the other consonants, except in exlex. We, therefore, should write exspecto, exsilium, exstinguo, but the ancient grammarians, as Quintilian and Priscian, are for throwing out the s, and in MSS. we usually find extinguo, extruxi, exequor, and expecto, exul, exilium, notwithstanding the ambiguity which sometimes may arise. Its meaning "out of" or "from," appears in ejicio, emineo, enăto, eripio, effero (extăli), excello, expono, exquiro, extraho, exaudio, exigo, exulcero, &c. The idea of completion is

implied in several of these compounds, as in efficio, enarro, exoro.

[§ 328.] In is changed into im, before b and p and another m, and it is assimilated to l and r. Its meaning is "in" or "into," as in incurro, impono, illido, irrumpo. When prefixed to adjectives and participles, which have the signification of adjectives, it has a negative power, and does not appear to be the preposition in, but equivalent to and identical with our in or un, e.g. indoctus, incautus, ineptus (from aptus), insipiens, improvidus, imprudens, imparatus, the negative of paratus, because there is no verb imparo. Some other compounds of this kind have a double meaning, since they may be either negative adjectives, or participles of a compound verb: e.g. indictus, unsaid, or announced; infractus, unbroken or broken into; invocatus, uninvited, or accosted, called in. The participle perf. passive, when compounded with *in*, often acquires the signification of impossibility: e. g. *invictus*, unconquered and unconquerable; indefessus, indefatigable; infinitus, immeasurable.

Prae remains unchanged, but is shortened when a vowel follows. (See above, § 15.) Its meaning is "before," as in praefero, praecipio, praecipio. When prefixed to adjectives, it strengthens

their meaning. (See § 107.)

Pro remains unchanged, but in many words it is shortened even before consonants. (See above, § 22.) For the purpose of avoiding hiatus, a d is inserted in prodeo, prodigo, and in those forms of the verb prosum in which the initial e would cause

hiatus, as prodes, prodest, proderam. (See above, § 156.) Its meaning, "forth" or "forward," appears in profero, procurro,

prodeo, projicio, prospicio.

[§ 329.] Sub remains unchanged before vowels (but sūmo seems to be formed from subimo, as demo and promo are formed from the same root), but undergoes assimilation before c, f, g, m, p; not always before r, for we have surripio and yet subrideo, where however the difference in meaning is to be taken into account. In suscipio, suscito, suspendo, sustineo, and the perfect sustuli, an s is inserted instead of the b, whence an ancient form subs is supposed to have existed, analogous to abs and obs. 'The b is dropped before sp, but before sc and st it is retained. Its meaning is "under," as in summitto, suppono, sustineo; or "from under," as in subduco, summoveo, surripio; an approach from below, is expressed in subeo, succēdo, suspicio, look up to, esteem; and to do a thing instead of another person, in subsortior. It weakens the meaning in such verbs as subrideo, subvereor, and in adjectives, such as subabsurdus, subtristis, subrusticus, subobscurus.

Super, "above," as in superimpono, supersto, supersedeo, set myself above, or omit.

Subter, "from under," as in subterfugio.

Com for cum appears in this form only before b, p, m; before l, n, r, the final m is assimilated to these letters, and before all other consonants it is changed into n. Before vowels the m is dropped, e. g. coëo, cohaereo, and in addition to this a contraction takes place in cogo and cogito (from coago, coagito). The m is retained only in a few words, as comes, comitium, comitor, comedo. It signifies "with" or "together," as in conjungo, consero, compono, collido, colligo, corrado, coëo, coalesco, cohaereo. In some verbs and participles it merely strengthens the meaning, as corrumpo, concerpo, confringo, consceleratus.

[§ 330.] Note. We must not leave unnoticed here what are called the inseparable prepositions (among which con is reckoned, although it is only a different pronunciation for cum); that is, some little words, which are never used by themselves, but occur only in compound verbs and adjectives, where they modify the meaning in the same way as the above-mentioned separable prepositions. The following is a list of them:

Amb (from the Greek $i\mu\phi i$), "around," "about," as in ambio, ambūro (ambustus), ambigo, ambiguus. In amplector, amputo, the b is dropped on account of the p; before palatals amb is changed into an; e. g. anceps, anquiro, and

also before f, in the word anfractus.

Dis or di, denoting separation, as in digero, dirimo, dijudico, dispono, dissero, distinguo, dimitto (to be distinguished from demitto). It strengthens the meaning in discupio. Before c, p, q, t, dis is retained entire; before j, we sometimes have dis, as in disjicio, disjungo; and sometimes di, as in dijudico. Before s, with a consonant after it, di is used, and dis when the s after it is followed by a vowel: di-spergo, di-sto, dis-socio, dis-suadeo; disertus, however, is formed from dissero. Before f, dis is changed into dif, as in differo. Di is used before all other consonants.

Re signifies "back:" remitto, rejicio, revertor. Before a vowel or an h, a d is inserted: redeo, redigo, redhibeo: this is neglected only in compounds formed by late and unclassical writers; e. g. reaedifico, reagens. The d in reddo, I give back, is of a different kind. Re denotes separation in resolvo, revello, retego, recingo, recludo, refringo, reseco; and in relego, rebibo, and others, it denotes repetition.

Se, "aside," "on one side": seduco, sevoco, secubo, sepono, sejungo. In adjectives it signifies "without:" securus, sobrius for sebrius (non ebrius), socors for secors. Seorsum is contracted from sevorsum, aside. A d is inserted in

seditio, separation, sedition, from se and itio.

The prefixes ne and ve are of a somewhat different nature: ne has negative power, as in nefas, nemo (ne hemo, obsolete for homo), nescio. Ve is likewise negative, but occurs in a much smaller number of words, viz. in vesanus and vecors (vecordia), senseless. In vegrandis and vepallidus, it seems to denote ugliness.

CHAP. LXVII.

CONJUNCTIONS.

[§ 331.] 1. Conjunctions are those indeclinable parts of speech which express the relations in which sentences stand to one another. They therefore are, as it were, the links of propositions, whence their name conjunctions.

Note 1. Some conjunctions, and more particularly all those which form the first class in our division, connect not only sentences, but single words. This, however, is in reality the case only when two propositions are contracted into one, or when one is omitted, as in Mars sive Mavors bellis praesidet: here sive Mavors is to be explained by the omission of sive is Mavors appellandus est, which phrase is, in fact, not unfrequently used. The propositions vive diu ac feliciter and ratio et oratio homines conjungit, again may be divided each into two propositions joined by the conjunctions vive diu et vive feliciter and ratio conjungit homines et oratio conjungit homines. The practice of language, however, did not stop short in this contraction, but as we may say ratio et oratio conjungunt homines, and as we must say pater et filius dormiunt, the language, by the plural of the pre-

dicate, clearly indicates that the two nouns are united. Hence we may say, that the (copulative) conjunctions et, que, ac, and atque join single words also. With regard to the other, especially the disjunctive conjunctions (for there can be no doubt about the conjunction "also,") we must have recourse to the above explanation, that two propositions are contracted into one, for in ego aut tu vincamus necesse est, the nos, which comprehends the two

persons, is the subject of vincamus, and not ego aut tu.

Note 2. Many of the conjunctions to be mentioned presently originally belonged to other parts of speech; but they have lost their real signification, and as they serve to join propositions, they may at once be looked upon as conjunctions; e.g. ceterum, verum, vero, licet, quamvis, and such compounds as quare, idcirco, quamobrem. But there are also many adverbs denoting time and place, respecting which it is doubtful, whether in consequence of the mode of their application in language, they should not be classed among conjunctions. Those denoting time (e.g. deinde, denique, postremum) retain, indeed, their original signification, but when they are doubled, as tum—tum, nunc—nunc, modo—modo, they evidently serve only to connect propositions; the adverbs of place, on the other hand, are justly classed among the conjunctions when they drop their meaning of place and express a connection of propositions in respect of time, or the relation of cause and effect, as is the case with ubi, ibi, and inde, and with eo and quando.

- 2. In regard to their form (figura), they are either simple or compound. Of the former kind are, e. g. et, ac, at, sed, nam; and of the latter atque, itaque, attamen, siquidem, enimvēro, verumenimvēro.
- 3. In reference to their signification, they may be divided into the following classes. They denote:
- [§ 332.] 1. A union (conjunctiones copulativae), as et, ac, atque, and the enclitic que, combined with the negation belonging to the verb, neque or nec, or doubled so as to become an affirmative, nec (neque) non, equivalent to et. Etiam and quoque also belong to this class, together with the adverbial item and itidem. As these particles unite things which are of a kind, so the disjunctive conjunctions, signifying "or," connect things, which are distinct from each other. They are aut, vel, the suffix ve, and sive or seu.

Note. Ac is never used before vowels (which, however, do not include j) or before an h; atque occurs most frequently before vowels, but before consonants also. Hence the two forms in the same sentence of Cicero p. Balb. 3.: non contra ac liceret, sed contra atque oporteret, and it is probable that in prose as well as in poetry the hiatus was avoided by elision. The rule here given is not invalidated by the fact of ac being found here and there before vowels, in editions of Latin authors, as is the case, for example, in two passages of Ernesti's edition of Cicero, ad Quint. Frat. ii. 6., and ad Att. xiii. 48. For as this difference in the use of ac and atque was not

noticed till recently* (in the schools of the Dutch philologers, Burmann and Drakenborch), and as the MSS. have not yet been collated in all cases of this kind, such isolated remnants of former carelessness cannot be taken into account. Drakenborch (on Liv. x. 36. in fin.) observes, that whereever, before his time, ac was found in Livy before vowels, the MSS. give either atque, aut, at, or something else, and that even those passages, in which he retained it, such as iii. 16., ac emergentibus malis, should be corrected. We cannot, however, enter into the question, why ac was not used before a vowel, while nec and neque are used indiscriminately both before vowels and consonants. One language avoids a sound as displeasing, which in another produces no such effect; suffice it to say, that the fact itself is beyond all doubt. Another remark, however, which is made by many Grammarians, that ac is not used by good writers before c and q, is unfounded, at least ac before con is frequent in Cicero, and other authors do not even scruple to use ac before ca, which is otherwise, and with justice, considered not euphonious.

[§ 333.] The difference between et and que is correctly described by Hermann in Elmsley's ed. of the Medea, p. 331., ed. Lips. in these words: "et (kai) is a copulative particle, and que ($\tau \epsilon$) is an adjunctive one." In other words, et connects things which are conceived as different, and que adds what belongs to, or naturally flows from, things. an enumeration of words, therefore, que frequently forms the conclusion of the series; e. g. Cicero says: hi, qui solis et lunae reliquorumque siderum ortus, obitus motusque cognorunt; and by means of que he extends the preceding idea, without connecting with it any thing which is generically different, as in: de illa civitate totaque provincia optime meritus; Dolabella quique ejus facinoris ministri fuerunt; jus potestatemque habere; Pompejus pro patris majorumque suorum animo studioque in rempublicam suaque pristina virtute fecit. In connecting propositions with one another, it denotes a consequence or result, and is equivalent to "and therefore," which explains its peculiarly frequent application in senatusconsulta (which are undoubtedly the most valid documents in determining the genuine usage of the Latin language), framed as they were to prevent different points being mixed up in one enactment. E. g. in Cic. Philip. ix. 7.: Quum Ser. Sulpicius salutem reip. vitae suae praeposuerit, contraque vim gravitatemque morbi contenderit, ut-perveniret, isque vitam amiserit, ejusque mors consentanea vitae fuerit: quum talis vir mortem obierit, senatui placere, Ser. Sulpicio statuam aeneam-statui, circumque eam locum liberos posterosque ejus-habere, eamque causam in basi inscribi, utique Coss.—locent, quantique locaverint, tantam pecuniam—attribuendam solvendamque curent.

Atque is formed from ad and que, and therefore properly signifies "and in

^{*} Or, we should rather say, was not noticed again, for the observation was first made in a brief but unequivocal manner by Gabriel Faernus, in his note on Cic. pro Flace. 3. in fin. ed. Rom. 1563.; but it was disregarded. It is still more remarkable, that none of the ancient grammarians, though they carefully notice other phenomena of a similar kind, have thought it necessary to draw attention to this circumstance, which is by no means unimportant. The passages in Ernesti's edition of Cicero, above referred to, have been corrected in Orelli's edition.

addition," "and also," thus putting things on an equality, but at the same time laying stress upon the connection. We express this by pronouncing "and" more emphatically than usual. For example, socii et exterae nationes simply indicates the combination of two things independent of each other; but in socii atque exterae nationes the latter part is more emphatic, "and also the foreign," &c. In the beginning of a proposition which further explains that which precedes, and where the simple connection is insufficient, the particles atque and ac introduce a thing with great weight, and may be rendered in English by "now;" e.g. atque haec quidem mea sententia est; atque—de ipsis Syracusanis cognoscite; also in answers: cognostine hos versus? Ac memoriter. Num hic duae Bacchides habitant? Atque ambae sorores, i. e. yes, and that, &c. Ac is the same as atque, but being an abridged form it loses somewhat of its power in connecting single words; but it retains that power which puts the things connected by it on an equality, and its use alternates with that of et; it is preferred in subdivisions, whereas the main propositions are connected by et; e.g. Cic. in Verr. v. 15.: Cur tibi fasces ac secures, et tantam vim imperii tantaque ornamenta data censes? Divin. 12.: Difficile est tantam causam et diligentia consequi, et memoria complecti, et oratione expromere, et voce ac viribus sustinere.

[§ 334.] Neque is formed from the ancient negative particle and que, and is used for et non. Et non itself is used, when the whole proposition is affirmative and only one idea or one word in it is to be negatived; e.g. Cic. Brut. 91.: Athenis apud Demetrium Syrum, veterem et non ignobilem dicendi magistrum, exerceri solebam; in Verr. i. 1.: patior et non moleste fero; de Orat. iii. 36.: videris mihi aliud quiddam et non id quod suscepisti disputasse, and when our "and not" is used for "and not rather," to correct an improper supposition; e.g. Cic. in Verr. i. 31.: si quam Rubrius injuriam suo nomine ac non impulsu tuo fecisset. See § 781. Et non is, besides, found in the second part of a proposition, when et precedes, but neque may be and frequently is used for et non in this case; e. g. Cic. ad Fam. xiii. 23.: Manlius et semper me coluit, et a studiis nostris non abhorret; ad Att. ii. 4.: id et nobis erit perjucundum, et tibi non sane devium. Nec (neque) non is not used in classical prose in quite the same way as et to connect nouns, but only to join propositions together (see Ruhnken on Vell. Pat. ii. 95.), and the two words are separated; e.g. Nepos, Att. 13.: Nemo Attico minus fuit aedificator, neque tamen non imprimis bene habitavit. Cicero several times uses nec vero non and the like; but in Varro and later writers, such as Quintilian, nec non are not separated, and are in all essential points equivalent to et.

[§ 335.] Etiam and quoque are in so far different in their meaning, that etiam, in the first place, has a wider extent than quoque, for it contains also the idea of our "even;" and secondly etiam adds a new circumstance, whereas quoque denotes the addition of a thing of a similar kind. Hence etiam is properly used to connect propositions. This difference seems to be correctly expressed in stating that etiam is "and further," and quoque "and so also." As in this manner quoque refers to a single word, it always follows that word; etiam in similar cases is usually placed before it, but when it connects propositions, its place is arbitrary. Et too is sometimes used in the sense of "also" in classical prose; e.g. Curt. iii. 31.: non errasti, mater, nam et hic Alexander est; Cic. de Legg. ii. 16.: quod et nunc multis in famis fit, for nunc quoque; in Verr. iv. 61.: simul et verebar; and v. 1.: simul et de illo vulnere—multa dixit; and often non modo—sed et; e.g. Cic. in Verr. i. 1.: non modo

Romae, sed et apud exteras nationes; Nepos, Thrasyb. 1.: non solum princeps, sed et solus bellum indixit. (See Bremi's remark on this passage, who states that sed et is not merely "but also," but always "but even.") But passages of this kind are not very numerous, and not always certain, for the MSS. usually have etiam, so that this use of et in prose (for poets cannot be taken into account) must at least be very much limited, and it should not be used

to that extent in which modern Latinists apply it.

[§ 336.] The disjunctive conjunctions differ thus far, that aut indicates a difference of the object, and vel a difference of expression. Vel is connected with the verb velle (vel-vel, will you thus or will you thus?), and the single vel is used by Cicero only to correct a preceding expression, commonly combined with dicam or potius or etiam; e.g. peteres vel potius rogares; stuporem hominis vel dicam pecudis videte (Philip. ii. 12.); laudanda est vel etiam amanda (p. Plane. 9.); it very rarely occurs without such an addition, but even then its meaning is corrective; e. g. Tusc. ii. 20.: summum bonum a virtute profectum. vel (or rather) in ipsa virtute positum; de Nat. Deor. ii. 15.: in ardore coelesti, qui aether vel coelum nominatur, where it likewise denotes not so much the equivalence of the terms, as the preference which is to be given to the (Concerning the use of vel to denote an increase, see § 108. and § 734., where also its signification of "for example," velut, is explained. Both these significations are derivable from what has here been said.) From this in later, though still good, prose, arose the use of vel in the sense of "or," that is, that in point of fact one thing is equal to another, a meaning which ve in connecting single words has even in Cicero; e.g. Philip. v. 19.: Consules alter ambove faciant, that is, in point of fact it is the same whether both consuls or only one of them do a thing; Top. 5.: Esse ea dico. quae cerni tangive possunt, that is, either of the two is sufficient. Sive either retains the meaning of the conjunction si (which is commonly the case) and is then the same as vel si, or it loses it by an ellipsis (perhaps of dicere mavis), and is then the same as vel, denoting a difference of name, as in Quintilian: vocabulum sive appellatio; Cic.: regie seu potius tyrannice. The form seu is used by Cicero very rarely, and almost exclusively in the combination seu potius; but in poetry and later prose it occurs frequently.

[§ 337.] The disjunctive conjunctions aut and ve serve to continue the negation in negative sentences, where we use "nor;" e.g. Verres non Honori aut Virtuti vota debebat, sed Veneri et Cupidini; and we may say also non Honori neque Virtuti, and in other cases we might use ve, analogous to the affirmative que. See Ruhnken on Vell. Pat. ii. 45., and the commentators on Tacit. Ann. i. 32. in fin. Examples: Cic. p. Flace. 5.: Itaque non optimus quisque nec gravissimus, sed impudentissimus loquacissimusque deligitur; Horat. Serm. i. 9. 31.: Hunc nec hosticus auferet ensis, nec laterum dolor aut tarda podagra; ibid. i. 4. 73.: Nec recito cuiquam nisi amicis, non ubivis coranve quibuslibet; Cic. ad Fam. v. 13.: Nullum membrum reip. reperies, quod non fractum debilitatumve sit; and in negative questions, Cic. Philip. v. 5.: Num leges nostras moresve novit? in Verr. v. 13.: Quid me attinet dicere aut conjungere cum istius flagitio cujusquam praeterea dedecus? or after comparatives, Cic. p. Mur. 29.: Accessit istuc doctrina non moderata nec mitis, sed paulo asperior et durior, quam veritas aut natura patiatur. It is only in those cases in which both words are to be united into one idea that a copulative conjunction is used; e. g. Cic. in Verr. iii. 86.: nummos non exarat arator, non aratro ac manu quaerit. Comp. the longer passage in Cic. De

Nat. Deor. ii. 62. in fin.

[§ 338.] The Latin language is fond of doubling the conjunctions of this kind, whereby words and propositions are more emphatically brought under one general idea. The English "as well as" is expressed by

et-et, which is of very common occurrence;

et-que, occurs not unfrequently in late writers, in Cicero by way of exception only;

que - et, connects single words, but not in Cicero;

que - que, is found only in poetry.

The only prose writer who uses it is Sallust, Cat. 9.: seque remque publicam curabant; Jug. 10.: meque regnumque meum gloria honoravisti; but it is not uncommon in the case of the conjunction being appended to the relative pronoun; e. g. quique exissent, quique ibi mansissent; captivi, quique Campanorum, quique Hannibalis militum erant, in Livy; or junctis exercitibus, quique sub Caesare fuerant, quique ad eum venerant, in Vellejus. The latest critics have removed similar passages from the works of Cicero; see the comment. on de Orat. i. 26., and de Fin. v. 21.; noctesque diesque, in de Fin. i. 16., is an allusion to a passage in a poem. Negative propositions are connected in English by "neither—nor," and in Latin by

neque -- neque, or nec -- nec;

neque - nec, which is not unfrequent, and by

nec - neque, which seldom occurs.

Propositions, one of which is negative and the other affirmative, "on the one hand, but not on the other," or "not on the one hand, but on the other," are connected by

et—neque (nec) neque (nec)—et both of very frequent occurrence. nec (neque)—que, occurs occasionally.

[§ 339.] Our "either—or," is expressed by aut—aut, denoting an opposition between two things, one of which excludes the other, or by vel-vel, denoting that the opposition between two things is immaterial in respect of the result, so that the one need not exclude the other. E. g. Catiline, in Sallust, says to his comrades, vel imperatore vel milite me utimini, that is, it is indifferent to me in which capacity you may make use of me, only do make use of me. A similar idea is described more in detail by Terence, Eun. ii. 3. 28.: Hanc tu mihi vel vi, vel clam, vel precario fac tradas: mea nihil refert, dum potiar modo; i. e. you may effect it even in a fourth way, if you like. Sive - sive is the same as vel si -vel si, and therefore transfers the meaning of vel-vel to the cases in which it is applied; e. g. Cicero: Illo loco libertissime soleo uti, sive quid mecum cogito, sive aliquid scribo aut lego. If there is no verb, and nouns only are mentioned in opposition to each other, an uncertainty is expressed as to how a thing is to be called; e. g. Cic. Tusc. ii. 14.: Cretum leges, quas sive Juppiter sive Minos sanxit, laboribus erudiunt juventutem, i. e. I do not know, whether I am to say Juppiter or Minos; ad Quint. Frat. i. 2.: His in rebus si apud te plus auctoritas mea, quam tua sive natura paulo acrior, sive quaedam dulcedo iracundiae, sive dicendi sal facetiaeque valuissent, nihil sane esset, quod nos poeniteret.

[§ 340.] 2. The following express a comparison, "as," "like," "than as if" (conjunctiones comparativae): ut or uti, sicut, velut, prout, praeut, the poetical ceu, quam, tamquam (with and without si), quasi, ut si, ac si, together with ac and atque, when they signify "as."

Note. Ac and atque are used in the sense of "as" or "than" after the adverbs and adjectives which denote similarity or dissimilarity: aeque, juxta, par and pariter, perinde and proinde, pro eo, similis, dissimilis and similiter, talis, totidem, alius and aliter, contra, secus, contrarius; e. g. non aliter scribo ac sentio; aliud mihi ac tibi videtur; saepe aliud fit atque existimamus; simile fecit atque alii; cum totidem navibus rediit atque erat profectus. Quam after these words (as in Tacit. Ann. vi. 30.: perinde se quam Tiberium falli potuisse) is not often used, except in the case of a negative particle being joined with alius; e. g. Cicero: virtus nihil aliud est, quam in se perfecta et ad summum perducta natura, where nisi might be used instead of quam. Respecting proinde ac, instead of the more frequent perinde ac, see above, § 282. Et and que do not occur in this connection like ac and atque; and wherever this might appear to be the case, from the position of the words, as in Sallust, juxta bonos et malos interficere; suae hostiumque vitae juxta pepercerant; and in Cicero: nisi aeque amicos et nosmetipsos diligimus, the et and que retain their original signification "and;" but where the words compared are separated, as in reip. juxta ac sibi consuluerunt; or where propositions are compared, as in Cic. de Fin. iv. 12., similem habeat vultum ac si ampullam perdidisset, the ac or ut has justly been restored in the passages in which formerly et was read.

Ac is used for quam, after comparatives in poetry, in Horace generally, and in a few passages also of late prose writers; but never in Cicero; e. g. Horat. Epod. xv. 5.: artius atque hedera; Serm. i. 2. 22: ut non se pejus cruciaverit atque hic; i. 10. 34.: In silvam non ligna feras insanius ac si, &c.

[§ 341.] 3. The following express a concession with the general signification "although" (conjunctiones concessivae): etsi, etiamsi, tametsi (or tamenetsi), quamquam, quamvis, quantumvis, quamlibet, licet, together with ut in the sense of "even if" or "although," and quum, when it signifies "although," which is not unfrequently the case.

Note. Those particles which signify "yet," especially tamen, form the correlatives of the concessive conjunctions; e. g. ut desint vires, tamen est laudanda voluntas. Tametsi is a combination of the two correlatives; and in its application we not unfrequently meet with a repetition of the same particle; c. g. Cic.: tametsi vicisse debeo, tamen de meo jure decedam; tametsi enim verissimum esse intelligebam, tamen credibile fore non arbitrabar. The adverb quidem also belongs to this class of conjunctions, when it is used to connect propositions, and is followed by sed. See § 278.

A difference in the use of these conjunctions might be observed: some might be used to denote real concessions, and others to denote such as are merely conceived or imagined; and this would, at the same time, determine their construction, either the indicative or the subjunctive. But such a difference is clearly perceptible only between quamquam and quamvis. (See § 574.) We shall here add only the remark, that quamquam has a peculiar place in absolute sentences, referring to something preceding, but limiting and partly nullifying it; e.g. Cic. in Cat. i. 9.: Quamquam quid loquor?

Yet why do I speak? p. Muren. 38. in fin.: quamquam hujusce rei potestus omnis in vobis sita est, judices; that is: and yet, judges, why should I say more? for surely you have the decision entirely in your own hands.

[§ 342.] 4. The following express a condition, the fundamental signification being "if" (conjunctiones condicionales): si, sin, nisi or ni, simodo, dummodo, if only, if but (for which dum, and modo are also used alone), dummodo ne, or simply modo ne or dumne.

Note. In order to indicate the connection with a preceding proposition, the relative pronoun quod (which, however, loses its signification as a pronoun) is frequently put before si, and sometimes also before nisi and etsi, so that quodsi may be regarded as one word. Comp. § 806.

Sin signifies "if however," and therefore stands for si autem or si vero; not unfrequently, however, autem is added, and sometimes vero (sin vero in

Columella, vii. 3., and Justin).

[§ 343.] Ni and nisi have the same meaning, except that ni is especially applied in judicial sponsiones; e.g. centum dare spondeo, ni dixisti, &c. Instead of nisi, we sometimes find the form nisi si. Both particles limit a statement by introducing an exception, and thus differ from si non, which introduces a negative case, for si alone has the character of a conjunction, and non, the negative particle, belongs to the verb or some other word of the proposition. It is often immaterial whether nisi or sinon is used; e.g. Nep. Con. 2.: fuit apertum, si Conon non fuisset, Agesilaum Asiam Tauro tenus regi fuisse erepturum; and the same author, Ages. 6. says: talem se imperatorem praebuit, ut omnibus apparuerit nisi ille fuisset, Spartam futuram non fuisse. And thus Cicero, Cat. Maj. 6., might have said: memoria minuitur, si eam non exerceas, instead of nisi eam exerceas; and nisi, on the other hand, might have been used instead of si non, in Cic. in Verr. iii. 18.: glebam commosset in agro decumano Siciliae nemo, si Metellus hanc epistolam non misisset. But the difference is nevertheless essential; e.g. if I say impune erit, si pecuniam promissam non dederitis, I mean to express that, in this case, the ordinary punishment will not be inflicted; but if I say, impune erit, nisi pecuniam dederitis, the meaning is, "it shall remain unpunished, except in the case of your having paid the money;" which implies, "but you shall be punished, if you have paid the money." Si non, therefore, can be used only when one of the sentences is not complete; as in Horace: Quo mihi fortunam, si non conceditur uti? What is the good of having property, if I am not allowed to make use of it? If we express the former sentence by nullius pretii fortunae sunt, we may continue in the form of an exception, nisi concedatur iis uti, or in the form of a negative case, si non concedatur uti. Si non is further used only when single words are opposed to one another, as is particularly frequent in such expressions as dolorem, si non potero frangere, occultabo; disiderium amicorum, si non aequo animo, at forti feras; cum spe, si non optima, at aliqua tamen vivere. In this case si minus may be used instead of si non; e.g. Tu si minus ad nos, nos accurremus ad te. If after an affirmative proposition its negative opposite is added without a verb, our "but if not" is commonly expressed (in prose) by si (or sin) minus, sin aliter; e.g. Cic. in Cat. i. 5.: educ tecum etiam omnes tuos: si minus, quam plurimos; de Orat. ii. 75.: omnis cura mea solet in hoc versari semper, si possim, ut boni aliquid

efficiam: sin id minus, ut certe nequid mali; but rarely by si non, which occurs in Cicero only once (ad Fam. vii. 3. in fin.).

[§ 344.] 5. The following express a conclusion or inference with the general signification of "therefore;" consequently (conjunctiones conclusivae): ergo, igitur, itaque, eo, ideo, iccirco, proinde, propterea, and the relative conjunctions, signifying "wherefore:" quapropter, quare, quamobrem, quocirca, unde.

Note. Ergo and igitur denote a logical inference, like "therefore." Itaque expresses the relation of cause in facts; it properly signifies "and thus," in which sense it not unfrequently occurs; e.g. itáque fecit. Respecting its accent, see § 32. Ideo, iccirco, and propterea express the agreement between intention and action, and may be rendered by "on this account." Eo is more frequently an adverb of place, "thither;" but it is found in several passages of Cicero in the sense of "on this account," or "for this purpose; c.g. in Verr. i. 14.: ut hoc pacto rationem referre liceret, eo Sullanus repente factus est; Liv. ii. 48.: muris se tenebant, eo nulla pugna memorabilis fuit. Proinde, in the sense of "consequently," is not to be confounded with perinde; both words, however, are used in the sense of "like," so that we cannot venture to adopt the one to the exclusion of the other. (See § 282.) But as we are speaking here of conclusive conjunctions, we have to consider only proinde, which implies an exhortation; e.g. Cicero: Proinde, si sapis, vide quid tibi faciendum sit; and so also in other writers, as proinde fac magno animo sis, "consequently, be of good courage!" Unde is properly an adverb "whence," but is used also as a conjunction in a similar sense, alluding to a starting point. Hinc and inde cannot properly be considered as conjunctions, as they retain their real signification of "hence." But adeo may be classed among the conjunctions, since the authors of the silver age use it as denoting a general inference from what precedes, like our "so that," or simply "so;" e. g. Quintil. i. 12. 7.: Adeo facilius est multa facere quam diu.

[§ 345.] 6. The following expresses a cause, or reason, with the demonstrative meaning of "for," and the relative of "because" (conjunctiones causales): nam, namque, enim, etenim, quia, quod, quoniam, quippe, quum, quando, quandoquidem, siquidem. The adverbs nimirum, nempe, scilicet and videlicet, are likewise used to connect propositions.

Note. Between nam and enim there is this practical difference, that nam is used at the beginning of a proposition, and enim after the first or second word of a proposition. The difference in meaning seems to consist in this, that nam introduces a conclusive reason, and enim merely a confirming circumstance, the consideration of which depends upon the inclination of the speaker. Nam, therefore, denotes an objective reason, and enim merely a subjective one. Namque and etenim, in respect of their signification, do not essentially differ from nam and enim, for the copulative conjunction, at least as far as we can judge, is as superfluous as in neque enim, respecting which, see § 808. But at the same time they indicate a closer connection with the

sentence preceding, and the proper place for etenim, therefore, is in an explanatory parenthesis. Nanque, in Cicero and Nepos, occurs only at the beginning of a proposition, and usually (in Nepos almost exclusively) before vowels; but even as early as the time of Livy, we find it after the beginning of a proposition just as frequently as at the beginning itself. We may add the remark, that enim is sometimes put at the beginning by comic writers in the sense of at enim or sed enim. Drakenborch on Livy, xxxiv. 32. § 13., denies that Livy ever used it in this way.

Nam, enim, and etenim are often used in Latin in the sense of our "namely," to introduce an explanation which was announced; e.g. Cic. Partit. 11.: Rerum bonarum et malarum tria sunt genera: nam aut in animis, aut in corporibus, aut extra esse possunt. Nimirum, videlicet, and scilicet likewise answer to our "namely," or "viz." Nimirum is originally an adverb signifying "undoubtedly," or "surely;" e.g. Cic. p. Mur. 15.: Si diligenter quid Mithridates potuerit—consideraris, omnibus regibus—hunc regem nimirum antepones. As a conjunction it introduces the reason of an assertion, suggesting that it was looked for with some impatience; e.g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 63.: is est nimirum soter, qui salutem dedit. Videlicet and scilicet introduce an explanation, and generally in such a manner that videlicet indicates the true, and scilicet a wrong explanation, the latter being introduced only for the purpose of deriving a refutation from it; e.g. Cic. p. Mil. 21.: Cur igitur eos manumisit? Metuebat scilicet, ne indicarent, but he was not afraid of it, as is shown afterwards. However, the words nam, enim, etenim, nimirum, videlicet are sometimes used in an ironical sense, and scilicet (though rarely in classical prose) sometimes introduces a true reason without any irony. Nempe signifies "namely," only when another person's concession is taken for granted and emphatically dwelt upon; it may then be rendered by "surely." Comp. above, § 278.

[§ 346.] Quia and quod differ from quoniam (properly quum jam) in this: the former indicate a definite and conclusive reason, and the latter a motive; the same difference is observed in the French parceque and puisque. Ideo, iccirco, propterea quod, and quia are used without any essential difference, except that quia introduces a more strict and logical reason, whereas quoniam introduces circumstances which are of importance, and properly signifies "now as." Quando, quandoquidem, and siquidem approach nearer to quoniam than to quia, inasmuch as they introduce only subjective reasons. Quandoquidem denotes a reason implied in a circumstance previously mentioned, and siquidem a reason implied in a concession which has been made. Siquidem is composed of si and quidem, but must be regarded as one word, as it has lost its original meaning and as si has become short. Cic. p. Mur. 11: Summa etiam utilitas est in iis, qui militari laude antecellunt, siquidem eorum consilio et periculo quum re publica tum etiam nostris rebus perfrui possumus; Tusc. i. 1.: antiquissimum e doctis genus est poetarum, siquidem (since it is admitted, for no doubt is to be expressed here) Homerus fuit et Hesiodus ante Romam conditam. Sometimes, however, it is still used in the sense of "if indeed;" e.g. Cic. de Fin. ii. 34.: Nos vero, si quidem in voluptate sunt omnia (if, indeed, all happiness consists in enjoyment), longe multumque superamur a bestiis; in Cat. ii. 4.: o fortunatam remp., si quidem hanc sentinam ejecerit. In these cases si and quidem should be written as two separate words.

Quippe, when combined with the relative pronoun or quim, is used to

introduce a subjective reason. When it occurs in an elliptical way, without a verb, it is equivalent to "forsooth," or "indeed;" e. g. Cic. de Fin. i. 6.: sol Democrito, magnus videtur, quippe homini erudito; sometimes it is followed by a sentence with enim; as in Cic. de Fin. iv. 3.: a te quidem apte et rotunde (dicta sunt); quippe; habes enim a rhetoribus. And in this way quippe gradually acquires the signification of nam.

[§ 347.] 7. The following express a purpose or object, with the signification of "in order that," or, "in order that not" (conjunctiones finales): ut or uti, quo, ne or ut ne, neve or neu, quīn, quomīnus.

Note. Ut as a conjunction indicates both a result and a purpose, "so that," and "in order that;" when a negative is added to it, in the former sense, it becomes ut non; in the latter ne or ut ne. Ut non is very rarely used for ne; e.g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 20.: ut non conferam vitam neque existimationem tuam cum illius - hoc ipsum conferam, quo tu te superiorem fingis; p. Leg. Manil. 15 .: Itaque ut plura non dicam neque aliorum exemplis confirmem, &c. instead of ne plura dicam, neve confirmem. For neve which is formed from vel ne, is "or in order that not," and frequently also "and in order that not." See § 535. Ut ne is a pleonasm, not differing perceptibly from ne, except that it chiefly occurs in solemn discourse, and hence especially in laws. The two particles occur together as well as separately, e. g. operam dant, ut judicia ne fiant; and still more separated in Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 17.: Sed ut hic, qui intervenit, me intuens, ne ignoret quae res agatur : de natura agebamus deorum; Div. in Q. Caec. 4.: qui praesentes vos orant, ut in actore causae suae deligendo vestrum judicium ab' suo judicio ne discrepet. It must however be observed that ut ne is very frequently used by Cicero, but rarely by other and later writers; in Livy it occurs only in two passages, and in Valerius Maximus and Tacitus never. See Drakenborch on Liv. x. 27. The pleonasm quo ne for ne occurs in a single passage of Horace, Serm. ii. 1. 37.

[§ 348.] 8. The following express an opposition, with the signification of "but" (conjunctiones adversativae): sed, autem, verum, vero, at (poetical ast), at enim, atqui, tamen, attămen, sedtămen, veruntămen, at vero (enimvēro), verumenim, vēro, ceterum.

Note. Sed denotes a direct opposition; autem marks a transition in a narrative or argument and denotes at once a connection and an opposition, whereas sed interrupts the narrative or argument. The adverb porro, further, is likewise used to express such a progression and transition, but does not denote opposition, except in later authors, such as Quintilian. See Spalding on Quintilian, ii. 3. 5. Verum and vero stand in a similar relation to each other. Verum with its primary meaning "in truth," denotes an opposition, which at the same time contains an explanation, and thus brings a thing nearer its decision, as our "but rather." Non ego, sed tu, is a strong, but simple opposition; but non ego, verum tu, contains an assurance and explanation. Cic. in Verr. iv. 10. says, that the inhabitants of Messana had formerly acted as enemies to every kind of injustice, but that they favoured Verres, and he then continues: Verum haec civitas isti praedoni ac piratae Siciliae Phaselis (receptaculum furtorum) fuit, i. e. but I will ex-

plain the matter to you, for the fact is, that this town was the repository of his plunder and shared in it. Vero bears to verum the same relation as autem to sed: it connects things which are different, but denotes the point in favour of which the decision should be, e.g. Cic. p. Arch. 8.: Homerum Colophonii civem esse dicunt suum, Chii suum vindicant, Salaminii repetunt, Smyrnaei vero suum esse confirmant; in Verr. iii. 4.: Odistis hominum novorum industriam, despicitis eorum frugalitatem, pudorem contemnitis, ingenium vero et virtutem depressam extinctamque cupitis. It thus forms the transition to something more important and significant in the phrase: Illud vero plane non est ferendum, i. e. that which I am now going to mention. Respecting the use of vero in answers, in the sense of "yes," see § 716. Enimvero is only confirming "yes, truly," "in truth," and does not denote opposition. See the whole passage in Cic. in Verr. i. 26.: enimvero hoc ferendum non est; and Terent. Andr. i. 3. init.: Enimvero, Dave, nil loci est segnitiae neque socordiae, i. e. now truly, Davus, there is no time for delay here. Comp. Gronovius on Livy, xxvii. 30. Enimvero, further, forms the transition to that which is most important, like vero; as in Tac. Ann. xii. 64.: Enimvero certamen acerrimum, amita potius an mater apud Neronem praevaleret, which is the same as accrrimum vero certamen. The compound verum enimero denotes an emphatic opposition which, as it were, surpasses everything else in importance, as in Cic. in Verr. iii. 84.: Si ullo in loco ejus provinciae frumentum tanti fuit, quanti iste aestimavit, hoc crimen in istum reum valere oportere non arbitror. Verum enimvero cum esset HS. binis aut etiam ternis quibusvis in locis provinciae, duodenos sestertios exegisti.

[§ 349.] At denotes an opposition as equivalent to that which precedes; e.g. non ego, at tu vidisti, I have not seen it, but you have, and that is just as good; homo etsi non sapientissimus, at amicissimus; and so we frequently find it after si in the sense of "yet," or "at least," and denoting a limitation with which, for the time, we are satisfied; e.g. Cic. p. Quint. 31.: Quintius Naevium obsecravit, ut aliquam, si non propinquitatis, at aetatis suae; si non hominis, at humanitatis rationem haberet. Hence it is especially used to denote objections, even such as the speaker makes himself for the purpose of upsetting or weakening that which was said before; Cic. p. Flac. 14.: At enim negas, &c.; p. Mur. 17.: At enim in praeturae petitione prior renuntiatus est Servius. By atqui we admit that which precedes, but oppose something else to it, as by the English "but still," "but yet," or "nevertheless;" e.g. in Terent. Phorm. i. 4. 26.: Non sum apud me. Atqui opus est nunc cum maxime ut sis; Horat. Serm. i. 9. 52: Magnum narras, vix credibile. Atqui sic habet; Cic. ad Att. viii. 3.: O rem difficilem, inquis, et inexplicabilem. Atqui explicanda est. And so also in the connection of sentences, when that which is admitted is made use of to prove the contrary, as in Cic. Cat. Maj. 22.: Videtis nihil esse morti tam simile quam somnum. Atqui dormientium animi maxime declarant divinitatem suam, and yet the souls of sleeping persons show their divine nature. Atqui is used, lastly, in syllogisms, when a thing is assumed which had before been left undecided, as in Cic. Parad. iii. 1.: Quodsi virtutes sunt pares inter se, paria etiam vitia esse necesse est. Atqui pares esse virtutes facile potest perspici. Atqui thus frequently occurs as a syllogistic particle in replies in disputations, but it does not denote a direct opposition of facts. Ceterum properly signifies "as for the rest," but is often used, especially by Curtius, in the same sense as sed. Contra ca, in the sense of "on the other hand," may be classed among the conjunctions, as in Livy:

Superbe a Samnitibus legati prohibiti commercio sunt, contra ea benigne ab Siculorum tyrannis adjuti. So also adeo, in as much as this adverb is used in a peculiar way to form a transition to something essential, on which particular attention is to be bestowed; e. g. when Cicero, in Verr. iv. 64., has told us that he prefers introducing the witnesses and documents themselves, he forms the transition: Id adeo ex ipso Senatusconsulto cognoscite; and so frequently, ibid. iv. 63.: id adeo ut mihi ex illis demonstratum est, sic vos ex me cognoscite; p. Caec. 3.: id adeo, si placet, considerate. The pronoun always accompanies it. Autem may be used in its place; in English it may be rendered by "and," but the pronoun must be pronounced with emphasis.

[§ 350.] 9. Time is expressed by the conjunctiones temporales: quum, quum primum, ut, ut primum, ubi, postquam, antequam and priusquam, quando, simulac or simulatque or simul alone, dum, usque dum, donec, quoad.

Note. Ut as a particle of time signifies "when." Ubi, properly an adverb of place, is used in the same sense. Simulatque answers to our "as soon as," in which sense simul alone is also used. Quando instead of quum is rare, as in Cic. in Rull. ii. 16.: auctoritatem Senatus extare hereditatis aditae sentio, tum, quando, rege Aegyptio mortuo, legatos Tyrum misimus. The words dum, donec (donicum is obsolete), and quoad have the double meaning of "as long as," and "until;" e. g. donec eris felix, multos numerabis amicos, "as long as you are in good circumstances;" and foris expectavit, donec or dum exiit, "until he came out." Donec never occurs in Caesar, and in Cicero only once, in Verr. i. 6.: usque eo timui, ne quis de mea fide dubitaret, donec ad rejiciendos judices venimus, but it is frequently used in poetry and in Livy. The conjunction dum often precedes the adverb interea (or interim); and the two conjuctions dum and donec are often preceded by the adverbs usque, usque eo, usque adeo, the conjunction either following immediately after the adverb, or being separated from it by some words, as in Cicero: mihi usque curae erit, quid agas, dum quid egeris sciero.

[§ 351.] 10. The following interrogative particles likewise belong to the conjunctions: num, utrum, an, and the suffix ne, which is attached also to the three preceding particles, without altering their meaning, numne, utrumne, anne, and which forms with non a special interrogative particle nonne; also ec and en, as they appear in ecquis, ecquando and enumquam, and numquid, ecquid, when used as pure interrogative particles.

Note. The interrogative particles here mentioned must not be confounded with the interrogative adjectives and adverbs, such as quis? uter? ubi? The latter, by reason of their signification, may likewise connect sentences, in what are called indirect questions. (See § 552.) The interrogative particles have no distinct meaning by themselves, but serve only to give to a proposition the form of a question. This interrogative meaning may, in direct speech, be given to a proposition by the mere mode of accentuating it, viz. when a question at the same time conveys the idea of surprise or astonishment; but in indirect questions those interrogative particles are absolutely necessary (the only exception occurs in the case of a double question, see § 554.). Numquid and ecquid can be reckoned among them only

in so far as they are sometimes mere signs of a question, like num, quid in this case having no meaning at all; e.g. Cic. de Leg. ii. 2.: Numquid vos duas habetis patrias, an est illa una patria communis? have you perhaps two native countries, or, &c.; ecquid (whether) in Italiam venturi sitis hac hieme, fac plane sciam. This is very different from another passage in the same writer: ecquid in tuam statuam contulit? has he contributed anything? rogavit me, numquid vellem, he asked me whether I wanted anything: in these latter sentences the pronoun quid retains its signification. For ēn or (when followed by a q) ec is (like num, ne and an) a purely interrogative particle, probably formed in imitation of the natural interrogative sound, and must be distinguished from ēn, "behold!" See § 132. It never appears alone, but is always prefixed to some other interrogative word. Enunquam is the only word in which the en is used differently, e. g. enunquam audisti? didst thou ever hear? enunquam futurum est? will it ever happen?

But there are differences in the use of these particles themselves. Num (together with numne, numnam, numquid, numquidnam) and ec (en) in its compounds, give a negative meaning to direct questions, that is, they are used in the supposition that the answer will be "no;" e. g. num putas me tam dementem fuisse? you surely do not believe that, &c. Ecquid alone is sometimes used also in an affirmative sense, that is, in the expectation of an affirmative answer; e. g. Cic. ad Att. ii. 2.: sed heus tu, ecquid vides calendas venire? in Catil. i. 8.: ecquid attendis, ecquid animadvertis horum silentium? do you not observe their silence? It must however be borne in mind, that in general the negative sense of these particles appears only in direct and not in indirect questions, for in the latter num and ec are simply interrogative particles without implying negation; e. g. quaesivi ex eo, num in senatum esset venturus, whether he would come to the senate, or ecquis esset

venturus, whether any body would come.

[§ 352.] Ne which is always appended to some other word, properly denotes simply a question; e.g. putasne me istud facere potuisse? Do you believe that, &c. But the Latin writers use such questions indicated by ne also in a more definite sense, so that they are sometimes affirmative and sometimes negative interrogations. (Respecting the former, see Heusinger on Cic. de Off. iii. 17.) The negative sense is produced by the accent, when ne is attached to another word, and not to the principal verb; e.g. mene istud potuisse facere putas? Do you believe that I would have done that? or hocine credibile est? Is that credible? The answer expected in these cases is "no." So also in a question referring to the past; e.g. Cic. in Verr. i. 18.: Apollinemne tu Delium spoliare ausus es? where the answer is: "that is impossible." But when attached to the principal verb, ne very often gives an affirmative meaning to the question, so that we expect the answer "yes," e. g. Cic. Acad. ii. 18.: videsne, ut in proverbio sit ovorum inter se similitudo? Do you not see that the resemblance among eggs has become proverbial? Cat. Maj. 10.: videtisne, ut apud Homerum saepissime Nestor de virtutibus suis praedicet? Do you not see, &c. In the same sense we might also say: nonne videtis? for nonne is the sign of an affirmative interrogation; e. g. Nonne poetae post mortem nobilitari volunt? Canis nonne lupo similis est? Utrum in accordance with its derivation (from uter, which of two) is used only in double questions, and it is immaterial whether there are two or three; e. g. Cic. Cat. Maj. 10. Utrum has (Milonis) corporis, an Pythagorae tibi malis vires ingenii dari? ad Att. ix. 2.: Utrum hoc tu parum commeministi, an ego non satis intellexi, an mutasti sententiam? Senec. Ep. 56.: Si sitis (if you are thirsty), nihil interest, utrum aqua sit, an vinum; nec refert, utrum sit aureum poculum, an vitreum, an manus concava. Utrum is sometimes accompanied by the interrogative particle ne, which however is usually separated from it by one or more other words; e.g. Terent. Eun. iv. 4. 54.: Utrum taceamne an praedicem? Cic. de Nat. Deor. ii. 34.: Videamus utrum ea fortuitane sint, an eo statu, &c.; Nep. Iph. 3.: quum interrogaretur utrum pluris patrem matremne faceret. In later writers, however, we find utrumne united as one word. Ne is rarely appended to adjective interrogatives, though instances are found in poetry, as in Horat. Sat. ii. 2. 107.: uterne; ii. 3. 295.: quone malo; and 317.: quantane. It is still more surprising to find it attached to the relative pronoun, merely to form an interrogation. Ibid. i. 10. 2.: Terent. Adelph. ii. 3. 9.

[§ 353.] An as a sign of an indirect interrogation occurs only in the writers of the silver age (beginning with Curtius). It then answers to "whether," e.g. consulit deinde (Alexander), an totius orbis imperium fatis sibi destinaret pater. In its proper sense it is used only, and by Cicero exclusively*, in a second or opposite question, where we use "or," as in the passage of Seneca quoted above. A sentence like quaero an argentum ei dederis cannot therefore be unconditionally recommended as good Latin (though it is frequently done), and, according to Cicero, who must be regarded as our model in all matters of grammar, we ought to say num pecuniam ei dederis, or dederisne ei pecuniam. In direct interrogations, when no interrogative sentence precedes, an, anne, an vero can likewise be used only in the sense of our "or," that is, in such a manner that a preceding interrogation is supplied by the mind. E. g. when we say: "I did not intentionally offend you, or do you believe that I take pleasure in hurting a person?" we supply before "or" the sentence: "Do you believe this?" and connect with it another question which contains that which ought to be the case, if the assertion were not true. The Latin is: invitus te offendi, an putas me delectari laedendis hominibus? Examples are numerous. Cic. Philip. i. 6.: Quodsi scisset, quam sententiam dicturus essem, remisisset aliquid profecto de severitate cogendi (in senatum). An me censetis decreturum fuisse, &c., that is, he would certainly not have obliged me to go to the senate, or do you believe that I should have voted for him? p. Mil. 23 : Causa Milonis semper a senatu probata est: videbant enim sapientissimi homines facti rationem, praesentiam animi, defensionis constantiam. An vero obliti estis, &c.; de Fin. i. 8.: Sed ad haec, nisi molestum est, habeo quae velim. An me, inquam, nisi te audire vellem, censes haec dicturum fuisse? In this sentence we have to supply before an: dicesne? An after a preceding question is rendered by "not?" and it then indicates that the answer cannot be doubtful; e.g. Cic. in Verr. v. 2.: Quid dicis? An bello fugitivorum Siciliam virtute tua liberatam? Do you not say that Sicily, &c. (In Latin we must evidently supply utrum aliud ?) So also Cat. Maj. 6 .: A rebus gerendis senectus abstrahit. Quibus? An his, quae geruntur juventute ac viribus? Supply

^{*} The passages which formerly occurred here and there in Cicero, with an in the sense of "whether" in simple indirect questions, are corrected in the latest editions. See p. Cluent. 19. § 52.; in Catil. ii. 6. § 13.; in Verr. iv. 12. § 27. There remains only quaesivi an misisset in the last passage, of which no certain correction is found in MSS., although the fault itself is obvious, and Topic. 21. § 81., where quum an sit, aut quid sit, aut quale sit quaeritur, must be corrected according to MSS. into aut sitne, aut quid sit, &c.

Aliisne? de Off. i. 15.: Quidnam beneficio provocati facere debemus? An imitari agros fertiles, qui multo plus efferunt quam acceperunt? Must we not imitate? Hence such questions may also be introduced by nonne, but with-

out allusion to an opposite question which is implied in an.

[§ 354.] There is, however, one great exception to the rule that an is used only to indicate a second or opposite question, for an is employed after the expressions dubito, dubium est, incertum est, and several similar ones, such as delibero, haesito, and more especially after nescio or haud scio, all of which denote uncertainty, but with an inclination in favour of the affirmative. Examples are numerous. Nep. Thrasyb. 1.: Si per se virtus sine fortuna ponderanda sit, dubito an hunc primum omnium ponam, if virtue is to be estimated without any regard as to its success, I am not certain whether I should not prefer this man to all others. Comp. Heusinger's note on that passage. Curt. iv. 59.: Dicitur acinace stricto Dareus dubitasse, an fugae dedecus honesta morte vitaret, that is, he was considering as to whether he should not make away with himself. It is not Latin to say Dubito annon for dubito an, for the passage of Cicero, de Off. iii. 12., dubitat an turpe non sit, signifies, he is inclined to believe that it is not bad, putat non turpe esse, sed honestum. Respecting incertum est, see Cic Cat. Maj. 20.: Moriendum enim certe est, et id incertum, an eo ipso die, and this is uncertain, as to whether we are not to die on this very day. Nescio an, or haud scio an, are therefore used quite in the sense of "perhaps," so that they are followed by the negatives nullus, nemo, nunquam, instead of which we might be inclined to use ullus, quisquam, unquam, if we translate nescio an by "I do not know See § 721. The inclination towards the affirmative in these whether." expressions is so universal, that such exceptions as in Curtius, ix. 7., et interdum dubitabat, an Macedones—per tot naturae obstantes difficultates secuturi essent, even in later writers, although in other connections they use an in the sense of "whether," must be looked upon as rare peculiarities. We must further observe, that when the principal verb is omitted, an is often used in precisely the same sense as aut; this is very frequently the case in Tacitus, but occurs also in Cicero, de Fin. ii. 32.: Themistocles, quum ei Simonides, an quis alius, artem memoriae polliceretur, &c.; ad Att. i. 2.: nos hic te ad mensem Januarium expectamus, ex quodam rumore, an ex litteris tuis ad alios missis. There can be no doubt but that the expression incertum est is understood in such cases; in Tacitus it is often added. Comp. Cic. ad Fam. vii. 9.; ad Att. ii. 7. 3.; Brut. 23. 89. Cicero, however, could not go as far as Tacitus, who connects an with a verb in the indicative: Ann. xiv. 7.: Igitur longum utriusque silentium, ne irriti dissuaderent, an eo descensum credebant, instead of incertum est factumne sit eam ob causam, ne irriti dissuaderent, an quia credebant.

The conjunction si is sometimes used in indirect interrogations instead of num, like the Greek ϵi ; e. g. Liv. xxxix. 50.: nihil aliud (Philopoemenem) locutum ferunt, quam quaesisse, si incolumis Lycortas evasisset. After the verb experior, I try, it is used also by Cicero, Philip. ix. 1.: non recusavit, quominus vel extremo spiritu, si quam opem reip. ferre posset, experiretur. Respecting expectare si, see Schneider on Caes. Bell. Gall. ii. 9.

[§ 355.] 11. Most conjunctions are placed at the beginning of the proposition, which they introduce; only these few, enim, autem, vero, are placed after the first word of a proposition, or

after the second, when the first two belong together, or when one of them is the auxiliary verb esse, as in Cicero (de Orat. i. 44): incredibile est enim, quam sit omne jus civile, praeter hoc nostrum, inconditum ac paene ridiculum; but rarely after several words. as in Cic. p. Cluent. 60: Per quem porro datum venenum? unde sumptum? quae deinde interceptio poculi? cur non de integro autem datum? Comp. Ellendt on Cic. Brut. 49. Quidem and quoque, when belonging to single words, may take any place in a proposition, but they are always placed after the word, which has the emphasis. Itaque and igitur are used by Cicero with this distinction, that itaque, according to its composition, stands first, while igitur is placed after the first, and sometimes even after several words of a proposition; e. g. in Verr. i. 32.: Huic homini parcetis igitur, judices? de Nat. Deor. iii. 17.: Ne Orcus quidem deus igitur? But other authors, especially later ones, place both indiscriminately either at the beginning of a proposition, or after it. In like manner, tamen is put either at the beginning of a proposition, or after the first word.

[§ 356.] Note. All the other conjunctions stand at the beginning: with some this is the case exclusively; viz. with et, etenim, ac, at, atque, atqui, neque, nec, aut, vel, sive, sin, sed, nam, verum, and the relatives quare, quocirca, quamobrem; others are generally placed at the beginning, but when a particular word is to be pronounced with peculiar emphasis, this word (and all that belongs to it) stands first, and the conjunction follows it, as in Cicero: Tantum moneo, hoc tempus si amiseris, te esse nullum unquam magis idoneum reperturum; valere ut malis, quam dives esse; nullum injustitia partum praemium tantum est, semper ut timeas, semper ut adesse, semper ut impendere aliquam poenam putes. The same is not unfrequently the case in combinations of conjunctions with pronouns, especially with the relative pronoun; c. g. Hoc quum dicit, illud vult intelligi; qui quoniam quid diceret intelligi noluit, omittamus, Cic. It must be observed as a peculiarity, that ut, even without there being any particular emphasis, is commonly placed after the words vix, paene, and prope, and also after the negatives nullus, nemo, nihil, and the word tantum; e. g. vix ut arma retinere posset; nihil ut de commodis suis cogitarent. The conjunctions que, ve, and ne are appended to other words, and stand with them at the beginning of a proposition; but when a monosyllabic preposition stands at the beginning, they often attach themselves to the case governed by those prepositions; e.g. Romam Cato (Tusculo) demigravit, in foroque esse coepit; legatum miserunt, ut is apud eum causam aratorum ageret, ab eoque peteret; and so also ad populum ad plebemve ferre; in nostrane potestate est quid meminerimus? We never find adque, obque, aque; whereas proque summa benevolentia, and the like, are used exclusively; and in other combinations either method may be adopted: cumque his copiis and cum firmisque praesidiis; exque his and ex iisque; eque republica, deque universa rep. and de provinciaque decessit. Apud quosque in Cic. de Off. i. 35,

is an excusable peculiarity, because apudque quos would be against all

euphony.

[\$ 357.] What was said above concerning the different positions of itaque and igitur in Cicero is well known and generally correct; but it is not so well known that igitur is nevertheless placed by that author now and then at the beginning of a proposition, and that not only in philosophic reasonings, as Bremi states on Cic. de Fin. i. 18., and as we find it in de Fin. iv. 19.: si illud, hoc: non autem hoc, igitur ne illud quidem; but in the ordinary connection of sentences: in Rull. ii. 27 .: igitur pecuniam omnem Decemviri tenebunt; de Prov. Cons. 4.: igitur in Syria nihil aliud actum est; Lael. 11.; igitur ne suspicari quidem possumus; Philip. ii. 16. in fin.: igitur fratrem exheredans te faciebat heredem; Philip. x. 8.: igitur illi certissimi Caesaris actorum patroni pro D. Bruti salute bellum gerunt; de Leg. i. 6.: Igitur doctissimis viris proficisci placuit a lege; ad Att. vi. 1. 22.: Igitur tu quoque salutem utique adscribito. Sallust too frequently places igitur at the beginning. But itaque in the second place does not occur in Cicero, for in Philip. vii. 3. we must read, according to the best MS., igitur instead of itaque in the sentence, ego itaque pacis, ut ita dicam, alumnus, and in Partit. Orat. 7. quidem is more correct. In Curtius itaque appears in the second place only once (vii. 39.). In like manner, the rule cannot be upset by the few passages, in which Cicero places vero, in answers, at the beginning (just as enim is used by the comic writers). See de Republ. i. 37. § 43.; de Leg. i. 24.; in Rull. ii. 25.; p. Mur. 31. § 65.

[§ 558.] All this applies only to the practice of prose writers. Poets, according to the necessity of the verse, place even the prepositive conjunctions after one or more words of a proposition; e. g. Horat. Epod. 17. 45.: et tu, potes nam, solve me dementiae; Serm. i. 5. 86.: quattuor hine rapimur viginti et milia rhedis; ibid. i. 10. 71.: vivos et roderet ungues. They separate et from the word belonging to it; as Horat. Carm. iii. 4. 6.: audire et videor pios errare per lucos; Serm. ii. 6. 3.: Auctius atque dii melius fecere: and they append que and ve neither to the first word of a proposition, nor to

their proper words in other connections; e.g. Tibull. i. 3. 55.:

Hic jacet immiti consumptus morte Tibullus, Messallam terra dum sequiturque mari,

instead of the prose form terra marique; and in Horat. Serm. ii. 3. 139.:

Non Pyladen ferro violare aususve sororem.

But it is to be observed, that those conjunctions in such arbitrary positions are joined only to verbs. Isolated exceptions, such as in Horat. Carm. ii. 19. 28.: pacis eras mediusque belli; and iii. 1. 12.: Moribus hic meliorque fama contendat; Ovid. Met. ii. 89.: dum resque sinit; and Pedo Albin. de Morte. Drusi, 20., cannot be taken into account.

CHAP. LXVIII.

INTERJECTIONS.

- [§ 359.] 1. Interjections are sounds uttered under the influence of strong emotions. They are indeclinable, and stand in no close connection with the rest of the sentence; for the dative and accusative, which are joined with some of them, are easily explained by an ellipsis. See §§ 402. and 403.
- 2. The number of interjections in any language cannot be fixed. Those which occur most frequently in Latin authors are the following.
 - a) Of joy: io, iu, ha, he, hahahe, euoe, euax.
 - b) Of grief: vae, heu, eheu, ohe, au, hei, pro.
- c) Of astonishment: o, en or ecce, hui, hem, ehem, aha, atat, papae, vah; and of disgust: phui, apage. (See § 222.)
- d) Of calling: heus, o, eho, ehodum; of attestation: pro, also written proh.
 - e) Of praise or flattery: eia, euge.
- [§ 360.] 3. Other parts of speech, especially nouns substantive and adjective, adverbs and verbs, and even complex expressions, such as oaths and invocations, must in particular connections be regarded as interjections. Such nouns are: pax (be still!); malum, indignum, nefandum, miserum, miserabile—to express astonishment and indignation; macte, and with a plural macti, is expressive of approbation. (See § 103.) Adverbs: nae, profecto, cito, bene, belle! Verbs used as interjections are, quaeso, precor, oro, obsecto, amabo (to all of which te or vos may be added), used in imploring and requesting. So also age, agite, ccdo, sodes (for si audes), sis, sultis (for si vis, si vultis), and agesis, agedum, agitedum.

Note. Nae in the best writers is joined only with pronouns: nae ego, nae illi vehementer errant, nae ista gloriosa sapientia non magni aestimanda est. Pyrrhus, after the battle of Heraclea, said: Nae ego, si iterum eodem modo vicero, sine ullo milite in Epirum revertar, Oros. iv. 1.

[§ 361.] 4. Among the invocations of the gods, the following are particularly frequent: mehercule, mehercule, hercule, hercule, or mehercules, hercules, medius fidius, mecastor, ecastor, pol, edepol, per deum, per deum immortalem, per deos, per Jovem, pro (or

proh) Juppiter, pro sancte (supreme) Juppiter, pro dii immortales, pro deum fidem, pro deum atque hominum fidem, pro deum or pro deum immortalium (scil. fidem), and several others of this kind.

Note. Me before the names of gods must be explained by an ellipsis: the complete expression was: ita me (e. g. Hercules) juvet; or with the vocative: ita me Hercule juves. The interjection medius fidius arose, in all probability, from me dius ($\Delta(\delta_c)$ fidius, which is archaic for filius, and is thus equivalent to mehercules, for Hercules is the son of that god. Mehercule is the form which Cicero (Orat. 47.) approves, and which, along with hercule, occurs most frequently in his writings. See my note on in Verr. iii. 62. The oath by Pollux (pol) is a very light one, and hence it is given especially to women in the comic writers. In edepol and edecastor the e is either the same as me, or it is a mere sound of interjection; de is deus.

SYNTAX.

I. CONNECTION OF SUBJECT AND PREDICATE.

CHAP. LXIX.

[§ 362.] 1. The subject of a proposition is that concerning which anything is declared, and the predicate that which is declared concerning the subject. The subject appears either in the form of a substantive, or in that of an adjective or pronoun, supplying the place of a substantive. Whenever there is no such grammatical subject, the indeclinable part of speech or proposition which takes its place, is treated as a substantive of the neuter gender. (Comp. § 43.)

[§ 363.] Note 1. The manner in which a pronoun supplies the place of a substantive requires no explanation. An adjective can be used as a substantive only when a real substantive is understood. The substantive most frequently and easily understood is homo, and many Latin words which are properly adjectives have thus acquired the meaning of substantives, e.g. amicus, familiaris, aequalis, vicinus, &c. (see § 410. foll.), and others, such as socius, servus, libertinus, reus, candidatus, although most frequently used as substantives, nevertheless occur also as adjectives. But upon this point the dictionary must be consulted, and we only remark that ordinary adjectives are used as substantives with the ellipsis of homo, as bonus, nocens, innocens. But an adjective in the singular is not commonly used in this way, and we scarcely

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft 17

ever find such a phrase as probus neminem laedit, instead of homo probus neminem laedit. Sapiens, a sage, or a philosopher, and liber, a free man, alone are used as substantives in the singular. In the plural however the omission of the substantive homines, denoting general classes of men, is much more frequent, and we find, e. g. pauperes, divites, boni, improbi, docti, and indocti, just as we say the rich, the poor, &c. It must however be observed that very few adjectives, when used as substantives, can be accompanied by other adjectives, and we cannot say, e.g. multi docti for multi homines (viri) docti. The neuters of adjectives of the second declension however are used very frequently as substantives, both in the singular and plural. Thus we read bonum, a good thing; contrarium, the contrary; verum, that which is true; malum, evil; honestum in the sense of virtus, and bona, mala, contraria, &c. In the plural neuter adjectives of the third declension are used in the same way, as turpia, levia, coelestia. But the Latins, in general, preferred adding the substantive res to an adjective, to using the neuter of it as a substantive, as res contrariae, res multae, res leviores, just as we do in English.

[§ 364.] Note 2. It is worth noticing that the word miles is frequently used in Latin in the singular, where we should have expected the plural; e.g. in Curtius, iii. init.: Alexander ad conducendum ex Peloponneso militem Cleandrum cum pecunia mittit; Tac. Ann. ii. 31.: cingebatur interim milite domus, strepebant etiam in vestibulo. Similar words, such as eques, pedes, are used in the same way, and the instances are very numerous. Romanus, Poenus and others, are likewise used for Romani and Poeni in the sense of Roman, Punian

soldiers.

[§ 365.] 2. The predicate appears either in the form of a verb, or of the auxiliary combined with a noun.

The predicate accommodates itself as much as possible to its subject. When the predicate is a verb, it must be in the same number as the subject; e. g. arbor viret, the tree is green; arbores virent, the trees are green; deus est, god is; dii sunt, the gods are or exist. When the predicate is an adjective, participle, or adjective pronoun, combined with the auxiliary esse, it takes the number and gender of the subject, e.g. puer est modestus, libri sunt mei, prata sunt secta. When the predicate is a substantive with the auxiliary esse, it is independent of the subject both in regard to number and gender; e.g. captivi militum praeda fuerant; amicitia vinculum quoddam est hominum inter se. But when a substantive has two forms, one masculine and the other feminine, as rex, regina; magister, magistra; inventor, inventrix; indagator, indagatrix; corruptor, corruptrix; praeceptor, praeceptrix, the predicate must appear in the same gender as the subject; e. g. licentia corruptrix est morum; stilus optimus est dicendi effector et magister. When the subject is a neuter the predicate takes the masculine form, the latter being more nearly allied to the neuter than the feminine; e.g. tempus

vitae magister est. When the subject is a noun epicene (see § 42.), the predicate follows its grammatical gender; as aquila volucrum regina, fida ministra Jovis, though it would not be wrong to say aquila rex volucrum.

It is only by way of exception that esse is sometimes connected with adverbs of place, such as aliquis or aliquid prope, propter, longe, procul est, or when esse signifies "to be in a condition;" e. g. Cic. ad Fam. ix. 9.: praeterea rectissime sunt apud te omnia, everything with you is in a very good state or condition; de Leg. i. 17.: quod est longe aliter; Liv. viii. 19.: (dicebant) se sub imperio populi Romani fideliter atque obedienter futuros. Sallust and Tacitus connect esse also with the adverbs abunde, impune, and frustra, and use them as indeclinable adjectives; e. g. omnia mala abunde erant; ea res frustra fuit; dicta impune erant.

[§ 366.] Note 1. Collective nouns, that is, such as denote a multitude of individual persons or things, e.g. multitudo, turba, vis, exercitus, juventus, nobilitas, gens, plebs, vulgus, frequently occur in poetry with a plural verb for their predicate; e.g. Ovid. Metam. xii. 53.: Atria turba tenent, veniunt lege vulgus euntque; Fast. ii. 507.: Tura ferant placentque novum pia turba Quirinum. As for the practice of prose writers, there is no passage in Cicero to prove that he used this construction (see my note on Cic. in Verr. i. 31. 80.), and in Caesar and Sallust it occurs either in some solitary instance, as Caes. Bell. Gall. ii. 6.: quum tanta multitudo lapides ac tela conjicerent, or the passages are not critically certain. (See Oudendorp on Caes. Bell. Gall. iii. 17., and Corte on Sallust, Jugurth. 28.) But Livy takes greater liberty, and connects collective substantives with the plural, as ii. 5.: Desectam segetem magna vis hominum immissa corbibus fudere in Tiberim; xxiv. 3.: Locros omnis multitudo abeunt; xxxii. 12.: Cetera omnis multitudo, velut signum aliquod secuta, in unum quum convenisset, frequenti agmine petunt Thessaliam. (Comp. Drakenborch on xxxv. 26.). He even expresses the plurality of a collective noun by using the noun standing by its side in the plural, as in xxvi. 35.: Haec non in occulto, sed propalam in foro atque oculis ipsorum Consulum ingens turba circumfusi fremebant; xxv. 34.: Cuneus is hostium, qui in confertos circa ducem impetum fecerat, ut exanimem labentem ex equo Scipionem vidit, alacres gaudio cum clamore per totam aciem nuntiantes discurrunt; xxvii. 51.: tum enimvero omnis aetas currere obvii; so also in i. 41.: clamor inde concursusque populi, mirantium quid rei esset. But such instances are after all rare and surprising. The case is different when the notion of a plurality is derived from a collective noun of a preceding proposition, and made the subject of a proposition which follows. Instances of this kind occur now and then in Cicero: de Nat. Deor. ii. 6.: ut hoc idem generi humano evenerit, quod in terra collocati sint, because they (viz. homines) live on earth; p. Arch. 12.: qui est ex eo numero, qui semper apud omnes sancti sunt habiti; and with the same collective noun, p. Marc. 1.; p. Quint. 23. They are still more frequent in Livy; iv. 56.: Ita omnium populorum juventus Antium contracta: ibi castris positis hostem opperiebantur; vi. 17.: Jam ne nocte quidem turba ex eo loco dilabebatur, refracturosque carcerem minabantur. See the passages in Drakenborch on xxi, 7. 7.

[§ 367.] A plural verb is sometimes used by classical prose writers (though not by Cicero) after uterque, quisque (especially pro se quisque), pars pars (for alii - alii), alius - alium, and alter - alterum (one another or each other), for these partitive expressions contain the idea of plurality; e.g. Caes. Bell. Civ. iii. 30.: Eodem die uterque eorum ex castris stativis exercitum educunt; Liv. ii. 15.: missi honoratissimus quisque ex patribus; ii. 59.: cetera multitudo decimus quisque ad supplicium lecti. Sometimes the plural of a participle is added; as Curt. iii. 6.: pro se quisque dextram ejus amplexi grates habebant velut praesenti deo; Liv. ix. 14.: Pro se quisque non haec Furculas, nec Caudium, nec saltus invios esse memorantes, caedunt pariter resistentes fusosque; Tacit. Ann. ii. 24.: pars navium haustae sunt, plures ejectae (instead of pars - pars, the place of one of them being frequently supplied by pauci, nonnulli, plerique or plures, as in our case); Liv. ii. 10.: dum alius alium ut proelium incipiant, circumspectant. Expressions like these may derive their explanation from propositions, in which the comprehensive plural is used in the first part, and afterwards the partitive singular; e.g. Sallust, Jug. 58.: At nostri repentino metu perculsi, sibi quisque pro moribus consulunt: alii fugere, alii arma capere, magna pars vulnerati aut occisi; and in Livy: Ceteri suo quisque tempore aderunt, or Decemviri perturbati alius in aliam partem castrorum discurrunt.

[§ 368.] Note 2. The natural rule, according to which the adjective parts of speech take the gender of the substantives to which they belong, seems to be sometimes neglected, inasmuch as we find neuter adjectives joined with substantives of other genders: Triste lupus stabulis; varium et mutabile semper femina in Virgil, and Omnium rerum mors est extremum, even in Cicero. But in these cases the adjective is used as a substantive, and triste, for example, is the same as "something sad," or "a sad thing," and we might use res tristis instead; as Livy, ii. 3. says: leges rem surdam, inexorabilem esse. A real exception occurs in what is called constructio ad synesim, that is, when substantives, which only in their figurative sense denote human beings, have a predicate in the true gender of the person spoken of, without regard to the grammatical gender; e. g. Liv. x. 1.: capita conjurationis ejus, quaestione ab Consulibus ex senatusconsulto habita, virgis caesi ac securi percussi sunt. So also auxilia (auxiliary troops) irati, Liv. xxix. 12., where Gronovius' note must be consulted. The relative pronoun (see § 371.), when referring to such substantives, frequently takes the gender of the persons understood by them. Thus mancipium, animal, furia, scelus, monstrum, prodigium, may be followed by the relative qui or quae, according as either a man or a woman is meant; e.g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 32,: Quod unquam hujusmodi monstrum aut prodigium audivimus aut vidimus, qui cum reo transigat, post cum accusatore decidat? ad Fam. i. 9.: Primum illa furia muliebrium religionum (Clodius), qui non pluris fecerat Bonam Deam quam tres sorores, impunitatem est assecutus. See Drakenborch on Liv. xxix. 12. After milia the predicate sometimes takes the gender of the persons, whose number is denoted by milia; e.g. Curt. iv. 19.: duo milia Tyriorum, crucibus affixi, per ingens litoris spatium pependerunt; Liv. xl. 41.: ad septem milia hominum in naves impositos praeter oram Etrusci maris Neapolim transmisit. Usually, however, the neuter is used. See the collection of examples in Drakenborch on Liv. xxxvii. 39. in fin. As to other cases of

constructio ad synesim, which do not belong to grammar, but are irregularities

of expression, see Corte on Sallust, Cat. 18.

[§ 369.] Note 3. When the substantive forming the subject has a different number from that which is its predicate, the verb esse (and all other verbs of existence) follows the subject, as in the above quoted passage of Livy, xxi. 15.: Quamquam captivi militum praeda fuerant. So also, Cic. de Fin. v. 10.: quae (omnia) sine dubio vitae sunt eversio; Ovid, Met. viii. 636.: tota domus duo sunt; Tac. Ann. iv. 5.: praecipuum robur Rhenum juxta octo legiones erant, for legiones is the subject; Plin. Hist. Nat. iv. 5.: angustiae, unde procedit Peloponnesus, Isthmos appellantur. But we also find, and perhaps even more frequently, that the verb takes the number of the substantive which is properly the predicate; e.g. Cic. in Pis. 4.: aude nunc, o furia, de tuo consulatu dicere, cujus fuit initium ludi Compitalicii; Sallust, Jug. 21.: possedere ea loca, quae proxuma Carthaginem Numidia appellatur; Terent. Andr. iii. 2. 23.: amantium irae amoris integratio est; Liv. i. 34.: cui Tarquinii materna tantum patria esset; ii. 54.: Manlio Vejentes provincia evenit; xlv. 39.: pars non minima triumphi est victimae praecedentes. propositions like that of Seneca, Epist. 4.: Magnae divitiae sunt lege naturae composita paupertas; and Cicero, Parad. in fin.: Contentum vero suis rebus esse maximae sunt certissimaeque divitiae, the plural is less surprising. But it is clear, that where the subject and predicate may be exchanged or transposed, the verb takes the number of the substantive nearest to it. When the predicate is a participle combined with esse or videri, the participle takes the gender of the substantive which is nearest to it, according to the rule explained in § 376. Thus we find in Cicero, de Divin. ii. 43.: non omnis error stultitia est dicenda; de Leg. i. 7.: unde etiam universus hic mundus una civitas communis deorum atque hominum existimanda (est); Terent. Phorm. i. 2. 44.: paupertas mihi onus visum est miserum et grave. If we transpose non est omnis stultitia error dicendus, and visa mihi semper est paupertas grave onus et miserum, the propositions are just as correct. But in Justin, i. 2.: Semiramis, sexum mentita, puer esse credita est, the feminine would be necessary for the sake of clearness, even if there were no verb esse.

[§ 370.] 3. When nouns are combined with one another, without being connected by the verb esse, or by a relative pronoun and esse, in such a manner as to form only one idea, as in "a good man," the adjective, participle, or pronoun follows the substantive in gender, number, and case; e. g. huic modesto puero credo, hanc modestam virginem diligo.

When two substantives are united with each other in this way, they are said, in grammatical language, to stand in apposition to each other, and the one substantive explains and defines the other; e. g. oppidum Paestum, arbor laurus, Taurus mons, lupus piscis, Socrates vir sapientissimus. The explanatory substantive (substantivum appositum) takes the same case as the one which is explained; e. g. Socratem, sapientissimum virum, Athenienses interfecerunt (an exception occurs in names of towns, see § 399.). They may differ in number and gender, as urbs Athenae, pisces

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft &

signum; Virg. Eclog. ii. 1.: Formosum pastor Corydon ardebat Alexin, delicias domini; but when the substantive in apposition has two genders, it takes the one which answers to that of the other substantive. (Comp. above, § 365.) The predicate likewise follows the substantive which is to be explained, as in Cicero: Tulliola, deliciolae nostrae, tuum munusculum flagitat; Quum duo fulmina nostri imperii subito in Hispania, Cn. et P. Scipiones, extincti occidissent, for the words duo fulmina, though placed first, are only in apposition. When plural names of places are explained by the apposition urbs, oppidum, civitas, the predicate generally agrees with the apposition; e. g. Pliny: Volsinii, oppidum Tuscorum opulentissimum, concrematum est fulmine.

O vitae philosophia dux (magistra), virtutis indagatrix expultrixque vitiorum! Cic. Tusc. v. 2. Pythagoras velut genitricem virtutum frugalitatem omnibus ingerebat (commendabat), Justin. xx. 4.

Note. Occasionally however the predicate follows the substantive in apposition; e.g. Sallust, Hist. i. Orat. Phil.: Qui videmini intenta mala, quasi fulmen, optare se quisque ne attingat, although the construction is: optare ne mala se attingant. It arises from the position of the words, the verb accommodating itself to the subject which is nearest. Hence it not unfrequently happens, 1. that the verb, contrary to the grammatical rule, agrees with the nearest noun of a subordinate sentence; as in Sallust, Cat. 25.: Sed ei cariora semper omnia, quam decus atque pudicitia fuit; Cic. Phil. iv. 4.: Quis igitur illum consulem, nisi latrones, putant? and 2. that the adjective parts of speech take the gender and number of the noun in apposition or of the subordinate sentence; e.g. Cic. p. Leg. Man. 5.: Corinthum patres vestri, totius Graeciae lumen, extinctum esse voluerunt; Nep. Them. 7.: illorum urbem ut propugnaculum oppositum esse barbaris.

[§ 371.] 4. When a relative or demonstrative pronoun refers to a noun in another sentence, the pronoun agrees with it in gender and number; e. g. tam modestus ille puer est, quem vidisti, de quo audivisti, cujus tutor es, ut omnes eum diligant. When the verb itself or a whole proposition is referred to, it is treated as a neuter substantive, and in this case id quod is generally used instead of quod; e. g. Nep. Timol. 1: Timoleon, id quod difficilius putatur, multo sapientius tulit secundam, quam adversam fortunam.

[§ 372.] Note. Exception to this rule: when a word of a preceding proposition or this proposition itself, is explained by a substantive with the verbs esse, dicere, vocare, appellare, nominare, habere, putare, &c. or their passives, the relative pronoun usually takes the gender and number of the explanatory substantive which follows; e. g. Liv. xlii. 44.: Thebae ipsae, qued

Boeotiae caput est, in magno tumultu erant. (A great many instances of the same kind are collected by Drakenborch on Liv. xxxii. 30.) Caes. Bell. Civ. iii. 80.: Caesar Gomphos pervenit, quod est oppidum Thessaliae; Cic. Brut. 33.: extat ejus peroratio, qui epilogus dicitur; de Leg. i. 7.: animal plenum rationis, quem vocamus hominem; p. Sext. 40.: domicilia conjuncta, quas urbes dicimus, moenibus saepserunt; Phil. v. 14.: Pompejo, quod imperii Romani lumen fuit, extincto; in Pis. 39.: P. Rutilio, quod specimen habuit haec civitas innocentiae; Liv. i. 45.: Romae fanum Dianae populi Latini cum populo Romano fecerunt: ea erat confessio, caput rerum Romam esse; Cic. de Off. iii. 10.: Si omnia facienda sunt, quae amici velint, non amicitiae tales, sed conjurationes putandae sunt, i. e. such things or connections cannot be looked upon as friendships, but are conspiracies. So also: ista quidem vis, surely this is force; haec fuga est, non profectio; ea ipsa causa belli fuit, for id ipsum, This explains the frequent forms of such explanatory sentences, as qui tuus est amor erga me; quae tua est humanitas, for with the demonstrative pronoun it would likewise be ea tua humanitas est, this or such is thy kindness.

Levis est animi lucem splendoremque fugientis, justam gloriam, qui est fructus verae virtutis honestissimus, repudiare, Cic. in Pis. 24.

Omnium artium, quae ad rectam vivendi viam pertinent, ratio et disciplina studio sapientiae, quae philosophia dicitur, continetur, Cic. Tusc. i. 1.

Idem velle et idem nolle, ea demum firma amicitia est, Sallust, Cat. 20.

It must however be observed, that when a noun is to be explained and to be distinguished from another of the same kind, the relative pronoun follows the general rule, agreeing in gender and number with the substantive to be explained; e. g. Caes. Bell. Gall. v. 11.: flumen, quod appellatur Tamesis, i. e. that particular river; Nep. Paus. 3.: genus est quoddam hominum, quod Ilotae vovatur; especially when a demonstrative pronoun is added, as in Curt. iii. 20.: Darius ad eum locum, quem Amanicas pylas vocant, pervenit. But when the noun following is a foreign word, the pronoun agrees with the preceding one, as in Cic. de Off. ii. 5.: cohibere motus animi turbatos, quos Graeci $\pi a\theta \eta$ nominant; Quintil. viii. 3. 16.: quum idem frequentissime plura verba significent, quod συνωνυμία vocatur. Comp. Gronov. on Senec. Consol. ad Marc. 19., and Drakenborch on Livy, ii. 38., with the commentators there mentioned.

[§ 373.] 5. When the subject consists of several nouns in the singular, the predicate is generally in the plural, if either all or some of those nouns denote persons; but if they denote things, either the singular or plural may be used. If, however, one of the nouns is in the plural, the predicate must likewise be in the plural, unless it attach itself more especially to the nearest substantive in the singular.

Apud Regillum bello Latinorum in nostra acie Castor et Pollux ex equis pugnare visi sunt, Cic. De Nat. Deor. ii. 2.

Cum tempus necessitasque postulat, decertandum manu est, et mors servituti turpitudinique anteponenda, Cic. De Off. i. 23. Beneficium et gratia homines inter se conjungunt. Vita, mors, divitiae, paupertas omnes homines vehementissime permövent, Cic. De Off. ii. 10.

Note 1. When the subject consists of two nouns denoting things in the singular, the predicate varies between the singular and plural, according as the two nouns constitute, as it were, only one idea, or two different or opposite ones. It may be remarked here that the subject Senatus populusque Romanus (but also Syracusanus, Cic. in Verr. ii. 21.; Centuripinus, ibid. iii. 45.; Saguntinus, Liv. xxviii. 39.) is always followed by the predicate in the singular. A relative pronoun, referring to two singular nouns, is always in

the plural, unless it be intended to refer only to the last.

Even when the subject consists of the names of two or more persons, the predicate is not unfrequently found in the singular, and that not only in cases where it may seem that the writer at first thought only of one person and afterwards the other, as in Cic. Orat. 12.: nam quum concisus ei Thrasymachus minutis numeris videretur et Gorgias; or Tusc. i. 1.: siquidem Homerus fuit et Hesiodus ante Romam conditam; comp. Brut. 11. init. — but also without this excuse, as Cic. Brut. 8.: Sed ut intellectum est, quantam vim haberet accurata et facta quodammodo oratio, tum etiam magistri dicendi multi subito extiterunt. Nam Leontinus Gorgias, Thrasymachus Chalcedonius, Protagoras Abderites, Prodicus Ceus, Hippias Eleus in honore magno fuit, aliique multi temporibus iisdem; de Orat. ii. 12.: Qualis apud Graecos Pherecydes, Hellanicus, Acusilas fuit aliique permulti, talis noster Cato et Pictor et Piso; de Divin. i. 38.: hac ratione et Chrysippus et Diogenes et Antipater utitur; de Fat. 17.: in qua sententia Democritus, Heraclitus, Empedocles, Aristoteles fuit; in Verr. i. 30.: condemnatur enim perpaucis sententiis Philodamus et ejus filius; ibid. iv. 42.: dixit hoc apud vos Zosippus et Ismenias, homines nobilissimi; de Orat. i. 62.: haec quum Antonius dixisset, sane dubitare visus est Sulpicius et Cotta; Caes. Bell. Civ. i. 2.: intercedit M. Antonius, Q. Cassius, tribuni plebis. It is unnecessary to add passages from the poets, who, especially Horace, frequently use the predicate in the singular, when the subject consists of several nouns denoting persons; e.g. Horat. Carm. ii. 13. in fin.: Quin et Prometheus et Pelopis parens dulci laborum decipitur sono. Comp. Bentley on Carm. i. 24. 8. The plural, however, must be considered as the rule in prose. Only the words unus et alter have invariably the predicate in the singular. When the subject consists of nouns denoting persons and things, the plural of the predicate is preferable to the singular; e.g. Cic. ad Att. iv. 15.: coitio consulum et Pompejus obsunt; Liv. xxviii. 18.: nec dubitare quin Syphax regnumque ejus jam in Romanorum essent potestate, and so in xxxix. 51.: Prusiam suspectum Romanis et receptus Hannibal et bellum adversus Eumenem motum faciebant, is more probable than faciebat.

[§ 374.] Note 2. When the subject consists of nouns connected by the disjunctive conjunction aut, the predicate is found in the plural as well as in the singular, though it would be more in accordance with our feeling to use the singular; e. g. Cic. Tusc. v.9.: Si Socrates aut Antisthenes diceret; de Off. i. 28.: si Aeacus aut Minos diceret; but de Off. i. 41.: nec quemquam hoc errore duci oportet, ut, si quid Socrates aut Aristippus contra morem consuetudinemque civilem fecerint locutive sint, idem sibi arbitretur licere; Liv. v. 8.: ut quosque studium privatim aut gratia occupaverunt. In Cicero, de Orat. ii. 4., the reading is uncertain: ne Sulpicius aut Cotta plus quam ego apud te valere videantur. Ernesti, who approves of videatur exclusively, was not struck by the same peculiarity in the preceding passage. With aut—aut, the singular is un-

questionably preferable, as in Cic. Philip. xi. 11.: nec enim nunc primum aut Brutus aut Cassius salutem libertatemque patriae legem sanctissimam et morem optimum judicavit; with nec—nec we likewise prefer the singular, with Bentley on Horace, Carm. i. 13. 6., but the plural occurs in Pliny, Panegyr. 75.: erant enim (acclamationes) quibus nec senatus gloriari nec princeps possent, where posset would certainly be just as good. Comp. Liv. xxvi. 5. in fin. The plural seems to be necessary only when the subject does not consist of two nouns of the third person, but contains a first or second person, as in Terence, Adelph. i. 2. 23.: haec si neque ego neque tu fecimus; D. Brutus in Cic. ad Fam. xi. 20.: quod in Decemviris neque ego nego Caesar habiti essemus. With seu—seu and tam—quam, the predicate is in the plural: Frontin de Aquaed. Praef. and § 128. (ut proprium jus tam res publica quam privatu haberent.)

[§ 375.] Note 3. When the subject is a singular noun joined to another (either plural or singular) by the preposition cum, the grammatical construction demands that the predicate should be in the singular, as in Cic. ad Att. vii. 14.: tu ipse cum Sexto scire velim quid cogites; ad Quint. Frat. iii. 2.: Domitius cum Messala certus esse videbatur; Ovid, Fast. i. 12.: tu quoque cum Druso praemia fratre feres. But the plural is more frequent, the subject being conceived to consist of more than one person; Liv. xxi. 60.: ipse dux cum aliquot principibus capiuntur; Sallust, Cat. 43.: Lentulus cum ceteris -constituerant; Jug. 101.: Bocchus cum peditibus-invadunt; Nep. Phoc. 2.: ejus consilio Demosthenes cum ceteris, qui bene de rep. mereri existimabantur, populiscito in exilium erant expulsi; and to judge from these and other instances, quoted by Corte on the passages of Sallust, it seems that the plural is preferred, when the main subject is separated from the predicate by intermediate sentences, so that the plurality spoken of is more strongly impressed on the writer's mind than the grammatical subject. Even in reference to gender (of which we shall speak hereafter) nouns connected with each other by cum, are treated as if they were connected by et. Ovid, Fast. iv. 55.: Ilia cum Lauso de Numitore sati; Liv. xlv. 28.: filiam cum filio accitos; Justin. xiv. 16.: filium Alexandri cum matre in arcem Amphipolitanam custodiendos mittit.

[§ 376.] 6. With regard to the gender, which the predicate (an adjective, participle, or pronoun), takes, when it belongs to several nouns, the following rules must be observed:—

a) When the nouns are of one gender, the predicate (ad-

jective, participle, or pronoun) takes the same.

b) When they are of different genders, the masculine (in case of their denoting living beings) is preferred to the feminine, and the predicate accordingly takes the masculine. When the nouns denote things, the predicate takes the neuter, and when they denote both living beings and things mixed together, it takes either the gender of the living beings, or the neuter.

Jam pridem pater mihi et mater mortui sunt, Ter.

Labor voluptasque, dissimilia naturā, societate quadam inter se naturali juncta sunt, Liv. v. 4. Jane, fac aeternos pacem pacisque ministros! Ovid, Fast. Romani, si me scelus fratris, te senectus absumpserit, regem regnumque Macedoniae sua futura sciunt, Liv. xl. 10.

Or the predicate (adjective, participle, or pronoun), agrees only with one of the nouns, and is supplied by the mind for the others; this is the case especially, when the subject consists of nouns denoting living beings and things.

Thrasybulus contemptus est primo a tyrannis atque ejus solitudo, Nep. Thras. 2.

L. Brutus exulem et regem ipsum, et liberos ejus, et gentem Tarquiniorum esse jussit, Cic. De Re Publ. ii.

Hominis utilitati agri omnes et maria parent, Cic.

Nunc emergit amor, nunc desiderium ferre non possum, nunc mihi nihil libri, nihil litterae, nihil doctrina prodest: ita dies et noctes tamquam avis illa, mari prospecto, evolare cupio, Cic. ad Att. ix. 10. 2.

[§ 377.] Note. We have not mentioned the case of a subject consisting of living beings of the feminine and neuter genders; e.g. soror tua et eius mancipium. No instance of such a combination occurs, but we should be obliged to make the predicate; e. g. inventae or inventi sunt, according as mancipium may denote a male or female slave. The grammatical preference of the masculine gender to the feminine is clear also from the fact of the mascul. words filii, fratres, soceri, reges, comprising persons of both sexes; as in Livy: legati missi sunt ad Ptolemaeum Cleopatramque reges; Tac. Ann. xii. 4.: fratrum incustoditum amorem, in speaking of a brother and his sister. The following examples of the predicate being in the neuter gender, when the subject consists of nouns denoting things, may be added to those already quoted. Sallust: divitiae, decus, gloria in oculis sita sunt; Livy: Formiis portam murumque de coelo tacta esse; Merico urbs et ager in Sicilia jussa dari; and so also with the relative pronoun; Sallust: otium atque divitiae. quae prima mortales putant. The neuter is further not unfrequently used when the two nouns of the subject (denoting things) are of the same gender; e. g. Liv. xxxvii. 32.: postquam ira et avaritia imperio potentiora erant; Cic. de Nat. Deor. iii. 24.: fortunam nemo ab inconstantia et temeritate sejunget, quae digna certe non sunt deo. Those passages, on the other hand, in which the subject consists of names of things of different gender, and the predicate agrees in gender with a more distant masc. or femin., must be considered as exceptions; but in such cases the noun with which the predicate agrees is usually the more prominent, the other or others being considered as dependent or subordinate; e. g. Plancus in Cic. ad Fam. x. 24.: Amor tuus ac judicium de me utrum mihi plus dignitatis an voluptatis sit allaturus, non facile dixerim; i. e. thy love, and thy favourable opinion of me, which is the result of it; Cic. de Leg. i. 1.: Lucus ille et haec Arpinatium quercus agnoscitur, saepe a me lectus in Mario, the oak being only a part of the grove. See the commentators (Wesenberg) on Cic. p. Sext. 53., and on Suet. Caes. 75.

[§ 378.] 7. When the personal pronouns ego, tu, nos, vos,

combined with one or more other nouns form the subject of a proposition, the predicate follows the first person in preference to the second and third, and the second in preference to the third. Si tu et Tullia, lux nostra, valetis, ego et suavissimus Cicero valemus, Cic. Ad Fam. xiv. 5.

Quid est quod tu aut illa cum Fortuna hoc nomine queri possitis, Sulpic. in Cic. Ad Fam. iv. 5.

Note. So also Cic. in Verr. i. 45.: hoc jure et majores nostri et nos semper usi sumus; in Rull. i. 7.: Errastis, Rulle, vehementer et tu et nonnulli collegae tui. But in this case also the predicate frequently agrees with one of the subjects, and is supplied by the mind for the others; e. g. Cicero: Vos ipsi et senatus frequens restitit; et ego et Cicero meus flagitabit. With regard to the relative pronoun, the above rule remains in force, and we must accordingly say: tu et pater, qui in convivio eratis; ego et tu, qui eramus.

II. ON THE USE OF CASES.

CHAP. LXX.

NOMINATIVE CASE.

[379.] 1. The subject of a proposition is in the nominative (see § 362.), and the noun of the predicate only when it is connected with the subject by the verb esse and similar verbs: apparere, appear; existere, fieri, evadere, come into existence, become; videri, seem, appear; manere, remain; or the passives of the actives mentioned in § 394; viz. dici, appellari, existimari, haberi, &c.; e. g. justus videbatur, he appeared just; rex appellabatur, he was called king. The personal pronouns ego, tu, ille, nos, vos, and illi are implied in the terminations of the verb, and are expressed only when they denote emphasis or opposition. (In) rebus angustis animosus atque fortis appāre, Horat. Carm. ii. 10. 21.

Appius adeo novum sibi ingenium induerat, ut plebicola repente omnisque aurae popularis captator evaderet, Liv. iii. 33.

Ego reges ejeci, vos tyrannos introducitis; ego libertatem, quae non erat, peperi, vos partam servare non vultis, says L. Brutus in the Auct. ad Herenn. iv. 53.

Note 1. The construction of the accusative with the infinitive is the only case in which the subject is not in the nominative, but in the accusative.

VIIII Calli - Digitized by Microsoft

(See § 599.) In this case the predicate, with the above-mentioned verbs, is likewise in the accusative.

[§ 380.] Note 2. Videri is used throughout as a personal verb, as (ego) videor, (tu) videris, &c.; vir bonus esse; videmur, videmini viri boni esse, or hoc fecisse. The impersonal construction is sometimes found, as in Cic. Tusc. v. 5.: Non mihi videtur, ad beate vivendum satis posse virtutem (comp. Davis' remark), but much more rarely than the personal one. When connected with the dative of a person, it is equivalent to the English "to think or fancy;" e. g. amens mihi fuisse videor; fortunatus sibi Damocles videbatur (esse); si hoc tibi intellexisse videris, or even in connection with videre; e. g. videor mihi videre imminentes reipublicae tempestates, &c. It should however be observed that the dative of the first person is sometimes omitted; e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. ii. 61.: satis docuisse videor; ibid. i. 21.: saepe de L. Crasso videor audisse; de Fin. ii. 5.: cum Graece, ut videor, luculenter sciam, i. e. as it seems to me, or as I think.

[§ 381.] 2. The nominative is sometimes not expressed in Latin. Thus the word homines is understood with a verb in the third person plural active, in such phrases as laudant hunc regem, they, or people praise this king; dicunt, tradunt, ferunt hunc regem esse justum, people say that this king is just.

CHAP. LXXI.

ACCUSATIVE CASE.

[§ 382.] 1. The accusative denotes the object of an action, and is therefore joined to all transitive verbs, whether active or deponent, to express the person or thing affected by the action implied in such verbs; e. g. pater amat (tuetur) filium. When the verb is active, the same proposition may be expressed without change of meaning in the passive voice, the object or accusative becoming the subject or nominative; thus instead of pater amat filium, we may say filius amatur a patre.

The transitive or intransitive nature of a verb depends entirely upon its meaning (see § 142.), which must be learned from the dictionary. It must however be observed that many Latin verbs may acquire a transitive meaning, besides the original intransitive one, and accordingly govern the accusative.

[§ 383.] Note 1. Some verbs are called transitive and others intransitive, according as they occur more frequently in the one sense or the other. All particulars must be learned from the Dictionary. Ludere, to play, for example, is naturally an intransitive, but has a transitive meaning in the sense

Digital state of virginis of

of "play the part of;" e.g. ludit bonum civem, he plays the good citizen, affects to be a good citizen. Horrere properly signifies "to feel a shudder," and fastidire "to be disgusted with," but both are frequently used as transitives: horrere dolorem, fastidire preces or mores alicujus, to dread pain, to reject a person's petition, to be disgusted with his manners. There are several other such verbs, as dolere, gemere, lamentari, lugere, maerere, lacrimare, plorare; e. g. casum hunc. Festinare and properare, moreover, signify not only "to hasten," but "to accelerate;" e. g. mortem suam; manere not only "to wait," but "to expect;" e.g. hostium adventum; ridere, to laugh and to Such examples being sanctioned by usage, the ridicule (like irridere). Latin writers, in some cases, extended the principle still further, and Cicero (de Fin. ii. 34.) has the bold, but beautiful and expressive, phrase: Quum Xerxes, Hellesponto juncto, Athone perfosso, mare ambulavisset, terram navigasset, instead of the ordinary expression in mari ambulavisset, in terra navigasset. In such phrases as dormio totam hiemem, tertiam aetatem vivo, noctes vigilo, the accusative might seem to express only duration of time (§ 395.), but as the passive forms also occur, tota mihi dormitur hiems, jam tertia vivitur aetas, noctes vigilantur amarae, it will be more judicious to consider the verbs dormire, vivere, vigilare, in those cases as transitives, equivalent to "spend in sleeping, living, waking."

The words which denote "to smell" or "taste of any thing," viz. olere, redolere, sapere, resipere, are in the same manner used as transitive verbs, and joined with an accusative (instead of the ablative which they would require as intransitive verbs). Their meaning in this case is "to give back the smell or taste of any thing;" e. g. olet unguenta; piscis ipsum mare sapit; unguenta gratiora sunt, quae terram, quam quae crocum sapiant; uva picem resipiens, and in a figurative sense: olet peregrinum, redolet antiquitatem; together with such expressions as, anhelat crudelitatem, pingue quiddam et peregrinum sonat, sanguinem nostrum sitiebat. The poets go still further, and use, e. g., pallere, pavere, tremere, trepidare aliquid, instead of timere; ardere, calere, tepere, perire, deperire mulierem, instead of amare mulierem. Such expressions should not be imitated in prose, any more than the use of a neuter adjective instead of an adverb; as in: torvum clamare, tremendum sonare, lucidum fulgent oculi, concerning which see § 266. Tacitus however says, Ann. iv. 60.: Tiberius falsum renidens vultu; and vi. 37.: Euphraten nulla imbrium vi sponte et im-

mensum attolli.

[§ 384.] We must here mention a peculiar mode of joining an accusative with intransitive verbs, which is of frequent occurrence in Greek and also in English. It consists of a substantive of the same root as the verb, or at least one of the same meaning, being added in the accusative; but this substantive is usually qualified by an adjective; e.g. vitam jucundam vivere; longam viam ire, hoc bellum bellure, gravem pugnam (proelium) pugnare, alterius gaudium gaudere, bonas preces precari, risum Sardonium ridere, consimilem ludum ludere, servitutem servire durissimam, somnium somniare.

(Odi) qui Curios simulant et Bacchanalia vivunt. - Juven. ii. 3.

[§ 385.] But even without any change or modification of meaning, intransitive verbs may have the accusative of pronouns and adjective pronouns in the neuter gender, in order to express, in a general way, the direction in which a feeling or condition is manifested; if this tendency were expressed more definitely by a substantive, the accusative could not be used. We thus frequently find such phrases as: hoc laetor, I rejoice at this; hoc non dubito,

I do not doubt this; hoc laboro, illud tibi non assentior, aliquid tibi succenseo, non possum idem gloriari, unum omnes student, where the accusative of a definite substantive, such as, hanc unam rem omnes student, could not have been used. So Terence says: id operam do, I strive after this; Cicero, ad Fam. vi. 8.: consilium petis, quid tibi sim auctor; and Livy often uses the phrase quod quidam auctores sunt, which is attested by some authors.

Dolores autem nunquam tantam vim habent, ut non plus habeat sapiens quod

gaudeat quam quod angatur, Cic. de Fin. i. 14.

Utrumque lactor, et sine dolore corporis te fuisse et animo valuisse, Cic. ad Fam. vii. 1.

Note 2. The rule that in the change of a proposition from the active into the passive form the accusative of the object becomes the nominative of the subject, remains in force even when after the verbs denoting "to say" or "command" the accusative does not depend upon these verbs, but belongs to the construction of the accusative with an infinitive; e.g. dico regem esse justum, jubeo te redire (see § 607.); in the passive: rex dicitur justus esse, juberis redire, as though dico regem or jubeo te belonged to each other.

[§ 386.] 2. Intransitive verbs which imply motion, as *ire*, vadere, volare, and some also which imply "being in a place," as jacēre, stare and sedere, acquire a transitive meaning by being compounded with a preposition, and accordingly govern the accusative. This, however, is generally the case only in verbs compounded with the prepositions circum, per, praeter, trans, and super, and in those compound verbs which have acquired a figurative meaning. Such verbs become perfect transitives, and the accusative which they take in the active form of a proposition as their object, becomes the nominative of the subject, when the proposition is changed into the passive form; e. g. flumen transitur, societas initur, mors pro republica obitur. With other compounds the accusative is only tolerated, for generally the preposition with its case (§ 415.).

Amicitia nonnunquam praecurrit judicium, Cic. Lael. 17.

Nihil est turpius quam cognitioni et praeceptioni assensionem praecurrere, Cic. Acad. i. 12.

Note. The rule here given applies to a great number of verbs, for there are many which imply motion, as, ire, ambulare, cedere, currere, equitare, fluere, gradi, labi, nare, and natare, repere, salire, scandere, vadere, vehi, volare, and perhaps also venire, and their compounds are very numerous. The following is a list of them: adire, accedere, adequitare, adnare, aggredi, allabi, ascendere, assilire and assultare, advenire and adventare, advehi, advolare, advolvi, anteire, antecedere, antecurrere, antegredi, antevenire, circumfluere, circumire, circumvenire, circumvolare, coire, convenire, egredi, elabi, erumpere, evadere, excedere, exire, inire, incedere, incurrere and incursare, ingredi, illabi, innare and innatare, insilire, insultare, invehi, interfluere, intervenire, invadere

020 10 7 7 15 150 0

(irrumpere), irrepere, obambulare, obequitare, obire, perambulare, percurrere, permeare, pervadere, pervagari, pervolare, praecedere, praecurrere, praefluere, praegredi, praevenire, praeterire, praeterfluere, praetergredi, praetervehi, praetervolare, subire, succedere, subrepere, supergredi, supervadere, supervenire, transire, transnare, transilire, transvolare. To these we must add some compound verbs, which do not imply motion, but in general "being in a place," as adjacere, assidere, accumbere and accubare, adstare, antestare, circumsidere, circumstare, and circumsistere, incubare, insidere, instare, interjacēre, obsidere, praesidere, praejacēre, praestare, superstare. All these verbs may be joined with an accusative of the place to which the action implied in the verb refers; in poetical language many more verbs are joined with an accusative, partly from a resemblance with those mentioned above, and partly because a transitive meaning and construction are, in general, well suited to a lively description. Tacitus, Hist. iii. 29., for example, says: balista obruit quos inciderat, where quos is not governed by the preposition in (for he uses the accus. also with prepositions which otherwise require the ablative: praesidebat exercitum, praejacet castra, elapsus est vincula), but is the real accusat. of the object. We must not however forget, that, with the exception of verbs compounded with the prepositions circum, per, praeter, trans, and super, we are speaking only of what may be, and what frequently occurs in modern Latin prose; for the ancient Romans seldom used the accusative with such verbs; they preferred them in their intransitive sense either with a preposition or the dative. The verbs compounded with ante alone are construed indifferently either with the accusative or the dative. and antegredi occurs only with the accusative. Cicero, in the case of verbs compounded with ex, repeats the preposition ex or ab; Sallust and Livy use the ablative alone, which is governed by the preposition understood. It is not till the time of Tacitus that we find these verbs construed with the accusative; e.g. evado amnem, silvas, sententias judicum.

[§ 387.] We must especially notice those verbs which acquire a transitive meaning by a modification of their original signification, i.e. by being used in a figurative sense. Such verbs either lose their intransitive meaning altogether, or retain it along with the transitive one, and accordingly govern the accusative either exclusively, or only in their particular transitive meaning. Of this kind are adeo and convenio in the sense of "I step up to a person for the purpose of speaking to him;" aggredior (and adorior), invado and incedo, I attack, where especially the perfect incessit aliquem, e.g. cupido, cura, metus, must be observed; alluo, wash, in speaking of the sea or a river; anteeo, antecedo, antevenio, praecedo, praegredior, praevenio, all in the sense of "I excel" (the principle of which is followed also by praemineo, praesto, antecello, excello, and praecello); coco, I conclude, e.g. an alliance; excedo and egredior, I transgress, e. g. the bounds; ineo and ingredior, I begin a thing; obeo, I visit, undertake; occumbo (mortem, which is much more frequent than morti or morte), I suffer death, or die; obsideo and circumsideo, I besiege; subeo, I undertake. But even among these verbs there are some, such as incedere and invadere, which are preferred in the more ancient prose with a preposition or with the dative. Livy, for example, frequently says patres incessit cura, and Sallust uses metus invasit populares; but Cicero, Antonius invasit in Galliam, or timor invasit improbis; Terence, quae nova religio nunc in te incessit; Caesar, dolor incessit improbis. Anteire is the only one among the verbs signifying "to excel," that is used by Cicero with the accusative, though not exclusively, and antecedere, praestare, antecellere, and excellere are used by him only with the dative; the others do not occur in his works in this sense.

There are, on the other hand, some verbs which, according to the above rule, might be joined with the accusative, but never are so, and take either the dative or a preposition, viz. arrepere, observe, incumbere (§ 416.). Lastly, verbs compounded with the prepositions ab, de, and ex, which imply motion, are construed with the ablative, the idea of separation being predominant; the few verbs mentioned above only form an exception to the rule.

[§ 388.] 3. The verbs deficio, juvo, adjuvo, defugio, effugio, profugio, refugio, and subterfugio, and the deponents imitor, sequor, and sector govern the accusative. They are real transitives and have a personal passive.

Fortes fortuna adjuvat, Ter. Phorm. i. 4. 26.

Nemo mortem effugere potest, Cic. Philip. viii. 10.

Gloria virtutem tanquam umbra sequitur, Cic. Tusc.

Note 1. The compounds of sequor and sector: assequor, assector, consequor, consector, insequor, insector, persequor, prosequor, likewise govern the accusative; obsequor, I comply with, alone governs the dative. Comitor, I accompany, may be classed with sequor; for it usually governs the accusative; but Cicero in some passages (de Re Publ. ii. 24., Tusc. v. 24. and 35.), uses it with the dative, in accordance with its original meaning "to be a companion to a person" (§ 235.). The few passages in which deficio occurs with the dative cannot affect the rule; thus we read: vires, tela nostros defecerunt; tempus me deficit; and in the passive: quum miles a viribus deficeretur; aqua ciboque defectus. The frequentative adjūto is used with the dative only by unclassical writers; otherwise it has the accusative like juvo. The passive forms of defugio, refugio, and effugio are rare; but always in accordance with the rule; e. g. Cic. Tusc. i. 36.: haec incommoda morte effugiuntur; p. Planc. 32.: nullas sibi dimicationes pro me defugiendas putavit; Quintil. iv. 5.: Interim refugienda est distinctio quaestionum. Of the other compounds the passive cannot be proved to have been used.

[§ 389.] Note 2. The verb aequare and its compounds have likewise their object in the accusative. Aequare properly signifies "to make equal," rem cum re or rem rei, one thing to another; e. g. urbem solo aequare, turrim moenibus; and without a dative, "to attain;" e. g. gloriam alicujus, superiores reges, cursum equorum. The accusative of the person may be joined, without any difference in meaning, by the ablative of the thing in which I equal any one; e g. Curt. ix. 26.: Nondum feminam aequavimus gloriā, et jam nos laudis satietas cepit? The same is the case with the compound adaequare; and the dative with this verb, in the sense of "attain" or "equal," is doubtful or unclassical. (See Caes. Bell. Gall. viii. 41.) Exaequare commonly signifies "to make equal," or "equalise;" and aequiparare "to attain;" and

both govern the accusative.

Note 3. Aemulari, emulate, commonly takes the accusative of the thing in which, and the dative of the person whom we emulate: aemulor prudentiam, virtutes majorum, and aemulor alicui homini, although some authors use it in both connections with the accusative like imitari. Adulari, properly used of dogs, signifies "to creep" or "sneak up to a person," and figuratively, like the Greek προσκυνεῖν, the servile veneration paid to Asiatic

kings, and hence in general "to flatter." In its proper sense it occurs only with the accusative, e. g. Colum. vii. 12.: Canes mitissimi furem quoque adulantur; in its figurative sense also it is found only with the accusative: Valer. Maxim. vi. 3. extr.: Athenienses Timagoram inter officium salutationis Darium regem more gentis illius adulatum capitali supplicio affecerunt. In its most common sense of "servile flattery," it is used by Cicero likewise with the accusative: in Pis. 41.: adulans omnes; by Nepos with the dative; Attic. 8.: neque eo magis potenti adulatus est Antonio; by Livy with both cases, see xxxvi. 7. and xlv. 31. (for in xxiii. 4. there is no reason for giving up the old reading plebem affari), and Quintilian (ix. 3.) states that in his time the dative was commonly used. Tacitus and other late writers, however, returned to the ancient practice and used the accusative. It should be remarked that the active form adulo was not uncommon, as in Valer. Maxim, iv. 3. in fin.: Cum olera lavanti (Diogeni) Aristippus dixisset, si Dionysium adulare velles, ita non esses: Immo, inquit, si tu ita esse velles, non adulares Dionysium. Comp. the commentators on Cic. Tusc. ii. 10. § 24.

[§ 390.] 4. Five impersonal verbs (§ 225.), which express certain feelings, viz. piget (I am) vexed; pudet (I am) ashamed; poenitet, (I) repent; taedet (I am) disgusted, and miseret, (I) pity, take an accusative of the person affected. As to the case by which the thing exciting such a feeling is expressed, see § 441.

Note. On the principle of puditum est, Cicero (de Fin. ii. 13.) uses veritum est as an impersonal verb with the accusative of the person: Cyrenaici, quos non est veritum in voluptate summum bonum ponere.

Decet, it is becoming, and its compounds condecet, dedecet, and indecet likewise govern the accusative of the person, but they differ from the above-mentioned impersonal verbs, inasmuch as they may have a nominative as their subject, though not a personal one.

Candida pax homines, trux decet ira feras, Ovid, A. A.

Note. In the early language (especially in Plautus) decet is found also with the dative. We may here notice some other verbs which, when used as impersonals, govern the accusative, this case being suited to their original meaning: juvat and delectat me, I am rejoiced; fullit, fugit, praeterit me, it escapes me, that is, I have forgotten, or do not know. Latet me occurs more frequently than latet mihi, but the impersonal character of this verb is not founded on good authority, for the passage of Cicero, in Cat. i. 6., is corrupt. Cicero uses this verb without any case: lateo, I am concealed or keep out of sight.

[§ 391.] 5. The verbs docere (teach) with its compounds edocere and dedocere and celare (conceal), have two accusatives of the object, — one of the thing, and another of the person, as in Nepos, Eum. 8.: Antigonus iter, quod habebat adversus Eumenem, omnes celat.

Fortuna belli artem victos quoque docet, Curt. vii. 30. (7.)

Catilina juventutem, quam illexerat, multis modis mala facinora edocebat, Sallust, Cat. 16.

Note 1. When such a proposition takes the passive form, the accusative of the person becomes the nominative, as omnes celabantur ab Antigono; but the thing may remain in the accusative, e. g. Liv. vi. 32.: Latinae legiones longa societate militiam Romanam edoctae; and: omnes belli artes edoctus. But it rarely occurs with doctus and edoctus, and with celari scarcely ever, except when the thing is expressed by the neuter of a pronoun, e. g. hoc or id celabar, I was kept in ignorance of it, for celare and especially its passive generally has the preposition de, as in Cic.: non est profecto de illo veneno celata mater; debes existimare te maximis de rebus a fratre esse celatum. The construction aliqua res mihi celatur in Nep. Alcib. 5. is very singular. Docere and edocere with their passive forms are likewise used with de, but only in the sense of "to inform," as in Cicero: judices de injuriis alicujus docere; Sulla de his rebus docetur; Sallust: de itinere hostium senatum edocet.

It must, however, be observed, that although any word expressing an art may be joined to doceo and doceor (doceo te artem, doceor te Latine loqui, doceor artem, doceor (commonly disco) Latine loqui), the instrument on which the art is practised, is expressed by the ablative, e. g. Cic. ad Fam. ix. 22.: Socratem fidibus docuit nobilissimus fidicen; Liv. xxix. 1.: quem docendum cures equo armisque, and in a passive signification Cic. Cat. Maj. 8.: discebant fidibus antiqui. Litterae may be used either in the accus. or ablat.: Cic. in Pis. 30.: Quid nunc te, asine, litteras doceam; Brut. 45.: doctus Graecis litteris,

doctus et Graecis litteris et Latinis.

[§ 392.] Note 2. The verbs compounded with trans: transduco, transjicio, transporto, take a double accusative, on account of the omission of the preposition, which however is often added, e.g. Agesilaus Hellespontum copias trajecit; Hannibal nonaginta milia peditum, duodecim milia equitum Iberum transduxit; Caesar exercitum Rhenum transportavit, Ligerim transducit, but also multitudinem hominum trans Rhenum in Galliam transducere. In the passive construction the accusative dependent upon trans is retained, as in Caesar: ne major multitudo Germanorum Rhenum transducatur; Belgae Rhenum antiquitus transducti. Transjicere and transmittere are also used intransitively, the pronouns me, te, se, &c. being understood. The participles transjectus and transmissus may be used both of that which crosses a river, and of the river which is crossed: amnis trajectus, transmissus, and classis transmissa, Marius in Africam trajectus, and the name of the water may be added in the ablative: mari, freto.

[§ 393.] 6. The verbs posco, reposco, flagito, I demand; oro, rogo, I entreat; interrogo and percontor, I ask or inquire, also admit a double accusative, one of the person, and another of the thing, but the verbs which denote demanding or entreating also take the ablative of the person with the preposition ab, and those denoting inquiring may take the ablative of the thing with de. Peto, postulo and quaero are never used with a double accusative, but the first two have always the ablative of the person with ab, and quaero with ab, de and ex.

Nulla salus bello, pacem te poscimus omnes, Virg. Aen. xi. 362.

Legati Hennenses ad Verrem adeunt eumque simulacrum Cereris et Victoriae reposcunt, Cic. in Verr. iv. 51.

Pusionem quendam Socrates apud Platonem interrogat quaedam Geometrica, Cic. Tusc. i. 24.

Note 1. A double accusative is used most commonly, when the thing is expressed indefinitely by the neuter of a pronoun or an adjective; e.g. hoc te vehementer rogo; illud te et oro et hortor; sine te hoc exorem, let me entreat this of you; nihil aliud vos orat atque obsecrat; hoc quod te interrogo responde. The accusat, with the passive is rare, but in accordance with the rule; thus we say: rogatus sententiam, asked for his opinion (for rogo may mean the same as interrogo), interrogatus testimonium.

Note 2. Respecting what is called the Greek accusative, which only supplies

the place of the Latin ablative, see § 458.

[§ 394.] 7. The following verbs (which in the passive voice have two nominatives), have in the active two accusatives, one of the object and the other of the predicate: dicere, vocare, appellare, nominare, nuncupare, also scribere and inscribere; ducere, habere, judicare, existimare, numerare, putare (arbitrari), also intelligere, agnoscere, reperire, invenire, facere (pass. fieri), reddere, instituere, constituere, creare, deligere, designare, declarare, renuntiare, and others; se praebere, se praestare. Thus we say in the active, Ciceronem universus populus adversus Catilinam consulem declaravit (Cic. in Pis. 1.), and in the passive Cicero ab universo populo consul declaratus est.

Romulus urbem, quam condidit, Romam vocavit.

Socrates totius mundi se incolam et civem arbitrabatur, Cic. Tusc. v. 37.

Bene de me meritis gratum me praebeo, Cic. p. Planc. 38. Scytharum gens antiquissima semper habita est.

Note 1. Hence we say: facio te certiorem, I inform thee, with the genitive; e. g. consilii mei, or with the preposition de: de consilio meo, and in the passive voice: certior factus sum. With other adjectives reddere is preferable to facere; e. g. reddere aliquem placidum et mollem, meliorem, iratum, &c.; homines coecos reddit cupiditas; loca tuta ab hostibus reddebat. In the passive we rarely find reddi for fieri.

Utor, in a similar sense, is used with a double ablative: utor aliquo magistro, I have a person for my teacher; utor aliquo aequo, benigno, I find a person just, kind towards myself. Terent. Heaut. ii. 1.5.: Mihi si unquam filius erit, nae ille facili me utetur patre, he shall have in me an indulgent father.

Note 2. With regard to the participle passive the rule respecting the agreement of the predicate with the cases of the subject rarely applies to any other cases than the nominative and accusative, at least in ordinary language. There are however a few instances of the ablative in the construction of the ablative absolute: Nep. Hann. 3.: Hasdrubale imperatore suffecto; Liv. iv. 46.: magistro equitum creato filio suo profectus est ad bellum; ibid. xlv. 21.:

Consulibus certioribus factis; Flor. iii. 21.: ex senatusconsulto adversariis hostibus judicatis. There are no instances of other oblique cases. It is not however improbable that a Roman might have said: Dareus Scytharum genti,

quamquam justissimae habitae, bellum intulit.

Note 3. The verbs putare, ducere, and habere may have the preposition pro instead of the accusative of the predicate, but not quite in the same sense, pro expressing rather an approximation; e. g. habere pro hoste, to deem a person equal to an enemy; aliquid pro non dicto habere, to consider a thing as though it had not been said; aliquid pro certo putare, to regard a thing as though it were certain; pro nihilo, as though it were nothing. We may here notice also the phrases aliquem in numero; e. g. imperatorum, sapientium, and aliquem in loco parentis ducere or habere.

[§ 395.] 8. The accusative is used with verbs and adjectives to express the extent of time and space, in answer to the questions:—how far? how long? how broad? how deep? how thick? e. g. nunquam pedem a me discessit, he never moved one step from me; a recta conscientia non transversum unquem (or digitum) oportet discedere, not one finger's breadth; fossa duos pedes lata or longa; cogitationem sobrii hominis punctum temporis suscipe, take, for one moment, the thought of a rational man; so also: Mithridates annum jam tertium et vicesimum regnat; tres annos mecum habitavit, or per tres annos, which however implies that the period was a long one.

Campus Marathon ab Athenis circiter milia passuum decem abest, Nep. Milt. 4.

Quaedam bestiolae unum tantum diem vivunt, Cic.

Decem quondam annos urbs oppugnata est ob unam mulierem ab universa Graecia, Liv. v. 4.

Lacrimans in carcere mater noctes diesque assidebat, Cic. in Verr. v. 43.

[§ 396.] Note 1. The ablative is rarely used by Cicero to express the duration of time; e. g. de Off. iii. 2.: Scriptum est a Posidonio triginta annis vixisse Panaetium, posteaquam libros de officiis edidisset; but it is more frequent in the authors of the silver age: Tac. Ann. i. 53 .: quattuordecim annis exilium toleravit; Suet. Calig. 59.: vixit annis undetriginta. The ablative of distance must in general be regarded as an exception, although it occurs not only in later writers, but in Caesar and Livy: abest, distat quinque milibus passuum, or spatio aliquot milium; Tac. Ann. xii. 17 .: Exercitus Romanus tridui itinere abfuit ab anne Tanai; but Cicero and others, in accordance with the rule, say iter quinque, decem dierum, or biduum, triduum, or bidui, tridui (scil. spatium) abest ab aliquo loco. If however not the distance is to be expressed, but only a place to be designated by the circumstance of its distance from another, the ablative should be used, though the accusative sometimes occurs; e. g. Liv. xxvii. 41.: mille fere et quingentos passus castra ab hoste locat; xxv. 13.: tria passuum milia ab ipsa urbe loco edito castra posuit, and in other passages. Spatio and intervallo are the only words in which the ablative is used exclusively; e. g. Liv. xxv. 9.: quindecim ferme milium spatio castra ab Tarento posuit, but the ablative is found also in many other cases agreeably to the rule; e. g. Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 48.: Eodem die castra promovit et milibus passuum sex a Caesaris castris sub monte consedit. When the place from which the distance is calculated is not mentioned, but understood from what precedes, ab is placed at the beginning, as if the ablative of the distance depended on it; e. g. Caes. Bell. Gall. ii. 7.: a milibus passuum duobus castra posuerunt, i. e. at a distance of 2000 paces from the spot, or 2000 paces of, duo inde milia (for more instances from Caesar see Schneider on Caes. l. c.); Liv. xxiv. 46.: a quingentis fere passibus castra posuit; Flor. ii. 6. 56.: non jum a tertio lapide (i. e. at a distance of three miles), sed ipsas Carthaginis portas obsidione quatiebat. (Comp. Matthiae, Greek Grammar, § 573. p. 994. 5th edit.)

[§ 397.] Note 2. Old, in reference to the years which a person has lived, is expressed in Latin by natus, with an accusative of the time; e.g. Decessit Alexander mensem unum, annos tres et triginta natus (Justin, xii. 16.). Alexander therefore died quarto et trigesimo anno, or aetatis anno. A person's age, however, may be expressed without natus, by the genitive, if his name is closely joined to the words denoting the time (see § 426.); e.g. Alexander annorum trium et triginta decessit, i.e. as a man of thirty-three years. The expressions "older" or "younger than thirty-three years," are accordingly rendered in Latin by plus or minus (see § 485.) tres et triginta annos natus; but also by major or minor, either without quam, as major (minor) annos tres et triginta natus, and major (minor) annorum trium et triginta; or with quam : major (minor) quam annos tres et triginta natus, and major (minor) quam annorum trium et triginta. Natu may be joined to annorum as anno is to aetatis in the case of ordinal numerals. Lastly, the ablative is made to depend upon the comparative: major (minor) tribus et triginta annis; and in the Roman laws we frequently find the expression minor viginti quinque annis.

[§ 398.] 9. The names of towns, and not unfrequently of small islands, are put in the accusative with verbs implying motion, without the preposition in or ad, which are required with the names of countries; e. g. Juvenes Romani Athenas studiorum causa proficisci solebant. We may here mention at once all the rules relating to the construction of the names of towns. If they denote the place whence, they are in the ablative; if the place where? singular nouns of the first and second declensions are put in the genitive, all plurals and nouns of the third declension in the ablative.* When we have to express "through a town," the preposition per is required.

* This rule, varying as it does with the number and declension of a name of a town, is obviously quite arbitrary, and not traceable to any principle. The first (at least in this country) proper explanation of this apparent peculiarity of the Latin language is given by a writer in the Journal of Education (vol. i. p. 107.), from which we extract the following passage:—
"We are usually directed to translate at Rome by the genitive, at Athens by the ablative, &c., giving different rules according as the number or the gender differs, while, in fact, they are all datives. With Romae, Athenis,

Demaratus quidam, Tarquinii regis pater, tyrannum Cypselum quod ferre non poterat, Tarquinios Corintho fugit, et ibi suas fortunas constituit, Cic. Tusc. v. 37.

Dionysius tyrannus Syracusis expulsus Corinthi pueros docebat, Cic. Tusc. iii. 12.

Romae Consules, Athenis Archontes, Carthagine* Suffetes, sive judices, quotannis creabantur, Nep. Hann.

Note 1. The use of names of countries without a preposition, like the names of towns, and of names of towns with the prepositions in, ab, ex, is an irregularity which should not be imitated. Of these prepositions ab is found most frequently, especially in Livy, though sometimes also in Cicero: ab Epidauro Piraecum advectus, ab Epheso in Syriam profectus, a Brundisio nulla adhuc fama venerat; and eases may occur in which the preposition is absolutely necessary, as in Cic. in Verr. iv. 33.: Segesta est oppidum in Sicilia, quod ab Aenea, fugiente a Troja, conditum esse demonstrant. Ad is joined with names of towns when only the direction towards a place is to be expressed, and not the place itself; e.g. in Cicero: iter dirigere ad Mutinam; tres viae sunt ad Mutinam: further, when the vicinity of a place is to be denoted (§ 296.); in this sense, the elder Cato says in Cic. Cat. Maj. 5.: adolescentulus miles profectus sum ad Capuam, quintoque anno post ad Tarentum Quaestor; that is, in castra, ad Capuam, ad Tarentum. So ad is also used to denote the approach of a fleet to a maritime town; e.g. Caes. Bell. Civ. iii. 100.: Laelius cum classe ad Brundisium venit.

What has been said above in re'erence to islands applies not only to those which have towns of the same name, such as Delos, Rhodus, Samos, Corcyra, but to others also, as in Cicero: Ithacae vivere otiose; in Nepos: Conon plurimum Cypri vixit, Iphicrates in Thracia, Timotheus Lesbi; Pausaniam cum classe Cyprum atque Hellespontum miserunt; so also Chersonesum colonos mittere, Chersonesi habitare; but Cicero, de Divin. i. 25. says: in Cyprum redire. The larger islands, as Sardinia, Britannia, Creta, Euboea, Sicilia, are subject to the same rules as names of countries; and the few exceptions

there is no difficulty. As to Beneventi, domi, &c., an earlier form of the dative of the second declension was oi (oiroi), whence arose the double form nullō and nullō. In the plural the two languages exhibit the same analogy: \$\frac{\cdot}{\cdot} \cdot \cdot \cdot_0 \cdot_0\$, in Greck, and in Latin puer\(\tilde{\chi}\), puer\(\tilde{\chi}\). In the third declension a common occurrence has taken place." This explanation is confirmed by the fact that in most cases we find Carthogini, Anxuri, Tiburi, and also Lacedaemoni, when the place where ? is to be expressed. See above, § 63. in fin. Transl.

* The writer above quoted justly remarks: "Our editions often present Carthagine, Lacedaemone, where the MSS. have the correct dative. It is true that authority exists for the other form; but the change of Carthagini into Carthagine is precisely similar to the change of heri into here, pictai into pictae, and not unlike the absorption of the i in the datives of so many declensions, Greek and Latin: gradui gradu, fidei fide. In the third declension, the preceding consonant saved it from total extinction. The commonest effect of time upon language is to soften away the final letters. Hence miraris, mirare; agier, agi; ipsus, ipse; quis, qui; fuerunt, fuere; homō, homō; ¿ywv, ¿yw; egō, egō, &c." Transl.

which occur cannot be taken into account; e.g. Cic. p. Leg. Man. 12.: inde Sardiniam cum classe venit; Liv. xxxii. 16.: Euboeam trajecerunt; Flor. iii. 10.: Britanniam transit; and some others.

Names of countries, also, are not unfrequently used in the accusative without the preposition in when motion is expressed. This is most frequently the case with Aegyptus (once even in Cic. de Nat. Deor. iii. 22.), and other Greek names of countries in us, as Epirus, Peloponnesus, Chersonesus, Bosporus, perhaps owing to their resemblance to names of towns; but also with others; e. g. Caes. Bell. Gall. iii. 7.: Illyricum profectus; Bell. Civ. iii. 41.: Macedoniam pervenit; Liv. x. 37.: Etruriam transducto exercitu; xxx. 24.: Africam transiturus. All these expressions, however, are only exceptions, rarely used by the earlier writers, and somewhat more frequently by the later ones. Even names of nations, when used for those of countries, are construed in this way by Tacitus, Ann. xii. 32.: ductus inde Cangos exercitus; xii. 15.: Ipse praeceps Iberos ad patrium regnum pervadit. The genitive of names of countries in answer to the question where? is much more rare, and is confined to Aegypti in Caesar, Bell. Civ. iii. 106.; Chersonesi in Nep. Milt. 1.; Florus, i. 18. 11. uses Lucaniae in the same way; in Sallust the combination Romae Numidiaeque is easily accounted for.*

The grammatical explanation of this genitive, however, is connected with difficulties. Formerly grammarians accounted for it by the ellipsis in loco; modern comparative philology has called in the aid of the locative singular in i of the Sanscrit language, which is akin to the Latin. (See Bopp, Vergleich. Grammatik, p. 229.) This would account for the ae in the first declension, the ancient form being ai (see § 45.), and for the i in some nouns of the third declension; e. g. Tiburi, Carthagini, ruri. (See § 62. foll.) The use of the accusative to denote "motion to," and of the ablative to denote the place where or whence, is perfectly in accordance with the syntactical system of the Latin language; and this accounts for the fact of later writers, especially Justin, frequently putting names of towns of the second declension in the ablative to denote the place where; e.g. Abydo, Corintho; Liv. v. 52.:

in monte Albano Lavinioque, for et Lavinii.†

[§ 529.] Note 2. With regard to adjectives and nouns of apposition joined with names of towns, the following rules must be observed. When a name of a town is qualified by an adjective, the answer to the question where? is not expressed by the genitive, but by the preposition in with the ablative; e.g. Cic. ad Att. xi. 16.: in ipsa Alexandria; Plin. Hist. Nat. xiv. 3.: in Narbonensis provinciae Alba Helvia; and consequently not Albae Longae, but rather the simple ablative Alba Longa, as in Virgil, Aen. vi. 766. In Cicero, however, we find Teani Apuli (p. Cluent. 9.), in the Apulian Teanum. When a name of a town answers to the question where? in the ablative, the addition of an adjective produces no change; e.g. Cic. ad Att. xvi. 6.: Malo vel cum timore domi esse, quam sine timore Athenis tuis; Liv. i. 18.: Numa Pompilius Curibus Sabinis habitabat; ibid. xxviii. 17.: Carthagine nova reliquit; and hence the reading in the epitome of the same book should be Carthagini nova, and not novae. In answer to the questions whither? and whence?

^{*} According to the remark made above, Aegypti, Chersonesi, Lucaniae, &c., are all datives, answering to the Sanscrit locative, and not genitives. Transl.

[†] According to what was said above, these are not exceptions; Abydo, Corintho, being datives, and not ablatives. Transl.

the accus, and ablat. are used both with and without prepositions; e. g. Ovid, Heroid. ii. 83.: Aliquis doctas jam nunc eat, inquit, Athenas; Cic. in Verr. i. 19.: quae ipsa Samo sublata sunt; but Propert. iii. 20.: magnum iter ad doctas proficisci cogor Athenas; and Martial, xiii. 107.: de vitifera venisse Vienna.

When the words urbs, oppidum, locus, &c. follow the names of towns as appositions, they generally take a preposition; e. g. Demaratus Corinthius se contulit Tarquinios, in urbem Etruriae florentissimam; Cic. in Verr. v. 51.: Cleomenes dicit, sese in terram esse egressum, ut Pachyno, e terrestri praesidio, milites colligeret. In answer to the question where? however, the simple ablative may be used, but never the genitive; e.g. Cic. p. Arch. 3.: Archias Antiochiae natus est, celebri quondam urbe et copiosa; p. Rab. Post. 10.: Deliciarum causa et voluptatis cives Romanos Neapoli, in celeberrimo oppido, cum mitella saepe vidimus. When these words, with their prepositions, precede the names of towns, the latter are invariably put in the same case; e.g. ad urbem Ancyram, ex urbe Roma, ex oppido Thermis, in oppido Athenis; Nep. Cim. 3.: in oppido Citio: Tac. Ann. xi. 21.: in oppido Adrumeto. Exceptions are rare: Vitruv. Praef. lib. x.: nobili Graecorum et ampla civitate Ephesi; and in Cic. ad Att. v. 18.: Cassius in oppido Antiochiae cum omni exercitu est, where Antiochiae depends upon oppido, just as we say "in the town of Antioch."

[\$ 400.] Note 3. The words domus and rus are treated like the names of towns, consequently domum (also domos in the plur.) and rus, home, into the country; domo and rure, from home, from the country; domi, ruri (more frequent than rure), at home, in the country. But although the rule requires, e.g. domo abesse, to be absent from home, Livy uses esse ab domo; and besides domi se tenere, to keep at home, we also find domo se tenere. (See the comment. on Nep. Epam. 10.) Domi also takes the genitives meae, tuae, suae, nostrae, vestrae, and alienae; but if any other adjective is joined with it, a preposition must be used; e.g. in illa domo, in domo publica, in privata domo. When the name of the possessor is added in the genitive, both forms, domi and in domo, are used; e.g. domi or in domo Caesaris or ipsius. In the case of domum and domo, the rule is on the whole the same : we say, e.g., domum meam venit, nihil domum suam intulit, damos suas invitant, domo sua egredi; but in domum meretriciam induci; in domum veterem remigrare e nova; Livy: in domum Maelii tela inferuntur; Cicero: e domo Caesaris multa ad te delata sunt; Cicero, however, very commonly says: domum alicujus venire, convenire, domos omnium concursare.

Humus, bellum, and militia are, to some extent, construed in a similar way, their genitives being used to denote the place where? humi, on the ground (but not humum, (I throw) upon the ground, and rarely humo, from the ground, prepositions being required to express these relations; hence humo is often used as an ablative of place for humi); belli and militiae, always in combination with, or in opposition to, domi: belli domique, or domi bellique, domi militiaeque, at home and in the camp; nec ducem belli, nec principem domi desideramus; nihil domi, nihil militiae gestum. But we also find in bello, in war. Viciniae for in vicinia, occurs in Terence in such connections, as hic, huc viciniae, where, however, the genitive might be regarded as dependent upon the adverb (see § 434.), but Plautus (Bacch. ii. 2. 27.) uses it without the adverb: proximae viciniae habitat. Foras (out through the door) and foris (out at the door) have become adverbs, but the one is properly an

The state of the state of the

accusat,, and the other an ablat.

[§ 401.] The poets may express by the accusative any locality answering to the question whither? as in Virgil: Italiam fato profugus Lavinaque venit litora; Speluncam Dido dux et Trojanus eandem deveniunt; Ovid: Verba refers aures non pervenientia nostras.

[§ 402.] 10. In exclamations the accusative of the person or thing wondered at is used, either with the interjections o, heu, eheu, or without them. The accusative may be explained by supplying some verb of emotion or declaration; e.g. Heu me miserum! O wretched man that I am! heu dementiam existimantium! O the folly of those who believe, &c.! or without heu: me miserum! Beatos quondam duces Romanos! exclaims Corbulo in Tacit. Ann. xi. 20.; Cic. in Verr. v. 25.: Huncine hominem! hancine impudentiam, judices! hanc audaciam! and in an ironical sense, p. Coel. 26.: In balneis delituerunt: testes egregios! de Orat. iii. 2.: O fallacem hominum spem fragilemque fortunam et inanes nostras contentiones!

[§ 403.] Note 1. With these as with all other interjections the vocative also is used, when the person or thing itself is invoked; e. g. Cic. Philip. xiii. 17.: o miser, quum re, tum hoc ipso quod non sentis, quum miser sis! Vae and hei are usually joined with the dative, as vae misero mihi! vae victis! hei mihi,

qualis erat!

Note 2. Ecce and en (Greek $\tilde{\eta}\nu$, $\hat{\eta}\nu\hat{i}$) are preferred with the nominative; as Ecce tuae litterae! Ecce nova turba atque rixa! En ego! En memoria mortui sodalis! en metus vivorum existimationis! Ecce with the accusative occurs only in comedy, in the expression ecce me! and in the contracted forms eccum, eccos, eccillum, eccillam, eccistam.

[§ 404.] 11. The following prepositions govern the accusative: ad, apud, ante, adversus and adversum, cis and citra, circa and circum, circiter, contra, erga, extra, infra, inter, intra, juxta, ob, penes, per, pone, post, praeter, prope, propter, secundum, supra, trans, versus, ultra, and in and sub when joined with verbs of motion. Respecting super and subter see § 320.

CHAP. LXXII.

DATIVE CASE.

[§ 405.] 1. THE dative is the case of reference, or if we compare it with the accusative, the case denoting the remoter object; for as the accusative serves to denote the effect or that which is acted upon, in contrast to the agent or active subject,

so the dative denotes that with reference to which the subject acts, or in reference to which it possesses this or that quality; e. g. scribo vobis hunc librum, I write this book (the agent and effect, or cause and effect) for you (with reference to you, for your advantage); prosum tibi, I am useful to you (in reference to you). Hence the dative is used—

- a) With all transitive verbs, besides the accusative, either expressed or understood, to denote the person in reference to whom or for whom a thing is done; e. g. date panem pauperibus, commendo tibi liberos meos, mitto tibi librum, rex mihi domum aedificavit; in the following sentences the accusative is understood, or its place is supplied by the sentences which follow: suadeo tibi, persuadeo tibi, nuntiavit imperatori, promisit militibus. This rule implies that the person for whose benefit or loss anything is done, is expressed by the dative (dativus commodi et incommodi); e. g. Pisistratus sibi, non patriae, Megarenses vicit, Justin; Non scholae, sed vitae discimus, Senec. Epist. 106.
- [§ 406.] b) With intransitive verbs, which though they usually do not govern any case, may yet express that the action is done with reference to something or somebody. We mention here especially vacare, nuběre, and supplicare. Vaco signifies "I am free," hence vaco alicui rei, I have leisure for a thing or occupy myself with it, as vaco philosophiae. Nubo originally signifies "I cover;" and as according to an ancient custom the bride on her wedding-day covered her face, she was said nubere alicui viro, "to cover herself for a man," that is, "to marry." (In the passive, however, we find nupta cum viro.) Supplico signifies "I am a suppliant" (supplex), hence supplico alicui, I implore a person. Homo non sibi se soli natum meminerit, sed patriae, sed suis, Cic. De Fin. ii. 14.

Civitas Romana inter bellorum strepitum parum olim vacabat liberalibus disciplinis. Sueton. De Grammat.

Plures in Asia mulieres singulis viris solent nubere, Cic.

Neque Caesari solum, sed etiam amicis ejus omnibus pro te, sicut adhuc feci, libentissime supplicabo. Cic. Ad Fam. vi. 14.

[§ 407.] Note 1. Suadeo tibi hanc rem, has nothing that is strange to us, as we use the same construction in English. Persuadeo denotes the completion of suadeo, and must be noticed here because its construction differs from that of our verb "to persuade." We use the passive form "I am persuaded," but in Latin we must say hoc (or any other neuter pronoun) mihi persuadetur, as the construction is managed in such a way as to make the

Upin Calif - DigNused by Wietpsoft 90

clause which follows the subject: persuadetur mihi, persuasum mihi est, mihi persuasum habeo (this occurs only in Caes. Bell. Gall. iii. 2.) esse aliquid, but also de aliqua re. Persuadeo te has been found in a fragment of Cicero, p. Tull. § 39. ed. Peyron, but is otherwise altogether unclassical; it explains however the personal participle persuasus which occurs now and then.

Mihi quidem nunquam persuaderi potuit, animos, dum in corporibus essent

mortalibus, vivere, quum exissent ex his, emori, Cic. Cat. Maj. 22. [§ 408.] Note 2. The free application of the dative, or what is termed the dativus commodi et incommodi, enabled the Romans to speak with great nicety and conciseness. Compare, for example, the following passages, whose number might be greatly increased, Cic. in Verr. ii. 8.: (Verres) hunc hominem Veneri absolvit, sibi condemnat, to the loss of Venus (whose temple was to have received a bequest) he acquits him, but for his own benefit he condemns him; Terent. Adelph. i. 2. 35.: quod peccat, Demea, mihi peccat. In Plautus (Capt. iv. 2. 86.), a person answers to the impertinent remark esurire mihi videris: mihi quidem esurio, non tibi; i. e. it does not concern you. The dative of personal pronouns is very often used where it is superfluous as far as the meaning is concerned, but it always conveys the expression of a lively feeling, and is therefore termed dativus ethicus; e. g. Liv. Praef. Ad illa mihi pro se quisque acriter intendat animum; Horat. Epist. i. 3. 15.: Quid mihi Celsus agit? What is my old friend Celsus doing? In some cases the pronoun gives to the expression an almost personal shade of meaning, Sallust, Cat. 52.: hic mihi quisquam misericordiam nominat! Let no one talk to me of mercy! Cic. Philip. viii. 4.: hic mihi etiam Q. Fufius pacis commoda commemorat! The following phrases also should be observed: quid tibi vis? what do you want? quid sibi iste vult? what does he want? quid vult sibi haec oratio? what does this speech mean? quid haec sibi dona volunt? what is the meaning of these presents? or what is their object?

[§ 409.] 2. The dative is joined with all adjectives (and adverbs) whose meaning is incomplete, unless a person or an object is mentioned for or against whom, for whose benefit or loss the quality exists. Of this kind are those which express utility or injury, pleasantness or unpleasantness, inclination or disinclination, ease or difficulty, suitableness or unsuitableness, similarity or dissimilarity, equality or inequality.

Adjectives expressing a friendly or hostile disposition towards a person, may take the prepositions in, erga, adversus, instead of the dative; and utilis, inutilis, aptus, ineptus generally take the preposition ad to express the thing for which any thing is useful or fit; e. g. homo ad nullam rem utilis; locus aptus ad insidias; but the person to or for whom a thing is useful or fit, is always expressed by the dative.

Canis nonne similis lupo? atque, ut Ennius, "simia quam similis, turpissima bestia, nobis!" Cic. De Nat. Deor. i. 35. Fidelissimi ante omnia homini canis et equus, Plin. Invia virtuti nulla est via. Ovid, Met. xiv. 113.

Cunctis esto benignus, nulli blandus, paucis familiaris, omnibus aequus, Seneca.

[§ 410.] Note 1. Amicus, inimicus, familiaris, are properly adjectives, and as such have their degrees of comparison and are joined with the dative, as in Nepos: Miltiades amicior omnium libertati, quam suae fuit dominationi; and homo mihi amicissimus, mihi familiarissimus, are very common expressions. When used as substantives they are joined with a genitive or an adjective, as amicus patris mei, amicus meus; and it is owing to their character of substantives that even in the superlative we find amicissimus, familiarissimus, inimicissimus (and on the same principle iniquissimus) meus. Cicero, in Verr. i. 26., uses the genitive: amicissimus nostrorum hominum. Invidus, envious, and intimus, intimate, when used as adjectives, take the dative, as in Cicero: intimus erat Clodio, but as substantives they take the genitive or a possessive pronoun; e. g. ab invidis tuis, ex intimis meis, invidus laudis. Hostis, on the other hand, though a real substantive, sometimes takes a dative according to the analogy of inimicus; e. g. dis hominibusque hostis.

[§ 411.] Note 2. The dative is also joined with adjectives and adverbs denoting affinity and propinquity, as conterminus, propinquus, vicinus, finitimus, affinis. As prope, the preposition, governs the accusative, its degrees of comparison (§ 266.) propior and propius, proximus and proxime, take both the dative and accusative, e. g. Curt. ix. 12.: propius tribunal accedere, and in Sallust: Libyes propius mare Africum agitabant, proxime Hispaniam Mauri sunt. (Comp. Gronovius on Livy, xxii. 40.) Affinis, in the sense of "partaking," sometimes takes the genitive, as in Cicero: affinis hujus suspicionis; affinis rei capitalis, together with affinis huic sceleri, ei turpitudini. Vicinus and vicina are both adjectives and substantives, and in the latter sense they

take the genitive.

The following adjectives govern both the dative and the genitive: aequalis, cognominis, contrarius, communis, peculiaris, proprius, superstes. The genitive is very frequent with proprius, e.g. Cic.: Imprimis hominis est propria veri investigatio; Aliae nationes servitutem pati possunt, populi Romani est propria libertas, especially when the neuter proprium is used as a substantive in the sense of "property," or "peculiarity," e. g. Proprium est oratoris ornate dicere. The same is the case with communis, as in Cic. de Fin. v. 23.: Haec justitiae ita propria sunt, ut sint reliquarum virtutum communia. Hence a possessive pronoun is frequently joined to proprius, as ademit nobis omnia, quae nostra erant propria; both constructions are combined in Cic. p. Sulla, 3.: Nulla est enim in re publica causa mea propria: tempus agendi fuit magis mihi proprium, quam ceteris. Aequalis governs the genitive only in the sense of "contemporary," in which it occurs also as a substantive, whence meus aequolis but the dative is not unusual in this sense. Superstes occurs in Plautus and Terence with the dative, but in later writers the genitive is more prevalent. Even Cicero (ad Quint. Frat. i. 3.) says: Utinam te non solum vitae, sed etiam dignitatis superstitem reliquissem, and Tacitus often uses the genitive, e.g. Agr. 3.: pauci, ut ita dixerim, non modo aliorum sed etiam nostri superstites sumus.

The adjectives similis, assimilis, consimilis, dissimilis, par and dispar, take the genitive, when an internal resemblance, or a resemblance in character and disposition, is to be expressed. Thus we always find mei, tui, sui, nostri, vestri similis; Liv. i. 20.: quia in civitate bellicosa plures Romuli, quam Numae similes reges putabat fore; iii. 64.: collaudatis consulibus, quod perseverarent

ad ultimum dissimiles decemvirorum esse; Cic. Cat. Maj. 10.: Dux ille Graeciae nusquam optat, ut Ajacis similes habeat decem, at ut Nestoris. And Cicero may therefore say both mors somni and somno similis. Par and dispar are joined with the genitives of pronouns, like similis, e.g. Cic. in Pis. 4.: Q. Metellum, cujus paucos pares haec civitas tulit; Cat. Maj. 21.: Simplex animi natura est, neque habet in se quicquam admixtum dispar sui atque dissimile.

[§ 412.] 3. Hence the dative is joined with those intransitive verbs which express the same ideas as the adjectives mentioned in § 409., and also with those denoting, to command, serve, trust, mistrust, approach, threaten, and to be angry. They are comprised in the following list: prosum, auxilior, adminiculor, opitulor, patrocinor, subvenio, succurro, medeor; noceo, obsum, desum, officio, incommodo, insulto, insidior; faveo, placeo, gratificor, indulgeo, ignosco, studeo, pareo, adūlor, blandior, lenocinor, palpor, assentior, assentor, respondeo; adversor, refragor, obsto, renitor, repugno, resisto, invideo, aemulor, obtrecto, convicior, maledico; placeo, arrideo - displiceo; impero (may be used also as a transitive), pareo, cedo, ausculto, obedio, obsequor, obtempero, morigeror (morem gero), alicui dicto audiens sum, servio, inservio, ministro, famulor, ancillor, praestolor; credo (is used also in a transitive sense), fido, confido, diffido; immineo, propinquo, appropinquo, impendeo, occurro; minor, comminor (both are used also in a transitive sense), irascor, stomachor, succenseo. these must be added the impersonals convenit, it suits; conducit and expedit, it is conducive, expedient; dolet, it grieves. beginner must take especial care not to use the passive of these verbs personally, to which he might easily be tempted by the English equivalents; e. g. I am envied, I am molested, I am scolded, I am spared, and the like. In Latin the passive is impersonal: mihi invidetur, obtrectatur, incommodatur, mihi maledicitur, parcitur. Jubeo, I command, forms an exception, requiring the accusative with the infinitive.

Probus invidet nemini, Cic. Timaeus, 3.

Efficit hoc philosophia: medetur animis, inanes sollicitudines detrahit, cupiditatibus liberat, pellit timores. Cic. Tusc. ii. 4.

Antiochus se nec impensae, nec labori, nec periculo parsurum pollicebatur, donec liberam vere Graeciam atque in ea principes Aetolos fecisset, Liv. xxxv. 44.

Demosthenes ejus ipsius artis, cui studebat, primam litteram non peterat dicere, Cic. De Orat. i. 61.

[§ 413.] Note 1. Medicor, like medeor, takes the dative, but also the accusative. Medico, in the sense of, "to mix substances in an artificial manner," governs the accusative. Benedico, like maledico (I speak well or ill of a person, and hence, I praise or blame), governs the dative; but benedico, in this sense, is very rare: in the sense of "blessing," with the accusative, it occurs only in the ecclesiastical writers. Obtrectare alicui and alicui rei, to detract, is sometimes joined with the accusative; but not in Cicero, as obtrectare numen deorum, libellum. Invideo is commonly used intransitively with one dative, either of the person or the thing; but sometimes the accusative of the thing is added to the dative of the person; e.g. Cic. Tusc. iii. 2.: invident nobis optimam magistram (naturam); Horat. Serm. i. 6. 50.: honorem mihi invidet. Quintilian (ix. 3.), however, observes that his contemporaries used the ablat. instead of the accusat. of the earlier writers, but only when invidere is equivalent to privare: this construction first occurs in Livy, ii. 40.: non inviderunt laude sua mulieribus Romani (according to the best MSS.); very frequently in the younger Pliny, and sometimes in Tacitus; e. g. Plin. Epist. ii. 10.: Quousque et tibi et nobis invidebis, tibi maxima laude, nobis voluptate? (See Corte on Epist. i. 10.) Tac. Ann. i. 22.: ne hostes quidem sepulturā invident, scil. occisis; German. 33.: ne spectaculo quidem proelii invidere, scil. nobis. The genitive instead of this ablative or ancient accusative, in Horace, Serm. ii. 6. 84., neque ille sepositi ciceris nec longae invidit avenae, is a mere Grecism; and the personal passive in the same poet (Ars Poet. 56.), cur ego invideor, is a grammatical innovation, which the poet tried intentionally, and as an ex-Respecting adulor and aemulor with the dative and accusative see § 389. Praestolor, I wait upon a person, and ausculto, I listen or obey, are used by equally good authorities both with a dative and accusative. though Cicero prefers the dative. Dominor, I rule, is joined with a dative or genitive only in the latest Latin writers; in the classical language it does not govern any case, but according to its proper meaning, "I am master," is joined with in aliquem or in aliqua re; e.g. dominatur in cetera animalia, or in civitate. Fido and confido take the dative; e.g. confido mihi, causae meae, virtuti constantiaeque militum; the thing which produces the confidence is put in the ablative (ablativus causae, see § 452.); e. g. confido arte, natura loci, celeritate navium, propinquitate castrorum, and this ablative occurs, on the whole, more frequently than the dative. The adjective fretus, which has the same meaning, occurs with the dative only in Livy, iv. 37 .: fortunae fretus; vi. 13.: nulli rei; vi. 31.: discordiae hostium, and usually has the ablative. Cedo, I yield, give up, when used transitively, takes a dative of the person and an accusative of the thing: cedo tibi locum, regnum, mulierem; sometimes, however, the thing is expressed by the ablative, as cedo tibi hortorum possessione. So also concedo: concedo tibi locum, praemia, libertatem, or concedo tibi loco, de victoria. Convenit aliquid mihi, something suits me; convenit mihi tecum is used impersonally in the sense of "we agree," and equivalent to convenimus de aliqua re. The verbs denoting similarity or dissimilarity should be construed with the dative, like the adjectives similis and dissimilis, but in prose they are commonly joined with the prepositions cum and ab; e. g. congruo, consentio, abhorreo, dissideo. Comp. § 468. foll.

[§ 414.] Note 2. Several verbs have a different meaning according as they take the accus. or dat.

Metuo and timeo te, I fear thee; — tibi, I am alarmed on thy account, which is also expressed by tuā causā.

Consulo te, I consult thee; — tibi, I provide for thy interests.

Prospicio and provideo te, I see thee at a distance; — tibi, I provide for thy interests.

Caveo, without any case, "I am on my guard;"—a te, against thee, and in a legal sense, "I make thee give security to me for something," de aliqua re. Caveo te, I avoid thee: caveo tibi, I provide or am concerned for thy safety, and hence in a legal sense "I give thee security."

Tempero and moderor aliquid, I regulate or arrange a thing; — mihi, animo, irae, lacrimis (scil. meis), I set bounds to, or check. Tempero mihi ab aliqua re, I abstain from a thing, and tempero (scil. mihi) tibi, I am sparing in regard to thee, or I spare thee, equivalent to parco tibi.

[§ 415.] 4. Verbs compounded with the prepositions ad, ante, con, in, inter, ob, post, prae, sub, and super, retaining, as compounds, the meaning of the prepositions, may be joined with a dative instead of repeating the preposition or an equivalent one with the case it requires. They are either transitives, and as such have an accusative besides, or intransitives without an accusative of the object.

The following are the most important transitive verbs of this kind: addo, affero, affīgo, adhibeo, adjicio, adjungo, admoveo, allīgo, applico; circumjicio; comparo, compono, confero, conjungo; immisceo, impono, imprimo, incīdo, includo, infero, ingĕro, injicio, insĕro, inuro; interjicio, interpono; objicio, offundo, oppono; posthabeo, postpono; praefero, praeficio, praepono; subjicio, suppono, substerno.

The following are intransitive: accedo, acquiesco, adhaereo, alludo, annuo, arrēpo, assideo, aspīro; antecello; cohaereo, collūdo, congruo, consentio, consŏno; excello; incido, incubo and incumbo, indormio, inhaereo, inhio, immorior, immŏror, innascor, insisto; interjaceo, intervenio; obrēpo, obstrepo, obversor; praemineo, praesideo, praevaleo; succumbo, supersto, supervivo, and the compounds of esse: adsum, insum, intersum, praesum, subsum, supersum.

Note. We must pay particular attention to the difference between the dative joined with these verbs, and the dative governed by those mentioned in § 412. With the latter it is necessary and dependent upon the signification of the verbs; but with those just enumerated, it is to be regarded as a short mode of speaking, in which the dat. supplies the place of a preposition with its case; e. g. leges axibus ligneis incisae, and leges in aes incisae, or Senatusconsultum in aere incisum. The beginner must further observe, that we are speaking of those compounded verbs only, in which the prepositions retain their meaning of place, for in some compounded with ad

and cum, this is not the case; e.g. confugere, to take refuge, cannot take either the preposition cum or a dative, the meaning of the preposition con being lost in this compound. This is still more apparent in confringere, corrumpere, where con (cum) only strengthens the sense of the simple verb. Affirmare and approbare may indeed be joined with a dative, but only because they are transitive verbs, and not on account of the preposition they contain. We have not been able above to mention all those compound verbs, in which the preposition retains its meaning, and which, instead of repeating the preposition, take the dative, for their number, especially that of transitives, is unlimited; we have given those only with which, comparatively speaking, the dative occurs most frequently. There are some with which the dative is used exclusively, and the repetition of the preposition would be offensive, the reason being the signification of the verbs themselves: in § 412., being joined exclusively with the dative. But there can be no fear of mistakes in these words.

[§ 416.] It must be remarked in general that in the early and unpolished prose, the preposition or one equivalent to it, is usually repeated; more especially in verbs compounded with ad, con, and in: e. g. adhibeo, confero, conjungo, communico, compăro, imprimo, inscribo, insum, and also interest in the sense of "there is a difference;" e. g. Cicero: studium adhibere ad disciplinas; conferte (comparate, contendite) hanc pacem cum illo bello; hospitio et amicitia mecum conjunxi, or, cum aliquo conjunctus sum; consilia sua mecum communicavit; in omnium animis dei notionem impressit ipsa natura; in hac vita nihil inest nisi miseria. The dative, however, is not to be rejected, being used sometimes by Cicero, and more frequently by later writers. Illacrimare, to weep over, e. g. morti Socratis, is generally used with the dative only; the preposition at least is never repeated.

The following verbs require some further explanation. Incumbo, I lean or press upon, and figuratively, "I apply to or study a thing;" in the former sense alone it is joined with the dative, though sometimes also with the preposition super; in its figurative sense, it is construed in prose with ad, and still more frequently with in with the accusative. The verbs assuescere, consuescere, and insuescere, to accustom a person or one's self (se however is omitted) to a thing, are sometimes construed with the dative and sometimes with the ablative; acquiescere, to acquiesce, likewise takes either the dative or ablative; e.g. Cic. pro Mil. 37: Qui maxime P. Clodii morte acquierunt, but

more frequently in with the ablative, in the sense of "to find peace or satisfaction;" e. g. in tuis litteris, in juvenum caritate. Supersedere likewise takes the ablative, and indeed more frequently than the dative, probably because its sense is equivalent to abstinere; e. g. supersedere labore itineris.

It is not difficult to determine which prepositions may be used for others, in case of repetition being necessary, for it always depends upon the sense: in is used for ad: e. g. accedere in oppidum, aspirare in curiam; ab for ex; e. g. eripere ex miseriis and a miseria; ad for in; e. g. incumbere ad studia; in, ad, ante, and contra for ob; e. g. aliquid obrepit in animum, obrepere ad honores, obversari ante oculos, vallum objicere contra impetum hostium; ad and ante for pro; e. g. procumbere ante pedes, ad genua.

[§ 417.] The compounds of verbs of motion are construed with both cases, either the dative or the accusative, and some compounds of jacere, stare and sedere, follow their analogy. (See § 386.) Hence the verbs of excelling, if their simple verbs denote motion, are construed chiefly with the accusative, and antecello, praecello and praemineo, which at least admit the accusative, follow their example. (See § 386.) The following must be noticed separately on account of their twofold construction:allatro, I bark at, address in a coarse manner; attendo, I attend to (the same as animum attendo ad aliquid or ad aliquem); obumbro, I overshadow, - all these occur most frequently with the accusative, whence they have a personal passive; but illudo, I ridicule, is found with the dative as often as with the accusative; e.g. illudo memoriae, existimationi alicujus, signis et aquilis Romanis, and praecepta rhetorum, corpus Vari. Despero, I despair of a thing, is used as an intransitive verb with de or with the dative; e. g. desperat de re publica, sibi, fortunis suis; as a transitive verb (I give up) it takes the accusative; e. g. despero rem publicam, pacem.

Praeverto, in the transitive sense of "I prefer," takes an accusative of the object and a dative, instead of which however the preposition prae may be repeated; e. g. uxorem praeverto prae republica or reipublicae; in the intransitive sense of "I go before," "precede," or "anticipate," it may take either the accusative or dative, praeverto te, fata, pietas praevertit amori; in

a reflective sense, praeverto, scil. me, or praevertor, it takes either the preposition ad or the dative, praeverto ad interna, praeverto rei mandatae. The deponent again takes the meaning of "I prefer," aliquam rem alicui rei, Liv. viii. 13.: consules coacti omnibus eam rem praeverti.

[§ 418.] 5. The verbs aspergo and inspergo, circumdo and circumfundo, dono and impertio, exuo and induo are used, like the above-mentioned transitives, with an accusative of the thing and a dative of the person, or with an accusative of the person and an ablative of the thing; e.g. circumdo alicui custodias, or circumdo aliquem custodiis, and consequently in the passive voice custodiae tibi circumdantur or (tu) circumdaris custodiis. So also: maculas aspergo vitae tuae, or maculis vitam tuam aspergo; dono tibi pecuniam, or pecuniā te dono; impertio tibi laudes, or laudibus te impertio, &c. We find exuo tibi clipeum, induit sibi torquem, or still more frequently exuo and induo vestem, the dative expressing my own person being omitted. Exuo te aliqua re occurs only in the figurative sense of "I rob thee of a thing." Induo, I betake myself into some place, is commonly joined with the preposition in or with a dative. Intercludo, I cut off, alicui aliquid, e.g. hostibus fugam, or as a verb implying distance, aliquem aliqua re and ab aliqua re, e. g. milites itinere, or ab exercitu. Interdico tibi aliquid, I forbid thee something; the construction interdico te aliqua re does not occur, but a mixture of both interdico tibi aliqua re (e. g. in the Roman form of outlawry aqua et igni), I forbid thee the use of a thing. The double construction of mactare does not belong to this place, as it arises from two different meanings of the word: the original one "to honour," requires the accusative and ablative; e.g. Cic. in Vatin. 6.: puerorum extis deos manes mactare soles; the derivative meaning "to slaughter" is the ordinary one, victimas diis mactare.

[§ 419.] 6. With passive verbs the dative is sometimes used alone, instead of ab with the ablative.

Quidquid in hac causa mihi susceptum est, Quirites, id omne me rei publicae causa suscepisse confirmo, Cic. p. Leg. Man. 24. Barbarus hic ego sum, quia non intelligor ulli, Ovid, Trist.

Note. It is a rule of the Latin language to join the dative instead of ab with the ablat. to the participle future passive; e. g. moriendum mihi est. See § 649. If this were not the case, we should consider the dative with passive verbs as a Grecism, for it rarely occurs in the earlier Latin prose

Unite Cali: - Diguisad by Wrondsoft:

(especially in Cicero and Caesar), and with the exception of a few instances, is confined to the participle perfect passive and the tenses formed from it. In poetry and the later prose writers instances like the above quotation from Ovid are extremely numerous, as poets, in general, were fond of introducing Greek constructions. The following passages are the only ones in which Cicero adopted the practice: de Invent. i. 46: illa nobis alio tempore explicabuntur; in Verr. iii. 16: tibi consulatus quaerebatur; de Nat. Deor. ii. 48: sic dissimillimis bestiolis communiter cibus quaeritur; de Off. iii. 9: honesta bonis viris, non occulta quaeruntur; Cat. Maj. 11: semper in studiis laboribusque viventi non intelligitur, quando obrepat senectus; ad Att. i. 16: in ea praesertim epistola, quam nolo aliis legi, probably for ab aliis. I doubt whether there are any other passages in Cicero, for the phrase mihi probatur is of a different kind, since probo tibi is of quite common occurrence in the sense of "I make a thing plausible to thee."

[§ 420.] 7. Esse with the dative of a person expresses the English "to have," e. g. sunt mihi multi libri, I have many books, the same as habeo multos libros.

Homini cum deo similitudo est, Cic. de Leg. i. 8.

An nescis, longas regibus esse manus? Ovid, Heroid. 17.

Note. We must here notice a Grecism which occurs in Sallust and Tacitus: aliquid mihi volenti est, I like a thing. Sallust, Jug. 84.: quia neque plebi militia volenti (esse) putabatur; Tacit. Agr. 18.: quibus bellum volentibus erat; Ann. i. 59.: ut quibusque bellum invitis aut cupientibus erat, as in Greek τοῦτό μοι βουλομένψ ἐστίν. Comp. Tac. Hist. iii. 43.; Ann. xv. 36. Abest and deest mihi, as opposed to est mihi, therefore means "I have not," as in Cic. Brut. 80.: Hoc unum illi, si nihil utilitatis habebat, abfuit, si opus erat, defuit; de Leg. i. 2.: abest enim historia litteris nostris.

[§ 421.] Hence mihi est nomen or cognomen (also cognomentum, and in Tacitus vocabulum) signifies "I have a name," that is, "my name is" or "I am called." The name itself is put either in the nominative or the dative, being attracted by the dative of the person.

Syracusis est fons aquae dulcis, cui nomen Arethusa est, Cic. in Verr. iv. 53.

Consules leges decemvirales, quibus tabulis duodecim est nomen, in aes incisas, in publico proposuerunt, Liv. iii. 57.

Note. The same is the case with the (passive) expressions datum, inditum, factum est nomen; e. g. Tarquinius, cui cognomen Superbo ex moribus datum. The name itself is commonly put in the dative also with the active verbs dare, addere, indere, dicere, ponere, imponere, tribuere alicui nomen; e. g. dare alicui cognomen tardo ac pingui; desipiunt omnes aeque ac tu, qui tibi nomen insano posuere, Horat.; but it may also be put in the same case as nomen, that is, in the accus., as in Livy: stirps virilis, cui Ascanium parentes dixere nomen, and in the edict of the censors in Suetonius, de Clar. Rhet. 1.: eos sibi nomen imposuisse Latinos rhetores. The nominative in Ovid, Met. i.

Thin Calif - Digitaled by Microsoft W

169.: (via) lactea nomen habet, and xv. 96.: (aetas) cui fecimus aurea nomen, is a purely poetical licence, where the names are taken, ungrammatically, as mere sounds.

The name may be expressed also by the genitive, according to the general rule that of two substantives joined to each other, one is put in the genitive; e. g. Plaut. Amphitr. Prol. 19.: nomen Mercurii est mihi; in prose, Vell. Pat. i. 11.: Q. Metellus praetor, cui ex virtute Macedonici nomen inditum erat; and ii. 11.: Q. Metello meritum virtute cognomen Numidici inditum est. But this is not the ordinary practice in the case of real proper names, and the dative must be regarded as the proper Latin case. See Ruhnken on Vell. Pat. ii. 11.

[§ 422.] 8. With the verbs esse, dare, mittere and venire, and others of the same meaning, besides the dative of the person, another is used to express the purpose, intention, and destination.

Dare belongs to this class both in its sense of "to give," and in that of "to put to one's account." The following verbs have a similar meaning: apponere, ducere, habere, tribuere and vertere. Esse, in this respect, is equivalent to the English "to do," in "it does him honour," and the passives fieri, dari, duci, haberi, tribui, verti, have a similar meaning. Proficisci is sometimes construed like venire.

Virtutes hominibus decori gloriaeque sunt, Seneca.

Attalus, Asiae rex, regnum suum Romanis dono dedit.

Mille Plataeenses Atheniensibus adversus Persas auxilio venerunt.

Quid in Graeco sermone tam tritum atque celebratum est, quam si quis despicatui ducitur, ut Mysorum ultimus esse dicatur? Cic. p. Flacc. 27.

Note. There is a great variety of datives of this kind; e. g. dono aliquid muneri, praemio; relinquo milites auxilio, subsidio, praesidio, custodiae; tribuitur or datur mihi vitio, crimini, odio, probro, opprobrio, laudi, saluti, utilitati, emolumento, &c. The phrase cui bono fuit? signifies "to whom was it an advantage? We must especially notice such datives as esui, usui, quaestui, derisui, cordi, curae aliquid est, and also canere receptui, to sound a retreat; doti dico, I set aside as a dowry; appono pignori, I pawn. Instead of hoc argumento est, we may also say hoc argumentum, documentum, indicium est; and with dare and similar verbs we may also use the accusative in apposition; e. g. Liv. ii. 22.: Latini coronam auream Jovi donum in Capitolium mittunt. Sometimes also the prepositions in or ad may be used; e. g. reliquit ibi exercitum ad praesidium, gloriam mihi in crimen vertis.

CHAP. LXXIII.

GENITIVE CASE.

[§ 423.] 1. When two substantives are united with each other so as to form the expression of one idea, one of them is in the genitive; but if one of the substantives serves to explain or define the other, they are said to be in apposition to each other, and both are in the same case. This genitive, dependent upon a substantive, is in Latin of a double kind, according as it expresses either the subject or the object. The genitive is subjective, when it denotes that which does something or to which a thing belongs; e.g. hominum facta, liber pueri: it is objective when it denotes that which is affected by the action or feeling spoken of.

This objective genitive is used very extensively in Latin, for it is not only joined with those substantives which are derived from verbs governing the accusative—e. g. expugnatio urbis, the taking of the town; indagatio veri, the investigation of truth; scientia linguae, the knowledge of a language; amor patriae, the love of one's country; cupiditas pecuniae, desire for money; cura rerum alienarum, care of other men's affairs; odium hominum, hatred against men—but with those also, the corresponding verb of which requires either a different case, or a preposition; e. g. taedium laboris, disgust for work; fiducia virium suarum, confidence in his own strength; contentio honorum, a contest for honours; incitamentum periculorum, cognitio orbis terrarum omniumque gentium, &c.

Nuper Gn. Domitium scimus M. Silano, consulari homini, diem dixisse propter unius hominis, Aegritomari, paterni amici atque hospitis, injurias, Cic. Divin. 20.

Est autem amicitia nihil aliud, nisi omnium divinarum humanarumque rerum cum benivolentia et caritate summa consensio, Cic. Lael. 6.

Initium et causa belli (civilis) inexplebilis honorum Marii fames, Flor. iii. 21.

Note 1. Something analogous to the Latin subjective and objective genitive occurs in English in such expressions as "God's love," that is, the love which God shows to men, and the "love of God," that is, the love which men bear to God. The Latin language having no such means of distinguishing, is frequently ambiguous; e. g. fuga hominum may be either "the escape from men," or "the flight" or "escape of men," and in all such combinations as metus hostium, injuria mulierum, judicium Verris, triumphus Bojorum, opinio deorum, the genitive may be either subjective (active) or objective (passive), but the context generally shows what is meant, as in sine metu hostium esse, magnus incesserat timor sagittarum, ex injuria mulierum Sabinarum bellum ortum est; Empedocles in deorum opinione turpissime labitur, Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 12. But in case of any real ambiguity, a preposition may be used in Latin instead of the genitive; e. g. ex injuria in or adversus mulieres, in opinione de diis. This is the case especially with substantives denoting a disposition, either friendly or hostile towards any thing; e.g. amor (animus) meus erga te, odium (ira) adversus Carthaginienses, bellum in Romanos, conspiratio contra dignitatem tuam; triumphus de Gallis, judicium de te meum, liber de philosophia, in libro quinto de natura deorum. In general, however, a preposition is much more rarely used in joining two substantives, and it is a part of the conciseness of the Latin language to express the relation of the genitive, if possible, by the genitive itself. This however is impossible, for instance, when a place whence? or whither? is mentioned; e.g. transmissus (the passage) ex Gallia in Britanniam, reditus in coelum, iter ex Italia in Macedoniam. Sometimes the two kinds of construction are combined : Cic. de Off. i. 28.: Adhibenda est igitur quaedam reverentia adversus homines et optimi cujusque et reliquorum. (See our note on this passage.) Sometimes even a subjective and an objective genitive are found by the side of each other, as in Cic. de Off. i. 14.: L. Sullae et G. Caesaris pecuniarum translatio a justis dominis ad alienos non debet liberalis videri; ad Fam. x. 3: orbitas reipublicae talium virorum; in Verr. v. 50.: nihil est quod multorum naufragia fortunae colligas; Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 30.: pro veteribus Helvetiorum injuriis populi Romani; i. e. which the Helvetians had done to the Roman people. Comp. Synt. ornat. § 791.

[§ 424.] Note 2. As a personal pronoun supplies the place of a substantive, its genitive generally with an objective meaning may be joined with a substantive; e.g. vestri causam gero, I take care of you; misericordiam nostri habe, have pity upon us, - especially with verbal substantives ending in or, ix, and io; e.g. Cicero: misit filium non solum sui deprecatorem, sed etiam accusatorem mei; nimia aestimatio sui; valet ad commendationem tui; milites ad deditionem sui incitare; rationem et sui et aliorum habere. The place of the subjective genitive of personal pronouns is supplied by the possessive pronouns, whence we do not say liber mei, but liber meus. Sometimes, however, the genitive of personal pronouns has a subjective meaning, as in Curtius, iv. 45.: ad Cyrum nobilissimum regem originem sui referens, and vi. 32.: conspectus vestri venerabilis (see the comment. on Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 4.); and sometimes, on the other hand, a possessive pronoun not unfrequently takes the place of an objective genitive, and that not only when joined with verbal substantives in or and ix, e.g. ipse suus fuit accusator, terra altrix nostra, but in other cases also, as invidia tua, envy of thee; fiducia tua, confidence in thee; familiaritas tua; friendship for thee; spes mea, the hope placed in me (Tac. Ann. ii. 71.); amori nostro plusculum largiare, from love towards us; noluit rationem habere suam, that notice was taken of him; non sua solum ratio habenda est, sed etiam aliorum, Cic. de Off. i. 39. This is especially frequent in connection with the substantive injuriae, e. g. injurias meas, tuas, persequor, ulciscor, that is, the wrong done to me, thee. The peculiar expressions meā, tuā, suā, nostrā, vestrā, causā, for my, thy, his, &c., sake, must be especially noticed, for the genitives mei, tui, sui, nostri, vestri, are never used in this connection with causā. Sometimes the genitive of the person implied in such an adjective pronoun is added, as in: tuum hominis simplicis pectus vidimus; juravi rempublicam mea unius opera esse salvam; tot homines meā solius solliciti sunt causā; ad tuam ipsius amicitiam aditum habuit; vestra ipsorum causā hoc feci. The genitive of a participle in this connection occurs only in poetry, as in Horat. Serm. i. 4.23.: quum mea nemo scripta legat, vulgo recitare timentis.

See Heindorf's note on this passage.

[§ 425.] Note 3. The immediate connection between two substantives, which is expressed by the genitive of the substantive dependent upon the other, is entirely different from the juxtaposition of two substantives in apposition to each other. But there are cases where the construction of the genitive is preferred, although the substantives are in reality in apposition. This is the case especially with vox, nomen, verbum, and similar words to which the name itself is joined in the genitive; e.g. Cic. de Fin. ii. 2.: Epicurus non intelligit, quid sonet haec vox voluptatis, that is, this word pleasure; ii. 24: ex amore nomen amicitiae ductum est, i. e. the word amicitia; Sueton. Aug. 53.: domini appellationem semper exhorruit. This is regularly done, when the genus is defined by the species, as in arbor fici, a fig tree; flos violae, a violet; virtus continentiae, the virtue of abstinence; vitium ignorantiae, the defect called ignorance; familia Scipionum, the family of the Scipios - and also in geographical names, as oppidum Antiochiae, promontorium Miseni, in which case however it is more usual to put the name in apposition in the same case as the generic There are some other cases in which one substantive intended as an explanation of another is put in the genitive, instead of the case of the word to be explained (genitivus epexegeticus); e. g. Curt. viii. 35.: Nocturnum frigus vehementius quam alias horrore corpora affecit, opportunumque remedium ignis oblatum est, i. e. a convenient remedy, viz. fire. Cicero frequently uses genus and causa in the same way; e.g. in Cat. ii. 8.: unum genus est eorum qui —; de Leg. Agr. ii. 14.: Duae sunt hujus obscuritatis causae, una pudoris, altera sceleris, the one is shame and the other malice; Philip. i. 11.: nec erit justion in senatum non veniendi causa morbi, quam mortis; in Verr. iv. 51.: omnia propter eam causam sceleris istius evenire videntur, for this reason, viz. his crime. Comp. de Off. ii. 5.: collectis causis eluvionis, pestilentiae, &c., the other causes, inundation, plague, &c. The genitive of gerunds is used in the same way as that of substantives; e.g. Cic. Tusc. i. 36.: Triste est nomen ipsum carendi, the very word to want is sad; Senec. ad Polyb. 29.: Est magna felicitas in ipsa felicitate moriendi. In such cases the construction of apposition is very unusual in Latin; see however § 598.

Q. Metellus Macedonicus, quum sex liberos relinqueret, undecim nepotes reliquit, nurus vero generosque et omnes, qui se patris appellatione salutarent, viginti

septem, Plin. Hist. Nat. vii. 11.

[§ 426.] 2. The genitive in the immediate connection of two substantives also expresses the external condition or the internal nature of a thing; and if any of the tenses of esse, fieri, haberi, appears in such a combination, the genitive is not dependent

upon these verbs, but must rather be explained by the omission of a substantive as homo and res. This at the same time constitutes the difference between the genitive of quality (genitivus qualitatis) and the ablative of quality with the verb esse. But as there is a special part of speech to express qualities, viz. the adjective, the quality can be expressed by a substantive only when this substantive itself is qualified by an adjective. We cannot say, for axample, homo ingenii, a man of talent (which is expressed by homo ingeniosus), but we may say homo magni, summi, excellentis ingenii. Again, we cannot say homo annorum, but we may say homo viginti or quadraginta annorum. We must notice also the genitive modi which joined with a pronoun supplies the place of a pronoun of quality; e. g. cujusmodi libri, the same as quales libri, what kind of books; hujusmodi libri, that is, tales libri, such books. The genitive generis, which is used in the same sense, is less frequent.

Athenienses belli duos duces deligunt, Periclem, spectatae virtutis virum, et Sophoclem, scriptorem tragoediarum, Justin, iii. 6.

Titus facilitatis tantae fuit et liberalitatis, ut nemini quidquam negaret, Eutrop. vii. 21.

Hamilcar secum in Hispaniam duxit filium Hannibalem annorum novem, Nep. Ham. 3.

Spes unica populi Romani, L. Quinctius, trans Tiberim quattuor jugerum colebat agrum, Liv. iii. 26.

[§ 427.] Note. The genitive thus serves to express all the attributes of a person or thing, relating to its extent, number, weight, duration, age, and the like, provided such attributes are expressed by the immediate connection of substantives. Thus we say colossus centum viginti pedum, a colossus of 120 feet in height; fossa quindecim pedum, a ditch of 15 feet (in length or breadth); corona parvi ponderis, a crown of little weight; Aristides exilio decem annorum multatus est; frumentum dierum triginta in urbe erat; classis centum navium; or with esse, which however has no influence upon the construction, although we sometimes translate it by "consist of," e.g. classis Persarum mille et ducentarum navium longarum fuit, consisted of 1200 ships of war. With the genitive of extent or measure, we may connect the ablatives which we express in English by "with regard to," as longitudine, latitudine, crassitudine, altitudine, or in longitudinem, &c.; e.g. duo actus jugerum efficiunt longitudine pedum CCXL, latitudine pedum CXX; Inter Mosam Rhenumque trium ac viginti milium spatio fossam perduxit, Tac. Ann. xi. 20.; but the genitive does not depend upon these words.

The fact of this genitive of condition or quality being limited to the immediate connection of two substantives, must be strongly impressed upon the mind of the beginner, in order that he may distinguish from it the accusative denoting extent of space and time, which is joined to verbs and adjectives, and the ablative of quality, which is governed by esse, or praeditus, instructus,

ornatus. For, without the influence of any other part of speech, we say: fossa quindecim pedum; but when the adjective longus or latus is added, we must say fossa quindecim pedes lata; in like manner puer decem annorum, but puer decem annos natus. (§ 395. foll.) When the ablative of quality is closely joined with another substantive, praeditus or the participle of esse being understood, as in eximia forma pueri, this expression is quite the same as pueri eximiae formae in meaning, but by no means in reference to the grammatical construction of the words.

[§ 428.] Lastly, we must notice some peculiar expressions, in which the accusative is used adverbially instead of the genitive of quality: Secus (see above §§ 84. and 89.) joined to virile or muliebre signifies " of the male" or "female sex," and is equivalent to sexūs virilis; e.g. Liv. xxvi. 47.: liberorum capitum virile secus ad X milia capta. Genus, joined with a pronoun, as hoc, id, illud, quod, or with omne, is used for hujus, ejus, omnis generis; e.g. Cic. ad Att. xiii. 12.: orationes aut aliquid id genus scribere; Horat. Serm. ii. 6. 44.: concredere nugas hoc genus; it is more curious in connection with other cases, as Varro, de L. L. x. in fin.: in verbis id genus, quae non declinantur; de R. R. iii. 5.: porticus avibus omne genus appletae; Sueton. Tit. 7 .: uno die quinque milia omne genus ferarum dedit, for ferarum omnis generis. Pondo (see § 87.), joined quite as an indeclinable word to the accusatives libram and libras, instead of the genitive, occurs frequently in Livy; e.g. iv. 20.: Dictator coronam auream libram pondo in Capitolio Jovi donum posuit: and in the plural, xxvi. 47.: Paterae aureae fuerunt CCLXXVI. libras ferme omnes pondo.

[§ 429.] 3. The genitive is used to express the whole, of which anything is a part, or to which it belongs as a part. This is the case: a) with substantives denoting a certain measure of things of the same kind; e.g. modius, medimnum tritici, libra farris, magna vis auri, ugerum agri, ala equitum. This genitive may be termed genitivus generis. b) With all words which denote a part of a whole (genitivus partitivus) where we often use the preposition, "of or "among." All comparatives and superlatives belong to this class; e.g. doctior horum (duorum) juvenum; doctissimus omnium; eloquentissimus Romanorum, ferocissimi exulum, and also all words implying a number, whether they are real numerals, or pronouns and adjectives, as quis, aliquis, quidam, uter, alter, neuter, alteruter, uterque, utervis, aliquot, solus, nullus, nonnulli, multi, pauci; or substantives, as nemo, pars, numerus. The genitive belonging to the superlative of adjectives is retained also with superlatives as adverbs. Thus we say optimus omnium est, and also optime omnium vixit.

Graecorum oratorum praestantissimi sunt ii, qui fuerunt Athenis, eorum autem princeps facile Demosthenes, Cic. de Opt. Gen. Orat. 4.

Populus Romanus legem dedit, ut consulum utique alter ex plebe crearetur, Liv. vi. 35.

July Calif - Digitized by Microsoft ®

Duo sunt aditus in Ciliciam ex Syria, quorum uterque parvis praesidiis propter angustias intercludi potest, Cic. ad Fam. xv. 4.

[§ 430.] Note 1. The poets use the genitive also with other adjectives (in the positive), but this seldom occurs in prose. Livy frequently has the expressions delecti equitum, expediti militum; in Sallust (Cat. 53.) we find effoeta parentum, and in Vell. Pat. ii. 8 .: veteres Romanorum ducum. (See the remarks of Corte and Ruhnken on these passages.) The genitive, however, always denotes the whole, from which a part is taken. When, therefore, the above-mentioned adjectives are used in the same number and case as the substantive denoting the whole, the case is different, although the difference in meaning is sometimes very slight; e.g. multi, aliquot, pauci militum and milites; Varro doctissimus fuit Romanorum and doctissimus Romanus; alter consulum and alter consul. Uterque, however, cannot, like the English "both," be joined to a pronoun in the same case, except when a substantive is added; thus, "both these" or "these two" cannot be translated into Latin by hic (or ille, qui) uterque, but we must say horum, illorum, quorum uterque, whereas uterque frater and quod utrumque exemplum are quite common expressions.

The genitive, however, cannot be used, when the numeral contains the same number of things as that of which the whole consists, that is, when there is no relation of a part to a whole. We make this remark only because we use the preposition "of" (the equivalent to the genitive), when we are not speaking of a greater whole, but of an equal one. We say, for example: "the people who served under Frederic the Great, and of whom few are surviving," but in Latin we cannot say quorum admodum pauci supersunt, but qui pauci supersunt, for these few are all. Cic. Philip. ii. 6.: Veniamus ad vivos, qui duo de consularium numero supersunt; Liv. i. 55.: Tarquinius sacella exaugurare statuit, quae aliquot ibi a Tatio rege consecrata fuerant; Quintil. v. 10. 63.: (Quaeritur) quot sint species rerum publicarum: quas tres accepimus, quae populi, quae paucorum, quae unius potestate regerentur.

Instead of the genitive we may also use the prepositions ex and inter, and sometimes de, but never ab. (Compare the passages quoted in Chap. LXV.)

[§ 431.] Note 2. The words uter, alter, neuter, differ from quis, alius, nullus, by their referring to a whole consisting of only two. (See § 141.) The difference between nostri, vestri, and nostrum, vestrum is this: the forms ending in um are used as partitive genitives; e.g. uterque nostrum, nostrum cujusque vita; nemo vestrum ignorat; imperium summum Romae habebit; qui vestrum primus osculum matri tulerit; but nostri melior pars animus est, miserere nostri, immemor nostri, amor nostri, odium vestri, vestri similes. Vestrum, however, occurs also without any partitive meaning; e.g. frequentia vestrum incredibilis, Cic. in Rull. ii. 21., and Philip. iv. 1.; comp. p. Planc. 6.; quis erit tam cupidus vestrum, Cic. in Verr. iii. 96.; vestrum quoque non sum securus, Liv. xxxix. 16. The forms nostrum, vestrum, moreover, are always used when joined with omnium, even when the genitive is a subjective one; e.g. Cic. de Orat. iii. 55.: Voluntati vestrum omnium parui; in Cat. i. 7.: patria quae communis est omnium nostrum paréns.

[§ 432.] 4. The neuters of pronouns and of some adjectives used as pronouns, are joined with a genitive for two reasons:

first, because in meaning they have become substantives, and secondly, because they express a part of a whole. Such neuters are:—hoc, id, illud, istud, idem, quid and quod with their compounds (aliquid, quidquid, quippiam, quidquam, quodcunque), aliud; tantum, quantum, aliquantum, multum, plus, plurimum, minus, minimum, paulum and nimium with their diminutives and compounds; tantulum, tantundem, quantulum, quantulumcunque, &c. To these we must add nihil, nothing, which is always used as a substantive; and the adverbs satis, enough; parum, too little; abunde, affătim, and sometimes largiter, abundantly,—when they are used as substantives.

It is however to be observed that these neuters are used as substantives only in the nominative and accusative, and that they must not be dependent upon prepositions.

Quantum incrementi Nilus capit, tantum spei in annum est, Senec. Nat. Quaest. iv. 6.

Potest quidquam esse absurdius, quam, quo minus viae restat, tanto plus viatici quaerere, Cic. Cat. Maj. 18.

Procellae quanto plus habent virium, tanto minus temporis, Senec. Nat. Quaest. vii. 9.

Pythagoras, quum in geometria quiddam novi invenisset, Musis bovem immolasse dicitur, Cic. de Nat. Deor. iii. 36.

Justitia nihil expetit praemii, nihil pretii, Cic. de Leg. i. 18. Satis eloquentiae, sapientiae parum (in Catilina fuit), Sallust.

[§ 433.] Note 1. The genitive joined with these neuters is often not a real substantive, but the neuter of an adjective, which is used as a substantive, as above quiddam novi. It must be observed here, that only adjectives of the second declension (in um) can be treated as substantives, and not those of the third in e, nor the comparatives in us. We may therefore say aliquid novum and aliquid novi, but only aliquid memorabile, and gravius aliquid. Aliquid memorabilis cannot be used, except, perhaps, in connection with neuters of the second declension; e.g. aliquid novi ac memorabilis inarrabo (as in Livy, v. 3.: si quidquam in vobis non dico civilis sed humani esset); but even in this case it is preferable to say aliquid novum ac memorabile, as in Seneca: vide ne ista lectio multorum auctorum habeat aliquid vagum et instabile. It must further be remarked, that when there is any case dependent upon the neuter adjective, the latter can scarcely be put in the genitive, and we must say: nihil expectatione vestra dignum dico, as Cicero (de Orat. i. 31.) does.

[§ 434.] Note 2. The adverbs of place, ubi, ubique, ubicunque, usquam, nusquam (longe), unde, hic, huc, eo, eodem, quo, quocunque, quoquo, aliquo are joined with the genitives gentium, terrarum, loci, locorum, and by the addition of such a genitive their meaning is strengthened; e.g. ubinam gentium sumus? abes longe gentium; aliquo terrarum migrandum est; ubi terrarum es? The expressions hoc loci, quo loci sum, res eodem est loci, quo tu reliquisti, in

Cicero and other writers are equivalent to quo, eodem loco, and the ablatives quo, eodem, are used as if loco were to follow. The adverbs huc, eo, quo, when used figuratively to express a degree, are joined also with other genitives; e.g. huc arrogantiae venerat, to this degree or pitch of arrogance; eo insolentiae furorisque processit; scire videmini quo amentiae progressi sitis. In the phrase minime gentium, by no means, the genitive merely strengthens the meaning of minime.

In the following expressions denoting time the genitive appears to be quite superfluous: postea loci, afterwards; ad id locorum, up to this point; in Sallust and Livy: interea loci, in the meantime; and adhuc locorum, until now, in the comic writers; tum temporis, at that time, occurs in late writers and should not be imitated. In the phrase quantum or quoad ejus facere possum, or in the passive form, fieri potest, the ejus refers to the pre-

ceding sentence, "as much of it," or "as far as this is possible."

[§ 435.] 5. Poets and prose writers later than Cicero use the neuters of adjectives in general, both in the singular and plural, as substantives, and join them with a genitive, e. g. Curtius: reliquum noctis acquievit, he slept the remainder of the night; Livy: exiguum campi ante castra erat, for which Cicero would have said exiguus campus; in ultima Celtiberiae penetrare, summa tectorum obtinere, instead of in ultimam Celtiberiam penetrare, and summa tecta obtinere.

So also ultimum inopiae is equivalent to ultima inopia; medium or extremum anni, aetatis, for which media aetas is the ordinary expression; extrema agminis, infima clivi; saeva ventorum, opportuna locorum, avia itinerum, tacita suspicionum; and with a preposition: in immensum altitudinis dejecit, for in immensam altitudinem; ad ultimum vitae perseverare, in ultima Orientis relegare, cum pretiosissimis rerum fugere, where the ablat. must not be taken for a feminine, although the expression is used for cum pretiosissimis rebus. Ad multum diei or noctis is a peculiar phrase of the same kind, for a neuter like multum may indeed be joined with a genitive, but not with a preposition; hence the ordinary construction is in multam noctem scribere. Very frequently there is a peculiar meaning in such a neuter plural: incerta, subita belli; i.e. the uncertain, sudden occurrences in war, or subitae occasiones belli; quassata muri, the shaken parts of the wall; infrequentissima urbis, the most uninhabited part of the town; plana urbis Tiberis stagnaverat. Livy has many expressions of this kind (Drakenborch on Liv. xxxvii. 58.), and in Tacitus they are innumerable. Respecting the analogy with the Greek language, see Vechner, Hellenolex. i. 2. 9. p. 202 foll., and Heindorf on Horat. Sat. ii. 2. 25.

[§ 436.] 6. Many adjectives denoting a relation to a thing (adjectiva relativa), especially those which express partaking, desiring, fullness, experience, capacity, or remembering, and their contraries, are joined with the genitive of a substantive or pronoun. Thus we say memor promissi, remembering a promise; compos mentis, in possession of his mind; ignarus sermonis

UNIV Calli - DX 2 used by Microsoft W.

Latini, ignorant of the Latin language. Such relations are expressed in English by prepositions.

The following in particular are construed in this way: —particeps, affinis (e. g. alicujus culpae, suspicionis, see however § 411.), expers, inops, consors, exsors; cupidus, studiosus, avidus, avarus; plenus, inanis, capax, insatiabilis, fecundus, fertilis, ferax, sterilis; perītus, imperītus, conscius, inscius, nescius, praescius, gnarus, ignarus, rudis, insolens and insolitus, or insuetus, prudens, providus, compos, impos, potens and impotens; memor, immemor, tenax, curiosus, incuriosus.

Pythagoras sapientiae studiosos appellavit philosophos, Cic. Tusc. v. 3.

Themistocles peritissimos belli navalis fecit Athenienses, Nep. Them. 2.

Venturae memòras jam nunc estote senectae, Ovid. Conscia mens recti famae mendacia ridet, Ovid, Fast. Nescia mens hominum fati sortisque futurae, Virgil.

[§ 437.] Note 1. The poets and those prose writers who, deviating from the ordinary mode of speaking, use poetical constructions, to give animation to their style (especially Tacitus), extend the rule of joining a genitive with adjectives very far. They construe in particular all adjectives expressing mental emotion with the genitive of the thing to which it is directed; e.g. ambiguus consilii; anxius futuri, securitatis; benignus vini; certus sceleris; dubius viae; impiger militiae; interritus leti; incautus futuri; incertus sententiae; laetus laboris; modicus voluptatum; pervicax irae, recti; piger periculi; segnis occasionum; socors futuri; securus futuri; timidus lucis; formidolosus hostium; oblatae occasionis propera; ferox scelerum Sejanus; atrox odii Agrippina, — where in ordinary prose the prepositions de, in or ad, would be required, and where we use "in respect of" or "in regard to." In some cases the genitive is used, in imitation of the Greek, instead of the Latin ablative; e.g. integer vitae for integer vitā; diversus morum; lassus maris, viarum, militiae; vetus operis ac laboris; sacerdos scientiae cerimoniarumque vetus. In some cases, however, the adjective is only a bold expression and used in the same sense as one of those mentioned above; e.g. vetus operis equivalent to peritus operis. In the case of superlatives the genitive is to be explained in a different way, as Tacit. Ann. vi. 6.: praestantissimus sapientiae, for sapientum; i. 46.: princeps severitatis et munificentiae summus, for omnium qui et severi et munifici sint. Comp. § 470. We must notice especially the use of the genitive animi (instead of the ablative) which occurs so frequently in late prose writers, and is joined with all adjectives. (See Ruhnken on Vell. Pat. ii. 93.) We thus find aeger, anxius, atrox, aversus, caecus, captus, confidens, confusus, incertus, territus, validus, exiguus, ingens, modicus, immodicus, and nimius animi; and owing to this frequent use of the genitive with adjectives, it is found also with verbs denoting anxiety; e.g. absurde facis, qui te angas animi; discrucior animi,

and even in Cicero we find more than once ego quidem vehementer animi pendeo; it occurs more rarely with verbs denoting joy, as recreabar animi.

Note 2. The adjectives plenus and inanis (full, empty), as well as fertilis and dives, may be construed also with the ablative (§ 457. foll.), and with refertus (the participle of a verb denoting "to fill") the ablative is commonly used; plenus in the early prose is rarely_joined with the ablative, but in later times frequently:—Cicero, e.g. Philip. ii. 27. says: domus (Antonii) erat aleatoribus referta, plena ebriorum. We may use either case in jurisperitus and jureperitus, jurisconsultus and jureconsultus (abridged ICtus). Compos and expers are but rarely found with the ablative instead of the genit., as Liv. iii. 71.: praeda ingenti compotem exercitum reducunt; Sallust, Cat. 33.: omnes fama atque fortunis expertes sumus. Immunis (not partaking) is commonly joined with the genitive, but when used in the sense of "free from" in takes either ab or the simple ablat. (See § 468.)

Conscius is construed with a genitive and a dative of the thing; e.g. Sallust, Cat. 25.: caedis conscia fuerat; Cic. p. Coel. 21.: huic facinori tanto mens tua conscia esse non debuit. The person who is conscious of a thing is

always expressed by the dative, as sibi conscium esse alicujus rei.

[§ 438.] 7. The participles present active are joined with a genitive when they do not express a simple act or a momentary condition, but, like adjectives, a permanent quality or condition; hence most of them have degrees of comparison like real adjectives. The following list contains those most in use:—amans, appetens, colens, fugiens, intelligens, metuens, negligens, observans, retinens, tolerans, patiens, impatiens, temperans, intemperans; e. g. amans patriae, Gracchi amantissimi plebis Romanae, appetens laudis, sancti et religionum colentes, fugiens laboris, imminentium (futuri) intelligens, officii negligens, miles patiens or impatiens solis, pulveris, tempestatum.

Epaminondas adeo fuit veritatis diligens, ut ne joco quidem mentiretur, Nep. Epam. 3.

Romani semper appetentes gloriae praeter ceteras gentes atque avidi laudis fuerunt, Cic. p. Leg. Man. 3.

Note. The passage from Nepos shows that the participles admitting this construction are not limited to such as have the meaning of the adjectives mentioned above (§ 436.), but they are used in this way throughout, provided they express a permanent quality; miles patiens frigus, for example, is a soldier who at a particular time bears the cold, but miles patiens frigoris is one who bears cold well at all times. Hence cupiens, efficiens, experiens, sciens, sitiens, timens, and a considerable number of others, are joined with a genitive. Some participles perfect passive have been mentioned in § 436., as their number is very limited; and completus, expertus, inexpertus, invitus, and consultus, may be classed with the above-mentioned adjectives. If, in poetical language, we find any other perfect participles joined with a genitive, we must regard them as adjectives.

Univ Call' - Digweed by Microsoft W

[§ 439.] 8. With verbs of reminding, remembering and forgetting (admoneo, commoneo, commonefacio aliquem; memini, reminiscor, recordor, also in mentem mihi venit; obliviscor), the person or the thing, of which any one reminds another or himself, or which he forgets, is expressed by the genitive; but there are many instances also in which the thing is expressed by the accusative.

Medicus, ut primum mentis compotem esse regem sensit, modo matris sororumque, modo tantae victoriae appropinquantis admonere non destitit, Curt. iii. 16.

Hannibal milites adhortatus est, ut reminiscerentur pristinae virtutis suae, neve mulierum liberumque (for et liberorum) obliviscerentur.

Tu, C. Caesar, oblivisci nihil soles, nisi injurias, Cic. p. Leg. 12. Non omnes (senes) possunt esse Scipiones aut Maximi, ut urbium expugnationes, ut pedestres navalesque pugnas, ut bella a se gesta triumphosque recordentur, Cic. Cat. Maj. 5.

[§ 440.] Note. With regard to the accusative of the thing, it must be observed that the neuters of pronouns, and the neuter adjectives used as substantives, are joined to the above-mentioned verbs only in the accusative; for their genitive would present no difference from the masc. gender. Hence Cicero (de Off. ii. 8.) is obliged to say: Externa libentius in tali re quam domestica recordor; and the verbs of reminding are thus joined with two accusatives, one of the person and the other of the thing; e.g. illud me praeclare admones, unum te admoneo. (Comp. § 393.) An accusative of the thing, expressed by a real substantive, occurs only with verbs of remembering and forgetting; e.g. memini or oblitus sum mandata, beneficia. dicta factaque tua; pueritiae memoriam recordari ultimam. An accusative of the person is very rarely used with these verbs; but memini, in the sense of "I remember a person who lived in my time," is invariably joined with an accusative of the person; e.g. Cic. Philip. v. 6.: quod neque reges fecerunt, neque ii, qui regibus exactis regnum occupare voluerunt : Cinnam memini, vidi Sullam, modo Caesarem, &c.; de Orat. iii. 50.: Antipater ille Sidonius, quem tu probe meministi. Sometimes verbs of reminding and remembering take the preposition de; memini takes de more especially, when it signifies mentionem facere; but the genitive also may be used. With venit mihi in mentem, the person or thing may be put in the nominat., so as to become the subject: e. g. aliquid, haec, omnia mihi in mentem venerunt.

[§ 441.] 9. The impersonal verbs pudet, piget, poenitet, taedet and miseret, require the person in whom the feeling exists to be in the accusative, and the thing which produces the feeling in the genitive. The thing producing the feeling may also be expressed by the infinitive, or by a sentence with quod or with an interrogative particle, e. g. pudet me hoc feeisse,

Jun Cali - Digilized by Microsoft 9

poenitet me quod te offendi, non poenitet me (I am not dissatisfied) quantum profecerim. As to the forms of these verbs, see § 225.

Malo, me fortunae poeniteat, quam victoriae pudeat, Curt. iv. 47.

Eorum nos magis miseret, qui nostram misericordiam non requirunt, quam qui illam efflagitant, Cic. p. Mil. 34.

Non poenitet me vixisse, quoniam ita vixi, ut non frustra me natum existimem, Cic. Cat. Maj. in fin.

Quem poenitet peccasse, paene est innocens, Senec. Agam. 243.

[§ 442.] Note 1. The personal verbs misereor and miseresco, "I pity," are joined with a genitive, like the impersonal verbs miseret (and miseretur): miseremini sociorum, misertus tanti viri, generis miseresce tui; but we also find miserescit me tui, impersonally, in Terence (Heaut. v. 4. 3.): inopis te nunc miserescat mei. Miserari and commiserari (to pity), on the other hand, require the accusative. The above-mentioned impersonal verbs are very rarely used personally; as in Terence, Adelph. iv. 5. 36.: non te haec pudent. In the passage of Cicero (Tusc. v. 18.): sequitur ut nihil (sapientem) poeniteat, the word nihil must not be taken for a nominative: it is the accusative, for both this particular word and the neuters of pronouns are thus used in the accusative (see § 385.); whereas real substantives would necessarily be in a different case. So also in Cic. de Invent ii. 13.: quaeri oportet, utrum id facinus sit, quod poenitere fuerit necesse, for cujus rei. The participle pertaesus (belonging to taedet) governs the accusative, contrary to the rule by which participles are joined with the same case as the verbs from which they are formed; e.g. Sueton. Jul. 7 .: quasi pertaesus ignaviam suam; but it is also used with a genitive, as in Tacitus, Ann. xv. 51.: postremo lentitudinis eorum pertaesa.

[§ 443.] Note 2. Pudet requires a genit. also, in the sense of "being restrained by shame or respect for a person;" e.g. Terent. Anelph. iv. 5. 49.: et me tui pudet; Cic. in Clod.: Nonne te hujus templi, non urbis, non vitae, non lucis pudet? It is found more frequently without an accusat., as in Livy, iii. 19.: pudet degrum hominumque; Cic. Philip. xii. 3.: pudet hujus legionis,

pudet quartae, pudet optimi exercitus.

[§ 444.] 10. The verbs of estimating or valuing and their passives (aestimare, ducere, facere, fieri, habere, pendere, putare, taxare and esse) are joined with the genitive, when the value is expressed generally by an adjective, but with the ablative, when it is expressed by a substantive. (Comp. § 456.). Genitives of this kind are:—magni, permagni, pluris, plurimi, maximi, parvi, minoris, minimi, tanti, quanti, and the compounds tantidem, quantīvis, quanticunque; but never (or very rarely) multi and majoris. The substantive to be understood with these genitives is pretii, which is sometimes expressed (with esse).

Si prata et hortulos tanti aestimamus, quanti est aestimanda virtus? Cic. Parad. 6.

Unum Hephaestionem Alexander plurimi fecerat, Nep. Eum. 2. Ego a meis me amari et magni pendi postulo, Terent. Adelph. v. 4. 25.

Mea mihi conscientia pluris est, quam omnium sermo, Cic. ad Att. xii. 28.

Note. Tanti est, "it is worth so much," signifies also absolutely, "it is worth while;" e. g. Cic. in Cat. i. 9.: Video quanta tempestas invidiae nobis impendeat. Sed est mihi tanti: dummodo ista privata sit calamitas. In addition to the above genitives we must mention assis, flocci, nauci, pensi, pili habere, or commonly non habere, ducere, aestimare; further, the comic phrase hujus non facio, "I do not care that for it," and nihili. But we find also pro nihilo habere, putare, and ducere; e. g. omnia, quae cadere in hominem possint, despicere et pro nihilo putare. The phrase aequi boni, or aequi bonique facio, consulo, and boni consulo, I consider a thing to be right, am satisfied with it, must likewise be classed with these genitives. A genitive expressing price is joined also to such words as coeno, habito, doceo; e. g. quanti habitas? what price do you pay for your house or lodging? quanti docet? what are his terms in teaching?

with the verbs of buying, selling, lending and hiring (emere, vendere, the passive venire, conducere, locare, and as passives in sense, stare and constare, prostare and licere, to be exposed for sale). But the ablatives magno, permagno, plurimo, parvo, minimo, nihilo, are used very frequently instead of the genitives. Mercatores non tantīdem vendunt, quanti emerunt, Cic. Nulla pestis humano generi pluris stetit, quam ira, Senec. Non potest parvo res magna constare, Senec. Epist. 19.

Note. With verbs of buying therefore the genitive and ablative alternate according to the particular words that are used. Cic. ad Fam. vii. 2. writes: Parum acute ei mandasti potissimum, cui expediret illud venire quam plurimo: sed eo vidisti multum, quod praefinisti, quo ne pluris emerem — nunc, quoniam tuum pretium novi, illicitatorem, potius ponam, quam illud minoris veneat; Plaut. Epid. ii. 2. 112.: Quanti emere possum minimo? What is the lowest price I can buy at? Aestimare is sometimes joined with the ablatives magno, permagno, nonnihilo, instead of the regular genitives. The adverbs care, bene, male, sometimes take the place of the ablative with the verbs of buying, though not very frequently. Instead of nihilo constat, it costs me nothing, we find in Cicero gratis constat.

[§ 446.] 11. The genitive is used to denote the crime or offence, with the verbs accuso, incuso, arguo, interrogo, insimulo, increpo, infamo; convinco, coarguo; judico, damno, condemno; absolvo, libero, purgo; arcesso, cito, defero, postulo, reum facio, alicui diem dico, cum aliquo ago. The genitive joined to these verbs depends upon the substantive crimine or nomine, which is understood, but sometimes also expressed.

Genitives of this kind are:—peccati, maleficii, sceleris, caedis, veneficii, parricidii, furti, repetundarum, peculatus, falsi, injuriarum, rei capitalis, proditionis, majestatis; probri, stultitiae, avaritiae, audaciae, vanitatis, levitatis, temeritatis, ignaviae; timoris, impietatis, and others.

Miltiades proditionis est accusatus, quod, quum Parum expugnare posset, e pugna discessisset, Nep. Milt.

Thrasybulus legem tulit, ne quis ante actarum rerum accusaretur neve multaretur, Nep. Thras. 3.

Note 1. To these verbs we must add a few adjectives, which are used instead of their participles: reus, compertus, noxius, innoxius, insons, manifestus. Sometimes the preposition de is used, with the verbs of accusing and condemning, instead of the genitive, e.g. de vi condemnatus est, nomen alicujus de

parricidio deferre.

[§ 447.] Note 2. The punishment, with the verbs of condemning, is commonly expressed by the genitive; e. g. capitis, mortis, multae, pecuniae, quadrupli, octupli, and less frequently by the ablative, capite, morte, multa, pecunia. The ablative, however, is used invariably when a definite sum is mentioned; e. g. decem, quindecim milibus aeris. Sometimes we find the preposition ad or in: ad poenam, ad bestias, ad metalla, in metallum, in expensas, and Tacitus uses also: ad mortem. The meaning of capitis accusare, arcessere, absolvere, and of capitis or capite damnare, condemnare must be explained by the signification of what the Romans called a causa capitis. Voti or votorum damnari, to be condemned to fulfil one's vow, is thus equivalent to "to obtain what one wishes."

[§ 448.] 12. The genitive is used with the verbs esse and fieri, in the sense of "it is a person's business, office, lot, or property," the substantives res or negotium being understood: e.g. hoc est praeceptoris, this is the business of the teacher; non est mearum virium, it is beyond my strength; Asia Romanorum facta est, Asia became the property of the Romans. The same genitive is found also with some of the verbs mentioned in § 394., esse being understood.

But instead of the genitive of the personal pronouns mei, tui, sui, nostri, vestri, the neuters of the possessives, meum, tuum, suum, nostrum, vestrum est, erat, &c., are used.

Cujusvis hominis est errare, nullius nisi insipientis in errore perseverare, Cic. Phil. xii. 2.

Sapientis judicis est, semper non quid ipse velit, sed quid lex et religio cogat, cogitare, Cic. p. Cluent. 58.

Bello Gallico praeter Capitolium omnia hostium erant, Liv. vi. 40. Tuum est, M. Cato, qui non mihi, non tibi, sed patriae natus es, videre quid agatur, Cic. p. Muren. 38:

Note 1. We have here followed Perizonius (on Sanctius, Minerva, in many passages), in explaining the genitive by the ellipsis of negotium. This opinion is confirmed by a passage in Cicero, ad Fam. iii. 12.: non horum temporum, non horum hominum et morum negotium est; but we ought not to have recourse to such an ellipsis, except for the purpose of illustrating the idiom of a language, and we should not apply it to every particular case; for, in most instances, it would be better, and more consistent with the Latin idiom, to supply proprius as an adjective and proprium as a substantive. (Comp. § 411.) In the following sentences from Cicero, proprium est animi bene constituti laetari bonis rebus, and sapientis est proprium, nihil quod poenitere possit facere, we might omit proprium and use the genitive alone. In the following sentences the words munus and officium might be omitted: Cic. p. Mil. 8.: principum munus est resistere levitati multitudinis, and Terent. Andr. ii. 1. 30.: neutiquam officium liberi esse hominis puto, quum is nil mereat, postulare id gratiae apponi sibi; and hence we may also assume the ellipsis of munus and officium for the purpose of illustrating the Latin idiom.

Esse is joined with a genitive expressing quality, est stultitiae, est levitatis, est hoc Gallicae consuetudinis, especially moris est, for which without difference in meaning, we may say stultitia est, levitas est, haec consuetudo est Gallorum, mos est; e.g. Cic. in Verr. i. 26.: negavit moris esse Graecorum, ut in convivio virorum accumberent mulieres, the same as morem esse Graecorum.

Note 2. As it is the rule to use the neuter of the possessive pronouns, instead of the genitive of the personal pronouns, so in other cases, instead of a genitive of a substantive, an adjective derived from the substantive may be used, e.g. humanum est, imperatorium est, regium est; et facere et pati fortia Romanum est, Liv. ii. 12.

[§ 449.] 13. A similar ellipsis takes place with the impersonal verbs interest and refert, it is of interest or importance (to me), the person to whom any thing is of importance being expressed by the genitive; but instead of the genitive of the personal pronouns, the possessives mea, tua, sua, nostra, vestra, are used. These possessives are commonly considered to be accusatives neuter plural, commoda being understood; but from some verses in Terence, especially Phorm. iv. 5. 11. and v. 8. 47., we are obliged to consider them with Priscian (p. 1077.) as ablatives feminine singular, and it is not impossible that causā may be understood.* The thing which is of interest or importance is not expressed by a substantive, but sometimes by the neuter of a pronoun; e. g. hoc mea interest, and usually by an accusative with the infinitive, or by ut and the interroga-

Law Calif - Digitized by Microsoft ...

^{*} This explanation solves only half the difficulty, but both the use of the genitive and the length of $r\bar{e}$ in refert are sufficiently accounted for by what has been said in a note at the foot of p. 16. We should add here that $me\bar{a}$, $tu\bar{a}$, $su\bar{a}$, &c., are accusatives for meam, tuam, suam, &c. Comp. Key, The Alphabet, p. 77. — Transl.

tive particles with the subjunctive: e. g. multum mea interest, te esse diligentem, or ut diligens sis, (utrum) diligens sis nec ne.

Semper Milo, quantum interesset P. Clodii, se perire, cogitabat, Cic. p. Mil. 21.

Caesar dicere solebat, non tam sua, quam reipublicae interesse, uti salvus esset, Suet. Caes. 86.

Inventae sunt epistolae, ut certiores faceremus absentes, si quid esset, quos eos scire aut nostra aut ipsorum interesset, Cic. ad Fam. ii. 4.

Quid refert, utrum voluerim fieri, an factum gaudeam? Cic. Philip. ii. 12.

Note 1. When an infinitive alone is joined to interesse, the preceding subject is understood, e.g. omnium interest recte facere, scil. se. The nominative of the subject in Cicero, ad Att. iii. 19., non quo mea interesset loci natura, is very singular. It has been asserted that refert is not joined with the genitive of the person; in Cicero, it is true, it does not occur, for he generally uses it with the pronouns mea, tua, sua, &c.; but other authors use the genitive; e.g. Sallust, Jug. 119.: faciendum aliquid, quod illorum magis, quam sua rettulisse videretur, and Liv. xxxiv. 27.: ipsorum referre, &c. Most frequently, however, refert is used without either a genitive or any of the pronouns mea, tua, &c.: refert, quid refert? magni, parvi, magnopere refert. The dative of the person in Horace, Serm. i. 1.50.: vel dic quid referat intra naturae fines viventi, jugera centum an mille aret, is a singular peculiarity.

[§ 450.] Note 2. The degree of importance is expressed by adverbs or neuter adjectives, or by their genitives: magis, magnopere, vehementer, parum, minime, tam, tantopere; multum, plus, plurimum, permultum, infinitum, mirum quantum, minus, nihil, aliquid, quiddam, tantum, quantum; tanti, quanti, magni, permagni, parvi. The object for which a thing is of importance is expressed by the preposition ad, as in Cicero: magni interest ad honorem nostrum; a dative used in the same sense occurs in Tacitus, Ann. xv. 65.:

non referre dedecori.

CHAP. LXXIV.

ABLATIVE CASE.

[§ 451.] 1. THE Ablative serves to denote certain relations of substantives, which are expressed in most other languages by prepositions.

Note. This is an important difference between the ablative and the other oblique cases; for the latter expressing necessary relations between nouns,

July Cali - Digitized by Microsoft

occur in all larguages which possess cases of inflection, and do not, like the French or English, express those relations by prepositions. But the ablative is a peculiarity of the Latin language, which might indeed be dispensed with, but which contributes greatly to its expressive conciseness.

The ablative is used first with passive verbs to denote the thing by which any thing is effected (ablativus efficientis), and which in the active construction is expressed by the nominative: e. g. sol mundum illustrat, and sole mundus illustratur; fecunditas arborum me delectat, and fecunditate arborum delector. If that by which any thing is effected is a person, the preposition ab is required with the ablative (see § 382.), with the sole exception of the participles of the verbs denoting "to be born" (natus, genitus, ortus, and in poetry also cretus, editus, satus), to which the name of the father or family is generally joined in the ablative without a preposition. Ab cannot be used with the ablative of a thing by which any thing is effected, unless the thing be personified.

Dei providentiā mundus administratur, Cic.

Non est consentaneum, qui metu non frangatur, eum frangi cupiditate; nec qui invictum se a labore praestiterit, vinci a voluptate, Cic. De Off. i. 20.

Note. The words denoting "born" usually have the preposition ex or de joined to the name of the mother, but the ablative alone is also found, and there are a few passages in which ex or ab is joined to the name of the father; e. g. Terent. Adelph. i. 1. 15.: Atque ex me hic natus non est, sed ex fratre; Caes. Bell. Gall. vi. 18.: prognati ab Dite patre. Ortus ab aliquo is frequently used in speaking of a person's ancestors; e. g. Cic. p. Muren. 21.: qui ab illo ortus es; Caes. Bell. Gall. ii. 4.: plerosque Belgas esse ortos a Germanis (the same as oriundos).

[§ 452.] 2. An ablative expressing the cause (ablativus causae) is joined with adjectives, which, if changed into a verb, would require a passive construction: e. g. fessus, aeger, saucius (equivalent to qui fatigatus, morbo affectus, vulneratus est)—and with intransitive verbs, for which we may generally substitute some passive verb, of at least a similar meaning, as interiit fame, consumptus est fame; expectatio rumore crevit, expectatio aucta est rumore; gaudeo honore tuo, delector honore tuo. Thus verbs expressing feeling or emotion are construed with the ablative of the thing which is the cause of the feeling or emotion, as doleo, gaudeo, laetor; exilio, exulto, triumpho, lacrimo, paene desipio gaudio, ardeo cupiditate, desiderio. Sometimes the prepositions

propter and per are used instead of such an ablative, and when a person is described as the cause of an emotion, they are just as necessary as ab is with passive verbs.

We must notice in particular the construction of the following verbs: - Glorior, I boast, is joined with an ablative denoting the cause: e. g. victoriā meā, but is also construed with de, and in the sense of "glory in a thing," with in: e.g. Cic. De Nat. Deor. iii. 36 .: propter virtutem recte laudamur, et in virtute recte gloriamur. Laboro, I suffer from, e. g. morbo, ino-pia, odio, is frequently joined also with ex, especially when the part of the body, which is the seat of the pain, is mentioned: e.g. ex pedibus, ex intestinis. Nitor and innitor aliqua re, I lean upon, is used, in a figurative sense, also with in; e.g. Cicero: in vita Pompeji nitebatur salus civitatis (in the sense of "strive after," with ad or in with the accus., as nitimur in vetitum). Sto aliqua re, I depend upon a thing, as judicio meo, auctore aliquo; also in the sense of "I persevere in or adhere to a thing," as foedere, jurejurando, condicionibus, promissis; it rarely takes in, as in Cicero: stare oportet in eo, quod sit judicatum. (Respecting acquiesco with the ablat. see § 416.) Fido and confido, "I trust in a thing," and the adjective fretus are joined with the ablat. of the thing trusted in, but may also be used with the dative of the person or thing trusted in. (See § 413.) The verbs constare, contineri, to consist of, are construed with the ablat. to denote that of which a thing consists: e. g. domūs amoenitas non aedificio, sed silva constabat : tota honestas quattuor virtutibus continetur ; but constare is joined more frequently with ex or in, and contineri in the sense of "to be contained in a thing," is generally used with in, but even then not unfrequently with the ablative alone. (Consistere in the sense of "exist," is construed, like positum esse, only with in.)

Concordiā res parvae crescunt, discordiā maximae dilabuntur, Sallust, Jug. 10.

Est adolescentis majores natu vereri exque his deligere optimos et probatissimos, quorum consilio atque auctoritate nitatur, Cic. De Off. i. 34.

Virtute decet, non sanguine niti, Claud. Cons. Hon. iv. 219.

Diversis duobus vitiis, avaritia et luxuria, civitas Romana laborabat, Liv. xxxiv. 4.

Delicto dolere, correctione gaudere nos oportet, Cic.

[§ 455.] Note 1. We must here mention also the ablat. virtute joined with the defective adjective macte and macti, which, either with the imperative of esse (esto, este, estote), or without it, is used as an exclamation of encouragement or approbation.

The use of the accusative vicem (with a genitive or possessive pronoun), instead of the ablative vice (in accordance with the above rule) in connection with intransitive verbs and adjectives denoting feelings, especially those of care, grief, and sorrow, is a peculiarity which does not occur when vicem is used in its ordinary sense of "change" or "turn" (as in Phaedr. v. 1. 6.: tacite gementes tristem fortunae vicem), but only when it is equivalent to the English "for;" e. g. Liv. ii. 31.: apparuit causa plebi, suam vicem indignantem magistratu abisse; i. e. that for their sake he had indignantly resigned his office; xxxiv. 32.: Remittimus hoc tibi, ne nostram vicem irascaris, that you may not be angry on our account; xl. 23.: Simplicitatem juvenis incauti assentando indignandoque et ipse vicem ejus captabat, by showing indignation on his account. In like manner we must explain Cic. ad Fam. xii. 23.: Tuam vicem saepe doleo, quod nullam partem per aetatem sanae et salvae rei publicae gustare potuisti, and in Verr. i. 44.: si alienam vicem pro nostra injuria doleremus, if we grieved for other people, as though a wrong had been done to ourselves. Hence we should read, with Bentley, in Horace Epod. xvii. 42.: infamis Helenae Castor offensus vicem, Castor offended on account of his ill-famed sister, where Bentley quotes the following instances of this use of vicem with adjectives, Liv. viii. 35.: suam vicem magis anxios, quam ejus, cui auxilium ab se petebatur; xxviii. 43.: ut meam quoque, non solum rei publicae et exercitus vicem videretur sollicitus; Curt. vii. 6.: maestus non suam vicem, sed propter ipsum periclitantium fratrum, not sad on his own account, but on account of his brothers who ran into danger for his sake. ablative in this sense occurs only in late writers; e.g. Quintil. vi. 2. 35., and xi. 1. 42. But it is difficult to decide whether the accusative vicem may be used also in the sense of "like," more modoque, instead of vice, as is commonly read in Cic. ad Att. x. 8.: Sardanapali vicem in suo lectulo mori, or whether we should correct vicem into vice, as in Tacitus, Ann. vi. 21.: quae dixerat oraculi vice accipiens. The difficult passage in Horace, Epod. v. 87.: Venena magnum fas nefasque non valent convertere humanam vicem, must undoubtedly be explained in the same manner, whether we retain the accusative or read humana vice; the meaning is: "Poison cannot upset the eternal laws like things human."

[§ 454.] Note 2. With transitive verbs also, the cause or the thing in consequence of which anything is done, is expressed by the ablative, but this is the regular practice only with substantives ending in the ablat. in u (§ 90.), which have no other cases; e. g. jussu, rogatu, admonitu tuo veni, feci, misi or missus sum. With other substantives it is more rare; e. g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 32.: ut omnes intelligant me non studio accusare, sed officio defendere; de Fin. ii. 26.: si fructibus et emolumentis et utilitatibus amicitias colemus; de Off. i. 9.: Sunt etiam, qui aut studio rei familiaris tuendae aut odio quodam hominum suum se negotium agere dicant; Sallust, Cat. 23.: inopiā minus largiri poterat; Cic. Divin. in Caec. 3.: judiciorum desiderio tribunicia potestas efflagitata est, judiciorum levitate ordo alīus postulatur, &c.; de Leg. iii. 7.: Regale civitatis genus non tam regni, quam regis vitiis repudiatum est. The preposition propter or a circumlocution with causā, however, is generally used instead of the ablative; e. g. instead of joco dicere, jaco mentiri, we find joci causa; hocous suscepi tuā causā; honoris tui causā, propter amicitiam nostram. When

the cause is a state of feeling, the best Latin writers prefer a circumlocution with the perfect participle of some verb denoting "to induce;" e. g. to do a thing from some desire, cupiditate ductus, inductus, incitatus, incensus, inflammatus, impulsus, motus, captus, &c. Livy is fond of using the preposition ab in this sense, as ab ira, a spe, ab odio, from anger, hope, hatred. See § 305., and Hand, Tursellin. i. p. 33.

[§ 455.] 3. An ablative is joined with verbs of every kind to express the means or instrument by which a thing is done (ablativus instrumenti). Thus we say manu ducere aliquem, to lead a person by the hand; equo, curru, nave vehi, the horse, carriage, and ships being the means of moving.

Benivolentiam civium blanditiis colligere turpe est, Cic.

Cornibus tauri, apri dentibus, morsu leones, aliae fuga se, aliae occultatione tutantur, Cic. De Nat. Deor. ii. 50.

Naturam expellas furca, tamen usque recurret, Horat. Epist. i. 10. 24.

Male quaeritur herbis; moribus et forma conciliandus amor, Ovid. Heroid. vi. 93.

Note. When a man is the instrument by which anything is effected, the ablative is rarely used, but generally the preposition per, or the circumlocution with operā alicujus, which is so frequent, especially with possessive pronouns, that meā, tuā, suā, &c. operā are exactly the same as per me, per te, per se, &c.; and are used to denote both good and bad services; e.g. Cic. Cat. Maj. 4.: mea opera Tarentum recepisti; Nep. Lys. 1.: Lysander sic sibi indulsit, ut ejus opera in maximum odium Graeciae Lacedaemonii pervenerint; that is, ejus culpa, through his fault. Beneficio is used in the more limited sense of good results, as beneficio tuo salvus, incolumis sum, where it is the same as per te. Per is sometimes used to express a means, but only when we are speaking of external concurring circumstances rather than of that which is really done to attain a certain object. We always say, e.g., vi oppidum cepit, but per vim ei bona eripuit. See § 301. The material instrument is always expressed by the ablative alone, and never with a preposition, such as cum; hence conficere cervum sagittis, gladio aliquem vulnerare; comp. § 473.

[§ 456.] 4. Hence with verbs of buying and selling, of estimation, value, and the like (§ 444.), the price or value of a thing is expressed by the ablative, provided it is indicated by a definite sum or a substantive. (Respecting the genitive in general expressions, see § 444., where it is observed that, contrary to the general rule, the ablatives magno, permagno, plurimo, parvo, minimo, are commonly joined to verbs denoting "to buy" and "sell.")

Ego spem pretio non emo, Terent. Adelph. ii. 2. 11.

Si quis aurum vendens putet se orichalcum vendere, indicabitne ei vir bonus aurum illud esse, an emet denario, quod sit mille denarium? Cic. De Off. iii. 23.

Viginti talentis unam orationem Isocrates vendidit, Plin. Hist. Nat. vii. 31.

Denis in diem assibus anima et corpus (militum) aestimantur, Tacit. Ann. i. 17.

Quod non opus est, asse carum est, Senec. Epist. 94.

Note. To the verbs of buying and selling we must add many others which express an act or an enjoyment, for which a certain price is paid; e.g. lavor quadrante, habito triginta milibus HS, doceo talento, parvo aere mereo. Esse in the sense of "to be worth" is therefore joined with the ablative of the definite price; e.g. Modius frumenti in Sicilia binis sestertiis, ad summum ternis erat; sextante sal in Italia erat. We make this observation chiefly to direct attention to the difference between this ablative and the genitive of quality which occurs in the passage of Cicero quoted above. Est mille denarium there means, it is a thing of one thousand denarii (in value), and may be bought for that sum.

Mutare and its compounds, commutare and permutare, are commonly construed in the same way as the verbs of selling: e.g. fidem suam et religionem pecuniā, studium belli gerendi agriculturā, pellium tegmina vestibus, montes ac silvas urbibus, and in Virg. Georg. i. 8.: Chaoniam glandem pingui mutavit arista, alluding to the first husbandman, who exchanged corn for acorns. But prose writers as well as poets reverse the expression, by putting that which we receive in the accusat., and that which we give for it in the ablative, either alone or with the preposition cum; e.g. Horat. Carm. iii. 1. 47.: cur valle permutem Sabina divitias operosiores, why should I exchange my Sabine valley for more wearisome riches? Epod. ix. 27.: Terra marique victus hostis Punico lugubre mutavit sagum; Curt. iii. 18.: exilium patria sede mutaverat; Ovid, Met. vii. 60.: Quemque ego cum rebus, quas totus possidet orbis, Aesonidem mutasse velim; Curt. iv. 4.: Habitus hic cum isto squalore permutandus tibi est; Sulpicius in Cic. ad Fam. iv. 5.: hisce temporibus non pessime cum iis esse actum, quibus sine dolore licitum est mortem cum vita commutare. Livy too uses both constructions, but the ablat. alone is better attested. See Drakenborch on v. 20.

[§ 457.] 5. The ablative is joined with nouns (both substantive and adjective) and verbs to express a particular circumstance or limitation, where in English the expressions "with regard to," "as to," or "in" are used: e. g. Nemo Romanorum Ciceroni par fuit, or Ciceronem aequavit eloquentia, in eloquence, or with regard to eloquence. Hence a great number of expressions by which a statement is modified or limited, as meā sententiā, mea opinione, meo judicio, frequently with the addition of quidem; natione Syrus, a Syrian by birth; genere facile primus; Hamilcar cognomine Barcas, &c.

Agesilaus claudus fuit (claudicabat) altero pede, Nepos. Sunt quidam homines, non re, sed nomine, Cicero.

[\$ 458.] Note 1. The Latin poets, and those prose writers who are fond of poetical expressions, sometimes use the accusative instead of this ablative, in imitation of the Greeks; hence the accusative is termed accusativus Graecus. It occurs most frequently with passive verbs, especially with perfect participles, to determine the part of the body to which a statement applies or is limited; e.g. vite caput tegitur, he is covered (or covers himself) with a vine branch, but the covering is limited to the head: "his head is covered with," &c.; membra sub arbuto stratus, lying with his limbs stretched out; redimitus tempora lauro, his temples surrounded with a laurel wreath; nube candentes humeros amictus; humeros oleo perfusus; miles fractus membra labore. Such expressions are pleasing, especially when an ablative is joined to the participle, as in Livy, xxi. 7.: adversum femur tragula graviter ictus; Sucton. Octav. 20.: dexterum genu lapide ictus; Ovid, Met. xii. 269.: Gryneus eruitur oculos, appears rather harsh for Gryneo eruuntur oculi. This use of the accus. may be compared with that explained in § 393., edoctus artes and interrogatus sententiam; for an active verb may be joined with a twofold accusative, either of the person or of a part of the person, as redimio te victorem, or redimio tempora, crines, - and when such a sentence takes the passive form, the accusative of the person becomes the nominative, but that of the part remains. (Com. Buttmann's Greek Grammar, § 131.)

But the poets go still further, and use this accusative of the part also with neuter verbs and adjectives; e.g. Virg. Georg. iii. 84.: tremit artus; Aen. i. 589.: os humerosque deo similis; Tacit. Germ. 17.: feminae Germanorum nudae brachia et lacertos, and in the same writer we find clari genus, for the usual clari genere, where genus is not an accusative of the part, but is com-

pletely a Greek construction.

The accusative expressing the articles of dress, used in poetical language with the passive verbs induor, amicior, cingor, accingor, exuor, discingor, is of a different kind; but it may be compared to the accus of the part. The active admits two constructions: induo me veste and induo mihi vestem (see above § 418.), and in the passive the two constructions are combined into one; and instead of saying induor veste, the poets and those who imitate them, say induor vestem. Instances of this occur in all the poets, but they are extremely frequent in Ovid; e.g. protinus induitur faciem cultumque Dianae; induiturque aures lente gradientis aselli; Virg. Aen.ii. 510.: inutile ferrum cingitur. To this accusative, the Latin ablative is sometimes added, to denote the part of the body which is dressed or adorned; e.g. Ovid, Met. vii. 161.: inductaque cornibus aurum Victima vota cadit, and x. 271.: pandis inductae cornibus aurum juvencae. The accusative in Horace, Serm. i. 6. 74.: pueri laevo suspensi loculos tabulamque lacerto, is curious, but suspensi is here used according to the analogy of accineti, like the Greek ἐξηρτημένοι τὴν πίνακα.

[§ 459.] Note 2. Something of this Greek construction was adopted by the Romans even in their ordinary language, and there are some cases where the accusative is used in prose instead of the ablative. Magnam and maximam partem are thus used adverbially for fere or magna (maxima) ex parte; e. g. Cic. Orat. 56: magnam partem ex iambis nostra constat oratio, consists to a great extent of iambics; de Off. i. 7: maximam partem ad injuriam faciendam aggrediamtur, ut adipiscantur ea, quae concupiverunt. (Comp. partim § 271.) In the same manner cetera and reliqua are joined to adjectives in the sense

of ceteris; i.e. "for the rest," or "in other respects;" e.g. Liv. i. 32.: Proximum regnum, cetera egregium, ab una parte haud satis prosperum fuit, and in many other passages, cetera similis, cetera laetus, cetera bonus. Further, id temporis or id (hoc, idem) aetatis, for eo tempore, ea aetate; e.g. Liv. i. 50 .: purgavit se, quod id temporis venisset; xl. 9.: Quid hoc noctis venis? Cic. p. Cluent. 51.: non potuit honeste scribere in balneis se cum id aetatis filio fuisse; Tacit. Ann. xiii. 16.: cum ceteris idem aetatis nobilibus; i.e. cum ceteris ejusdem aetatis nobilibus. On the same principle Tacitus, Ann. xii. 18., says: Romanorum nemo id auctoritatis aderat, for ea auctoritate.

[§ 460.] 6. The ablative is used with verbs denoting plenty or want, and with the corresponding transitives of filling, endowing, depriving. (Ablativus copiae aut inopiae.) Verbs of this kind are: -1. abundare, redundare, affluere, circumfluere, scatere, florere, pollere, valere, vigere (in the figurative sense of "being rich or strong in anything"); carere, egere, indigere, vacare; 2. complere, explere, implere, opplere, cumulare, refercire, obruere, imbuere, satiare, exatiare, saturare, stipare, constipare; afficere, donare, remunerari, locupletare, ornare, augere; privare, spoliare, orbare, fraudare, defraudare, nudare, exuere, and many others of a similar meaning. The adjective praeditus takes the place of a perfect participle (in the sense of "endowed"), and is likewise joined with an ablative.

Germania rivis fluminibusque abundat, Seneca.

Quam Dionysio erat miserum, carere consuetudine amicorum, societate victūs, sermone omnino familiari! Cic. Tusc. v. 22.

Arcesilas philosophus quum acumine ingenii floruit, tum admirabili quodam lepore dicendi, Cic. Acad. iv. 6.

Consilio et auctoritate non modo non orbari, sed etiam augeri senectus solet, Cic. Cat. Maj. 6.

Mens est praedita motu sempiterno, Cic. Tusc. i. 27.

[§ 461.] Note 1. Afficere properly signifies to "endow with," but it is used in a great many expressions, and may sometimes be translated by "to do something to a person: afficere aliquem honore, beneficio, laetitia, praemio, ignominia, injuria, poena, morte, sepultura. Remunerari (the simple munerare or munerari is not often used), properly "to make a present in return," hence "to remunerate." Respecting the different construction of the verbs donare, exuere, and others with the accusat. of the thing, and the dative of the person, see § 418.

[§ 462.] Note 2. The adjectives denoting full and empty are sometimes joined with the ablative although as adjectiva relativa they take a genitive (see § 436). Refertus, filled, as a participle of the verb refercio has regularly the ablative, and it is only by way of exception that, according to the analogy of plenus, it takes the genitive; e.g. Cic. p. Font. 1.: referta Gallia negotiatorum est, plena civium Romanorum. Orbus, destitute; creber and densus in the sense of "thickly covered with," are found only with the ablative.

Vacuus, liber, immunis and purus are joined with the ablat. or the preposition ab. See § 468.

[§ 463.] Note 3. A genitive is sometimes joined with egeo, and frequently with indigeo; e.g. Cic.: hoc bellum indiget celeritatis; and following the analogy of plenus the verbs complere and implere are joined with a genitive not only by the poets, but by good prose writers; e.g. Cic. in Verr. v. 57.: quum completus jam mercatorum carcer esset; Cat. Maj. 14.: convivium vicinorum quotidie compleo; ad Fam. ix. 18.: ollam denariorum implere, and in Livy: spei animorumque implere, temeritatis implere.

It is obvious that with many of these verbs the ablative may justly be regarded as an ablativus instrumenti. The verb valere in the sense of "being healthy or well," takes the ablative of the part, as corpore, pedibus, stomacho; in the sense of "being strong," the ablat. joined to it is generally an ablat. instrumenti; e.g. valeo auctoritate, gratia, pecunia, armis; but in many cases it may be regarded also as an ablative of plenty, as in valere eloquentia,

equitatu valere.

[§ 464.] 7. Opus est, there is need, is used either as an impersonal verb, in which case it takes, like the verbs denoting want, an ablative, e. g. duce (exemplis) nobis opus est, or personally, in which case the thing needed is expressed by the nominative (just as aliquid mihi necessarium est), e. g. dux nobis opus est, exempla nobis opus sunt. The latter construction is most frequent with the neuters of pronouns and adjectives.

Athenienses Philippidem cursorem Lacedaemonem miserunt, ut nuntiaret, quam celeri opus esset auxilio, Nep. Milt. 4.

Themistocles celeriter quae opus erant reperiebat, Nep. Them. 1.

Note 1. The genitive of the thing needed in Livy, xxii. 51.: temporis opus esse, and xxiii. 21.: quanti argenti opus fuit, is doubtful. But when the thing cannot be expressed by a substantive, we find either the accusat. with the infinitive, or the infinitive alone, the preceding subject being understood: e.g. si quid erit, quod te scire opus sit, scribam, or quid opus est tam valde affirmare, scil. te; or the ablat. of the perfect participle is used with or without a substantive; e.g. Tacito quum opus est, clamas; Livy: maturato opus est, quidquid statuere placet; Cic. ad Att. x. 4.: sed opus fuit Hirtio convento; Liv. vii. 5.: opus sibi esse domino ejus convento. The ablat. of the supine (in u) is less frequent. Priusquum incipias, consulto, et, ubi consulueris, mature facto opus est, Sallust, Cat. 1.

Note 2. Usus est, in the sense of opus est, is likewise used impersonally, as in Livy: ut reduceret naves, quibus consuli usus non esset, of which the consul

was not in want.

[§ 465.] 8. The ablative is joined with the deponent verbs utor, fruor, fungor, potior and vescor, and their compounds abūtor, perfruor, defungor and perfungor.

Hannibal quum victoria posset uti, frui maluit, Florus.

Qui adipisci veram gloriam volet, justitiae fungatur officiis, Cic. de Off. ii. 13.

Numidae plerumque lacte et ferina carne vescebantur, Sallust, Jug. 89.

[§ 466.] Note. In early Latin these verbs were frequently joined with the accusative, but in the best period of the language it seldom occurs, and only in less correct writers. (In Nepos, Datam. 1.: militare munus fungeus is well established, but Eumen. 3.: summan imperii potiri is doubtful, and so are the passages quoted from Cicero with the accusat. See my note on de Off. ii. 23.) This, however, is the reason why even classical writers use the construction with the participle future passive, where otherwise the gerund only could have been used. (See § 657.) Potior occurs (in classical writers) also with the genitive; e.g. regni, imperii, but more especially in the phrase rerum potiri, to assume the supremacy. Apiscor and adipiscor are used by Tacitus in the same sense with a genitive (rerum, dominationis), and Horace goes so far as to join regnare (which is otherwise an intransitive verb) with a genitive, Carm. iii. 30. 12.: agrestium populorum. Utor often signifies "I have," especially when the object (the ablat.) is accompanied by another noun (substant. or adject.) in apposition; e.g. utor te amico, I have you as a friend; Nep.: Hannibal Sosilo Lacedaemonio litterarum Graecarum usus est doctore; Cic.: vide quam me sis usurus aequo, how fair I shall be towards thee.

[§ 467.] 9. The adjectives dignus, indignus and contentus are joined with the ablative of the thing of which we are worthy, unworthy, and with which we are satisfied. Dignari, to be deemed worthy, or, as a deponent, to deem worthy, is construed like dignus.

Si vere aestimare Macedonas, qui tunc fuerunt, volumus, fatebimur, et regem talibus ministris, et illos tanto rege fuisse dignissimos, Curt. iv. in fin.

Quam multi luce indigni sunt, et tamen dies oritur! Senec.

Note. Dignari is used by Cicero only as the passive of the obsolete active dignare, and that not only in the participle, but in the various tenses. The writers of the silver age use it as a deponent; e.g. Sueton. Vespas. 2.: gratias egit ei, quod se honore coenae dignatus esset, that he had thought him worthy. When joined with an infinitive, dignor with those writers signifies "I think proper to do a thing." Dignus, in poetry and unclassical prose writers, is sometimes joined with a genitive, like the Greek aξιος. When it is followed by a verb, the Latin language generally requires a distinct sentence beginning with a relative pronoun, the verb being put in the subjunctive; sometimes, however, the infinitive is used, as in English. (See § 568.) Contentus is likewise joined with the infinitive of a verb, see § 590. The ablat. with this adjective arises from the meaning of the verb contineri, of which it is, properly speaking, the participle passive; hence in a reflective sense it signifies "confining one's self to," or "satisfying one's self with a thing."

[§ 468.] 10. The verbs of removing, preventing, delivering, and others which denote separation, are construed with the ablative of the thing, without any of the prepositions ab, de or ex;

UNIV Calif - Digitized-by Microsoft ®

but when separation from a person is expressed the preposition ab is always used. The principal verbs of this class are:—
arcere, pellere, depellere, expellere, deturbare, deficere, eficere, absterrere, deterrere, movere, amovere, demovere, removere, prohibere, excludere; abire, exire, cedere, decedere, discedere, desistere, evadere, abstinere; liberare, expedire, laxare, solvere, together with the adjectives liber, immunis, purus, vacuus and alienus, which may be used either with the preposition ab or the ablative alone, e.g. liber a delictis and liber omni metu, but the verbs exolvere, exonerare and levare, although implying liberation, are always construed with the ablative alone.

The verbs which denote "to distinguish" and "to differ," viz. distinguere, discernere, secernere, differre, discrepare, dissidere, distare, abhorrere, together with alienare and abalienare, are generally joined only with the preposition ab, and the ablat. alone is rare and poetical; e.g. Tacit. Ann. i. 55.: neque ipse abhorrebat talibus studis; Ovid, Met. iii. 145.: sol ex aequo metā distabat utrāque. The verbs denoting "to differ" are construed also with the dative, and not only in poetry, but sometimes even in prose; e.g. Horat. Epist. i. 18. 4.: distat infido scurrae amicus; ibid. ii. 2. 193.: simplex hilarisque nepoti discrepat; Quintil. xii. 10.: Graecis Tuscanicae statuae differunt. The same principle is followed by the adjective diversus, as in Quintil. l.c.: Nihil tam est Lysiae diversum quam Isocrates; Horat. Serm. i. 4. 48.: (Comoedia) nisi quod pede certo Differt sermoni, sermo merus,

L. Brutus civitatem dominatu regio liberavit, Cic. p. Planc. 25. Te a quartana liberatum gaudeo, Cic. ad Att. x. 15.

Esse pro cive, qui civis non sit, rectum est non licere, usu vero urbis prohibere peregrinos sane inhumanum est, Cic. de Off. iii. 11.

Apud veteres Germanos quemcunque mortalium arcere tecto nefas habebatur, Tacit. Germ. 21.

Tu, Juppiter, hunc a tuis aris, a tectis urbis, a moenibus, a vita fortunisque civium arcebis, Cic. in Cat. i. in fin.

[§ 469.] Note 1. The verb separare itself is commonly construed with ab, but the ablative alone is also admissible; e.g. Ovid, Trist. i. 10. 28.: Seston Abydena separat urbe fretum. Evadere is joined by Cicero with ex and ab, but Livy and Sallust use it with the ablat. alone; it may take the accusat. according to § 386.; e.g. evadere amnem, flammam, insidias, silvas, but this occurs only in the silver age. Prohibere, to keep at a distance, prevent, admits of a double construction: the most common is to put the hostile thing or person in the accusative, as hostes prohibere populationibus or ab oppidis; Cic. p. Leg. Man. 7.: a quo periculo prohibete rempublicam, and in the same chapter: erit humanitatis vestrae, magnum horum civium numerum calamitate prohibere. In like manner defendere is joined with the accusative of the thing to be warded off, or of the thing or person to be defended. In

the former sense defendere is commonly used with the accusat. alone, as defendere nimios ardores solis, but ab aliquo may also be added; in the latter sense ab is very frequently joined to it, as a periculo, a vi, ab injuria. After the analogy of prohibere, the verb interdicere alicui is used almost more frequently with the ablative, aliqua re, than with the accusat. aliquid; e.g. Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 46.: Ariovistus omni Gallia interdixit Romanis; Quintil. vi. 3. 79.: quod ei domo sua interdixisset, and hence the well known formula alicui aqua et igni interdicere. See the excellent disquisition of Perizonius

on Sanctius, Minerv. p. 345. foll. ed. sexta; comp. § 418.

The dative with verbs denoting "to differ," is attested by a sufficient number of passages; but it is impossible to ascertain what was the practice with the verbs denoting "to distinguish," for there are no decisive passages. Horace says vero distinguere falsum, turpi secernere honestum, secernere privatis publica, but it is uncertain whether vero, turpi and privatis, are datives or ablatives. The poets now and then use the dative instead of ab with the ablat., with verbs denoting separation; e.g. Virg. Eclog. vii. 47.: solstitium pecori defendite; Georg. iii. 155.: oestrum arcebis gravido pecori; Horat. Carm. i. 9. 17.: donec virenti canities abest. For otherwise abesse is always joined with ab. (Comp. however § 420.) Dissentire, dissidere and discrepare, are construed also with cum, and discordare cum aliquo is more frequent than ab aliquo. The genitive, which is sometimes joined by poets to verbs of separation, is entirely Greek; e.g. Plaut. Rud. i. 4. 27.: me omnium jam laborum levas; Horat. Carm. ii. 9. 17 .: desine mollium tandem querelarum; ibid. iii. 27. 69.: abstineto irarum calidaeque rixae; ibid. iii. 17. in fin.: cum famulis operum solutis; Serm. ii. 3. 36.: morbi purgatus; and according to this analogy the genitive is used also with adjectives of the same meaning, Horat. Serm. ii. 2. 119.: operum vacuus; de Art. Poet. 212.: liber laborum; Carm. i. 22.: purus sceleris. So Tacitus, Annal. i. 49., uses diversus with the genitive instead of ab aliqua re.

[§ 470.] Note 2. The adjective alienus (strange), in the sense of "unfit" or "unsuited," is joined either with the ablative alone or with ab.; e.g. Cic. de Off. i. 13.: fraus quasi vulpeculae, vis leonis videtur, utrumque homine alienissimum est; non alienum putant dignitate, majestate sua, institutis suis; but Cicero just as often uses the preposition ab. In the sense of "disaffected" or "hostile" alienus always takes ab; e.g. homo alienus a litteris, animum alienum a causa nobilitatis habere. In the former sense of "unsuited," being the opposite of proprius (§ 411.), it may also be joined with the genitive; e. g. Cic. de Fin. i. 4.: quis alienum putet ejus esse dignitatis, and in the latter (after the analogy of inimicus) with the dative, as Cic. p. Caec. 9.: id dicit quod illi causae maxime est alienum. Alius too is sometimes found with the ablative, which may be regarded as an ablative of separation; e.g. Horat. Epist. i. 16. 20.: neve putes alium sapiente bonoque beatum; Epist. ii. 1. 239.: alius Lysippo; Phaedr. Prolog. lib. iii. 41.: alius Sejano; Varro. de R. R. iii. 16.: quod est aliud melle; Cic. ad Fam. xi. 2., in speaking of Brutus and Cassius, says: nec quidquam aliud libertate communi quaesisse. But this ablat. may also be compared with the ablat. joined to comparatives.

[§ 471.] 11. The ablative is used with esse (either expressed or understood) to denote a quality of a person or a thing (ablativus qualitatis). But the ablative is used only when the substantive denoting the quality does not stand alone (as in the

case of the genitive, see § 426.), but is joined with an adjective or pronoun-adjective. Hence we cannot say, e. g. Caesar fuit ingenio, or homo ingenio, a man of talent (which would be expressed by an adjective), but we say Caesar magno, summo, or excellenti ingenio, or homo summo ingenio.

Agesilaus statura fuit humili et corpore exiguo, Nepos.

Omnes habentur et dicuntur tyranni, qui potestate sunt perpetua in ea civitate, quae libertate usa est, Nep. Milt.

L. Catilina, nobili genere natus, fuit magna vi et animi et corporis, sed ingenio malo pravoque, Sallust, Cat. 5.

Prope (Hennam) est spelunca quaedam, infinita altitudine, qua Ditem patrem ferunt repente cum curru extitisse, Cic. in Verr. iv. 48.

Note. The explanation of the ablative of quality by the ellipsis of praeditus is only intended to suggest some mode of accounting for the fact of a substantive being joined with an ablative. With the same object in view we prefer connecting the ablative with esse or its participle ens (though it does not occur), in the absence of which a substantive enters into an immediate connection with an ablative, without being grammatically dependent upon it: claris natalibus est, he is of noble birth; vir claris natalibus, homo antiqua virtute et fide. With regard to the difference between the ablative and the genitive of quality, the genitive is more comprehensive, all ideas of measure being expressed by this case alone; but in other respects the distinction is not very clear. In general, however, it may be said, that the genitive is used more particularly to express inherent qualities, and the ablative both inherent and accidental qualities. Thus, in speaking of transitory qualities or conditions, the ablative is always used, as bono animo sum, maximo dolore eram, and Cicero, ad Att. xii. 52., by using the genitive summi animi es, suggests that he is speaking of something permanent, not merely transitory. See Krüger's Grammat. p. 532. The genitive of plural substantives is rare. Sometimes the two constructions, with the ablative and the genitive, are found combined; e.g. Cic. ad Fam. iv. 8.: neque monere te audeo, praestanti prudentia virum, nec confirmare maximi animi hominem: ibid. i. 7 .: Lentulum eximia spe, summae virtutis adolescentem; Nep. Datam. 3 .: Thyum, hominem maximi corporis terribilique facie - optima veste texit.

[§ 472.] 12. The ablative with the preposition cum is used to express the manner in which any thing is done (usually indicated by adverbs), provided the manner is expressed by a substantive; e. g. cum fide amicitiam colere; litterae cum cura diligentiaque scriptae; cum voluptate audire; cum dignitate potius cadere, quam cum ignominia servire, are equivalent to fideliter colere, diligenter scriptae, libenter audire, &c. If an adjective is joined with the substantive, the ablative alone (ablativus modi) is generally used, and the preposition cum is joined to it only

when an additional circumstance, and not an essential characteristic of the action, is to be expressed. The substantives implying manner, as modus, ratio, mos, and others, never take the preposition cum.

Thus we always read: - hoc modo scripsi; non uno modo rem tractavi; omni modo egi cum rege; aliqua ratione tollere te volunt; constituerunt qua ratione ageretur, and the like; in the same way humano modo et usitato more peccare, more bestiarum vagari, latronum ritu vivere, more institutoque omnium defendere, the genitive in these cases supplying the place of an adjective. We further say aequo animo fero; maxima fide amicitias coluit; summa aequitate res constituit, and very frequently viam incredibili celeritate confecit; librum magna cura diligentiaque scripsit, the action of the verb being in intimate connection with the adverbial circumstance. But when the action and the circumstance are considered separately, the preposition cum is used; e.g. majore cum fide auditur; conclamant cum indecora exultatione (in Quintil.); tanta multitudo cum tanto studio adest (Cic. p. Leg. Man. 24.); Verres Lampsacum venit cum magna calamitate civitatis (Cic. in Verr. i. 24.), the calamitas being only the consequence of his presence. Hence cum is also used when the connection between the subject and the noun denoting the attribute is only external; e.g. procedere cum veste purpurea; heus tu qui cum hirquina astas barba (Plaut. Pseud. iv. 2. 12.); whereas procedere coma madenti, nudis pedibus incedere, aperto capite sedere express circumstances or attributes inseparable from the subject.

Quid est aliud gigantum modo bellare cum diis, nisi naturae repugnare? Cic. Cat. Maj. 2.

Legiones nostrae in eum saepe locum profectae sunt alacri animo et erecto, unde se nunquam redituras arbitrarentur, Cic. Cat. Maj. 20.

Epaminondas a judicio capitis maximā discessit gloriā, Nep. Epam. 8.

Romani ovantes ac gratulantes Horatium accipiunt, eo majore cum gaudio, quo prope metum res fuerat, Liv. i. 25.

Miltiades (cum Parum expugnare non potuisset) Athenas magna cum offensione civium suorum rediit, Nep. Milt. 7.

Note 1. The difference observed between the ablativus modi and cum, in the case of substantives joined with adjectives, is a nicety of the Latin language, which it is difficult to explain by a rule, although it is based on sound principles. Cicero, de Orat. i. 13., in speaking of the peculiar difference between the oratorical and philosophical style, combines the two constructions: illi (the philosophers) tenui quodam exanguique sermone disputant, hic (the orator) cum omni gravitate et jucunditate explicat: by cum Cicero here denotes the additional things which the orator employs. If he had alluded only to the mode of speaking, he would have said magna gravitate rem explicat. But there are, nevertheless, some passages, in which no difference is apparent, as Cic. de Invent. i. 39: Quod enim certius legis scriptor testimonium voluntatis suae relinquere potuit, quam quod ipse magna cum cura atque diligentia scripsit? de Nat. Deor. ii. 38: impetus coeli cum

URIN Calif - Digitized by Microsoft W

admirabili celeritate movetur. The beginner must observe that the ablativus modi is more frequent than the use of cum, which, we hope, is explained in

an intelligible manner.

The ablativus modi occurs also in the words condicio or lex, in the sense of "condition," or "term," and in periculum, danger, risk; e.g. nulla condicione (like nullo pacto) fieri potest; quavis condicione pacem facere; aequa condicione disceptare; hac, ea condicione or lege ut or ne (§ 319.); meo, tuo, vestro, alicujus periculo facere aliquid (but when the substantive stands alone, we say cum periculo, that is, periculose); auspicio, auspiciis, ductu imperioque alicujus rem gerere or militare. Some cases in which the ablative is used, and which are commonly considered as ablativi modi, are in reality of a different kind: hac mente, hoc consilio feci, for example, should rather be called ablativi causae; navi vehi, pedibus ire, pervenire aliquo, capite onera ferre, vi urbes expugnare, on the other hand, are ablativi instrumenti, but they acquire the nature of an ablativus modi, if the substantive is joined with an adjective, as magna vi irruere, magna vi defendere aliquem, or they become ablatives absolute, implying a description; e.g. nudis pedibus ambulare, processit madenti coma, composito capillo, gravibus oculis, fluentibus buccis, pressa voce et temulenta. (Pseud. Cic. post Red. in Sen. 6.) See § 645. The ablat. in Cic. Lael. 15.: miror (de Tarquinio) illa superbia et importunitate si quemquam amicum habere potuit, must likewise be regarded as an ablative absolute, being the same as quum tanta ejus superbia et importunitas fuerit. As the preposition cum cannot be used in any of these cases, we may consider it as a practical rule, that the manner in which a thing is done is expressed by the ablativus modi.

In some expressions the ablative of substantives alone is found without cum. Thus we say silentio praeterire, or facere aliquid (but also cum silentio audire), lege agere; jure and injuria facere; magistratus vitio creatus is a common expression, indicating that an election had not taken place in due form. Cicero uses aliquid recte et ordine, modo et ratione, ratione et ordine fit, via et ratione disputare, and frequently also ratione alone; e. g. ratione facere, ratione voluptatem sequi (de Fin. i. 10.), with reason, i. e. in a rational way; sometimes also voluntate facere in the sense of sponte, voluntarily.

[§ 473.] Note 2. If we compare the above rules with those given under Nos. 1. and 2., the ablative expressing company alone is excluded, for company is expressed by cum, even in such cases as servi cum telis comprehensi sunt, cum ferro in aliquem invadere, when we are speaking of instruments which a person has (if he uses them, it becomes an ablativus instrumenti); further, Romam veni cum febri; cum nuntio exire, as soon as the news arrived; cum occasu solis copias educere, as soon as the sun set. It must be observed as an exception that the ancient writers, especially Caesar and Livy, in speaking of military movements, frequently omit the preposition cum, and use the ablat. alone; e. g. Liv. vii. 9.: Dictator ingenti exercitu ab urbe profectus; xxx. 11.: exercitu haud minore, quam quem prius habuerat, ire ad hostes pergit; xli. 1.: eodem decem navibus C. Furius duumvir navalis venit; i. 14.: egressus omnibus copiis, where Drakenborch gives a long list of similar expressions in Livy, with which we may compare the commentators referred to by him and Oudendorp on Caes. Bell. Gall. ii. 7. This omission of the preposition occurs also when accompanying circumstances are mentioned, and not persons; e. g. Liv. vii. 20. : quum populatione peragrati fines essent; v. 45.: castra clamore invadunt. The Greeks, especially Xenophon,

use the dative in the same way; comp. Matthiae, Greek Gram. § 405., and also Livy, x. 25.: majori mihi curae est, ut omnes locupletes reducam, quam ut multis rem geram militibus, which is an ablativus instrumenti, unless it be

explained by the analogy of the expressions mentioned above.

[§ 474.] We may add here the remark that the participles junctus and conjunctus are joined by Cicero with the ablative alone, instead of the dative (according to § 412. and 415.) or the preposition cum; e. g. ad Att. ix. 10.: infinitum bellum junctum miserrima fuga; p. Cluent. 6.: repente est exorta mulieris importunae nefaria libido, non solum dedecore, verum etiam scelere conjuncta; de Orat. i. 67.: dicendi vis egregia, summa festivitate et venustate conjuncta. See Garatoni's note on Philip. v. 7.: hujus mendicitas aviditate conjuncta in fortunas nostras imminebat. See also p. Planc. 10.; Philip. iii. 14.; Brut. 44. This construction is also found with implicatus in Cic. Phil. ii. 32., and with admixtus in de Nat. Deor. ii. 10. Compare the construction of simul in § 321.

[§ 475.] 13. a) The ablative, without a preposition, is used to express the point of time at which any thing happens. (Duration of time is expressed by the accusative, see § 395.)

Qua nocte natus Alexander est, eadem Dianae Ephesiae templum deflagravit, Cic. De Nat. Deor. ii. 27.

Pyrrhi temporibus jam Apollo versus facere desierat, Cic. De Divin. ii. 56.

Pompejus extrema pueritia miles fuit summi imperatoris, ineunte adolescentia maximi ipse exercitus imperator, Cic. p. Leg. Man. 10.

Note. Our expressions "by day" and "by night," are rendered in Latin by the special words interdiu and noctu, but the ordinary ablatives die and nocte also occur not unfrequently, as in the combination: die ac nocte, die noctuque, nocte et interdiu. Vespere or vesperi is "in the evening," see §§ 98. and 63. Ludis is also used to denote time, in the sense of tempore ludorum, and on the same principle we find Saturnalibus, Latinis, gladiatoribus, for ludis gladiatoriis. See Drakenborch on Livy, ii. 36. Other substantives which properly speaking do not express time, are used in that sense either with the preposition in (comp. § 318.), or without it; e.g. initio and principio, adventu and discessu alicujus, comitiis, tumultu, and bello; but of bello the ablat. alone is more common, if it is joined with an adjective or genitive, as bello Latinorum, Vejenti bello, bello Punico secundo, and after this analogy also pugna Cannensi for in pugna Cannensi. Thus also we say in pueritia; but when an adjective denoting time is joined to pueritia, the ablative alone is used. It is in general very rare and unclassical to use in with substantives expressing a certain space of time, as hora, dies, annus, &c., for the purpose of denoting the time when anything happens; for in tempore is used only when tempus signifies "distress" or "misery" (as it sometimes does in Cicero: in illo tempore, hoc quidem in tempore, and in Livy: in tali tempore, where we should say "under such circumstances"), and "in time," "at the right time;" but in both cases the ablative alone also occurs, and tempore in the sense of "early" has even become an adverb. An earlier form of this adverb is tempori or temperi, of which a comparative temperius is formed.

Livy (i. 18. and 57.) however has the expression in illa aetate, at that period, for which Cicero would have used the ablative alone.

[§ 476.] b) The ablative is also used to express the time before and the time after a thing happened, and ante and post are in this case placed after the ablative. The meaning, however, is the same as when ante and post are joined with the accusative in the usual order, just as we may sometimes say, in the same sense, "three years after," and "after three years," post tres annos decessit, and tribus annis post decessit. In this connection the ordinal numerals may be employed, as well as the cardinal ones: post tertium annum, and tertio anno post, are the same as tribus annis post; for by this, as by the former expressions, the Romans did not imply that a period of three full years had intervened, but they included in the calculation the beginning and the end (the terminus a quo and the terminus ad quem). If we add the not unusual position of the preposition between the adjective and the substantive (noticed above, § 324.), we obtain eight different modes of expression, all of which have the same value.

(ante) post tres annos
post tertium annum
tres post annos
tertium post annum

tribus annis post. tertio anno post. tribus post annis. tertio post anno.

When ante or post stands last (as in tribus annis post or tertio anno post), it may be joined with an accusative following it to denote the time after and before which any thing took place.

Themistocles fecit idem, quod viginti annis ante apud nos fecerat Coriolanus (ut in exilium proficisceretur, B. C. 471), Cic. Lael. 12.

L. Sextius primus de plebe consul factus est annis post Romam conditam trecentis duodenonaginta.

[§ 477.] Note. Post and ante sometimes precede the ablatives: ante annis octo, post paucis diebus (Liv. xl. 57., and elsewhere), and also before such ablatives as are used adverbially: post aliquanto, post non multo, post paulo (ante aliquanto, Cic. in Verr. ii. 18.; ante paulo, de Re Publ. ii. 4.); but the usual place of these prepositions is that mentioned above in the rule. Die post must be avoided, for it is only the ablatives in o that are used in this way.

When ante and post are joined with quam and a verb, the expression admits of great variety: we may say tribus annis postquam venerat, post tres annos quam venerat, tertio anno postquam venerat, post annum tertium quam venerat, or post may be omitted and the ablative used alone: tertio anno quam venerat,—and all these expressions have the same meaning, viz. "three years after he had come."

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft 9

[§ 478.] c) The length of time before the present moment is expressed by abhinc, generally with the accusative, but also with the ablative; e.g. Demosthenes abhinc annos prope trecentos fuit, and abhinc annis quattuor. The same is also expressed by ante, with the pronoun hic, as in Phaedrus: ante hos sex menses maledixisti mihi.

Demosthenes, qui abhinc annos prope trecentos fuit, jam tum φιλιππιζειν Pythiam dicebat, id est quasi cum Philippo facere, Cic. De Divin. ii. 57.

Note. Abhine without reference to the present moment, in the sense of ante in general, occurs only in Cic. in Verr. ii. 52.; ante, on the other hand, is used more frequently instead of abhine, Cic. Leg. Agr. ii. 18.: vos mihi praetori biennio aute personam hane imposuistis; comp. Tusc. i. 5. 9. Hand (Tursellin. i. p. 63.) observes that no ancient writer ever used an ordinal numeral with abhine, and Pliny (Hist. Nat. xiv. 4.) alone says: septimo hine anno. Sometimes the length of time before is expressed by the ablat. alone joined with hic or ille, as paucis his diebus, or paucis illis diebus, a few days ago. Respecting the difference between these pronouns, in reference to the present or past time, see § 703.; comp. Cic. in Verr. iv. 18. § 39. and c. 63. init.

[§ 479.] d) The length of time within which a thing happens is expressed by the ablative alone as well as by in with the ablative. Cicero uses the ablative alone, and introduces in only in connection with numerals (in answer to the question, "how often during a certain time?"); e. g. bis in die saturum fieri, vix ter in anno nuntium audire, sol binas in singulis annis conversiones facit, but not exclusively so. Other good authors use in when they wish to express more decidedly the idea of within, which is generally expressed by intra. (See § 300.)

Agamemnon cum universa Graecia vix decem annis unam cepit urbem, Nep. Epam. 5.

Senatus decrevit, ut legati Jugurthae, nisi regnum ipsumque deditum venissent, in diebus proximis decem Italiā decederent, Sallust, Jug. 28.

[§ 480.] Note. The ablative expressing "within a time" often acquires the signification of "after" a time, inasmuch as the period within which a thing is to happen, is passed away. Thus Tarraconem paucis diebus pervenit, in Caesar (Bell. Civ. ii. 21.), signifies "after a few days," and Sallust (Jug. 39. 4.) follows the same principle in saying: paucis diebus in Africam proficiscitur, and (ibid. 13.) paucis diebus Romam legatos mittit, for paucis diebus post. (See Kritz on Sallust, Jug. 11.) Suetonius (Ner. 3., Tib. 69.) in the same sense says in paucis diebus. This use of the ablative occurs in Cicero (and other good authors), inasmuch as the ablative of time, when followed by a preposition with a relative pronoun, signifies "later than;" e. g. Plancius in Cic.

ad Fam. x. 18.: ipse octo diebus, quibus has litteras dabam, cum Lepidi copiis me conjungam, that is, eight days after the date of this letter; p. Rosc. Am. 36.: Mors Sex. Roscii quatriduo, quo is occisus est, Chrysogono nuntiatur, four days after he had been killed; Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 48.: accidit repentinum incommodum biduo, quo haec gesta sunt, two days after this had happened; v. 26.: diebus circiter xv., quibus in hiberna ventum est, defectio orta est; also with quum instead of a relative pronoun, Plancius in Cic. ad Fam. x. 23.: quem triduo, quum has dabam litteras, expectabam, three days later than the date of this letter. Sometimes in is joined with the abl., Terent. Andr. i. 1.77.: in diebus paucis, quibus haec acta sunt. moritur.

[§ 481.] 14. The ablative without a preposition is used to denote the place where? in some particular combinations, as terra marique, by land and by sea. The names of towns follow their own rules (§ 398.). The preposition is omitted with the word loco (and locis), when it is joined with an adjective, and has the derivative meaning of "occasion;" e. g. hoc loco, multis locis, aliquot locis, certo loco, secundo loco, meliore loco res nostrae sunt; but this is done more rarely when locus has its proper meaning of "spot" or "place." In loco, or simply loco, is equal to suo loco, in its right place; when joined with a genitive, loco signifies "instead," and in this sense in loco is used as well as loco (also numero) alicujus esse, ducere, habere. Libro joined with an adjective or pronoun, as hoc, primo, tertio, is used without in, when the whole book is meant, and with in when merely a portion or passage is meant.

The poets know of no limits in the use of the ablative without in to denote a place where? e.g. Ovid, Met. vii. 547.: silvisque agrisque viisque corpora foeda jacent, any more than in the use of the accusative to denote the place whither? (See § 401.) They further use the ablative without ex or ab to indicate the place whence? without limiting themselves to the verbs of separation (§ 468.); e.g. cadere nubibus, descendere coelo, labi equo, currus carceribus missi.

[§ 482.] Note. The writers of the silver age imitated the poets, and began more and more to use the ablative without a preposition to designate the place where? Livy, for example, says: aequo dimicatur campo, medio alveo concursum est, medio Etruriae agro praedatum profectus, ad secundum lapidem Gabina via considere jubet (ii. 11.), ad moenia ipsa Romae regione portae Esquilinae accessere; in the special signification of regio, a division of the city, Suetonius always uses it without in, e.g. regione campi Martii, and others go still further. The ablative denoting the place whence? likewise appears in the prose of that time, e.g. Taeit. Ann. xii. 38.: ni cito vicis et castellis proximis subventum foret, for e vicis. With regard to ordinary prose, it only remains to observe, that the ablative joined with the adjective toto or

tota is generally used without in; e. g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 9.: urbe tota gemitus fit; in Verr. v. 35.: concursabat tota urbe maxima multitudo; p. Leg. Man. 11., and very often toto mari; Philip. xi. 2.: tota Asia vagatur; p. Leg. Man. 3.: tota Asia, tot in civitatibus; in Verr. ii. 49.: tota Sicilia per triennium nemo ulla in civitate senator factus est gratis; in Verr. iv. 19.: conquiri hominem tota provincia jubet; sometimes, however, we find in tota provincia, and in toto orbe terrarum; Caes. Bell. Civ. i. 6.: tota Italia delectus habentur; Livy frequently uses toto campo dispersi, and Curtius: ignes qui totis campis collucere coeperunt, cadavera totis campis jacentia.

[§ 483.] 15. The ablative is used with adjectives in the comparative degree, instead of quam with the nominative, or in the construction of the accusative with the infinitive, instead of quam with the accusative of the subject; e. g. Nemo Romanorum fuit eloquentior Cicerone; neminem Romanorum eloquentiorem fuisse veteres judicarunt Cicerone. The ablative instead of quam with the accusative of the object occurs more rarely, but when the object is a relative pronoun, the ablative is generally used. Vilius argentum est auro, virtutibus aurum, Horat. Epist. Sapiens humana omnia inferiora virtute ducit, Cic. Tusc. Phidiae simulacris, quibus nihil in illo genere perfectius videmus, cogitare tamen possumus pulchriora, Cic. Orat. 2.

[§ 484.] Note 1. The ablative, instead of quam, with the accusative of the object, is found very frequently in poetry: e.g. Horat. Carm. i. 8. 9.: Cur olivum sanguine viperino cautius vitat? i. 12. 13.: Quid prius dicam solitis parentis laudibus ? i. 18. 1.: Nullam, Vare, sacra vite prius severis arborem, &c. In prose it is much more uncommon, though well established; e. g. Cic. de Re Publ. i. 10.: Quem auctorem de Socrate locupletiorem Platone laudare possumus? p. Rab. 1.: Est boni consulis suam salutem posteriorem saluti communi ducere; Caes. Bell. Gall. vii. 19.: nisi eorum vitam sua salute habeat cariorem; Val. Maxim. v. 3. ext. 2.: Neminem Lycurgo aut majorem aut utiliorem virum Lacedaemon genuit. This construction is more frequent with pronouns; and Cicero often uses such phrases as hoc mihi gratius nihil facere potes; but it is necessary in the connection of a comparative with a relative pronoun, e.g. Liv. xxxviii. 53.: Scipio Africanus Punici belli perpetrati, quo nullum neque majus neque periculosius Romani gessere, unus praecipuam gloriam tulit; Curt. vi. 34.: Hic Attalo, quo graviorem inimicum non habui, sororem suam in matrimonium dedit. But the ablat. instead of quam with any other case was never used by a Roman. Quam with the nomin. or accusat., on the other hand, frequently occurs, where the ablative might have been employed; e.g. Livy: melior tutiorque est certa pax, quam sperata victoria, which in the infinitive would be meliorem esse certam pacem putabat quam speratam victoriam. If the verb cannot be supplied from the preceding sentence, as in the passages just quoted (where est and esse are thus supplied), quam-est or quam-fuit must be expressly added; e.g. Gellius, x. 1.: Haec verba sunt M. Varronis, quam fuit Claudius, doctioris; Cic. in Verr. iv. 20.: Argentum reddidisti L. Curidio, homini non gratiosiori, quam Cn. Calidius est; Senec. Consol. ad Polyb. 34.:

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft @

Drusum Germanicum minorem natu, quam ipse erat, fratrem amisit. But when an accusative precedes, quam may follow with the same case, just as if esse preceded; Terent. Phorm. iv. 2. 1.: Ego hominem callidiorem vidi neminem quam Phormionem, instead of quam Phormio est. Cicero (ad Fam. v. 7.) combines both constructions: Ut tibi multo majori quam Africanus fuit (he could not have said quam Africano) me non multo minorem quam Laelium (he might have said quam fuit Laelius) et in republica et in amicitia adjunctum esse patiare. Comp. p. Planc. 12. 30. Hence, instead of the ablative in the sentence quoted above, neminem Lycurgo majorem Lacedaemon genuit, we may say quam Lycurgum or quam Lycurgus fuit, the latter of which constructions is more frequent.

The ablatives opinione, spe, aequo, justo, solito, dicto, are of a peculiar kind, and must be explained by quam est or erat; e. g. Cic. Brut. init.: opinione omnium majorem animo cepi dolorem, greater than the opinion of all men was that it would be; Virgil: dicto citius tumida aequora placat, quicker than the word was spoken. Quam pro, joined to a comparative, signifies "than in proportion to;" e.g. Liv. xxi. 29.: proelium atrocius quam pro

numero pugnantium editur.

In poetry alius, another, is sometimes treated like a comparative, and construed with the ablative, instead of atque with the nomin or accus. See § 470. The poets further sometimes use atque instead of quam. See § 340.

[§ 485.] Note 2. Minus, plus, and amplius (or non minus, haud minus, &c.), when joined to numerals and some other words denoting a certain measure or portion of a thing, are used with and without quam, generally as indeclinable words, and without influence upon the construction, but merely to modify the number; e.g. Liv. xxxix. 31.: non plus quam quattuor milia effugerunt, not effugit; Nep. Thras. 2.: non plus habuit secum quam triginta de suis (plures would rarely be used in such a case); Cic. Brut. 18.: pictores antiqui non sunt usi plus quam quattuor coloribus, not pluribus; Liv. xxvii. 25 .: negabant unam cellam amplius quam uni deo rite dedicari. Quam is omitted very frequently, and with all cases; e.g. Liv. xxiv. 16.: minus duo milia hominum ex tanto exercitu effugerunt; xxxvi. 40.: plus pars dimidia ex quinquaginta milibus hominum caesa sunt; Cic. ad Att. v. 1.: quo magis erit tibi videndum, ut hoc nostrum desiderium ne plus sit annuum; Tusc. ii. 16.: milites Romani saepe plus dimidiati mensis cibaria ferebant; Terent. Adelph. ii. 1. 45.; plus quingentos colaphos infregit mihi; Liv. iii. 64.: si vos minus hodie decem tribunos plebis feceritis; xl. 2.: quum plus annum aeger fuisset; xxx. 27.: sedecim non amplius eo anno legionibus defensum imperium est; Cic. in Verr. ii. 57.: minus triginta diebus Metellus totam triennii praeturam tuam rescidit. These examples prove the omission of quam in connection with the other cases. Its omission with the dative is attested by Propertius, ii. 19. 18. (iii. 19. 32.): et se plus uni si qua parare potest; i.e. for more than for one; and why should we not say mille amplius hominibus quotidie panem dedit? It must be observed that these comparatives are sometimes inserted between the words which they modify; e. g. Tacit. Hist. iv. 52.: decem haud amplius dierum frumentum in horreis fuit; Liv. i. 18.: centum amplius post annos; and sometimes, when joined with a negative, they follow the words they modify as a sort of apposition; Liv. xl. 31.: quinque milium armatorum, non amplius, relictum erat praesidium, a garrison of 5000 soldiers, not more. Sometimes, however, the ablative is used with these comparatives as with others, instead of quam with the nomin. or accus.; e.g. Liv. xxiv. 17.: eo

die caesi sunt Romanis minus quadringentis; Cic. in Verr. iii. 48.: nemo minus tribus medimnis in jugerum dedit; p. Rosc. Com. 3.: quamobrem hoc nomen triennio amplius in adversariis relinquebas, instead of the more common amplius triennium, as above. Comp. also in Verr. iv. 43.: hora amplius moliebantur. Longius is used in the same way; see Caes. Bell. Gall. v. 53.: Gallorum copias non longius milia passuum octo ab hibernis suis afuisse; but vii. 9.: ne longius triduo ab castris absit; iv. 1.: apud Suevos non longius anno remanere uno in loco incolendi causa licet.

[§ 486.] Note 3. The English word "still," joined with comparatives, is expressed by adhuc only in the later prose writers, as Senec. Epist. 49.: Punctum est quod vivimus et adhuc puncto minus. In the classical language etiam, and sometimes vel, are equivalent to the English "still."

[§ 487.] 16. The ablative is used to express the measure or amount by which one thing surpasses another, or is surpassed by it. Paulo, multo, quo, eo, quanto, tanto, tantulo, aliquanto, hoc, are to be considered as ablatives of this kind. Altero tanto signifies "twice as much;" multis partibus is the same as multo.

Hibernia dimidio minor est quam Britannia, Caes.

Homines quo plura habent, eo cupiunt ampliora, Just.

Diogenes disputare solebat, quanto regem Persarum vita fortunaque superaret, Cic. Tusc. v. 32.

[§ 488.] Note 1. We thus perceive that these ablatives are joined not only with comparatives, but with verbs which contain the idea of a comparison with other things, as malle, praestare, superare, excellere, antecellere antecedere, and others compounded with ante. Also with ante and post, their meaning being "earlier," and "later." Hence multo ante, much earlier; non multo post, not much later, or not long after. As to multo with a superlative, see § 108. In the case of plus there may be some ambiguity. The words in Cicero (de Nat. Deor. i. 35.) uno digito plus habere might mean "to have more than one finger," and Liv. ii. 7 .: uno plus Etruscorum cecidit, more than one man fell on the part of the Etruscans. But this is the reason why in this sense (according to § 485.) we usually say plus unum digitum habere, plus unus Etruscorum; and with the ablat, the meaning is, "to have one finger more," viz. than we have, that is six; and, "on the part of the Etruscans one man more," viz. than on the part of their enemies. But still it would be clearer to say uno plures digitos habere, uno plures Etrusc. ceciderunt, as in Liv. v. 30.: una plures tribus antiquarunt. Respecting the difference between aliquanto and paulo, see § 108: aliquanto has an affirmative power, "considerably more," nearly the same as "much more;" paulo like pauci is of a negative nature, "a little more," where the "little" may imply a great deal, and the word paulo may have been chosen with a view to represent it as little. An excellent passage to prove this is Cic. p. Quint. 12.: Si debuisset, Sexte, petisses statim; si non statim, paulo quidem post; si non paulo, at aliquanto; sex quidem illis mensibus profecto; anno vero vertente sine controversia:

Note 2. Multum, tantum, quantum, and aliquantum are sometimes used adverbially with a comparative, instead of the ablat. multo, tanto, quanto, and

aliquanto; e. g. Terent. Eunuch. i. 2. 51.: ejus frater aliquantum ad rem est avidior; Val. Maxim. iv. 1. 1.: quantum domo inferior, tantum gloria superior evasit. Sometimes they are used only to avoid ambiguity, Liv. iii. 15.: quantum juniores patrum plebi se magis insinuabant, eo acrius contra tribuni tendebant; Juven. x. 197.: multum hic robustior illo. Cicero uses tantum and quantum in this way only in connection with antecedere, excellere, and praestare; e. g. de Off. i. 30.; Orat. 2. § 6.; p. Leg. Man. 13.; de Re Publ. ii. 2.: but both multum and multo praestare. The adverb tam—quam with a comparative, instead of tanto—quanto, is rare and poetical. Longe (far) alone is frequently used for multo, in prose as well as in poetry.

[§ 489.] 17. The ablative is governed by the prepositions ab (a, abs), absque, clam, coram, cum, de, ex (e), prae, pro, sine, tenus (is placed after its case); by in and sub when they answer to the question where? and by super in the sense of de, "concerning," or "with regard to." Subter is joined indifferently either with the ablative or the accusative, though more frequently with the latter.

The preposition in is generally joined with the ablative even after the verbs of placing (pono, loco, colloco, statuo, constituo, and consīdo), although strictly speaking they express motion: on the other hand, in is commonly used with the accusative after the verbs advenire, adventare, convenire, commeare, although we say, "to arrive at" or "in a place," and not "into." When the place at which a person arrives is expressed by the name of a town, the accusative alone is used, and when by an adverb, we must use huc, quo, and not hic, ubi, &c.; e. g. advenit in Italiam, in provinciam, advenit Romam, Delphos, adventus huc tuus.

In is used with either case after the verbs of assembling (congregare, cogere, constipare, and others), concealing (abdere, condere, abscondere, abstrudere), and including (includere, concludere). It must however be observed, that the accusative is preferred when an action is indicated, and the ablative, when a state or condition (in the participle perfect passive). Sometimes these verbs take an ablativus instrumenti, e. g. abdere se litteris, includere carcere, verba concludere versu, which is the case most frequently with implicare.

Aegyptii ac Babylonii omnem curam in siderum cognitione posuerunt, Cic. de Divin. i. 42.

Herculem hominum fama, beneficiorum memor, in concilio coelestium collocavit, Cic. de Off. iii. 5.

[§ 490.] Note. The compounds of pono sometimes have in with the ablative and sometimes with the accusative, but more frequently the former; e.g. aliquem in numero deorum, spem in felicitate reponere. Imponere takes in with the accusat. (unless it is joined with the dative, according to § 415.); e.g. milites in naves, corpus in plaustrum; sometimes, however, it has, like pono, in with the ablat., e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 20.: imposuistis in cervicibus nostris sempiternum dominum. In like manner, defigere, insculpere, inscribere, and inserere (unless they are joined with the dative) are usually construed with in with the ablative; e. g. natura insculpsit in mentibus nostris; nomen suum inscribunt in basi; legati in vultu regis defixerunt oculos. and similar things arise from a mixture of two ideas, that of the action implied in the verb, and that of the result, and hence in with the ablative is preferable after the preterites of doubtful verbs. In with the accusative after esse and habere occurs only in obsolete formulae, as esse (habere) in potestatem, and others. See § 316. In custodiam haberi, and in carcerem asservari in Livy, viii. 20. and xxii. 25., are irregularities.

[§ 491.] "To do anything with a person," is expressed in Latin by facere with de, and more frequently with the simple ablative or dative: quid facius hoc homine, or huic homini? and in the passive voice quid de me fiet? what will become of me? quid pecuniae fiet? what will become of the money? Cicero: quid illo myoparone factum sit. It is never expressed by cum, for

facere cum aliquo signifies "to be of a person's party."

CHAP. LXXV.

VOCATIVE CASE.

[§ 492.] THE vocative is not in immediate connection with either nouns or verbs, but is inserted to express the object to which our words are addressed.

Note. It only remains to observe, that the vocative is usually placed after one or two words of a sentence; at least, it is not placed at the beginning without some special reason, and the interjection O is used only when we are speaking with great animation or emotion. The poets not uncommonly adopt the Attic practice of using the nominative instead of the vocative; e. g. Terence: o vir fortis atque amicus! Horat. de Art. Poet. 292.: Vos o Pompilius sanguis! In some instances the same practice occurs in prose, as Liv. i. 24.: audi tu, populus Romanus! viii. 9.: agedum pontifex publicus populi Romani, praei verba, quibus me pro legionibus devoveam. The nominat. in apposition to the vocat. occurs in Juvenal, iv. 24.: tu, succinctus patria quondam, Crispine, papyro; other poets, on the contrary, by a mixture of two constructions, use the vocative of words which, belonging to the verb, ought to be in the nominative; e.g. Virg. Aen. ii. 283.: quibus, Hector, ab oris expectate venis? ix. 485.: heu! canibus date — jaces; Pers. i. 123.: Quicunque afflate Cratino - aspice. Comp. iii. 28. The passage of Pliny (Hist Nat. vii. 31.), in which Cicero is addressed: salve primus omnium parens patriae appellate, primus in toga triumphum linguaeque lauream merite! is of a different kind, primus signifying "being the first."

Jan Calil - Digitized by Microsoft 9

III. USE OF THE TENSES.

CHAP. LXXVI.

[§ 493.] 1. THE tenses of the Latin verb are used on the whole in the same way as those of the English verb, with the exception of one great peculiarity, which is explained in § 498. (Comp. § 150.) The only general rule that can be laid down is this: we must first determine whether the action or condition to be expressed falls in the present, the past, or the future, and in what relation it stands to other actions or conditions with which it is connected. For example, I was writing, and I had written, are both actions belonging to the past, but in regard to their relation they differ, for in the sentence, "I was writing when the shot was heard," the act of writing was not completed when the shot was heard; whereas in the sentence "I had written, when my friend arrived," the act of writing was completed when the other (the arrival of my friend) occurred. The same difference exists between, I shall write to-morrow, and I shall have written to-morrow; between I am writing to-day, i. e. I am engaged in an act not yet terminated, and I have written to-day, which expresses an act already terminated. This last is the proper signification of the Latin perfect, as advēnit pater, the father has arrived, that is, he is here now. Horace, at the close of a work says: exegi monumentum aere perennius; and Ovid: jamque opus exegi. An orator, at the conclusion of his speech, says: dixi, that is, "I have done," and Virgil (Aen. ii. 325.), with great emphasis: fuimus Troes, fuit Ilium, i. e. we are no longer Trojans, Ilium is no more.

Note. Other grammarians distinguish three relations of an action: 1. an action is lasting, that is, incomplete; 2. it is completed; and 3. not yet commenced. But the distinction between a completed and a not completed action excludes every thing else, for an action either is taking place or has taken place: a third is impossible, and an action not yet commenced does not exist as an action, except in the imagination. The tenses, for the sake of which other grammarians have recourse to a third relation (scripturus sum, eram, ero, fui, fueram, fuero), form in our opinion a distinct conjugation, in which the action is described as intended (I am, was, have been, &c. intending to write). Comp. § 169.

[§ 494.] 2. The Latin language therefore has two tenses for each of the three great divisions of time,—past, present, and future; one expressing a complete and the other an incomplete action. And the six tenses of the Latin verb are thus the result of a combination of time and relation.

scribo, I write, or am writing — present time, and action going on.
 scripsi, I have written, — present time, and action terminated.
 scribebam, I wrote, or was writing, — past time, and action going on.
 scripseram, I had written, — past time, and action terminated.
 scribam, I shall write, or be writing, — future time, and action not completed.
 scripsero, I shall have written, — future time, and action completed.

Note. It is not difficult to see why in the conjugation of verbs we preferred that order of the tenses which is based upon the relation which they bear to one another. (Comp. § 150.) But in syntax, the above arrangement and division is necessary for the purpose of presenting a clear view of the kindred nature of the present and perfect (for both are presents, as far as time is concerned), and of the use of the two futures.

3. The passive has the same tenses with the same meaning; but with this difference, that they do not express an action, but a condition or suffering, as we may call it.

[laudor, I am praised, — present time, and condition still going on.
laudatus sum, I have been praised, — present time, and condition terminated.

\[
\begin{array}{ll} laudabar, I was praised, — past time, and condition going on. \\
\begin{array}{ll} laudatus eram, I had been praised, — past time, and condition terminated. \\
\begin{array}{ll} laudabor, I shall be praised, — future time, and condition not completed. \\
\begin{array}{ll} laudatus ero, I shall have been praised, — future time, and condition completed. \end{array}
\]

The participle perfect passive, however, is also used in [§ 495.] Note. the sense of an adjective to express a lasting condition; e.g. scripta epistola, a written letter, and in this sense the participle may be joined with all the six tenses of esse; as, epistola scripta est, erat, erit, fuit, fuerat, fuerit. All this may be said in Latin; but the question here is only as to how the tenses of the passive voice are formed by the combination of the participle perfect passive with sum, eram, and ero. We here repeat (see § 168.), that laudatus fueram and laudatus fuero are sometimes used as passive tenses for laudatus eram and laudatus ero, which arose from a desire to express by the auxiliary verb esse the terminated condition already implied in the participle perfect passive. Thus Livy (xxiv. 30.) says: ceterum Leontinorum nemo - violatus fuerat, nobody had been injured; Pompey in Cic. ad Att. viii. 12. (C.): si copiae in unum locum fuerint coactae, when they shall have been collected. In like manner the subjunctive laudatus fuissem is equivalent to laudatus essem; e.g. Ovid, Metam. vi. 156.: si non sibi visa fuisset; Heroid. vii. 140.: si Punica non Teucris pressa fuisset humus, - and laudatus fuerim to laudatus sim. In the infinitive laudatum fuisse, the participle is generally to be considered as an adjective.

- [§ 496.] 4. The tenses of the present and past time, that is, the present, perfect, imperfect and pluperfect, have also a subjunctive mood, as scribam, scripserim, scribebam, scripsissem, and in the passive, scribar, scriptus sim, scriberer, scriptus essem. For the relations in which the subjunctive is required, see Chap. LXXVIII. As tenses, these subjunctives do not differ from the signification of the indicative.
- 5. Neither the active nor the passive voice has a subjunctive of the future, and the deficiency is supplied by other means. When the idea of futurity is already implied in another part of the proposition, the other tenses of the subjunctive supply the place of the future subjunctive, and the perfect and pluperfect that of the future perfect. The choice of one or other of these four subjunctives is to be determined by the time expressed by the leading verb of the proposition, and by the relation of the action, being either completed or not completed, e. g. Affirmo tibi, si hoc beneficium mihi tribuas, me magnopere gavisurum, and affirmabam tibi, si illud beneficium mihi tribueres, magnopere me gavisurum. It is clear that tribuas and tribueres here supply the place of the future subjunctive, for in the indicative we say si mihi tribues - magnopere gaudebo. Again, Affirmo tibi, si hoc beneficium mihi tribueris, me quamcunque possim gratiam tibi relaturum, and affirmabat mihi, si illud beneficium ipsi tribuissem, se quamcunque posset gratiam mihi relaturum, where tribueris and tribuissem supply the place of the future perfect, for in the indicative we should say si hoc beneficium mihi tribueris (from tribuero), quamcunque potero gratiam tibi referam, when you shall have shown me this kindness. The same is the case in the passive voice: affirmo tibi, si hoc beneficium mihi tribuatur, me magnopere gavisurum; affirmabam tibi, si illud beneficium mihi tribueretur, magnopere me gavisurum; affirmo tibi, me, si hoc beneficium mihi tributum sit (or fuerit), quamcunque possim gratiam tibi relaturum; affirmabam tibi, si illud beneficium mihi tributum esset (or fuisset), quamcunque possem gratiam me tibi relaturum.

Note. This rule is not affected by the supposition (which was a subject of dispute even in ancient times; see Gellius, xviii. 2.; Perizon. on Sanct. Minerv. i. 13. note 6.), that tribuerim, which we called above a perfect sub-

junctive, is in these cases the subjunctive of the future perfect. It is quite certain that this form is used wherever the subjunctive of the future perfect is wanted; e.g. Plaut. Pseud. i. 1. 89.: Quis mi igitur drachmam reddet, si dederim tibi? Cic. ad Fam. i. 7. 9.: Haec profecto vides, quanto expressiora, quantoque illustriora futura sint, quum aliquantum ex provincia atque ex imperio laudis accesserit; de Leg. Agr. ii. 20. : Putant, si quam spem exercitus habeat, hanc non habiturum, quum viderit. That it is a perfect may be inferred even from the manner in which the pluperfect of the subjunctive is used instead of the subjunctive of the future perfect, and in which the passive of this tense is expressed. As the question is beyond all doubt, we shall quote, in confirmation, only classical passages: Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 38.: ostendit, si sublata sit venditio bonorum, illum pecuniam grandem amissurum esse; Horat. Serm. i. 1. 32.: Hac mente laborem sese ferre, senes ut in otia tuta recedant, ajunt, quum sibi sint congesta cibaria; Tacit. Hist. iv. 57.: quum spoliati fuerint quieturos. But Madvig (in the dissertation above referred to, p. 174.) has proved that the form tribuerim is at the same time the subjunctive of the future perfect, and other applications of this form thus receive their correct explanation. We retain the designation of perfect subjunctive merely for the sake of convenience.

[§ 497.] If no future has gone before, and the construction of the sentence requires the subjunctive, the participle future active is employed for this purpose, with the appropriate tense of the verb esse. This paraphrased conjugation (conjugatio periphrastica), as it is called, properly expresses an intended action (see § 498.); but the subjunctives with sim and essem are used also as regular subjunctives of the future, the idea of intention passing over into that of futurity; e.g. Non dubito quin rediturus sit, I do not doubt that he will return; non dubitabam quin rediturus esset, I did not doubt that he would return. perfects rediturus fuerim and rediturus fuissem retain their original meaning, implying intention; e.g. non dubito quin rediturus fuerit, I do not doubt that he has had the intention to return. (It is only in hypothetical sentences that this meaning passes over into that of the pluperfect subjunctive, of which we shall speak hereafter.) If we want simply to express futurity, we must use the circumlocution with futurum sit and futurum esset; e. g. nescio num futurum sit, ut cras hoc ipso temporc jam redierit, and nesciebam num futurum esset, ut postridie eo ipso tempore jam redisset. This same circumlocution must be employed in the passive of which the participle future implies necessity, and cannot be used in the sense of a simple future; e.g. non dubito, quin futurum sit, ut laudetur, I do not doubt that he will be praised; multi non dubitabant, quin futurum esset,

Light Calif - Diguized by Microsoft of

ut Caesar a Pompejo vinceretur, that Caesar would be conquered by Pompey.

[§ 498.] 6. The conjugatio periphrastica, which is formed by means of the participle future active and the auxiliary verb esse, is peculiar to the Latin language, and is used to express an intended action, or, in the case of intransitive verbs, a state or condition which is to come to pass (the Greek μέλλειν). It has its six tenses like the ordinary conjugation. The realization depends either on the will of the subject or on that of others, or upon circumstances. In the first case we say in English, "I intend," or "am on the point of," and in the others, "I am to" (be, or do a thing), i. e. others wish that I should do it; e. g. Sallust, Jug. 5.: Bellum scripturus sum, quod populus Romanus cum Jugurtha gessit, I am on the point of writing, or intend to write; Varro, De Re Rust. iii. 16.: Quum apes jam evoliturae sunt, consonant vehementer, when they are on the point of flying out; Cic. De Fin. ii. 26: Me ipsum igitur ames oportet, non mea, si veri amici futuri sumus, if we are to be friends; Cat. Maj. 22.: Quare si haec ita sunt, sic me colitote, ut deum: sin una est interiturus animus cum corpore, vos tamen memoriam nostram pie servabitis, which is not equivalent to interibit, as interiturus est intimates that it is the opinion of others; Tacit. Agr. 46.: Quidquid ex Agricola amavimus, manet mansurumque est in animis hominum, i. e. is to remain. Imperfect: Liv. xxviii. 28.: Illi sicut Mamertini, in Sicilia Messanam, sic Rhegium habituri perpetuam sedem erant, they intended to keep Rhegium. Future: Cic. De Invent. i. 16: Attentos faciemus auditores, si demonstrabimus, ea, quae dicturi erimus, magna, nova, incredibilia esse; De Orat. ii. 24: hoc ei primum praecipiemus, quascunque causas erit acturus, ut eas diligenter penitusque cognoscat; i. 52: (orator) eorum, apud quos aliquid aget aut erit acturus, mentes sensusque degustet oportet. The future perfect occurs only in one passage of Seneca, Epist. ix. 14: Sapiens tamen non vivet, si fuerit sine homine victurus, if he should be under the necessity of living.

The perfect and pluperfect likewise occur in their proper signification; e.g. Cic. p. Lig. 8: Quid facturi fuistis? I ask, what did you intend doing there? Liv. xxviii. 28.: Vos cum Mandonio consilia communicastis et arma consociaturi fuistis, you have had the intention of uniting your arms with theirs;

Univ Calif - Dight 2dd by Microsoft W

Justin, xiii. 5.: Alexander excursurus fuerat cum valida manu ad Athenas delendas, had had the intention of marching, &c. Scripturus fui, however, most frequently acquires the signification of a pluperfect subjunctive, when it occurs in a sentence containing the result of, or inference from an hypothetical sentence (which is either untrue or impossible), according to the rule explained in § 518, that the Latins commonly use the indicative of a preterite with verbs implying possibility, viz. that in the time past something might have happened; e. g. Liv. ii. 1: Quid enim futurum fuit, si illa plebs agitari coepta esset tribuniciis procellis? Curt. iv. 38.: Mazaeus, si transeuntibus flumen supervenisset, haud dubie oppressurus fuit incompositos, equivalent to accidisset and oppressisset.

The subjunctives of these tenses are used in the same way as the corresponding tenses of the indicative, if the construction of a sentence requires the subjunctive. Hence scripturus fuerim, in hypothetical sentences, takes the place of a pluperfect subjunctive, and that not only after a present tense, as Liv. xxxi. 7.: Quis enim dubitat, quin, si Saguntinis impigre tulissemus opem, totum in Hispaniam aversuri bellum fuerimus; but also after preterites, as Liv. iv. 38.: nec dubium erat, quin, si tam pauci simul obire omnia possent, terga daturi hostes fuerint; xxii. 32.: adeo est inopia coactus (Hannibal), ut, nisi tum fugae speciem abeundo timuisset, Galliam repetiturus fuerit; Cic. Ad Att. ii. 16.: (Pompejus ἐσοφίζετο) quid futurum fuerit, si Bibulus tum in forum descendisset, se divinare non potuisse. The pluperfect subjunctive itself, however, occurs in Livy, xxviii. 24. 2, and xxxviii. 46. 6.

[§ 499.] 7. The participle future passive in ndus, or the participle of necessity (participium necessitatis), in combination with the tenses of the verb esse, forms another distinct conjugation denoting future necessity and not future suffering, for epistola scribenda est, for example, does not signify "the letter is about to be written," which is expressed by the simple future epistola scribetur, but "the letter must be written," there being either an internal or external necessity for its being written, either of which is expressed in English by "the letter is to be written." This conjugation may accordingly be regarded as the passive of the *conjugatio periphrastica*. The tenses are the same as those of the auxiliary verb esse, and in

so far do not differ from the general rule; c. g. the future: Tibull.iv. 5. init: Qui mihi te, Cerinthe, dies dedit, hic mihi sanctus atque inter festos semper habendus erit; future perfect, in Quintil. xi. 2. 27: Si longior complectenda memoriā fuerit oratio, proderit per partes ediscere. But it is to be observed with regard to these tenses of necessity, that, as in the active conjugatio periphrastica, the tenses of the past (imperfect, pluperfect, and the historical perfect) are used at the same time, in hypothetical sentences, as the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive of the verb debeo, I must; e. g. Sulpicius in Cic. Ad Fam. iv. 5: Quae si hoc tempore non suum diem obisset, paucis post annis tamen ei moriendum fuit, i. e. she would have been obliged to die.

[§ 500.] 8. The perfect indicative, both active and passive, has in Latin, besides its signification of an action terminated at the present time, that of an aorist, that is, it is used to relate events of the past, which are simply conceived as facts, without any regard to their being terminated or not terminated, in respect to each other; e. g. Itaque Caesar armis rem gerere constituit, exercitum finibus Italiae admovit, Rubiconem transiit, Romam et aerarium occupavit, Pompejum cedentem persecutus est, eumque in campis Pharsalicis devicit. In English the imperfect is used to relate events of the past, and hence we translate the above passage: - Caesar resolved to use armed force, he advanced with his army to the frontiers of Italy, passed the Rubicon, took possession of Rome and the treasury, pursued Pompey, and defeated him in the plain of Pharsalus. But the Latin imperfect is never used in this sense; it always expresses an incomplete or continuing action or condition of the past time, the ancient correct rule being perfecto procedit, imperfecto insistit oratio.

Note 1. But even in historical narrative actions or conditions may be represented as continuing, and we may introduce, e.g., into the above narrative things which are conceived as continuing, and are accordingly expressed by the imperfect: Caesar armis rem gerere constituit: videbat enim inimicorum in dies majorem fieri exercitum, suorum animos debilitari, reputabatque appropinquare hiemem; itaque exercitum admovit, &c. Comp. the examples in § 599. The Latin language observes this difference between the perfect and imperfect indicative so strictly, that even the worst writers do not violate the rule. An Englishman therefore must be very cautious not to transfer the aoristic meaning of the English imperfect to the Latin language. In Latin the perfect and imperfect are sometimes very significantly put in juxta-

Unity Calif - Digitized by Microsoft in

position; e. g. Liv. ii. 48.: Aequi se in oppida receperunt, murisque se tenebant (receperant describing the momentary act or simple fact, and tenebant the continued action); Cic. Tusc. i. 30.: Ita enim censebat, itaque disseruit (the last word introducing the speech delivered upon a particular occasion). The following passage of Cicero (Orat. 38.) is striking, but most strictly correct: Dicebat melius quam scripsit Hortensius, for the imperfect makes us think of the time during which he spoke, whereas the perfect expresses simply an opinion as a sort of résumé. Comp. dé Divin. ii. 37. § 78. It only remains here to mention the use of the imperfect in historical narrative, among perfects, to denote actions which remained incomplete. The explanation is implied in the foregoing remarks. In Tacitus, e. g., we read, Ann. ii. 34. : Inter qua L. Piso ambitum fori, corrupta judicia—increpans, abire se et cedere urbe testabatur, et simul curiam relinquebat. Commotus est Tiberius, et quamquam Pisonem mitibus verbis permulsisset, propinquos quoque ejus impulit, ut abeuntem auctoritate vel precibus tenerent. The imperfect relinquebat is used here to indicate that his intention of leaving the curia was not carried into effect, for he was repeatedly stopped, and at last he remained. This is quite in accordance with the signification of the imperfect (see Pliny, Hist. Nat. Praef. lib. i. § 26., where he speaks of the signature of Greek artists ἐποίει), and also occurs elsewhere, even in Cicero (comp. Div. in Caec. 17. 6 55.), although otherwise he expresses the same meaning by a circumlocution with coepit.

Conticuere omnes, intentique ora tenebant:

Inde toro pater Aeneas sic orsus ab alto (est), Virg. Aen. ii. init.

[§ 501.] Note 2. In Latin, as in many modern languages, the present tense is often used instead of the agrist of the past, when the writer or speaker in his imagination transfers himself to the past, which thus becomes to him present, as it were. Narrators by this figure frequently render their descriptions very animated; but in regard to dependent sentences, they often regard such a present as a regular perfect, and accordingly use the imperfect or pluperfect in the dependent sentence which follows. E. g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 18.: Quod ubi Verres audivit, sic cupiditate inflammatus est non solum inspiciendi, verum etiam auferendi, ut Diodorum ad se vocaret ac poscere (pocula). Ille, qui illa non invitus haberet, respondet se Lilybaei non habere: Melitae apud quendam propinguum suum reliquisse. Tum iste (Verres) continuo mittit homines certos Melitam: scribit ad quosdam Melitenses, ut ea vasa perquirant: rogat Diodorum, ut ad illum suum propinquum det litteras: nihil ei longius videbatur, quam dum illud videret argentum. Diodorus, homo frugi ac diligens, qui sua servare vellet, ad propinquum suum scribit, ut iis, qui a Verre venissent, responderet, illud argentum se paucis illis diebus misisse Lilybaeum. We here see how the historical present is followed both by the present and the imperfect subjunctive, and on the whole, the imperfect is perhaps the more frequent of the two. Cic. in Cat. iii. 6.: Deinde L. Flaccus et C. Pomptinus, praetores, quod eorum opera forti usus essem, laudantur.

[§ 502.] 9. The peculiar character of the Latin imperfect therefore is to express a repeated action, manners, customs and institutions, which are described as continuing at some given period of the past time, and is invariably used where in English the compound tense, "I was writing," "he was waiting," is employed.

Socrates dicere solebat (or dicebat), omnes in eo, quod scirent, satis esse eloquentes, Cic. De Orat. i. 14.

Anseres Romae publice alebantur in Capitolio.

Note 1. An action often repeated, however, may also be conceived as a simple historical fact, and accordingly be expressed by the perfect. Hence we may say, Socrates solitus est dicere, just as well as solebat; but the idea is different. Solebat reminds us of the whole period of his life; whereas solitus est describes the habit of Socrates simply as an historical fact.

[§ 503.] Note 2. It is a peculiarity of the epistolary style in Latin that the writer transfers himself to the time at which the letter is read by the person to whom it is addressed; and hence the writer speaks of actions and conditions in the same terms as he would use if he were present at the moment the letter is received. In consequence of this he frequently uses the imperfect and perfect, where in English we should use the present; e.g. Haec scribebam media nocte, I write this at midnight (or scripsi haec media nocte, when the action is to be described as a completed one, and not as going on at the time); Novi nihil nunc erat apud nos, siquidem certa tibi afferri vis, there are no news here; Quae ad eam diem, quum haec scribebam, audiveramus, inanis rumor videbatur. Dicebant tamen, &c., what we have heard till the moment I write this, &c.; but people say, &c. As these preterites are only formal, they may be joined with the adverbs nunc, etiamnunc; instead of which real preterites would require tunc and etiantum. Comp. Cic. ad Att. v. 16. 4.; xvi. 3. 6.; ad Quint. Frat. iii. 1. 2. But this peculiarity is very frequently not observed.

[§ 504.] 10. The perfect subjunctive has not this meaning of an aorist, but is always used to express a terminated action with reference to the present time, and thus completely answers to the perfect in English. The imperfect subjunctive, on the other hand, in historical narratives, has the aorist sense of the perfect indicative, when past events are mentioned (with the conjunction ut), without reference to the action or condition being completed or not.

This difference is easily perceived; e.g. puer de tecto decidit, ut crus fregerit, "the boy has fallen from the roof, so that he has broken his leg," is not a narrative, but the statement of an event completed at the present time; but puer de tecto decidit, ut crus frangeret, "the boy fell from the roof, so that he broke his leg," is a real historical narrative, for the perfect decidit is here used in its aorist sense, and the imperfect subjunctive supplies its place in the dependent sentence.

A comparison with the English language thus leads to this conclusion, that the perfect and imperfect subjunctive are used in Latin in the same sense as in English; but the perfect indicative in Latin, as an historical tense, answers to the English

imperfect, and the Latin imperfect indicative to the English paraphrased tense with "I was" and a participle.

Note. The principle of the Latin language relative to the use of the perfect indicative and the imperfect subjunctive in historical narratives is attested by so many passages that it is unnecessary here to mention any in confirmation of it. But we must observe that Latin writers nevertheless sometimes use the perfect subjunctive in the historical sense, which properly belongs only to the indicative of this tense. This may have arisen from a feeling that there ought to be a tense to express actions in their progress in a dependent sentence (in the subjunctive), since the imperfect originally and properly expressed a continued and incomplete action; and in this manner we account for the perfect subjunctive which now and then occurs in Cicero after the historical forms inventus est or fuit; e.g. p. Muren. 11.: inventus est scriba quidam, Gn. Flavius, qui cornicum oculos confixerit et singulis diebus ediscendos fastos populo proposuerit, &c.; in Verr. iv. 26.: Nulla domus in Sicilia locuples fuit, ubi ille non textrinum instituerit; for after all, if the construction is altered so as to make the dependent sentence independent, we are obliged to use the historical tense, i. e. the perfect indicative. But the prevailing custom was to assign to the imperfect subjunctive the sense of an agrist; and the perfect subjunctive in an historical narrative can only be regarded as an exception from the rule, however frequently it may occur. Nepos uses the perfect subjunctive in this sense more frequently than other writers; and he thereby shows his desire, in his short historical sketches, to put the facts one by the side of the other, rather than to give a progressive historical narrative. For example, in his life of Hannibal, where he says: Hic autem velut hereditate relictum odium paternum erga Romanos sic conservavit, ut prius animam, quam id, deposuerit - Antiochum tanta cupiditate incendit bellandi, ut usque a rubro mari arma conatus sit inferre Italiae, we at once perceive this character of his style; though in other passages he uses the imperfect subjunctive, and gives to his narrative a real historical character. In Livy, too, the perfect subjunctive is found in this sense, but only now and then, and more for the sake of variety than on any definite principle; hence, when in i. 3. he says: Tantum tamen opes creverant, ut movere arma nec Mezentius nec ulli alii accolae ausi sint, instead of the more usual auderent, it cannot affect the general rule concerning the consecutio temporum.

[§ 505.] 11. The duration and completion of an action in reference to another are expressed in Latin more accurately than in English, by the *imperfect* and *pluperfect*. When one action must be completed before another can begin, the former is invariably expressed by the pluperfect; e. g. quum domum intrasset, quum in forum venisset, animadvertit; quum amicum conspexisset, dixit, &c., "when he had entered the house, he perceived." We are less accurate in saying "when I entered the house, I perceived," or "I entered the house, and perceived." But this cannot be done in Latin, and the pluperfect is used wherever the relation of the actions permits it. Examples are extremely numerous.

Lysander quum per speculatores comperisset, vulgum Atheniensium in terram exisse navesque paene inanes relictas, tempus rei gerendae non dimisit, Nep. Alcib. 8.

Note. Considering this general accuracy of the Latin language in expressing the natural succession of actions, which is evident also in the application of the participle perfect (see § 635.), it is the more surprising, that in interrogative expressions the imperf. subjunct. is used so frequently, where we should have expected the pluperfect; e. g. Cic. Tusc. v. 37.: Socrates quum rogaretur (for rogatus esset) cujatem se esse diceret, Mundanum, inquit.

[§ 506.] It must be observed here, 1) that the conjunction dum (while, as) is generally joined with the present indicative, even when events of the past time are spoken of, and when we should consequently expect either the imperfect or perfect; e.g. dum paucas res retinere nolo, omnes fortunas perdidi, Cic. Divin. 17.; dum expectat quidnam sibi certi afferatur, ante noctem non discessit; dum ego in Sicilia sum, nulla statua dejecta est, in Verr. ii. 66. 2) That in historical narratives the conjunctions postquam (or posteaquam), ubi, ubi primum, ut, ut primum, quum primum, simul ut, simul ac, simul atque (or simul alone), all of which are equivalent to the English "as soon as," are generally joined with the historical perfect, and not with the pluperfect, as might be expected from the succession of the actions indicated by these conjunctions. Hence we say, ubi illud audivit, nuntium ad regem misit; ut Lacedaemonem venit, adire ad magistratus noluit; simulatque provincia ei obvenit, statim quaerere coepit, &c. Dum ea Romani parant consultantque, jam Saguntum summa vi oppugnabatur, Liv. xxi. 7.

Unus ex captivis domum abiit, quod fallaci reditu in castra jurejurando se exsolvisset. Quod ubi innotuit relatumque ad senatum est, omnes censuerunt comprehendendum et custodibus publice datis deducendum ad Hannibalem esse, Liv. xxii. 61.

[§ 507. a.] Note 1. Dum (while), with the present, occurs very frequently; but it is very surprising to find it sometimes used by Livy in transitions from one event to another; for example, at the beginning of the 38th book: Dum in Asia bellum geritur, ne in Aetolis quidem quietae res fuerant. Comp. Drakenborch on Livy, i. 40.; Heinrich on Cic. Part. ined. p. 75.; Heindorf on Horace, Sat. i. 5. 72. However, that the present is not absolutely necessary, is proved by such passages as Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 32.: Dum Sulla in aliis rebus erat occupatus, erant interea, qui suis vulneribus mederentur; Liv. x. 36.: dum haec in Apulia gerebantur, Samnites—non tenuerunt; Nep. Hann. 2.: Quae divina res dum conficiebatur, quaesivit a me. The perfect also is sometimes joined with dum, as de Fin. ii. 13.: dum voluerunt—sustulerunt. Dum in the sense of quamdiu (as long as), however, when referring to the past time, is regularly joined with the imperfect.

THE COM - Digital by Microsoft III

[§ 507. b.] With regard to our rule respecting the conjunctions which signify "as soon as," it is a remarkable point that the Latins, contrary to their usual practice, here neglect to express that one action was completed before the second began. The perfect is less necessary, for its place is supplied not only by the historical present (which is easily explained from § 501.), but frequently by the imperfect, at least in connection with the principal conjunction postquam; e.g. Liv. i. 54.: Itaque, postquam satis virium collectum videbat, e suis unum Romam ad patrem mittit; iii. 46.: postquam nemo adibat, domum se recepit; and so in many other passages of Livy. But the surprising point is, that the pluperfect is not used, even where the completion of the action introduced by those conjunctions is manifest; e.g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 6.: posteaquam victoria (nobilitatis) constituta est ab armisque recessimus - erat ille Romae frequens. There are only few exceptions in which the pluperfect is used; as Cic. in Verr. iv. 24.: posteaquam tantam multitudinem collegerat emblematum—instituit officinam Syracusis; and hence the ordinary mode of explaining an ablative absolute by postquam with the pluperfect cannot be approved of. It is only in descriptions of repeated conditions in the past time that the pluperfect is indispensable, as Nep. Alcib 1.: Idem simulac se remiserat neque causa suberat, quare animi laborem perferret, luxuriosus reperiebatur. Postquam is further joined with the pluperfect when a long or a definite space of time intervenes between a preceding and a subsequent event, so that there is no connection between them; e. g. Nep. Hann. 8.: Hannibal anno tertio, postquam domo profugerat, cum quinque navibus Africam accessit. It is remarkable to find also the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive joined with postquam, as in Cicero, p. Leg. Man. 4.: qui posteaquam maximas aedificasset ornassetque classes — legatos ac litteras misit; and p. Cluent. 64. 181.; ad Fam. ii. 19. They may, however, be explained from § 570. The passage p. Reg. Dejot. 13. 36. is doubtful.

[§ 508.] Note 2. The pluperfect is sometimes used by historians instead of the historical tense merely to express the rapidity with which actions succeed one another, one being described as already completed before any thing else could begin; e. g. Curt. x. 17.: Nec muris urbis luctus continebatur, sed proximam regionem ab ea, deinde magnam partem Asiae cis Euphraten tanti mali fama pervaserat. Here the pluperfect is used without reference to a subsequent action, and is equivalent to the English "the

report immediately spread," &c.

[§ 509.] 12. In the use of the two futures the Latin language is likewise more accurate than the English. For when a future action is spoken of, either in the future or in the imperative (or in the subjunctive used imperatively), and another is joined with it, which has not yet come to pass, the latter also is put in the future if the actions are conceived as continuing together, and in the future perfect, if the one must be completed before the other can begin. This is perfectly in accordance with the ideas expressed by these tenses; but it must be specially mentioned, because in English we often use the present instead of the future, especially in the case of the verbs "I can" and "I will;" e. g. faciam si potero, I shall do it, if I can; facito hoc, ubi voles, do it when you will; because owing to the awkward-

ness of the future perfect, we frequently supply its place either by the simple future or by the present; e.g. Cic. De Orat. ii. 65.: ut sementem feceris, ita metes, as you sow, so will you reap. We must here draw particular attention to the application of the future perfect in hypothetical sentences, where the conclusion depends upon the fulfilment of the preceding condition; e.g. si invenero, tecum communicabo, for which we very inaccurately say, "when I find it," or "when I have found it."

Naturam si sequemur ducem, numquam aberrabimus, Cic. De Off. i. 28.

Adolescentes quum relaxare animos et dare se jucunditati volent, caveant intemperantiam, meminerint verecundiae, Cic. De Off. i. 34.

De Carthagine vereri non ante desinam, quam illam excisam esse cognovero, Cic. Cat. Maj. 6.

Malevolentiae hominum in me, si poteris, occurres, si non potueris, hoc consolabere, quod me de statu meo nullis contumeliis deterrere possunt, Cic. ad Fam. xi. 11.

[§ 510.] Note. When the leading sentence contains the present imperative, si is often joined with the present, as defende si potes (Cic. Philip. ii. 44.); perfice si potes (Cic. Tusc. i. 8.); expone nisi molestum est (ibid. i. 12.); and hardly ever with the future. (See Chap. LXXIX.) The present with si, instead of the future, is sometimes found also in other connections; e.g. Cic. in Verr. i. 2.: Si reus condemnatur, desinent homines dicere, his judiciis pecuniam plurimum posse, sin absolvitur, desinemus nos de judiciis transferendis recusare; and very frequently in the comic poets. The rule, however, is that the future should be used. Attention was above directed to the practice of using the future of the verbs posse and velle with the conjunction si, and with the same accuracy these verbs are used in the future perfect, when the possibility or the intention of doing a thing must be proved before the action relating to it can take place. Hence we say si voluero, si potuero, si licuerit, si placuerit, si otium habuero, instead of which we should use either the present or future; e. g. Cic. Tusc. i. 43.: Veruntamen, Crito, si me assequi potueris, sepelito; de Re Publ. i. 43.: Tum fit illud, quod apud Platonem est luculente dictum, si modo id exprimere Latine potuero; de Leg. ii. 18.: Plato, si modo interpretari potuero, his fere verbis utitur, for he must have made the attempt to translate Plato before he can make him speak. See Heinrich on Cic. de Re Publ. p. 48. foll.

[§ 511.] We add the following remarks on the further use of the future perfect. As this tense expresses a future action as completed, it acquires the meaning of the simple future, implying, however, the rapidity with which the action will be completed. This occurs, in the first place, when another future perfect, or any other tense supplying its place, is contained in the leading sentence, so that the two actions are contemporaneous; e.g. Cic. ad Fam. x. 13.: Qui M. Antonium oppresserit, is bellum confecerit; in Verr. ii. 62.: Da mihi hoc (i. e. si hoc mihi dederis), jam tibi maximam partem defensionis praecideris; Liv. xxii. 54.: non aggrediar narrare, quae edissertando

An Cale Delivery of the pasti-

(i.e. si edissertavero) minora vero fecero; Cic. ad Att. v. 1.: Tu invita mulieres, ego accivero pueros. But the future perfect has the meaning of a quickly completed future action also without any such express relation to another action; e.g. Cic. p. Planc. 33.: sed medius fidius, multo citius meam salutem pro te abjecero, quam Cn. Plancii salutem tradidero; ad Att. iii. 19.: Nusquam facilius hanc miserrimam vitam vel sustentabo, vel, quod est melius, abjecero; ix. 7.: De triumpho tibi assentior: quem quidem totum facile ct libenter abjecero; de Re Publ. i. 13.: Nihil est adhuc disputatum, et quoniam est integrum, libenter tibi, Laeli, ut de eo disseras, equidem concessero. This is particularly frequent with the future perfect videro, because the act of seeing is most easily accomplished; e.g. Liv. viii. 33.: Videro cessurusne provocationi sis, cui rex Romanus Tullus Hostilius cessit, which is not irreconcilable with the expressions mox, post, alias, alio loco videro, for a rapid completion can only be spoken of at the moment when the action is beginning; e.g. Cic. de Fin. i. 10. 35.: quae fuerit causa, mox videro; de Re Publ. ii. 9.: habuit plebem in clientelas principum descriptam, quod quantae fuerit utilitati, post videro; Acad. ii. 44.: recte secusne, alias viderimus. Hence this mode of speaking generally implies, that for the moment a thing is to be dismissed from our thoughts, and can scarcely be taken into serious consideration. the comic writers the future perfect is still more frequently used instead of the simple future.

[§ 512.] 13. The tenses of the indicative may be connected in any way which the intention of the speaker may require; e.g. I am writing now, but this time yesterday I took a walk; I know the person whom you will see to-morrow. But in dependent sentences, that is, in the subjunctive, similar tenses alone can be connected with one another, that is, the tenses of the present (present and perfect) and the tenses of the past (imperfect and pluperfect). In the rules respecting what is usually called the succession of tenses, but more correctly the dependence of sentences upon one another, everything depends upon the time, for the present time is suited only to the present, and the past to the past; the relation of an action depending only upon itself, is never doubtful. Hence we have only to remember, that the perfect naturally, and in the subjunctive always, expresses the present time, and that consequently

The Present and Perfect are followed by a Present and

Perfect, and

The Imperfect and Pluperfect by an Imperfect and Pluperfect;

E. g. scio quid agas and scio quid egeris; audivi quid agas and audivi quid egeris; but sciebam quid ageres, and sciebam quid egisses; audiveram quid ageres, and audiveram quid egisses.

Note. The Latin language, however, is not so constrained as not to be able, in cases where the sense requires it, to make presents dependent upon preterites, and preterites upon presents. It is sometimes necessary that a

preterite should be followed by a present, viz. when the result of a past action extends to the present time; e.g. Cic. Brut. 88.: Ardebat autem Hortensius cupiditate dicendi sic, ut in nullo unquam flagrantius studium viderim, that is, that up to this time I have never seen; Nep. Aristid. 1.: Quamquam adeo excellebat Aristides abstinentia, ut unus post hominum memoriam cognomine Justus sit appellatus: tamen a Themistocle collabefactus testula illa exilio decem annorum multatus est. Here too the perfect subjunctive makes the dependent sentence proceed from the past, or the time to which the action of the leading verb belongs; and the result combined with the author's opinion is extended to the present time: "he was the only one in the whole range of history, down to the present time, that was surnamed the Just." Such variations must be admissible, although no special rule is given on their account, for they do not often occur. (Comp. my note on Cic. in Verr. v. 10. in fin., and Cic. de Fin. ii. 20. init.) A preterite, on the other hand, might follow a present, when the dependent sentence is to express a continuing action in the past, as in Cic. in Verr. v. 11.: Scitote oppidum esse in Sicilia nullum ex iis oppidis, in quibus consistere praetores et conventum agere soleant, quo in oppido non isti delecta mulier ad libidinem esset (esset here alludes to the whole period of the praetorship), but such sentences can only be considered as exceptions, and fuerit would be more regular. There are also passages in ancient writers, which cannot be explained, and must be considered as irregularities; see my note on Cic. in Verr. i. 30. 75., and thus we sometimes find, especially in Caesar, an irregular transition from the preterite of the leading verb to the present of the dependent one. We cannot here enter upon the detail of such matters, and we shall only add the remark that when the hypothetical imperfect subjunctive is followed by a present or perfect subjunctive, the above rule is not violated, because the imperfect of the subjunctive refers to the present time; e.g. Sallust, Cat. 7.: Memorare possem (differs from memorare possum only by the hypothetical form of the expression), quibus in locis maximas hostium copias populus Romanus parva manu fuderit, quas urbes, natura munitas, pugnando ceperit, ni ea res longius nos ab incepto traheret. (Fudisset would have continued the hypothetical expression, but actual facts are here meant.) But even in cases like this the imperfect is generally used in the dependent sentence for the sake of the succession of tenses, as Cic. de Fin. i. 8.: Quid enim me prohiberet Epicureum esse, si probarem quae ille diceret, quum praesertim illa perdiscere ludus esset, where we should have expected dicit and sit; ad Fam. xiii. 66.: A. Caecinam non commendarem tibi, quum scirem, qua fide in tuos soleres esse, nisi me patris ejus memoria moveret, where we might say sciam and soleas. Similar expressions occur frequently; comp. Cic. Philip. v. 18. in fin.; de Off. ii. 14. in fin.; Tusc. i. 21. init.

[§ 513.] The simple rule respecting the succession of tenses becomes somewhat difficult through the double signification of the perfect indicative. In the above rule it was treated only as the present of a completed action (in which sense it is equivalent to the English perfect); but as it is at the same time an agrist of the past (see § 500.), it is also connected with the tenses of the past time, viz. with the imperfect and pluperfect. In this sense the Latin perfect is translated by the English imperfect.

The above rule therefore will be completed by the following addition:—

The historical perfect is followed by the imperfect and pluperfect.

E. g. Audivi quid ageres and audivi quid egisses. The two meanings of the perfect and their influence upon the tense of the dependent verb may be seen in the following sentences:—

Verres Siciliam per triennium ita vexavit ac perdidit, ut ea restitui in antiquum statum nullo modo possit, says Cicero (in Verr. iv. init.) with reference to the actual state of Sicily.

Conon quum patriam obsideri audisset, non quaesivit, ubi ipse tuto viveret, sed unde praesidio posset esse civibus suis, says Nepos (Con. 2.) in speaking of past events.

[\$ 514.] Note 1. We may in general be guided by the English language, as we translate the Latin historical perfect by our imperfect. It must, however, be observed that the Latins, owing to the very frequent use of the perfect as an agrist of the past or an historical tense, became so accustomed to its connection with the imperfect, that in many cases they used this tense even where the Latin perfect is equivalent to the English perfect; but this occurs only when there is a possibility of conceiving the action in its progress, and not merely its conclusion or result. Thus Cicero (in Verr. i. 1.) says: adduxi enim hominem, in quo satisfacere exteris nationibus possetis, in whom you may satisfy, &c. In the same manner Q. Cicero says at the close of an explanation (de Petit. Cons. 4.): quoniam quae subsidia novitatis haberes, et habere posses, exposui, nunc de magnitudine petitionis dicam. In these sentences we should require adduxi hominem, in quo satisfacere possitis, and quoniam exposui, quae subsidia habeas et habere possis, which would not be wrong by any means, but it would be against the usage of the Latin language; for the Latins conceived the action in its duration, while we describe it together with its result by the perfect, and this is the case more especially when the acting person had an intention accompanying him from the beginning to the end of the action. We say, for example, "I have done this that you may see," and the Latin feci hoc, ut intelligas, would not be wrong, but as it was my intention from the beginning, it is preferable to say feci hoc, ut intelligeres, although I am not relating events, but speaking with reference to the present time. (Comp. Cic. Philip. ix. 2. § 5., where restaret is quite correct.) Hence such sentences as: diu dubitavi num melius sit, saepe mecum cogitavi quidnam causae sit, would sound strange to a Latin ear, and the more correct mode of speaking is: diu dubitavi num melius esset and saepe cogitavi quidnam causae esset, and the words diu and saepe indicate that the perfects dubitavi and cogitavi are conceived, as it were, as an aggregate of single doubts and thoughts, which themselves belong to the past time, while the conclusion extends to the present. But the rule is not upset by this remark, for when the sentence following does not refer to the separate parts of the action, but exclusively to the result, the perfect is followed by the present; e.g. Cic. ad Fam. v. 6.: Ego meis rebus gestis hoc sum assecutus, ut bonum nomen existimer; Eutrop. viii. 2.: Trajanus rempublicam ita administravit, ut omnibus principibus merito praeferatur. These are the results of completed

actions, and not intentions continuing along with the actions. The present may be used in subordinate and dependent sentences, even after an historical perfect, if that which is to be expressed is universal, and not valid for that time only which is indicated by the leading verb; e.g. Justin, xxxi. 8.: Antiocho pacem petenti ad priores condiciones nihil additum, Africano praedicante, neque Romanis, si vincantur, animos minui, neque, si vincant, secundis insolescere. Here the presents express the fact of the Romans not losing their courage in misfortune and of their not being insolent in prosperity, as peculiar characteristics of the Romans, and as true at all times; if the imperfect had been used, it would not indeed have been implied that at any other time the statement was not true, but the universality would

not have been so clearly expressed.

[§ 515.] Note 2. The remaining question now is this: when the leading verb is a present, or (according to § 516.) a future, and the infinitive of a completed action is dependent on it, is it necessary to put the verbs dependent upon this infinitive in the present or the preterite, that is, the imperf. or pluperf. subjunctive? The answer to this question depends upon another, viz. as to whether on changing the infinitive into the perfect indicative this tense is the real perfect or the aorist? When this is ascertained, the decision is easy according to the two preceding paragraphs, and we may say, e.g. satis mihi multas causas attulisse videor, quamobrem tibi in Italiam proficiscendum sit, I think I have mentioned to you sufficient reasons why you should go to Italy; and in this manner Cicero (p. Cluent. 24.) says: nisi docet, ita se possedisse (that he has taken possession), ut nec vi nec clam nec precario possederit. But the usage of the Latin language is nevertheless different, the perfect infinitive being like the perfect indicative (§ 514.), usually followed either by the imperfect or pluperfect subjunctive. Hence the above sentence should be quamobrem in Italiam tibi proficiscendum esset; comp. Cic. p. Leg. Man. 10.: satis mihi multa verba fecisse videor, quare esset hoc bellum genere ipso necessarium, magnitudine periculosum, although reference is here made to the present time, and although we should say: "why this war is necessary;" in Verr. i. 12.: hoc me profiteor suscepisse magnum fortasse onus et mihi periculosum, verumtamen dignum, in quo omnes nervos aetatis industriaeque meae contenderem. Both tenses are found combined in Cic. p. Caec. 13.: Quid proficies, quum illi hoc respondebunt tibi, quod tu nunc mihi: armatos tibi obstitisse, ne in aedes accederes, dejici porro nullo modo potuisse, qui non accesserit.

[§ 516.] The futures are similar to the tenses of the present, for only that which is past stands apart and by itself. Hence, a future is followed by a present or a perfect, e. g. mox intelligam, quantum me ames or amaveris, but not quantum me amares or amasses. The same is the case with the future perfect: si cognovero, quemadmodum te geras or te gesseris. But as the four subjunctives of the conjugatio periphrastica (formed by the future participle and esse) are regarded as subjunctives of the futures, we must add, that these paraphrased tenses may be dependent upon preterites (see the examples in § 497.), and that a mutual dependence exists between the presents and futures,

but only a partial one between the preterites and futures, since the futures only may depend upon preterites, but not vice versa; e. g. ignorabam quid dicturus esset, but not discam quid heri faceres for discam quid heri feceris.

The complete rule respecting the succession of tenses therefore is this: the tenses of the present and future, i. e. the present, perfect (in its proper sense), and the two futures are followed by the tenses of the present, i. e. by the present and the perfect subjunctive; and the tenses of the past, i. e. the imperfect, pluperfect, and the historical perfect, are followed by the tenses of the past, i. e. by the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive.

IV. OF THE MOODS.

CHAP. LXXVII.

INDICATIVE MOOD.

[§ 517.] 1. THE indicative is used in every proposition the substance of which is expressed absolutely and as a fact, e. g. I go, thou wrotest, he believed.

Hence the indicative is used even in the expression of conditions and suppositions with the particles si, nisi, etsi and etiamsi, if without that expression an event is supposed actually to take place or (with nisi) not to take place.

Mors aut plane negligenda est, si omnino extinguit animum, aut etiam optanda, si aliquo eum deducit, ubi sit futurus aeternus, Cic. Cat. Maj. 19.

Si feceris id, quod ostendis, magnam habebo gratiam, si non feceris, ignoscam, Cic. ad Fam. v. 19.

Adhuc certe, nisi ego insanio, stulte omnia et incaute fiunt, Cic. ad Att. vii. 10.

Ista veritas, etiamsi jucunda non est, mihi tamen grata est, Cic. ad Att. iii. 24. in fin.

Note. The conjunctions si and nisi express nothing else but a relation of one sentence to another; that is, the relation of condition or exception: one

d h Norosof

thing is on condition that another is; and one thing is, except in the case of another being, &c. Sentences which stand in this relation to each other are expressed by the indicative, i. e. objectively or in the form of reality. All expression of our own opinion is avoided, for this would be expressed by the subjunctive. In using the indicative, I donot express any opinion as to the possibility or impossibility of a thing; but, without any comment, I suppose a thing as actual, or (with nist) I make an exception, which may be or may not be, but which I take as actual for the sake of the inference.

[§ 518.] 2. The following peculiarities deserve to be noticed as differing from the English.

The verbs oportet, necesse est, debeo, convenit, possum, licet, and par, fas, aequum, justum, consentaneum est, or aequius, melius, utilius, optabilius est, are put in the indicative of a preterite (imperf., pluperf., and the historical perfect), where we should have expected the imperfect or pluperfect subjunctive. The imperfect indicative in this case expresses things which are not, but the time for which is not yet passed; and the perfect and pluperfect indicative things which have not been, but the time for which is passed; e. g. Cic. in Cat. i. 1.: Ad mortem te duci jam pridem oportebat, i. e. thy execution was necessary and is still so; hence it ought to take place. In going back to the beginning, however, the speaker might have used the pluperfect with this meaning: "thy execution ought to have taken place long ago." Cic. de Fin. iii. 10.: perturbationes animorum poteram ego morbos appellare, sed non conveniret ad omnia, I might have called them, and might do so still; Cic. ad Att, ii. 1.: si mihi omnes, ut erat aequum, faverent, it was fair, and is still fair, but it does not happen to be the case. The perfect and pluperfect, on the other hand, clearly express that all is over; e.g. Cic. ad Fam. iv. 16.: Volumnia debuit in te officiosior esse, et id ipsum, quod fecit, potuit facere diligentius; p. Muren. 25.: Catilina erupit e senatu triumphans gaudio, quem omnino vivum illinc exire non oportuerat; Curt. iii. 9.: longe utilius fuit angustias aditus occupare, it would have been much better to occupy the pass. In the paraphrased conjugation with the participle future active and passive, too, the preterites of the indicative very frequently have the meaning of a subjunctive; e. g. Ovid, Her. xvi. 152.: tam bona constanter praeda tenenda fuit, ought to have been kept. This is the case more especially in hypothetical sentences. (§ 519.) The subjunctive in independent sentences is much less frequent than the indicative; e. g. Nep. Epam. 4.: Plurima quidem proferre possemus, sed modus adhibendus est.

Chaldaei oculorum fallacissimo sensu judicant ea, quae ratione atque animo videre debebant, Cic. de Divin. ii. 43.

Aut non suscipi bellum oportuit, aut geri pro dignitate populi Romani, Liv. v. 4.

Is (Tib. Gracchus) fugiens decurrensque clivo Capitolino, fragmine subsellii ictus, vitam, quam gloriosissime degere potuerat, immatura morte finivit, Vell. Pat. ii. 3.

[§ 519. a.] Note 1. This indicative supplying the place of the subjunctive. is frequently retained even when an hypothetical sentence with the imperfect or pluperfect subjunctive is added; and it is here in particular that the indicative of the preterites of the paraphrased conjugation is employed; e. g. Cic. Philip. ii. 38.: Omnibus eum contumeliis onerasti, quem patris loco, si ulla in te pietas esset, colere debebas; Sallust, Jug. 85.: quae si dubia aut procul essent, tamen omnes bonos rei publicae consulere decebat; Liv. xlii. 34.: Quodsi mihi nec omnia stipendia emerita essent, necdum aetas vacationem daret, tamen aequum erat me dimitti; Cic. p. Leg. Man. 17.: Quodsi Gn. Pompejus privatus esset hoc tempore, tamen erat mittendus. With the perfect, Liv. xxxii. 12.: deleri totus exercitus potuit, si fugientes persecuti victores essent; Cic. de Re Publ. i. 6.: Consul esse qui potui, nisi eum vitae cursum tenuissem; in Vatin. 1.: Etenim debuisti, Vatini, etiamsi falso venisses in suspicionem P. Sextio, tamen mihi ignoscere; in Verr. iii. 61.: Quem hominem, si qui pudor in te, atque adeo si qui metus fuisset, sine supplicio dimittere non debuisti, hunc abs te sine praemio discedere noluisti; p. Milon. 11.: quodsi ita putasset, certe optabilius Miloni fuit dare jugulum; ibid. 22.: quos nisi manumisisset, tormentis etiam dedendi fuerunt; Petron. 94.: Si te non invenissem, periturus per praecipitia fui. See also §§ 498. and 499. But the subjunctive is also admissible, as in Cic. in Cat. iii. 7. in fin.: dedendi fuissent; and p. Lig. 7. in fin.: periturus fuissem (according to the common reading); de Divin. ii. 8. § 21.

Res publica poterat esse perpetua, si patriis viveretur institutis et moribus, Cic. de Re Publ. iii. 29.

Nisi felicitas in socordiam vertisset, exuere jugum potuerunt, Tacit. Agr. 31.

[§ 519. b.] Independent of this use of the indicative, instead of the subjunctive, to express that which might or should have taken place, the historians use the indicative of a preterite instead of the pluperfect subjunctive to express that which would actually have taken place, in sentences containing the inference from an hypothetical sentence, although the premises are not true. This figure (i. e. a mode of expression differing from the ordinary one) which is only intended to render a description more animated, is used in the first place when a part of the inference has already come to pass, and would have been completely realised, if something else had occurred or more frequently, if some obstacle had not been thrown in the way, whence the adverb jam is frequently added; e. g. Liv. iv. 52.: jam fames quam pestilentia tristior erat, ni annonae foret subventum; Tacit. Hist. iii. 46.: jamque castra legionum excindere parabant, ni Mucianus sextam legionem opposuisset; the same is also expressed by coepisse, in such passages as Tacit. Agr. 37.: Britanni degredi

paulatim et circumire terga vincentium coeperant: ni id ipsum veritus Agricola quattuor equitum alas venientibus opposuisset. Without the adverb jam; e.g. Tacit. Ann. i. 35.: Germanicus ferrum a latere deripuit, elatumque deferebat in pectus (thus much he actually did do, and he would have accomplished his design), ni proximi prensam dextram vi attinuissent; Tacit. Ann. iii. 14.: effigies Pisonis traxerant in Gemonias ac divellebant (and would have entirely destroyed them), ni jussu principis protectae forent. The perfect and pluperfect are likewise used in this sense, and a thing which was never accomplished is thus, in a lively manner, described as completed: Sueton. Caes. 52.: et eadem nave paene Aethiopia tenus Aegyptum penetravit, nisi exercitus sequi recusasset; paene or prope is frequently added in such cases (even without an hypothetical sentence, as prope oblitus sum, I had nearly forgotten); Flor. iv. 1.: et peractum erat bellum sine sanguine, si Pompejum opprimere Brundisii (Caesar) potuisset; Plin. Paneg. 8.: temere fecerat Nerva, si adoptasset alium (non Trajanum). In Cicero however this use of the indicative occurs only in a few passages, as in Verr. v. 49.: si per Metellum licitum esset, matres illorum miserorum sororesque veniebant; de Leg. i. 19.: labebar longius, nisi me retinuissem; ad Fam. xii. 10.: Praeclare viceramus, nisi spoliatum, inermem, fugientem Lepidus recepisset Antonium. The imperfect indicative is sometimes, though rarely, used also for the imperfect subjunctive when the hypothetical part of the sentence does not contain a pluperfect, but an imperfect subjunctive; e.g. Cic. de Off. ii. 19.: Admonebat me res, ut hoc quoque loco intermissionem eloquentiae, ne dicam interitum, deplorarem, ni vererer, ne de me ipso aliquid viderer queri; Quintil. ii. 8. 8.: nam et omnino supervacua erat doctrina, si natura sufficeret; iv. 1. 11.: stultum erat monere,

Pons sublicius iter paene hostibus dedit, ni unus vir fuisset, Horatius Cocles,

qui, &c. Liv. ii. 10.

Actum erat de pulcherrimo imperio, nisi illa conjuratio (Catilinae) in Cice-

ronem consulem incidisset, Flor. iv. 1.

[§ 520.] Note 2. When we in English use the expressions "I ought" or "I should" without implying impossibility, the Latins express the same meaning by the present indicative; e. g. debes esse diligentior or diligentiorem te esse oportet, you ought to be more diligent. The subjunctive in this case would be quite foreign to the Latin idiom. In the same manner the present indicative possum is frequently used for possem; e. g. Cic. in Verr. i. 47: Possum sexcenta decreta proferre; and it is the common custom to say difficile est, longum est, infinitum est; e. g. narrare, for which we should say "it would be difficult," "it would lead too far," "there would be no end," &c. See Ruhnken on Vell. Pat. ii. 42.

[§ 521.] 3. The Latins commonly use the indicative after many general and relative expressions, some fact being implied. This is the case after the pronouns and relative adverbs which are either doubled or have the suffix cunque: quisquis, quotquot, quicunque, quantuscunque, quantuluscunque, utut, utcunque, and the others mentioned in §§ 130 and 288.; e.g. Utcunque sese res habet, tua est culpa, however this may be, the fault is thine; quicunque is est, whoever he may be.

Quidquid id est, timeo Danaos et dona ferentes, Virg. Aen. ii. 49. Quem sors dierum cunque dabit, lucro appone, Horat. Carm. i. 9. 14.

Note. Other examples are, Cic. p. Lig. 7.: sed quoquo modo sese illud habet; haec querela vestra, Tubero, quid vulet? Parad. 2.: quocunque adspexisti, ut furiae, sic tuae tibi occurrunt injuriae, and in the same manner we must read in p. Milon. init.: tamen haec novi judicii nova forma terret oculos, qui, quocunque inciderunt, veterem consuetudinem fori requirunt, where Ernesti, mistaking the usage of the Latin language, edited inciderint. See Heusinger, Praef. ad Cic. de Off. p. lv. (xl.). In de Orat. iii. 50. also we now read versus debilitatur, in quacunque est parte titubatum, where formerly sit was read. Later writers however join these general relatives and sive—sive (of which we shall speak presently) with the subjunctive.

[§ 522.] 4. In the same way sentences connected by sive—sive commonly have the verb in the indicative (unless there is a special reason for using the subjunctive); e. g. sive tacebis, sive loquere, mihi perinde est; sive verum est, sive falsum, mihi quidem ita renuntiatum est.

Nam illo loco libentissime uti soleo, sive quid mecum ipse cogito, sive quid aut scribo, aut lego, Cic. De Leg. ii. 1.

CHAP. LXXVIII.

SUBJUNCTIVE MOOD.

[§ 523.] 1. The subjunctive is used in general, when a proposition is stated, not as a fact, but as a conception of the mind.

Note. The subjunctive is only a form which is given to a proposition; its substance does not come into consideration. Hence "I believe," "I suspect," are expressed by the indicative, although these words indicate only certain conceptions, but my belief and suspicion are stated as real facts. When, on the other hand, I say "I should believe," "I should think," the acts of believing and thinking are represented as mere conceptions, which perhaps do not exist at all, or even cannot exist. Hence the Latins always use the subjunctive when a sentence is to express an intention either that something is to be effected or prevented, for the actions here exist only as conceptions; e. g. pecuniam homini do, ut me defendat, ne me accuset. The English language, which has no subjunctive, avails itself of a variety of other verbs to express the nature of the subjunctive, as may, might, could, should, would.

[§ 524.] 2. We must here first notice the difference between the four tenses of the subjunctive in hypothetical or conditional sentences, both in that part of the sentence containing the condition (beginning with the conjunctions si, nisi, etsi,

etiamsi, támetsi), and in the one containing the inference or conclusion. The present and perfect subjunctive are used when a conception is to be expressed together with the suggestion that it does exist or may exist; but the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive are used when a conception is expressed together with the suggestion that it did not or could not exist; and the imperfect in this case implies present time as in English; e.g. si velit, "if he wishes," or "should wish," implying that he either actually wishes or at least may wish: in the consequent member of the proposition (the apodosis), the present or perfect subjunctive or indicative may stand; but si vellet, "if he wished," implies that he does not or cannot wish, and here the consequent member of the proposition requires the imperfect or pluperfect subjunctive. The subjunctive without si has the same meaning as facerem, "I should do," implying that I do not or cannot do; vellem, "I should wish," implying that I might have a wish, but that in fact I do not wish, seeing that it would be of no avail. Velim and cupiam thus do not much differ from volo and cupio.

The imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive therefore are neeessary in hypothetical sentences; but the present and perfect subjunctive differ only slightly from the indicative, and their use cannot be fixed by grammatical rules. The indicative gives to a sentence the form of reality, whereas the subjunctive represents it as an arbitrary conception, which however may at the same time be a reality; e. g. etiamsi te non laudo or laudabo, tamen, &c., even if I do not or shall not praise thee,the reality is admitted; etiansi te non laudem or laudaverim, if (perhaps) I should not praise thee, or should not have praised thee,—the possibility is conceived. The use of the present and perfect subjunctive in these cases arises in some measure from the circumstance that an indefinite person is addressed in Latin by the second person singular, but only in the subjunctive; hence the subjunctive is used in such cases even where the indicative would be used, if a definite person were addressed. It must further be observed that these two subjunctives supply the place of the subjunctive of the two futures. Comp. § 496.

The difference between the tenses of the subjunctive in hypothetical sentences is observed also in indirect speech (oratio obliqua), when the leading verb is a present or a future; but

when it is a preterite or the historical perfect, the rule respecting the succession of tenses must be observed (§ 512.), and the difference between possibility and impossibility is not expressed; e. g. we may say Gajus dicit se Latine loqui posse, si pater jubeat (or jusserit), which may possibly happen; and si pater juberet (or jussisset), which however is not the case. But we can say only Gajus dicebat se Latine loqui posse, si pater juberet or jussisset.

Si^{*}Neptunus, quod Theseo promiserat, non fecisset, Theseus filio Hippolyto non esset orbatus, Cic. De Off. i. 10.

Dies deficiat, si velim numerare, quibus bonis male evenerit, nec minus si commemorem, quibus improbis optime, Cic. De Nat. Deor. iii. 32.

Si gladium quis apud te sana mente deposuerit, repetat insaniens: reddere peccatum sit, officium non reddere, Cic. De Off. iii. 25. Aequabilitatem vitae servare non possis, si aliorum virtutem imitans omittas tuam, Cic. De Off. i. 31.

Memoria minuitur, nisi eam exerceas, aut si sis natura tardior, Cic. Cat. Maj. 7.

Note 1. It cannot be sufficiently impressed upon the mind of the beginner, that in hypothetical sentences, and when used alone, the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive are of a totally different nature from the present and perfect, and that the two latter which express a conceived reality, approach very near the actual reality expressed by the indicative. (See § 523. note.) Hence the future indicative is often used in the apodosis, when in the conditional member or the protasis of a sentence si is joined with the present subjunctive; e.g. Cic. Tusc. v. 35.: Dies deficiet, si velim paupertatis causam defendere; comp. Cic. de Nat. Deor. iii. 32., quoted above. Possible cases which are devised to serve as examples, either for the purpose of judging of other analogous cases, or of drawing conclusions from them, are expressed by si with the subjunctive, as in the passage of Cic de Off. iii. 25., which was quoted above. Compare de Off. i. 10.: Ut si constitueris (supposing you had agreed) te cuipiam advocatum in rem praesentem esse venturum, atque interim graviter aegrotare filius coeperit: non sit contra officium, non facere quod dixeris. The perfect subjunctive is at the same time the subjunctive of the future perfect, for in speaking of an actual case we may use the perfect indicative as well as the future perfect; e.g. si tibi promisi me affuturum nec veni, contra officium me fecisse fateor, and si tibi promisero nec venero, contra officium me fecisse fatebor. In the subjunctive both tenses are alike, and as in the passage just quoted we recognise the perfect subjunctive, so we look upon rogaverit, scripserit, and dixerit in the following passage as future perfects: Cic. de Fin. ii. 18 .: si te amicus tuus moriens rogaverit, ut hereditatem reddas suae filiae, nec usquam id scripserit, nec cuiquam dixerit: quid facies? For practical purposes the distinction is not necessary; but the subjunctive is essential, since the case was to be expressed merely as a conception. This signification of the Latin subjunctive is clear, especially in its frequent occurrence when the sub-

- 11 10 10105011

ject is an indefinite person (si quis), and in the second person singular, which implies an indefinite person (equivalent to the French on and the German man).

With regard to the expression of possibility (by the present subjunctive) or impossibility (by the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive), it must not be overlooked, that it depends upon the speaker as to how he intends to represent a thing. For we are not speaking here of objective truth, but of subjective conceptions. Cicero (Divin. in Caec. 5.) says: Si universa provincia loqui posset, hac voce uteretur, implying that it cannot speak. But in another passage (in Cat. i. 8.) he says: Haec si tecum patria loquatur, nonne impetrare debeat? personifying his country, and endowing it with speech. This may serve to explain several other passages of the same kind. Comp. Cic. p. Milon. 29.: Ejus igitur mortis sedetis ultores, cujus vitam si putetis per vos restitui posse, nolitis, where, without his rhetorical object, he would have said: si putaretis—nolletis.

[§ 525.] Note 2. We must notice a peculiarity of the Latin language in hypothetical sentences, which appears strange to us (though not to the Greeks), for completed actions of the past time are often transferred, at least partly, to the present, by using the imperfect instead of the pluperfect, either in the protasis or in the apodosis; e. g. Cic. Brut. 67.: Hujus si vita, si mores, si vultus denique non omnem commendationem ingenii everteret, majus nomen in patronis fuisset; in Verr. v. 51.: quod certe non fecisset, si suum numerum (nautarum) naves haberent; Lael. 4.: Mortuis tam religiosa jura (majores nostri) tribuerunt, quod non fecissent profecto, si nihil ad eos pertinere arbitrarentur; Liv. xxxix. 42.: Longe gravissima (M. Catonis) in L. Quinctium oratio est, qua si accusator ante notam usus esset, retinere Quinctium in senatu ne frater quidem T. Quinctius, si tum censor esset, potuisset. Numerous other examples from Cicero, Sallust, and Livy, are quoted by Garatoni on Cic. in Verr. ii. 1. in fin.; p. Milon. 17. init.; p. Sext. 67. in fin. In the following passages, on the other hand, the imperfect is used for the pluperfect in the apodosis. Cic. in Verr. i. 31.: Nam si quam Rubrius injuriam suo nomine ac non impulsu tuo et tua cupiditate fecisset: de tui comitis injuria questum ad te potius, quam te oppugnatum venirent, instead of venissent; Philip. iii. 5.: esset enim ipsi (Antonio) certe statim serviendum, si Caesar ab eo regni insigne accipere voluisset, where Ernesti remarks, that the ordinary usage of the Latin language requires fuisset for esset; Flor. iii. 3. 13.: Cimbri si statim infesto agmine urbem petissent, grande discrimen esset; sed in Venetia, quo fere tractu Italia mollissima est, ipsa solis coelique clementia robur elanguit. For other passages see Bentley on Horace, Serm. ii. 3. 94. Sometimes the imperfect subjunctive instead of the pluperfect appears both in the protasis and apodosis, although the actions spoken of are completed, and do not belong to the present time; e.g. Cic. Philip. viii. 4.: Num tu igitur eum, si tum esses, temerarium civem aut crudelem putares? instead of fuisses and putasses. See Goerenz. on Cic. de Leg. iii. 13. 30., and de Fin. v. 3. 8. It is true that all this arises from a lively and rhetorical mode of speaking, the past time being represented as present; but it must be observed, that it is more frequent in Latin, and especially in Greek, than in modern languages. Those hypothetical sentences, in which either a case or a conclusion from it is represented as continuing to the present time, afford no matter for special remark, for there the imperfect is in its proper place. Compare the learned and profound dissertation of Fred. Ellendt, De formis enunciatorum conditionalium linguae Latinae, Regim. Pruss. 1827.

- [§ 523.] Note 3. Nisi, nisi vero, and nisi forte are joined with the indicative when they introduce a correction of the sentence preceding. Nisi in this case signifies "except;" e. g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 35.: nescio: nisi hoc video. Nisi vero, nisi forte (unless perhaps), introduce a case as an exception, and describe it at the same time as improbable; e. g. Cic. p. Sull. 9: Plenum forum est eorum hominum—nisi vero paucos fuisse arbitramini; p. Muren. 6: Nemo fere saltat sobrius, nisi forte insanit; ad Att. ii. 14.: erat autem nihil novi, quod aut scriberem, aut ex te quaererem, nisi forte hoc ad te putas pertinere, &c. Nisi forte is thus chiefly used in an ironical sense, "unless you suppose," introducing a case which is in fact inadmissible, but is intended to suggest to another person that he cannot differ from our opinion, without admitting as true a thing which is improbable and absurd.
- [§ 527.] 3. Hence the present subjunctive is used also in independent propositions to soften an assertion or statement, and without any essential difference from the present indicative or the future. We generally express the same by "I may" or "I might" (the subjunctive as a potential mood); e. g. Forsitan quaeratis; nemo istud tibi concedat; quis dubitet? velim (nolim, malim) sic existimes. The perfect subjunctive may likewise be used in the sense of a softened perfect indicative; e.g. forsitan temere fecerim, I may perhaps have acted inconsiderately; fortasse errore effectum sit, it may perhaps have been done by mistake; but this occurs very rarely, and the perfect subjunctive, when used independently, usually has the meaning of a softened future, and in so far is equivalent to the present, without regard to the completion of the action. Hence Quintilian (x. 1. 101.) combines the two tenses: At non historia cesserim Graecis, nec opponere Thucydidi Sallustium verear.

Quid videatur ei magnum in rebus humanis, cui aeternitas omnis totiusque mundi nota sit magnitudo? Cic. Tusc. iv. 17.

Hoc sine ulla dubitatione confirmaverim, eloquentiam rem esse omnium difficillimam, Cic. Brut. 6.

Tu vero Platonem nec nimis valde unquam, nec nimis saepe laudaveris, Cic. De Leg. iii. 1.

Nil ego contulerim jucundo sanus amico, Horat. Serm.

[§ 528.] Note 1. If the form which we usually call the perfect subjunctive is only the perfect subjunctive, it is difficult to derive this potential signification, which belongs to the future, from the idea of an action completed at the present time. And it can only be done in the manner described above, § 511., where we have seen that the future perfect acquires the meaning of a simple future, and by a certain liveliness of expression represents an incomplete action as completed. But it is preferable to suppose (see §§. 496. and 524. note) that the form which from its most usual meaning in dependent

sentences is called the perfect subjunctive active, is at the same time the subjunctive of the future perfect (scripserim the subjunct. of scripsi and scripsero), which future perfect frequently acquires the meaning of a simple future. Hence the perfect subjunctive, in a potential sense, is generally used only in the active voice, and very rarely in the passive; as in Vell. Pat. i. 18.: non ego hoc magis miratus sim; and Livy, xxii. 59.: ne illiquidem se nobis merito praetulerint gloriatique sint; xxx. 14.: nulla virtus est, qua ego aeque atque temperantia gloriatus fuerim. After it had once become customary to use the perfect subjunctive in the potential sense of the present subjunctive, the former was sometimes also employed in dependent sentences (after ut and ne) instead of the present. Ut sic dixerim occurs in Quintilian, Tacitus (de Orat. 34. 40.), and the classical jurists; ne longius abierim (for abeam) is used by Tacitus (Ann. vi. 22.), and ne quis sit admiratus for nequis admiretur by Cicero (de Off. ii. 10.).

It must, however, be observed that, on the whole, the subjunctive is sparingly used by the earlier writers in the sense of a potential mood; but later writers, such as Quintilian, do not keep within the same limits.

Note 2. The first person of the imperfect subjunctive is used more rarely without implying the falsity or impossibility of a condition; but vellem, nollem, and mallem are used to express a wish, the non-reality and impossibility of which we know, whence vellem becomes equivalent to "I should have wished." But in the second person, when it implies an indefinite person, and in the third when the subject is an indefinite person, the imperfect subjunctive is used in independent propositions to express things which might have happened, that is, in the sense of the pluperfect, and we can easily supply the supposed condition, "if you had been present." This is the case especially with the verbs dicere, putare, credere; e.g. Liv. ii. 43.: maestique (crederes victos) redeunt in castra, one might have believed that they were defeated; ii. 35.: quidquid erat Patrum, revs diceres; Cic. in Verr. iv. 13.: quo postquam venerunt, mirandum in modum (canes venaticos diceres) ita odorabantur omnia et pervestigabant, ut, ubi quidque esset, aliqua ratione invenirent; Curt. vi. 6.: discurrunt milites et itineri sarcinas aptant: signum datum crederes, ut vasa colligerent. Videre, cernere, and discernere are used in the same way; e.g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 40.: Vix hoc erat plane imperatum, quum illum spoliatum stipatumque lictoribus cerneres, one might have seen him, scil. if one had been present; Sallust, Cat. 25.: pecuniae an famae minus parceret, haud facile discerneres. The third person is more rarely used in this way, although it occurs in Cicero, in Verr. iv. 23.: qui videret equum Trojanum introductum, urbem captam diceret; but frequently with the interrogative quis, as Cic. in Verr. i. 41.: quis unquam crederet? p. Leg. Man. 11.: quis unquam arbitraretur? p. Flacc. 40.: quis putaret? Juven. vii. 212.: Cui non tunc eliceret risum citharoedi cauda magistri?

[§ 529.] 4. The subjunctive is further used in independent sentences to express a wish or desire (optative). In the second and third persons of the present (to some extent also of the perfect) it supplies the place of the imperative; e. g. dicas equivalent to dic, loguare to loquere, especially when the person is indefinite; further dicat, faciat, loquatur. The present subjunctive is used in the first person to express an assurance;

e. g. moriar, inteream, peream; and in the plural a request, which may be addressed to ourselves as well as others; e. g. eamus, moriamur, nunc revertamur ad propositum! let us go! let us die! let us return! The imperfect and pluperfect are used to express wishes belonging to the past time, when a thing ought to have been or to have been done; e. g. diceret, dixisset, he should have said.

Connected with this is the use of the subjunctive (called in this case concessivus), to express a concession or admission, both with and without the conjunctions ut and licet; e. g. dicat, he may say; diceret, he might say; dixerit, he may have said, and so on through all the tenses. The negative with these subjunctives (optative and concessive) is usually not non but ne; e. g. ne dicas, ne dicat, ne dixeris (this negative way is the most common case of the perfect subjunct. being used in the sense of the present); further ne vivam, ne desperemus, ne fuerit, equivalent to licet non fuerit.

Meminerimus, etiam adversus infimos justitiam esse servandam, Cic. De Off. i. 13.

Nihil incommodo valetudinis tuae feceris, Cic. ad Att. vii. 8.

Emas, non quod opus est, sed quod necesse est, Seneca.

Donis impii ne placare audeant deos; Platonem audiant, qui vetat dubitare, qua sit mente futurus deus, cum vir nemo bonus ab improbo se donari velit, Cic. de Leg.

Naturam expellas furca, tamen usque recurret, Horat. Epist. i. 10. 24.

Ne sit summum malum dolor, malum certe est, Cicero.

Note. We are of opinion that the subjunctive which expresses a wish, and is apparently not dependent upon any other sentence, may be grammatically explained by supplying the verb volo, according to § 624. With regard to the use of the subjunctive instead of the imperative, we may observe, that it occurs principally in the third person (this person of the imperative being usually avoided in ordinary language), and in the second with a negation, and in the latter case the perfect regularly takes the place of the present (in deponent as well as active verbs; e. g. ne sis aspernatus, Cic. ad Quint. Frat. ii. 12.); hence we usually say ne dixeris and dicat or ne dicat, but rarely ne dixerit; e. g. Tacit. Ann. iv. 32.: nemo contenderit. Beginners must be especially cautioned not to prefer the present subjunctive (dicas) to the imperative (dic) on the ground of its being more polite. The imperative dic expresses a wish as well as a command, and it may be still more softened by adding such a word as oro, quaeso, dum, sis. Dicas for dic occurs in Cicero, when it is addressed to an indefinite person; e. g. Tusc. v. 41.: sic injurias fortunae, quas ferre nequeas, defugiendo relinquas; Cat. Maj. 10.:

in whitesoft

Denique isto bono (corporis robore) utare dum adsit, quum absit ne requiras. But when addressing a definite person he very rarely uses dicas and ne dicas for dic and noli dicere (ad Att. x. 15. in fin. xiv. 1. 2.). But the poets and later prose writers (even Livy) frequently employ the second person of the present subjunctive in addressing definite persons; e.g. Liv. vi. 12.: Tu, Quinti, equitem intentus — teneas, &c.; xxii. 53.: Si sciens fallo, tum me Juppiter Opt. Max. pessimo leto afficias; xxvi. 50.: amicus populo Romano sis, et si me virum bonum credis esse, scias multos nostri similes in civitate Romana esse, are words addressed by Scipio to Masinissa. The third person of the present subjunctive, however, is used quite commonly to express a precept, as in Cicero (de Off. i. 37.), where the following precepts are given respecting conversational style: Sit igitur sermo lenis minimeque pertinax; insit in eo lepos; nec vero, tamquam in possessionem venerit, excludat alios, sed quum in reliquis rebus, tum in sermone communi, vicissitudinem non iniquam putet, ac videat imprimis, quibus de rebus loquatur, si seriis, severitatem adhibeat, si jocosis, leporem; imprimisque provideat, &c. In this manner the present and perfect subjunctive are used for the imperative; but the imperfect and pluperfect also are employed to express a precept, referring to the past time, when a thing should have been done; e.g. Terent. Heaut. i. 2. 28.: pater ejus fortasse aliquanto iniquior erat: pateretur, he should have borne it; Cic. p. Sext. 20.: forsitan non nemo vir fortis dixerit, restitisses, mortem pugnans oppetisses, you should have resisted; ad Att. ii. 1. 3.: Mittam tibi orationes meas, ex quibus perspicies et quae gesserim et quae dixerim : aut ne poposcisses, ego enim me tibi non offerebam, or you should not have asked for them.

The concessive mood must be supposed to exist wherever we may paraphrase the subjunctive by licet. In English, its place is usually supplied by the expressions "suppose," or "supposing," and the like, which are equivalent to the Latin esto ut. Comp. Cic. de Leg. Agr. ii. 23. 62.: parta sit pecunia, &c. The perfect retains the signification which it has in the indicative; e.g. Cic. in Verr. i. 41.: Malus civis Cn. Carbo fuit. Fuerit aliis: tibi quando esse coepit? he may have been so to others. The imperfect in this sense is based only on the authority of the MS. reading in Tacit. Ann. iii. 11.: ac premeret is, where Walther's note should be consulted. There is another independent subjunctive which expresses suppositions as merely conceived, and which may be called the hypothetical subjunctive, e.g. roges me, if you ask me, or supposing you ask me; dares illi aliquid, if you gave, or supposing you gave him anything; but we prefer classing this subjunctive with that of hypothetical sentences, and explain it by supplying the conjunction si, for the indicative too is thus used. See ₫ 780.

Non is sometimes joined with the subjunctive expressing a prohibition or request, as Horat. Serm. ii. 5. 91.: Epist. i. 18. 72.: Quintil. vii. 1. 56.: non desperemus; i. 1. 15.: non assuescat vitiose loqui; ii. 16. 6.: non fubricetur militi gladius. In the same manner neque is used for neve in connection with such subjunctives, and that not only by the poets and Quintilian (ii. 1. 5.: rhetorice officia sua non detractet nec occupari gaudeat), but even by Cicero (de Re Publ. i. 2.: p. Planc. 6. § 15.).

[§ 530.] 5. Lastly, the subjunctive is used, in all its tenses, in independent sentences to express a doubtful question containing a negative sense (conjunctivus dubitativus); e.g. quo

eam? whither shall I go? quo irem? whither should I go? quo eas? whither wilt thou go? quo ires? whither wouldst thou go? quo iverim? whither was I to have gone? quo ivissem? whither should I have gone? The answer implied in all these cases is "nowhere," and this is the negative sense of such questions; for in questions to which we expect an affirmative answer, the indicative is used.

Cum tempestate pugnem periculose potius, quam illi obtemperem et paream? Cic. Pro Planc. 39.

Valerius quotidie cantabat: erat enim scenicus: quid faceret aliud? Cic. De Orat. iii. 23.

Apud exercitum mihi fueris, inquit, tot annos? forum non attigeris? abfueris tamdiu? ut, quum longo intervallo veneris, cum iis, qui in foro habitarint, de dignitate contendas? Cic. Pro Muren. 9.

Note. For the purpose of a grammatical explanation of this subjunctive, we supply the question "should you perhaps like that," &c., which implies the contrary of what the question asks, and is equivalent to "surely you will not," or "would not that," &c. Hence when I ask quid doceam? the negative answer "nothing" is pre-supposed; and when I put the negative question quid non doceam? I suggest the affirmative answer "any thing;" hoc non noceat? do you mean to say that this does not injure? (i.e. it certainly does injure). There is nothing to be said against this ellipsis in the first and third persons; with regard to the second we can only say, that it is an imitation of the two others. But that there actually is an ellipsis, is clear from the indignant interrogation with ut (\$ 609.). As to the use of the imperfect, compare also Caes. Bell. Civ. i. 72.: Caesar in eam spem venerat, se sine pugna et sine vulnere suorum rem conficere posse, quod re frumentaria adversarios interclusisset: cur etiam secundo proelio aliquos ex suis amitteret? cur vulnerari pateretur optime de se meritos milites? cur denique fortunam periclitaretur? i. e. Why should he lose any more? Why should he allow them to be wounded? Why should he tempt fortune? The imperfect therefore can occur only in narratives.

- [§ 531.] 6. Dependent sentences in which an intention or purpose or a direction towards the future is expressed, take the subjunctive. The conjunctions ut, ne, quo, quin, quominus serve to connect such sentences with others, and consequently govern the subjunctive, the tenses of which must be chosen as required by that of the leading verb of the sentence. (See above, § 512, foll.)
- a) Ut or uti (that or in order that) refers either to something future which is the intention, object, result or effect of another action (which is often expressed in English by "in

order to," or simply "to" with the infinitive), or when used after the words sic, ita, tam, talis, tantus, ejusmodi, &c., it expresses a quality or the nature of a thing in the form of a result. The English conjunction "that," which introduces sentences supplying the place either of a nominative or accusative, cannot be rendered by ut, as "it is a consolation for the subjects that the king is a just man," equivalent to "the king's justice is a consolation," &c.; or "I know that the king is just," equivalent to "I know the king's justice."

Esse oportet ut vivas, non vivere ut edas, Auct. Ad Heren. iv. 28.

Pylades Orestem se esse dixit, ut pro illo necaretur, Cic. Lael. 7. Nemo tam malus est, ut videri velit, Quintil. iii. 8. 44. Sol efficit ut omnia floreant, Cic. De Nat. Deor. ii. 15.

Note. Ut is originally an adverb denoting manner, and as a relative adverb it corresponds with the demonstrative ita. As an adverb it properly governs nothing, and is joined according to the nature of the sentence either with the indicative or the subjunctive. As a particle of time in the sense of "as" or "as soon as" it is likewise joined with the indicative (if there are no additional reasons requiring the subjunctive) and usually with the perfect indicative. See above § 506. It requires the subjunctive only when it expresses a relation to a future time conceived by the mind, and a purpose or a result which is yet to come. It has already been observed (§ 286.) that ita ut, tantus ut, &c. only indicate more definitely a future result, and may have both an increasing and a limiting power. The adverbs ita, sic, tam, however, are often omitted with verbs and adjectives, and ut alone is equivalent to ita (sic, tam)—ut, e.g. Nepos: Epaminondas fuit eliam disertus, ut nemo Thebanus ei par esset eloquentia, instead of tam disertus. Respecting ut, in the sense of "would that" and "supposing that" with the subjunctive, see below §§ 571 and 573.

[§ 532.] b) Ne (in order that not, or, lest) is used only to express a negative intention or intended effect; e. g. cura ne denuo in morbum incidas, or haec vitae ratio effecit, ne denuo in morbum inciderem. Ut non is used, on the other hand, when an effect is to be expressed without an intention, that is, a simple result or consequence, and when a quality is to be determined, in which case the adverbs ita, sic, tam are either expressed or understood; e. g. tum forte aegrotabam, ut ad nuptias tuas venire non possem; i. e. in consequence of my illness, but no intention is expressed. Compare however § 347. Ut non is further used when the negation does not refer to the whole sentence, but only to a part of it or to a particular word, just as in a similar case si non must be used and not nisi.

Confer te ad Manlium, ut a me non ejectus ad alienos, sed invitatus ad tuos isse videaris, Cic. in Cat. i. 9.

Nemo prudens punit, ut ait Plato, quia peccatum est, sed ne peccetur, Seneca, De Ira, i. 16. 21.

Nihil agitis, inquit Arria, potestis enim efficere, ut male moriar; ne moriar, non potestis, Plin. Epist. iii. 16.

[§ 533.] We have here to notice a peculiarity of the Latin language, according to which the verbs metuo, timeo, vereor are treated as implying an intention. They are therefore followed by ne, when anything is to be prevented, or when it is wished that something should not happen; e. g. metuo, ne frustra laborem susceperis; and by ut, when it is wished that something should take place; e. g. vereor, ut mature venias. These same verbs are followed by the infinitive when they express only a state of mind, without implying any wish either the one way or the other; e. g. metuo manus admovere, vereor dicere; but vereor ut apte dicam.

Vereor, ne, dum minuere velim laborem, augeam, Cicero.

Adulatores, si quem laudant, vereri se dicunt, ut illius facta verbis consequi possint, Auct. Ad Heren. iii. 6.

[§ 534.] Note 1. To the verbs denoting fear we must add the substantives expressing fear, apprehension, or danger, as well as the verbs terrere, conterrere, deterrere, and also cavere, which in its usual sense of "to be on one's guard," is rarely joined with the infinitive, but is usually followed by ne, e.g. Cic. de Off. i. 26.: cavendum est, ne assentatoribus patefaciamus aures neu adulari nos sinamus. (Cavere, however, sometimes also signifies "to take care of a thing," in which case it is followed by ut; e.g. Cic. de Fin. ii. 31.: Epicurus testamento cavit, ut dies natalis suus ageretur.) Further videre and observare in requests (vide, videte, videndum est), in the sense of "to consider," are followed either by ut or ne just as the verbs denoting fear; e.g. vide ne hoc tibi obsit, consider whether this is not injurious to you, that is, I am afraid it will injure you. See Heusinger on Cicero de Off. i. 9. For videre in the sense of curare, see § 614.

It rarely happens that timere is followed by the accusative with the infinitive, instead of ne with the subjunctive, as in Cic. de Leg. ii. 22.: Quod (Sulla) timens suo corpori posse accidere, igni voluit cremari; de Orat. ii. 72.: quum subest ille timor, ne dignitatem quidem posse retineri, instead of ne ipsa

dignitas retineri non possit. Comp. Liv. ii. 7. 5.; iii. 22. 2.

[§ 535.] Note 2. Neve is used in negative sentences to continue that which is introduced by ut and ne (see § 347.). It is properly equivalent to aut ne, and therefore only intended to continue a preceding ne, but it is also used for et ne after a preceding ut, as on the other hand et ne is used after a negation instead of aut ne. Hence we find, e. g. Liv. xxiii. 34: mandatum ut in omnes naves legatos separatim custodiendos divideret, dareque operam, ne quod iis colloquium inter se, neve quae communicatio consilii esset; Nep. Thras. 3: legem tulit, ne quis ante actarum rerum accusaretur, neve multaretur; Caes.

Bell, Gall. ii. 21.: Caesar milites non longiore oratione cohortatus, quam uti suae pristinae virtutis memoriam retinerent, neu perturbarentur animo, -- proelii committendi signum dedit. Neque should properly not come into consideration here, as it is equivalent to et non, but it cannot be denied that even Cicero sometimes uses it for et ne after ut, e.g. in Verr. iii. 48.: ut ea praetermittam, neque eos appellem; de Orat. i. 5.: hortemurque potius liberos nostros, ut animo rei magnitudinem complectantur, neque - confidant. It occurs very rarely, and is not quite certain after ne, as would be the case in Nepos, Paus. 4.: orare coepit, ne enunciaret nec se meritum de illo optime proderet, if we ought not to correct nec into neu. See my note on Cic. in Verr. iii. 6. 14. In Livy however there are many passages in which neque (nec) occurs after ne as well as after ut, e. g. ii. 32.: conspirasse (membra) ne manus ad os cibum ferrent, nec os acciperet datum nec dentes, quae conficerent; iv. 4.: cur non sancitis ne vicinus patricio sit plebejus nec eodem itinere eat; v. 3.: interdicitis patribus commercio plebis, ne nos comitate provocemus plebem, nec plebs nobis dicto audiens sit.

Respecting ut ne for ne, see above, § 347.; but it does not occur with the verbs denoting fear. They are however sometimes followed by ne non, which is equivalent to ut, the two negations neutralising each other, e. g. timeo ne non impetrem, I fear I shall not obtain it (i. e. though I wish it); Cic. ad Fam. ii. 5.: non quo verear, ne tua virtus opinioni hominum non respondeat; — or non belongs to the verb alone, as Cic. ad Att. v. 18.: Unum vereor, ne senatus Pompejum nolit dimittere, I fear the senate will not let Pompey go (viz. though I wish it may do so).

[§ 536.] c) Quo is properly the ablative of the relative pronoun, and stands for ut eo (§ 567.), "in order that," or "that by this means." But it is commonly joined only with comparatives. Non quo answers to the English, "not as if" (instead of which however we may also say non quod), and non quin, "not as if not." The apodosis following after such a sentence begins with sed quod or sed quia with the indicative (sometimes also with sed alone), or with ut.

Ager non semel aratur, sed novatur et iteratur, quo meliores fetus possit et grandiores edere, Cic. de Orat. ii. 30.

Legem brevem esse oportet, quo facilius ab imperitis teneatur, Senec. Epist. 94.

Ad te litteras dedi, non quo haberem magnopere, quod scriberem, sed ut loquerer tecum absens, Cic. ad Att. vii. 15.

Note 1. Quo is also used for et eo. and when joined with comparatives it corresponds with a subsequent eo or hoc, in the sense of the more—the more. In both cases it is no more than an ordinary relative, and is joined with the indicative, for the subjunctive after quo is used only, as in the above examples, when it expresses an intention or purpose.

[§ 557.] Note 2. The above-mentioned use of non quo was formerly very much disputed, and critics wanted every where to substitute for it non quod, and to confine non quo to those passages in which a presumed intention is denied. But this would require an alteration in too many passages. See

my note on Cic. in Verr. ii. 35. in fin. It cannot however be denied, that on the whole it is more safe to say non quod, also non eo quod or non ideo quod (and in later writers non quia), all of which are joined with the subjunctive. Examples are numerous: non quin, e.g. Cic. ad Att. vii. 26.: Ego me ducem in civili bello negavi esse, non quin rectum esset, sed quia, quod multo rectius fuit, id mihi fraudem tulit; in like manner non quin confiderem diligentiae tuae, not as if I had not confidence in your diligence; non quin breviter responsum reddi potuerit, not as if a short answer could not have been given. But in the same sense we may also separate the negation and say non quo non, non quod non or non quia non, as Cic. Tusc. i. 1.: non quia philosophia Graecis et litteris et doctoribus percipi non posset, and p. Milon. 22.: Majores nostri in dominum de servo quaeri nolucrunt, non quia non posset verum inveniri, sed quia videbatur indignum esse. Hence Ernesti should not have been surprised at finding this expression in Tacitus, Hist. i. 15. But non quia in the protasis, with the indicative (in Liv. xxxiii. 27.: non quia satis dignos eos credebat, and Tacit. Hist. iii. 4.: non quia industria Flaviani egebant, sed ut, &c.) — and scd quod in the apodosis with the subjunctive — (in Cic. ad Fam. iv. 7 .: consilium tuum reprehendere non audeo, non quin ab eo ipse dissentiam, sed quod ea te sapientia esse judicem, ut meum consilium non anteponam tuo) - seem both to be contrary to usage.

[§ 538.] d) Quin is used after negative sentences and doubtful questions with quis and quid, which differ only in the form of expression from affirmative propositions with nemo and nihil, first, for qui non, quae non, quod non, and secondly, for ut non ("that not" or "without" when followed by a participle). Quin, equivalent to a relative pronoun with non, is used especially after the expressions nemo, nullus, nihil, vix, aegre—est, reperitur, invenitur, e. g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 36.: repertus est nemo quin mori dicevet satius esse; the use of quin for ut non cannot be limited to particular expressions, but we must especially observe the phrase facere non possum quin, and in the passive voice, fieri non potest quin, where the double negation renders the affirmative meaning more emphatic. So also nulla causa est, quid causae est? nihil causae est—quin hoc faciam.

Quis est quin cernat, quanta vis sit in sensibus? Cicero.

Nihil tam difficile est, quin quaerendo investigari possit, Terent. Heaut. iv. 2. 8.

Nunquam tam male est Siculis, quin aliquid facete et commode dicant, Cic. in Verr. iv. 43.

Facere non potui, quin tibi et sententiam et voluntatem declararem meam, Cic. ad Fam. vi. 13.

[§ 539.] Note 1. We said above that quin was used only for the nominat. qui, quae, quod with non, and this must indeed be considered as the general rule, although quin is sometimes found in prose instead of the accusat. quod

non, which may be partly owing to the identity of the nom. and acc. in the neuter gender, and instead of the ablat. quo non (after dies); e.g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 1.: nego in Sicilia quidquam fuisse, quin conquisierit; Sueton. Nero, 45.: nihil contumeliarum defuit quin subiret; Cic. ad Att. i. 1.: dies fere nullus est quin hic Satrius domum meam ventitet; Brut. 88.: nullum patiebatur esse diem (Hortensius) quin aut in foro diceret aut meditaretur extra forum. Here too the fact of qui being equivalent to quo may have had some influence. Other passages may be explained by ut non. It has already been remarked that qui non, &c. may be used for quin, and this occurs very frequently, e.g. Cic. p. Flacc. 25.: quis enim erat qui non sciret, &c. It must be observed that when quin stands for qui non or quod non, the pronoun is, id, although superfluous, is sometimes added for the sake of greater emphasis; as Cic. in Verr. i. 59.: Quis in circum maximum venit, quin is unoquoque gradu de avaritia tua commoneretur? de Nat. Deor. ii. 9.: Cleanthes negat ullum cilum esse tam gravem, quin is die et nocte concoquatur; ibid. iii. 13.: nihil est quod sensum habeat, quin id intereat; Sallust, Jug. 63.: novus nemo tam clarus erat quin is indignus eo honore haberetur.

The place of quin is further not unfrequently supplied by ut non. Thus we read, on the one hand, quin in Terence, Eun. iv.7.21.: Nunquam accedo, quin abs te abeam doctior, I never visit you without leaving wiser (than when I came); and in Nepos, Timol. 1.: Mater vero post id factum (necem fratris) neque domum filium ad se admisit neque adspexit, quin eum fratricidam impiumque detestans compellaret, without calling him a fratricide;—and on the other hand ut non in precisely the same sense, as in Cicero, p. Leg. Man. 7.: ruere illa non possunt, ut haec non eodem labefacta motu concidant; Sueton Octav. 56.: Augustus nunquam filios suos populo commendavit, ut non adjiceret (without adding) si merehuntur. It also occurs after facere non possum, and fieri non potest, e.g. Cic. ad Att. xi. 21.: Tu etsi non potusti ullo modo facere, ut mihi illam epistolam non mitteres: tamen mallem non esse missam; in Verr.

ii. 77.: fieri non potest, ut eum tu in tua provincia non cognoris.

It is obvious that both qui non and ut non must be used, and not quin, when no negation precedes, or when non belongs to a particular word of a sentence, and not to the leading verb. Accordingly, we cannot say non adeo imperitus sum quin sciam, but ut nesciam, since non negatives only the word adeo.

[§ 540.] From this we must distinguish the use of quin after non dubito, non est dubium, non ambigo (I doubt not), and many other expressions containing a negation; as non abest; nihil, paulum, non procul, haud multum abest; non, vix, aegre abstineo; tenere me, or temperari mihi non possum; non impedio, non recuso, nihil praetermitto, and the like. For in these cases the negation contained in quin is superfluous, and is only a sort of continuation of the preceding non (as the Greek $\mu \dot{\eta}$ où before an infinitive); hence it is generally not expressed in English, quin being rendered by "that," or by "to" with an infinitive. E. g. non dubito quin domi sit, I have no doubt, (that) he is at home; non multum abest quin miserrimus sim,

not much is wanting to make me the most wretched of men; nulla mora fuit, quin decernerent bellum, they did not hesitate to decree war. Hence, as quin in this case is only a form of expression, non is superadded, if the dependent sentence is to have a really negative meaning. Thus we find not unfrequently, at least, non dubito quin non, which is easily explained by translating non dubito quin by "I believe," e. g. Cic. in Verr. i. 40.: in quibus non dubito quin offensionem negligentiae vitare atque effugere non possim, I believe that I cannot escape the charge of negligence; comp. ad Att. v. 11. in fin.; de Off. iii. 3.: Dubitandum non est, quin nunquam possit utilitas cum honestate contendere, we must believe, or be convinced that utility can never be opposed to virtue. It should however be observed that expressions implying an obstacle are properly followed, according to § 543., by quo minus instead of quin.

Dux ille Graeciae nusquam optat, ut Ajacis similes habeat decem, sed ut Nestoris; quod si acciderit, non dubitat quin brevi Troja sit peritura, Cic. Cat. Maj. 10.

Num dubitas quin specimen naturae capi deceat ex optima quaque natura? Cic. Tusc. i. 14.

Quis igitur dubitet, quin in virtute divitiae sint? Cicero.

Ego nihil praetermisi, quantum facere potui, quin Pompejum a Caesaris conjunctione avocarem, Cic. Philip.

Infesta contio vix inhiberi potuit, quin protinus suo more saxa in Polemonem jaceret, Curt. vii. 6. (2.).

Tiberium non fortuna, non solitudines protegebant, quin tormenta pectoris suasque ipse poenas fateretur, Tacit. Ann. vi. 6.

[§ 541.] Note 2. If we are to take the language of Cicero as our guide, it is less correct to use the accusative with the infinitive after non dubito, in the sense of "I do not doubt," instead of quin; but it often occurs in Curtius and Livy (see Drakenborch on Liv. xxii. 55., and xxxvi. 41.), and in Nepos exclusively, which may be considered as a peculiarity of this author; in later writers it is found frequently. But the only passage in Cicero, which is alleged as an example of non dubito followed by the accusative with the infinitive, viz. ad Att. vii. 1., has hitherto had a wrong punctuation, and according to Bremi (on Nep. Praefat.) we must read: Me autem uterque numerat suum, nisi forte simulat alter: nam Pompejus non dubitat. Vere enim judicat, ea, quae de republica nunc sentiat, mihi valde probari. The partiality for the construction with quin is attested by some passages, in which this conjunction is used instead of the accusat, with the infinitive, because the leading sentence may be conceived to contain the same idea as non dubito, as Cic. p. Flace. 27.: Quis ignorat quin tria Graecorum genera sint; comp. Quintil. xii. 7, 8.: quis ignorat quin id longe sit honestissimum; Cic. Tusc. v.

7.: atqui alterum dici (i.e. in dubium vocari) non potest quin ii, qui nihil metuant, beati sint.

But when dubito and non dubito signify "I scruple" or "hesitate," and the sentence following contains the same subject, they are generally followed by the infinitive; e.g. non dubito respondere; Cicero non dubitabat conjuratos supplicio afficere; though Cicero often uses quin even in these cases, as in Verr. ii. 13.: nemo dubitavit, quin voluntatem spectaret ejus, quem statim de capite suo putaret judicaturum; p. Flacc. 17.: dubitatis, judices, quin ab hoc ignotissimo Phryge nobilissimum civem vindicetis? (a negative question, the meaning of which is "you must not hesitate.") Comp. p. Leg. Man. 16. in fin. and 23.; p. Milon. 23. § 63.; de Leg. Agr. ii. 26. § 69. Schneider on Caesar, Bell. Gall. ii. 2.

We here add the remark that "I doubt whether" is expressed in Latin by dubito sitne, dubito utrum—an, dubito sitne—an, or dubito num, numquid; for dubito an and dubium est an are used, like nescio an, by the best writers with

an affirmative meaning. See § 354.

[§ 542.] Note 3. Quin is used in another sense with the indicative, implying a question or an exhortation; this is in accordance with its original elements, being compounded of ne (i. e. non) and the ancient ablative qui of the interrogative pronoun quid, e. g. Liv.: quin conscendimus equos? Why do we not mount our horses? Cic. p. Rabir. 6.: Quin continetis vocem indicem stultitiae vestrae? Curt. v. 22.: Quin igitur ulciscimur Graeciam, et urbi faces subdimus? and so in many other passages. As such questions are equivalent to exhortations (and different from questions with cur non, which always require an answer), quin in this sense is also joined with the imperative, e. g. quin dic statim, well, tell me! quin sic attendite judices, pray, pay attention!— or with the first person plural of the subjunctive, as quin experiamur, why do we not try, or let us try! Hence quin, without being connected with any verb, signifies "even" or "rather," just as quin etiam, quin potius, quin immo; as in Cicero: credibile non est, quantum scribam die, quin etiam noctibus.

[§ 543.] e) Quominus (for ut eo minus, in order that not) is mostly used after verbs expressing a hindrance, where also ne, and if a negative precedes, quin may be used. The principal verbs of this kind are:—deterrere, impedire, intercedere, obsistere, obstare, officere, prohibere, recusare, repugnare; but there are several other expressions which convey the same meaning, e. g. stat or fit per me, I am the cause; non pugno, nihil moror, non contineo me, &c.

Cimon nunquam in hortis custodem imposuit, ne quis impediretur, quominus ejus rebus, quibus quisque vellet, frueretur, Nep. Cim. 4.

Parmenio, quum audisset, venenum a Philippo medico regi parari, deterrere eum voluit epistola scripta, quominus medicamentum biberet, quod medicus dare constitueret, Curt. vi. 40. (10.).

[§ 544.] Note. Impedire, deterrere, and recusare, however, are sometimes, and prohibere frequently (§ 607.), followed by the infinitive; e.g. Caes. Bell. Gall. iii. 22.: neque adhuc repertus est quisquam, qui mori recusaret; Cic. de Off. ii.

2.: quid est igitur, quod me impediat, ea, quae mihi probabilia videantur, sequi? in Verr. i. 5.: nefarias ejus libidines commemorare pudore deterreor; in Verr. v. 45.: prohibentur parentes adire ad filios, prohibentur liberis suis cibum vestitumque ferre; de Off. iii. 11.: male, qui peregrinos urbibus uti prohibent. In one passage of Cicero (p. Rosc. Am. 52.) prohibere is followed by ut: Di prohibeant, judices, ut hoc, quod majores consilium publicum vocari voluerunt, praesidium sectorum existimetur. This however should not be imitated. Instead of quominus we sometimes find quo secius (see § 283.), especially in the work ad Herennium.

[§ 545.] 7. The subjunctive is used in propositions which are introduced into others, after relative pronouns and conjunctions, when those propositions express the thoughts or words of another person. (In many cases they are the thoughts or words of the speaker himself, but he then speaks of himself as of a third person.) To make this general rule more clear, we shall distinguish the various cases in which such clauses are inserted.

a) Clauses inserted in the construction of the accusative with the infinitive, when they are to express the thoughts or words of the person spoken of, or when they form an essential part of the statement implied in the accusat. with the infinitive. Socrates dicere solebat, omnes in eo, quod scirent, satis esse eloquentes, Cic. de Orat. i. 14.

Mos est Athenis laudari in contione eos, qui sint in proeliis interfecti, Cic. Orat. 44.

Quid potest esse tam apertum, tamque perspicuum, quum coelum suspeximus, coelestiaque contemplati sumus, quam esse aliquod numen praestantissimae mentis, quo haec regantur, Cic. de Nat. Deor. ii. 2.

Note. If we take the first of these examples, the words which Socrates said are: omnes in eo, quod sciunt, satis sunt eloquentes, and the clause in eo quod sciunt is a part of his statement; hence it is expressed by the subjunctive, if the leading verb is changed into the infinitive. In the last example, the belief is: est deus ab eoque hic mundus regitur, and not merely deus est; hence regitur, which is an essential part of it, is expressed by the subjunctive. The tense of such an inserted clause depends upon that of the leading verb, on which, in fact, the whole sentence is dependent. The inserted clause has the indicative when it contains a remark of the speaker (or writer) himself, and not a thought or words of the person spoken of (the subject of the leading proposition). Let us examine the sentence quos viceris amicos tibi esse cave credas, do not believe that those whom you have conquered, are your friends. Here the other person whose opinion is refuted, thinks that those whom he has conquered are his friends. If we use the indicative cave tibi amicos (hos) esse credas, quos vicisti, the last two words are merely an addition of the speaker, by which he describes those people, the person to whom the advice is given not being supposed to have expressed that thought. Hence the subjunctive has its peculiar place in general sentences, in which a class of things is mentioned, which exists only as a conception or idea, while the individual thing has a real existence; e. g. Cic. de Off. i. 11.: Est enim ulciscendi et puniendi modus, atque haud scio an satis sit eum qui lacessierit injuriae suae poenitere, i. e. each individual offender. This is commonly called an indefinite expression; but

we should rather call it a general or universal one.

[§ 546.] Explanatory clauses, especially circumfocutions, introduced by a relative pronoun, are sometimes found with the indicative, because such an explanation may be regarded as standing by itself, and therefore need not share in the relation of dependence in which the other sentence stands; e.g. Cic. p. Arch. 9.: Itaque ille Marius item eximie L. Plotium dilexit, cujus ingenio putabat ea, quae gesserat, posse celebrari. Ea, quae gessisset would not be incorrect; but ea quae gesserat is a circumlocution for res a se gestas, his deeds. Comp. Goerenz on Cic. de Leg. iii. 5.: nam sic habetote, magistratibus iisque qui praesunt rempublicam contineri, where the common reading is praesint. Liv. iii. 71.: Ibi infit: annum se tertium et octogesimum agere, et in eo agro, de quo agitur, militasse; that is, the field in question, de quo agitur standing by itself and independent. But the use of the indicative in such cases must not be extended too far; the subjunctive is so universally employed in clauses inserted in the construction of the accusative with the infinit, when they really contain the thoughts or words of another person, that exceptions even in classical prose writers, as Caesar and Livy, are only isolated peculiarities, and ought not to tempt us to neglect the rule. The following passages of Livy, for example, can only be regarded as careless expressions, iii. 13.: se haud multo post, quam pestilentia in urbe fuerat, in juventutem grassantem in Subura incidisse, and iii. 2.: legatos nuntiare jussit, Q. Fabium consulem Aequis bellum afferre eadem dextra armata, quam pacatam illis antea dederat,-instead of fuisset and dedisset. But in Caesar, Bell. Gall. iii. 2.: per exploratores certior factus est, ex ea parte vici, quam Gallis concesserat, omnes noctu discessisse, we are reminded by the indicative that the addition quam Gallis concesserat is to be regarded as an explanatory remark of Caesar, and not as words of the exploratores, who would probably have expressed themselves otherwise.

[§ 547.] b) Clauses introduced into a proposition which is expressed by the subjunctive, are likewise in the subjunctive, when they are to be considered as an essential part of the leading proposition, being included in the purpose, request, precept, or command of another person, or (with si) in the supposed circumstances, e. g. Rex imperavit, ut, quae bello opus essent, pararentur.

Eo simus animo, ut nihil in malis ducamus, quod sit vel a deo immortali, vel a natura constitutum, Cic. Tusc. i. in fin.

Memoria erat tanta (Hortensius) quantam in nullo cognovisse me arbitror, ut, quae secum commentatus esset, ea sine scripto verbis eisdem redderet, quibus cogitavisset, Cic. Brut. 88.

Note. In the first of these examples the conviction required is this: nihil in malis duco, quod a deo est constitutum, and not merely nihil in malis duco. The clause beginning with quod, therefore, is a part of the conviction, and is

therefore expressed by the subjunctive, like the other. But here we must observe-1. that not all propositions with a subjunctive express a purpose or object, but some of them merely a quality (when ita, tam, talis, &c. precede), in which case the inserted clause has the indicative, as Cic. p. Leg. Man. 6.: Asia vero tam opima est et fertilis, ut - multitudine earum rerum, quae exportantur, facile omnibus terris antecellat; 2. that the indicative is used in circumlocutions, just as in similar clauses inserted in the construction of the accus. with the infinit.; e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. ii. 59.: Eloquendi vis efficit, ut ea, quae ignoramus, discere, et ea, quae scimus, alios docere possimus; in Verr. iv. 7 .: verumtamen a vobis ita arbitror spectari oportere, quanti haec eorum judicio, qui studiosi sunt harum rerum, aestimentur; Brut. 49.: efficiatur autem ab oratore, necne, ut ii qui audiunt ita efficiantur, ut orator velit, vulgi assensu et populari approbatione judicari solet, where Ernesti made the arbitrary emendation audiant. The same is the case in definitions, as Cic. de Invent. ii. 12.: Videre igitur oportet, quae sint convenientia cum ipso negotio, hoc est, quae ab re separari non possunt.

[\$ 548.] There are other cases also, in which clauses thus inserted are treated as remarks of the speaker (or writer), and expressed by the indicative, although they ought to have been treated as parts of the dependent proposition, and accordingly expressed by the subjunctive; e.g. Nep. Milt. 3.: Miltiades hortatus est pontis custodes, ne a fortuna datam occasionem liberandae Graeciae dimitterent. Nam si cum his copiis, quas secum transportaverat, interisset Darius, non solum Europam fore tutam, &c.; Them. 5.: nam Themistocles verens, ne (rex) bellare perseveraret, certiorem eum fecit, id agi, ut pons, quem ille in Hellesponto fecerat, dissolveretur. Here the writer is speaking to his reader, as is shown in the last passage by the pronoun ille; but this is not common, and in the first passage the indicative is very singular, and at least contrary to the practice of Cicero. So also in Curtius, x. 26.: ubi ille esset, cujus imperium, cujus auspicium secuti erant, requirebant, where secuti essent should have been used, as the clause is part of the words of the Macedonians; and it is strange to see the writer add it as his own remark.

[§ 549.] c) Lastly, when a proposition containing the statement of a fact, and therefore expressed by the indicative, has another dependent upon it or added to it (by a conjunction or a relative pronoun), the dependent clause is expressed by the subjunctive, provided the substance of it is alleged as the sentiment or the words of the person spoken of, and not of the speaker himself. Thus the proposition: Noctu ambulabat in publico Themistocles, quod somnum capere non posset (Cic. Tusc. iv. 19.) suggests, that Themistocles himself gave this reason for his walking at night. But I, the writer of the proposition, may express the reason as my own remark, and in this case the indicative poterat is required, as well as ambulabat.

Bene majores nostri accubitionem epularem amicorum, quia vitae conjunctionem haberet, convivium nominarunt, Cic. Cat. Maj. 13. Socrates accusatus est, quod corrumperet juventutem et novas superstitiones induceret, Quintil. iv. 4.

Aristides nonne ob eam causam expulsus est patria, quod praeter modum justus esset? Cic. Tusc. v. 36.

The clause beginning with quod in the second of these examples contains the reasons alleged by the accusers of Socrates; and the subjunctive in the last example indicates that the reason there stated was alleged by the Athenians themselves, according to the well-known story, and it remains uncertain whether Aristides was really so just; but this uncertainty would not exist if the indicative had been used.

[§ 550.] Note 1. When a clause thus appended or inserted contains the sentiment of the subject of the leading sentence, or his own words, all references to him are expressed by the reflective pronoun sui, sibi, se, and by the possessive suus (see above § 125.); e. g. Cic. ad Fam. ix. 15.: nam mihi scito jam a regibus ultimis allatas esse litteras, quibus mihi gratias agant, quod se mea sententia reges appellaverim, - and we might add: quod se suosque liberos ob sua merita in populum Romanum reges appellaverim, &c.; Nep. Them. 8.: hac necessitate coactus domino navis qui sit aperit, multa pollicens, si se conservasset. It is most frequently the case, when the conjunctions express an intention, for an intention most commonly originates in the subject; e.g., Cic. de Divin. i. 27.: tum ei dormienti idem ille visus est rogare, ut, quoniam sibi vivo non subvenisset, mortem suam ne inultam esse pateretur. It is of no consequence whether the person to whom the pronoun refers, is expressed (in the nominat.) as the grammatical subject of the proposition or not; and it is sufficient, if it can be conceived as such, that is, if it is the logical subject; e. g. Nep. Paus. 4.: quum ei in suspicionem venisset, aliquid in epistola de se esse scriptum, for the words quum ei in suspicionem venisset are equivalent to quum suspicaretur; Cic. ad Att. ii. 18.: A Caesare valde liberaliter invitor (i. e. Caesar me invitat), sibi ut sim legatus, whereas in another place (ad Att. x. 4. 7.) Cicero writes: a Curione mihi nuntiatum est, eum ad me venire. because this is not equivalent to Curio mihi nuntiat, but to nuntius e domo Curionis venit.

But it not unfrequently occurs that a sentiment which should have been expressed in the form of dependence, being the sentiment of the subject, is expressed by the writer as if it were a remark of his own; e.g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 34.: ferebat Sthenius, ut poterat; tangebatur tamen animi dolore necessario, quod domum ejus exornatam atque instructam fere jam iste reddiderat nudam atque inanem: the more usual mode of speaking would have been quod domum suam iste reddidisset. Such sentences should be our guide in recognising and explaining the irregularity of those, in which the pronoun is is added notwithstanding the subjunctive; e.g. Liv. i. 45.: Sex. Tarquinius e swis unum sciscitatum Romam ad patrem mittit, quidnam se facere vellet, quandoquidem, ut omnia unus Gabiis posset, ei dei dedissent. The ordinary practice requires sibi; but other examples of a similar kind in which the reflective pronoun is neglected are found here and there, in clauses expressing an intention after ut and ne, and in clauses dependent upon the construction of the accus. with the infinitive; e.g. Cic. de Orat. i. 54. § 232.; and rather

frequently in Caesar. (Bell. Gall. i. 5. 4.; i. 11. 3.; i. 14. 4.) It occurs more especially, when the dependent clause has its own subject, for then the pronoun se or sibi might be referred to the subject of the dependent clause: hence the cases of is or ille are used instead, as Cic. p. Arch. 10.: Sulla malo poetae, quod epigramma in eum fecisset tantummodo alternis versibus longiusculis, statim praemium tribui jussit, - for in se might be referred to the poet himself; Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 6.: Helvetii sese Allobroges vi coacturos existimabant, ut per suos fines eos ire paterentur; Sallust, Jug. 96.: (Sulla) magis id laborare, ut illi (Sullae) quam plurimi deberent; Cic. in Verr. iv. 39.: Audistis nuper dicere legatos Tyndaritanos, Mercurium, qui sacris anniversariis apud eos coleretur, Verris imperio esse sublatum. Sometimes, however, such ambiguity is less carefully avoided, and Nepos (Hann. 12.) in one dependent clause even uses two reflective pronouns referring to different persons: Patres conscripti legatos in Bithyniam miserunt, qui ab rege peterent, ne inimicissimum suum secum haberet sibique dederet; Curt. viii. 1.: Scythae petebant, ut regis sui filiam matrimonio sibi jungeret, for which regis ipsorum filiam might have been used, if it had been necessary. See § 702. The case is also reversed, and good writers sometimes use sibi instead of ei or ipsi; e.g. Cic. in Verr. v. 49.: Dexo hic non quae privatim sibi eripuisti, sed unicum abs te filium flagitat; comp. p. Rosc. Am. 2. § 6.; De Divin. i. 54. init.; Caes. Bell. Gall. vi. 9. Sometimes suus seems to stand for ejus or ipsius, as it refers only to a subject mentioned in the proposition, without the clause itself being the sentiment of the leading subject; e.g. Cic. Philip. iv. 2.: Quod erat praesidium libertatis vestrae, nisi C. Caesaris fortissimorum sui patris militum exercitus non fuisset? Nep. Cim. 3.: incidit in eandem invidiam, quam pater suus ceterique Atheniensium principes, &c.; but it has been observed before (§ 125.) that suus also signifies "his own" as opposed to alienus, whence the plur. sui signifies "his people," or "those belonging to him."

[§ 551.] Note 2. We may here notice a peculiarity which is in itself faulty, but of which many examples occur in Cicero, viz. clauses like "because he said," or "because he believed," are expressed by the subjunctive, although properly speaking, not these verbs themselves, but the clauses dependent on them should be in the subjunctive. See Cic. de Off. i. 13.: Quum enim Hannibalis permissu exisset de castris, rediit paulo post, quod se oblitum nescio quid diceret - according to grammatical rules it should be quod nescio quid oblitus esset, or quod se oblitum esse nescio quid dicebat. These two constructions are combined in such a manner, that dicebat assumes the form of dependence expressed by the subjunctive. The same occurs in de Off. iii. 31.: cui (Pomponio trib. pleb.) quum esset nuntiatum, quod illum iratum allaturum ad se aliquid contra patrem arbitraretur, surrexit e lectulo; Sulpicius in Cic. ad Fam. iv. 12.: Ab Atheniensibus, locum sepulturae intra urbem ut darent, impetrare non potui, quod religione se impediri dicerent. Comp. in Pison. 36. in fin.; in Verr. i. 38. in fin.; ii. 14. in fin., and ii. 46. § 113. with my note; Caes. Bell. Gall. vii. 75.: Bellovaci suum numerum non contulerunt, quod se suo nomine atque arbitrio cum Romanis gesturos bellum dicerent. like manner the subjunctive dicerent occurs in Sallust (Cat. 49.) with the relative pronoun: Sed ubi consulem ad tantum facinus impellere nequeunt, ipsi singillatim circumeundo atque ementiundo, quae se ex Volturcio aut Allobrogibus audisse dicerent, magnam illi invidiam conflaverant — and in Cicero, in Verr. v. 7. § 17.; Philip. ii. 4. init.

[§ 552.] 8. All sentences which contain an indirect question,

that is, which state the subject of a direct question in a manner which makes them dependent upon some other verb, have the verb in the subjunctive mood. An indirect question, not to mention the verb "to ask" itself, generally depends upon those verbs and expressions which usually govern the accusative with the infinitive.

All the words which are used in direct questions are also used in introducing indirect or dependent questions, viz. quis, quid; qui, quae, quod; quot, qualis, quantus, quam, ubi, unde, quare, cur, uter, quo (whither?), quomodo, utrum, an, ne (the suffix), num.

Saepe ne utile quidem est scire, quid futurum sit, Cicero. Qualis sit animus, ipse animus nescit, Cic. Tusc. i. 22.

Incertum est, quo te loco mors expectet, Senec. Epist. 26.

Permultum interest, utrum perturbatione aliqua animi, an consulto fiat injuria, Cic. de Off. i. 8.

Tarquinius Superbus Prisci Tarquinii regis filius neposne fuerit, parum liquet, Liv. i. 46.

[§ 553.] Note 1. The indicative in dependent questions is often found in Plautus and Terence; e.g. Terent. Adelph. v. 9. 39.: Tibi pater permittimus: plus scis quid opus facto est; Hecyr. iii. 5. 21.: si nunc memorare hic velim, quam fideli animo et benigno in illam et clementi fui, vere possum; - and in the later poets too it occurs now and then; but in the best prose the subjunctive is used so universally, that the few cases in which the common practice is abandoned, cannot affect the rule. For these few cases derive their explanation from the fact, that sometimes a direct question is used, where an indirect one might stand. After the imperatives dic and vide, in particular, a question is sometimes put in a direct and sometimes in an indirect form; e. g. Cic. Tusc. i. 5.: dic, quaeso, num te illa terrent? ad Att. viii. 13.: vide, quam conversa res est! Liv. ix. 33.: dic, agedum, quidnam acturus fueris. in Cic. ad Att. vii. 12.: sin discedit: quo, aut qua, aut quid nobis agendum est, nescio, the question does not depend upon nescio, but must be conceived as independent: "whither, how, or what shall I do? I do not know." In the passage (Lael. 25.): meministis—quam popularis lex de sacerdotiis C. Licinii Crassi videbatur, the indicative shows that the sentence quam - videbatur is to be taken by itself: "how popular did that law appear? you surely remember it." In other cases a careful examination shows, that the sentence is not a question, but a clause commencing with a relative pronoun, and the beginner must pay the greater attention to the meaning, as the interrogative adverbs and pronouns are in form the same as the relatives. There is a remarkable instance of this kind in Quintilian, iv. 5. 26.: Non enim quid dicamus, sed de quo dicturi sumus, ostendimus, which Spalding has correctly explained: in the division of the speech we do not indicate, quale id sit de quo cummaxime dicimus, but we indicate beforehand that of which we are going to speak. In like manner, in Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 30.: quaeramus ubi maleficium est, the est is not used for sit, but the sentence is to be translated:

"let us seek there, where the crime actually is," and ubi therefore is a relative adverb. Cic. Cat. Maj. 4.: multa in eo viro (Q. Maximo) praeclara cognovi, sed nihil est admirabilius, quam quomodo ille mortem filii tulit; i.e. than the manner in which he bore it. Lastly, there are even at the present time, some faults in the editions, as the difference between the subjunctive and indicative often consists only in a single letter or an abridged final syllable. See my note on Cic. in Verr. ii. 53. It must further be observed that nescio quis and nescio quid, have by practice become one word, equivalent to aliquis, quidam, and that consequently the indefinite pronoun in this case does not govern any particular mood of the verb; e.g. Cic. ad Fam. v. 15.: Sed casu nescio quo in ea tempora actas nostra incidit; if nescio here were the leading verb, he would have said nescio quo casu inciderit. Cic. Philip. ii. 14.: Nescio quid turbatus mihi esse videris; i.e. you seem to me to be somewhat perplexed. In like manner nescio quomodo is used in the sense of "somehow" or "in some way," as Cic. Tusc. i. 15.: Sed, nescio quomodo, inhaeret in mentibus quasi augurium. Mirum quam, mirum quantum, nimium quantum, and some similar expressions, when united to express only one idea, do not affect the mood of the verb; e.g. Cic. Orat. 26.: Sales in dicendo nimium quantum valent; ad Att. xiii. 40.: mirum quam inimicus ibat; Liv. ii. 1.: id mirum quantum profuit ad concordiam civitatis; but the same writer (i. 16.) says: mirum quantum illi viro nuntianti haec fidei fuerit.

[§ 554.] Note 2. With regard to disjunctive questions, both direct and indirect, expressed by "whether—or," it must be observed that the English "or" is never translated by aut, but by an or by the suffix ne. The first question is introduced by utrum, or likewise by ne, or has no interrogative particle at all. Hence there are four forms of such double questions:—

1. utrum (utrumne) — an (anne)
2. — — — — an (anne)
3. the suffix ne — an — the suffix ne.

Utrum (whether) is not used in a simple question, hence we cannot say quaerebam utrum pecuniam haberet, unless another question is added. The interrogative particle utrum, however, must be distinguished from the neuter of the pronoun uter, as in quaerebam, utrum vellet, I asked which of the two he wished. Respecting utrumne (commonly separated) in the first part of a disjunctive question, and anne in the second, as in Cicero (Acad. ii. 29.): quum interrogetur tria pauca sint, anne multa, see above § 351. Num-an (always in direct questions) denotes a double question only in form, for the first part already implies the negative answer (see § 351.), so that only the second part remains as a question; e. g. Horat. Serm. ii. 5. 48.: Num furis, an prudens ludis me? Comp. Cic. de Orat. i. 58. § 249., and de Leg. ii. 2. : numquid—an, above § 351. The English "or not" in the second part, which is used without a verb, unless the one preceding is understood, is expressed in Latin by annon or necne, and likewise either with or without a verb; but necne occurs only in indirect questions; e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. iii. 7.: Dii utrum sint necne sint quaeritur; p. Muren. 11.: posset lege agi necne pauci quondam sciebant; ibid. 32.: factum sit necne vehementer quaeritur. only instance in which it occurs in Cicero in a direct question is Tusc. iii. 18.: Sunt haec tua verba necne?) Ne-ne, an-an, or num-num, are exceptions which occur only in poetical or unclassical language. (But Caesar, Bell. Gall. vii. 14., says: neque interesse ipsosne interficiant impedimentisne exuant, quibus amissis bellum geri non possit.) Of a different kind are repeated questions; i.e. those which go parallel with one another; as Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 11.: Quod auxilium petam? Deorumne immortalium? populine Romani? vestramne, qui summam potestatem habetis, fidem? or of which the first is corrected by the second, as Cic. Philip. ii. 37.: Num me igitur fefellit, aut num diutius sui potuit esse dissimilis?

[§ 555.] 9. Relative pronouns and relative adverbs require the subjunctive (besides the cases already mentioned in § 549.) when the connection of the propositions is based upon a conception, that is, when the sentence introduced by the relative does not merely contain some additional characteristic, but is connected with the preceding sentence in such a manner that it expresses either a consequence, an innate quality, or a cause, a motive and purpose.

E. g. Miles, quem metus mortis non perturbaret, a soldier whom fear of death could not disturb. Here the sentence introduced by the relative pronoun contains an innate quality of the miles, which may at the same time be expressed as a consequence:—of such a character, that death could not frighten him. Let us take another case: O miserum senem, qui mortem contemnendam esse in tam longa actate non viderit: here the sentence qui—viderit does not contain a mere additional characteristic or quality, but rather the cause, why I called him wretched.

Subjunctives of this kind are expressed in English by means of some other part of speech, as "a soldier not to be disturbed by fear of death," "O wretched old man, not to have learnt," &c. The particular cases in which a relative introduces sentences with the subjunctive, are:—

[§ 556.] a) When one of the demonstratives is, hic, ille, talis, tantus, ejusmodi, hujusmodi, or tam with an adjective precedes, and is modified or qualified by a sentence which follows. Here the relative pronoun may be resolved by ut, so that cujus is equivalent to ut mei, tui, sui, illius, ejus; cui to ut mihi, tibi, ei, sibi, and so on through all the cases of the singular and plural.

Qui potest temperantiam laudare is (Epicurus), qui summum bonum in voluptate ponat! Cic. de Off. iii. 33.

Non sumus ii, quibus nihil verum esse videatur, sed ii, qui omnibus veris falsa quaedam adjuncta esse dicamus, Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 5.

Nulla gens tam fera, nemo omnium tam immanis est, cujus mentem non imbuerit deorum opinio, Cic. Tusc.

[§ 557.] Note. The person of the verb to be used with qui is always clear from the preceding sentence; e. g. Cic. in Rull. ii. 5.: Non sum ego is Consul, qui, ut plerique, nefas esse arbitrer Gracchos laudare; Ser. Sulpicius in

HOUGH DEPARTMENT OF THE BOOK OF

Cic. ad Fam. iv. 5.: Denique te noli oblivisci Ciceronem esse, et eum, qui aliis consueris praecipere, where the second person is determined by the preceding pronoun te.

[§ 558.] The relative pronoun is sometimes used with the subjunctive, without a demonstrative preceding it, provided however the latter is understood.

Nunc dicis aliquid, quod ad rem pertineat, Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 18. Nonne satius est mutum esse, quam quod nemo intelligat dicere? Cic. Philip. iii. 9.

Homines non inerant in urbe, qui malis contionibus, turbulentis senatus consultis, iniquis imperiis rempublicam miscerent et rerum novarum causam aliquam quaererent, Cic. de Leg. Agr. ii. 33.

Mea quidem sententia paci, quae nihil habitura sit insidiarum, semper est consulendum, Cic. de Off. i. 11.

Note. The following sentences also may be compared. Liv. xxxiv. 1.: Inter bellorum magnorum curas intercessit res parva dictu, sed quae studiis in magnum certamen excesserit; i. e. but still of such a kind, that through the spirit of the parties it ended in a great contest; xxv. 14: multi vulnerati, etiam quos vires sanguisque desererent, ut intra vallum hostium caderent, nitebantur; i. e. even such as had already lost their strength; xxiv. 5.: Syracusani, qui per tot annos Hieronem filiumque ejus Gelonem nec vestis habitu nec alio ullo insigni differentes a ceteris civibus vidissent, conspexere purpuram (Hieronymi) ac satellites armatos; i. e. the Syracusans, who up to that time had not seen,—is a connection or combination of facts in the narrative, which at the same time implies the internal reason for the state of mind of the Syracusans.

[§ 559.] We must here mention those expressions in which the relative pronoun joined with the subjunctive implies a restriction: quod sciam, as far as I know; quod meminerim, as far as I recollect; quod ego intelligam, quod (facile) intelligi possit, quod conjectura provideri possit, quod salva fide possim, quod commodo tuo fiat, quod sine alterius injuria fiat or fieret, &c.; e. g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 16.: omne argentum ablatum ex Sicilia est, nihil cuiquam, quod suum dici vellet, relictum, nobody had any thing left, which he would have liked to call his own. Attention must also be paid to quidem, which is added in such restrictive sentences; e. g. Cic. Brut. 17.: Refertae sunt Catonis orationes amplius centum quinquaginta, quas quidem aut invenerim aut legerim, et verbis et rebus illustribus; de Off. iii. 7.: neque quidquam est de hac re post Panaetium explicatum, quod quidem mihi probaretur, de iis, quae in manus mcas venerint. In the phrases quantum possum, quantum ego perspicio, on the other hand, the indicative is used.

[§ 560.] In like manner the subjunctive is used with comparatives after quam qui (through all its cases), for here too the degree is defined and modified by a sentence implying an innate quality and a consequence, so that quam qui is equivalent to quam ut, which in fact sometimes occurs.

Major sum quam cui possit fortuna nocere, says Niobe in her folly, Ovid, Met. vi. 195.

Famae ac fidei damna majora sunt, quam quae aestimari possint, Liv. iii. 72.

Note. This accounts for the subjunctive being sometimes used after quam even without a relative pronoun, as Cic. in Verr. iv. 34.: postea quidquid erat oneris in nautis remigibusque exigendis, in frumento imperando, Segestanis praeter ceteros imponebat, aliquanto amplius quam ferre possent; ad Quint. Frat. i. 1. \$12: in his litteris longior fui, quam aut vellem, aut quam me putavi fore. And in like manner frequently in the case of the verbs velle and posse.

[§ 561.] b) With indefinite and general expressions (both affirmative and negative) the relative with the subjunctive introduces the sentence containing the circumstances which characterise the class indefinitely referred to. Such expressions are est, sunt, reperiuntur, inveniuntur, existunt, exoriuntur (scil. homines); the general negatives nemo, nullus, nihil est; the negative indefinite questions quis est? quid est? qui, quae, quod (as interrogative adjectives), quotus quisque, quantum est? &c. In all these cases a demonstrative may be understood before the relative.

Sunt qui censeant, una animum et corpus occidere, animumque in corpore extingui, Cic. Tusc. i. 9.

Nihil est, quod tam miseros faciat, quam impietas et scelus, Cic. de Fin. iv. 24.

Quotus enim quisque est, cui sapientia omnibus omnium divitiis praeponenda videatur?

Quae latebra est, in quam non intret metus mortis? Senec.

Quid dulcius quam habere, quicum omnia audeas sic loqui ut tecum? Cic. Lael. 6.

Observe that Cicero here uses quicum of an indefinite person, whereas quocum would refer to some definite person mentioned before. (See § 133. note.)

[§ 552.] Note 1. This is the case also with the phrases est quod, or non est quod, nihil est quod (or quare, cur), there is no reason for doing a thing, or why a thing should happen or be done; e. g. in viam quod te des hoc tempore, nihil est, there is no reason for setting out, &c.;—and with quid est quod, cur, quamobrem, what reason is there for? &c.; e. g. quid tandem est, cur festines? Quid est quamobrem haec cuiquam vita videatur? Causa or causae (with quid and nihil) is sometimes added, as in Ciero: non fuit causa cur postulares; quid erat causae cur metueret? We must here notice also est ut (for ut is originally a relative adverb, see § 531.), when used in the sense of est cur, as Cic. p. Coel. 6.: magis est ut ipse moleste ferat errusse se, quam ut istius amicitue crimen reformidet; p. Milon. 13.: ille erat ut odisset defensorem salutis meae; i. e. he had reason to hate; de Divin. i. 56.: non est igitur ut mi-

randum sit, there is no occasion for wondering. We must further notice habeo, or non habeo, quod; e. g. non habeo quod dicam, I have nothing to say (sometimes they have the infinitive, as habeo dicere); non habeo qui (ablat.) utar, I have nothing to live upon; non habebam quod scriberem, I had nothing to write (we less frequently find nihil habebam scribere as in Cicero, ad Att. ii. 22.). Of a different kind are the expressions non habeo quid dicam, I do not know what to say; quid faceret non habebat, he did not know what to do, -for these are dependent or indirect questions. See § 530.

Non est quod invideas istis, quos magnos felicesque populus vocat, Senec. Epist.

94. 59.

Quid est, quod tanto opere te commoveat tuus dolor intestinus? Sulpicius in Cic. ad Fam. iv. 5.

[§ 563.] Note 2. But the case is different when the subject is expressly added to sunt qui. The subjunctive may even then, indeed, be used, if the subject is a general and indefinite one, which requires a qualification; but when a distinct individual or thing is mentioned, the indicative is employed; e.g. Cic. de Off. i. 2.: sed sunt nonnullae disciplinae (philosophical schools), quae propositis bonorum et malorum finibus officium omne pervertunt; de Fin. v. 14.: sunt autem bestiae quaedam, in quibus inest aliquid simile virtutis. In Cicero, ad Fam. ix. 14., and ad Att. xiv. 17., we meet in the same letter first: sunt enim permulti optimi viri qui valetudinis causa in haec loca veniant; and afterwards: sunt enim permulti optimi viri, qui valetudinis causa in his locis conveniunt, and it cannot be decided which of the two is the correct mode of speaking. But when the subject is not expressly mentioned with est and sunt qui, the subjunctive is far more frequent, and the indicative is justly looked upon as a Grecism, which often occurs in poetry, in Horace, for example, almost constantly, though it is found also in Sallust (e.g. Cat. 19. 4.) and in later prose writers. In Cicero, de Off. i. 24.: Sunt enim, qui, quod sentiunt, etsi optimum sit, tamen invidiae metu non audent dicere, the indicative has without cause given offence to critics.

[§ 564.] c) When the sentence introduced by the relative contains the reason of what precedes, the verb is put in the subjunctive. The connection between such sentences may also be expressed by "because" or "since," instead of the relative: — Alexander, quum in Sigeo ad Achillis tumulum adstitisset, o for-

tunate, inquit, adolescens, qui tuae virtutis Homerum praeconem inveneris! Cic. p. Arch. 10.

Caninius fuit mirifica vigilantia, qui suo toto consulatu somnum non viderit, Cic. ad Fam. vii. 30.

Quem ardorem studii censetis fuisse in Archimede, qui, dum in pulvere quaedam describit attentius, ne patriam quidem captam esse senserit! Cic. de Fin. v. 19.

[§ 565.] Note 1. What is expressed in these and similar cases by qui alone, is expressed in others more emphatically by quippe qui, utpote qui and ut qui (which is not used by Cicero, though frequently by Livy and later writers) through all the cases of qui, e.g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 18.: convivia cum patre non inibat, quippe qui ne in oppidum quidem nisi perraro veniret; Nep. Dion, 2.: (Plato) quum a Dionysio tyranno crudeliter violatus esset, quippe quem venundari jussisset. The indicative in these expressions occurs in Sallust and Livy, but Cicero has only in one passage (ad Att. ii. 24.) utpote qui with the indicative: ea nos, utpote qui nihil contemnere solemus, non

pertimescebamus.

[§ 566.] Note 2. Attention must be paid to the person of the verb with the relative, as it depends upon the noun to which the relative refers. Hence in the first of the above passages the second is used, because Achilles is addressed; but the first is used in sentences like the following: Me infelicem, qui per tot annos te videre non potuerim!

[§ 567.] d) When the sentence introduced by the relative expresses the intention and object of the action of the preceding sentence, the relative is followed by the subjunctive. The relative in this case is equivalent to ut.

Sunt autem multi, qui eripiunt aliis, quod aliis largiantur, Cic. de Off. i. 14.

Populus Romanus sibi tribunos creavit, per quos contra senatum et consules tutus esse posset, Eutrop.

Super tabernaculum regis, unde ab omnibus conspici posset, imago solis crystallo inclusa fulgebat, Curt. iii. 7.

[§ 568.] e) After the adjectives dignus, indignus, aptus and idoneus, the relatives are commonly used with the subjunctive, as dignus est, indignus est, qui laudetur.

Voluptas non est digna, ad quam sapiens respiciat, Senec.

Rustici nostri quum fidem alicujus bonitatemque laudant, dignum esse dicunt, quicum in tenebris mices, Cic. de Off. iii. 19.

Note. The infinitive with these adjectives is rare in prose, but frequent in poetry, e.g. Quintil. x. 1. 96.: Lyricorum Horatius fere solus legi dignus; Plin. Paneg. 7.: uterque (princeps) optimus erat, dignusque alter eligi, alter eligere. Ut also may be used, as Liv. xxii. 59.: quum indigni, ut a vobis redimeremur, visi simus; in xxiii. 42. both constructions are combined: si modo, quos ut socios haberes dignos duxisti, haud indignos judicas, quos in fidem receptos tuearis, because it was necessary to avoid the repetition of the same pronoun.

[§ 569.] f) Lastly we must here notice the circumstance that in a narrative the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive are sometimes used after relative pronouns and adverbs, when actions of repeated occurrence are spoken of (in which case the Greek language requires the relative with the optative mood: see Buttmann's Greek Gram. § 139. note 6.); e.g. Liv. iii. 11.: quemcunque lictor jussu consulis prehendisset, tribunus mitti jubebat; iii. 19.: consilium et modum adhibendo, ubi res posceret, priores erant; xxxiv. 38.: ut quisque maxime laboraret locus, aut ipse occurrebat, aut aliquos mittebat; Tacit. Ann. vi. 21.: quotiens

UNIV Call - Digitized by Wighten in

super tali negotio consultaret, edita domus parte ac liberti unius conscientia utebatur; Nep. Eum. 3.: Macedones vero milites ea tunc erant fama, qua nunc Romani feruntur: etenim semper habiti sunt fortissimi, qui summam imperii potirentur; Justin, xxv. 4.: nec quisquam Pyrrhum, qua tulisset impetum, sustinere valuit. In the same manner Cicero (de Orat. iii. 16.): Socrates, quam se cunque in partem dedisset, omnium facile fuit princeps, is to be explained. As in this way the action is not referred to a distinct individual case, the subjunctive is generally called the indefinite, but it should more properly be called the subjunctive of generality. The indicative, however, is likewise used in these cases, and even more frequently than the subjunctive.

[§ 570.] Note. As in the above quoted passages the subjunctive is used after relatives, so it is sometimes also found after those conjunctions which are originally relative adverbs (see § 331. note 2.), e. g. after quum, as Liv. ii. 27.: desperate enim consulum senatusque auxilio, quum in jus duci debitorem vidissent, undique convolabant (comp. also Cic. in Verr. iv. 20. 44.); after ubi and ut, as Liv. i. 32.: id ubi dixisset, hastam in fines eorum emittebat; even after si (but only when used in the sense of quum), in Sallust, Jug. 58.: Sin Numidae propius accessissent, ibi vero virtutem ostendere et eos maxima vi caedere. To the same practice we refer the circumstance that such relatives are also followed by the present subjunctive, when used in an aorist sense, to express things which have happened repeatedly, and still happen, as in Sallust, Cat. 3.: ubi de magna virtute et gloria bonorum memores, quae sibi quisque facilia factu putat, aequo animo accipit, supra ea, veluti ficta pro falsis ducit.

[§ 571.] 10. It has already been remarked that all conjunctions, and more especially the causal conjunctions, require the subjunctive, when they introduce sentences containing the thoughts or words of another person. Respecting the subjunctive with si and its compounds, see § 524. It now remains to speak of those conjunctions which require the subjunctive on account of their peculiar nature and signification.

The particles expressing a wish, utinam, or more rarely ut, and the poetical o si, govern the subjunctive, because the wish exists only as a conception of the mind; but there is this difference in regard to the tenses, that the present and perfect are used of wishes which are conceived as possible, and the imperfect and pluperfect of those which are to be described as not in accordance with reality. (See above, § 524.) The English, "Oh, would that not" should properly be expressed in Latin only by utinam ne, but utinam non is frequently

used instead of it; see Cic. ad Fam. v. 17.: illud utinam ne vere scriberem! ad Att. xi. 9. in fin.: Haec ad te die natali meo scripsi, quo utinam susceptus non essem, aut ne quid ex eadem matre postea natum esset! plura scribere fletu prohibeor. Sometimes the particle utinam is omitted; e. g. Catull. ii. 9.: tecum ludere sicut ipsa possem.

[§ 572.] Quasi (aeque, perinde, non secus), ac si, tamquam si, velut si, or tamquam and velut alone (sometimes also sicut and the poetical ceu), all of which signify "as if," "as though," always introduce a sentence which contains only a conception of the mind, and are consequently used with the subjunctive. (Compare § 282.) The tense of the subjunctive with these conjunctions depends upon that of the leading verb; e.g. Senec. Epist. 83.: Sic cogitandum est, tamquam aliquis in pectus intimum inspicere possit; Cic. Divin. 4.: Sed quid ego his testibus utor, quasi res dubia aut obscura sit? Brut. 1.: angimur, tamquam illi ipsi acerbitatis aliquid acciderit. We must notice especially the ironical quasi and quasi vero, which are joined with the present subjunctive to denote a continuing action, and with the perfect subjunctive to express a completed one, when the speaker himself belongs to the time present; e.g. quasi me pudeat, as if I were ashamed! quasi paulum differat! quasi vero ego ad illum venire debuerim! as if I had been obliged to go to him! Cic. p. Muren. 17.: populus nonnunquam aliquid factum esse (in comitiis) admiratur, quasi vero non ipse fecerit. The imperfect subjunctive, however, is also used after a present, when we mean to express that in reality the thing is not so, in which case we must always supply a hypothetical imperfect; e. g. Cic. ad Fam. xiii. 42.: Egnatii rem ut tueare aeque a te peto, ac si mea negotia essent, i. e. ac peterem, si mea negotia essent, as I would pray, if &c.; ad Att. iii. 13.: Qua de re quoniam nihil ad me scribis, proinde habebo ac si scripsisses nihil esse, i.e. atque haberem si scripsisses.

The subjunctive with non quo, non quod, non eo quod, non ideo quod, non quia, arises from the same cause, and is of the same kind. These expressions which have already been discussed in § 537, are usually followed by sed quod or sed quia with the indicative, because the sentence introduced by them states the real reason. Cic. Tusc. ii. 23.: Pugiles vero, etiam quum feriunt adversarium, in jactandis caestibus ingemiscunt,

non quod doleant animove succumbant, sed quia profundenda voce omne corpus intenditur, venitque plaga vehementior.

Dummodo (if only, if but), for which dum and modo are also used alone, governs the subjunctive because it expresses an intention or a purpose conceived by the mind. Therefore when joined with a negation, it becomes dummodo ne, dum ne, modo ne; e. g. Cic. de Off. iii. 21.: (multi) omnia recta et honesta negligunt, dummodo potentiam consequantur; ad Quint. Fratr. i. 1.: Quare sit summa in jure dicundo severitas, dummodo ea ne varietur gratia, sed conservetur aequabilis.

[§ 573.] Ut, in the sense of "even if," or "although" (see § 341.), expresses a supposition merely as a conception, and accordingly governs the subjunctive. It takes the negative non; e. g. Cic. Philip. xii. 3.: Exercitus si pacis, id est, timoris nostri, nomen audierit, ut non referat pedem (even if it does not withdraw), insistet certe. The same however may be expressed by ne with the concessive subjunctive. (See § 529.)

Ut desint vires, tamen est laudanda voluntas, Ovid.

Ut rationem Plato nullam afferret, vide quid homini tribuam, ipsa auctoritate me frangeret, Cic. Tusc. i. 21.

The conjunction nedum, i. e. "not to mention that," receives its meaning from the negative ne, and accordingly governs the subjunctive. Ne is sometimes used in the sense of nedum; e. g. Sallust, Cat. 11.: Igitur hi milites, postquam victoriam adepti sunt, nihil reliqui victis fecere. Quippe secundae res sapientium animos fatigant; ne illi corruptis moribus victoriae temperarent, i. e. not to mention that they were moderate, &c.; Liv. iii. 52.: Novam eam potestatem (tribunorum plebis) eripuere patribus nostris, ne nunc dulcedine semel capti ferant desiderium, where Gronovius gives a full explanation of this use of ne; Cic. p. Cluent. 35.: Optimis temporibus clarissimi atque amplissimi viri vim tribuniciam sustinere non potuerunt: nedum his temporibus sine judiciorum remediis salvi esse possimus. nedum has no verb, it acquires, like ne dicam, the meaning of an adverb, and is commonly preceded by a negative; e. g. Liv. vi. 7.: Aegre inermis tanta multitudo, nedum armata, sustineri potest. (Even ne is thus used once in Cicero, ad Fam. ix. 26.: Me vero nihil istorum, ne juvenem quidem movit unquam, ne nunc senem.) Hence we find nedum ut in Livy (iii. 14.), and later writers, in the sense of a conjunction "not to mention that."

[§ 574.] Quamvis, as distinct from quamquam, is often used in the sense of quantumvis and quamlibet, i. e. "however much," with the subjunctive. For this is its real meaning, and hence the subjunctive is also used when its parts are separated, as quam volent in conviviis faceti sint; quam volent impudenter mentiantur. Licet (although), properly a verb which has become a conjunction, has the same meaning and construction as quamvis.

Licet strenuum metum putes esse, velocior tamen spes est, Curt. vii. 16. (4.)

Note. In later writers quamvis and quamquam have changed their signification, quamquam being joined with the subjunctive, and quamvis with the indicative. Tacitus uses both conjunctions mostly with the subjunctive. Quanquam with the subjunctive occurs even in some passages of Cicero, though they are comparatively very few: ad Fam. iv. 4.: quamquam videam; p. Muren. 9.: quamquam praesente Lucullo loquar; de Fin. iii. 21.: quamquam in amicitia alii dicant, aeque caram esse sapienti rationem amici ac suam, tamen, &c.; Tusc. v. 30.: quamquam enim sint in quibusdam malis, tamen hoc nomen beati longe et late patet; de Off. i. 2.: quae quamquam ita sint in promptu; and with the subjunctive videatur in Orat. 55. 183; Top. 8. 34. Quamvis with the indicative occurs p. Rab. Post. 2.: quamvis patrem suum nunquam viderat. But it must be observed that quamvis is used also as an adverb in the sense of "however much," and as such governs no particular mood, as in Cicero: quamvis multos proferre possum; quamvis parvis latebris contentus essem, I should be satisfied with ever so small a corner. In this sense it is joined with licet to enhance the meaning of this conjunction, e.g. Cic. de Leg. iii. 10.: quamvis enumeres multos licet, you may enumerate as many as ever you can; de Nat. Deor. iii. 36.: quamvis licet Menti delubra consecremus; Tusc. iv. 24.: quamvis licet insectemur istos.

[§ 575.] The particles of time dum, donec and quoad have the indicative, when they are used in the sense of quamdiu or "as long as;" in the sense of "until," they may have either mood; the indicative, if a thing is expressed as a fact, and the subjunctive, if it is merely conceived as a thing which may possibly be realised, or if at the same time a purpose is expressed in the sentence. Respecting the present indicat. with dum, see § 506. foll.; and it must be observed that the indicative with this conjunction is often retained even in the oratio obliqua, which otherwise requires the subjunctive, as in Tacit. Ann. ii. 81. But such cases are only exceptions.

Lacedaemoniorum gens fortis fuit, dum Lycurgi leges vigebant, Cic. Tusc. i. 42.

Epaminondas quum animadverteret, mortiferum se vulnus accepisse, simulque, si ferrum, quod ex hastili in corpore remanserat, extraxisset, animam statim emissurum: usque eo retinuit, quoad renuntiatum est, vicisse Boeotios, Nep. Epam. 9.

Quoad perventum sit eo, quo sumpta navis est, non domini est navis, sed navigantium, Cic. de Off. iii. 23.

Iratis aut subtrahendi sunt ii, in quos impetum conantur facere, dum se ipsi colligant, aut rogandi orandique sunt, ut, si quam habent ulciscendi vim, differant in tempus aliud, dum defervescat ira, Cic. Tusc. iv. 35.

Note. Tacitus neglects this distinction, and uses the subjunctive with donec, though a simple fact is expressed, e.g. Hist. iv. 35.: Pugnatum longo agmine et incerto Marte, donec proelium nox dirimeret; and he is so partial to this construction, that the perfect indicative must be noticed as of rare occurrence with him. Respecting the few passages in which donec is used by Cicero and Caesar, see § 350.

[§ 576.] Antequam and priusquam are commonly used in a narrative with the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive, if there is some connection between the preceding and the subsequent action; but if the simple priority of one action to another is expressed, the indicative is used; e. g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 66.: haec omnia ante facta sunt, quam Verres Italiam attigit. The present indicative is used when the action is described as certain and near at hand, or as being already begun, e.g. Cic. ad Fam. vii. 14.: dabo operam, ut istuc veniam, antequam plane ex animo tuo effluo; ad Att. x. 15 .: si quemquam nactus eris qui perferat litteras, des antequam discedimus; p. Muren. 1.: Antequam pro L. Murena dicere instituo, pauca pro me ipso dicam; Philip. ii. 2.: Cui priusquam de ceteris rebus respondeo, de amicitia, quam a me violatam esse criminatus est, pauca dicam. The subjunctive must be used when the thing is still doubtful, e. g. Cic. de Leg. Agr. ii. 27 .: Hac lege ante omnia veneunt, quam gleba una ematur; Parad. 6. 1.: nunquam eris dives antequam tibi ex tuis possessionibus tantum reficiatur, ut eo tueri legionem possis; and in general propositions, as Senec. Epist. 103.: tempestas minatur antequam surgat; Quaest. Nat. ii. 12.: Ante videmus fulgurationem, quam sonum audiamus. But the subjunctive is used also in other cases to denote actions about to take place, and without any difference in meaning from the indicative, as Cic. Philip. i. 1.: Antequam de republica dicam ea, quae dicenda hoc tempore arbitror, exponam breviter consilium profectionis meae.

[§ 577.] 11. With regard to quum, there is this difference, that quum causale governs the subjunctive, and quum tempo-

rale by itself requires the indicative, and in narratives only it is joined with the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive.

The following remarks however may serve to explain and modify this general rule. Quum is properly a relative adverb of time, corresponding to the demonstrative adverb tum, as in tum—quum, then—when. If therefore nothing further is to be expressed, it is joined with the indicative. But quum is also employed to express the relation of cause and effect, and in this sense it governs the subjunctive, e.g. quum sciam, quum scirem, quum intellexerim, quum intellexissem, i. e. as I know, as I knew, as I have learnt, as I had learnt—I will do this or that. When it has the meaning of "though" or "although," the sentence introduced by it does not indeed express the cause of what is contained in the preceding sentence, but still indicates some internal or logical connection between the two sentences, and it is therefore joined only with the subjunctive, e.g. Cic. de Invent. i. 4.: homines, quum multis rebus infirmiores sint, hac re maxime bestiis praestant, quod loqui possunt; Nep. Phoc. 1 .: Phocion fuit perpetuo pauper, quum ditissimus esse posset.

[§ 578.] In a narrative however quum temporale is joined with the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive, because in a continuous narrative, a preceding event is always conceived and represented as the cause of a subsequent one; e.g. Caesar, quum Pompejum apud Pharsalum vicisset, in Asiam trajecit: here we perceive a combination of time and cause, which is expressed by the subjunctive. It only remains to be observed that this is always the case in an historical narrative, although if we consider only the relation of time or priority, we might believe the indicative also to be correct. Examples are extremely numerous.

§ 505.

[§ 579.] But when quum is a pure particle of time, that is, when it does not occur in a narrative, and when no relation of cause and effect is to be expressed, it may be joined with all the tenses of the indicative, even with the imperfect and pluperfect, in the sense of eo tempore quum, or tum quum, which expressions, in fact, often occur.

Qui non defendit injuriam, neque propulsat a suis, quum potest, injuste facit, Cic. de Off. iii. 18.

Sed da operam, ut valeas, et, si valebis, quum recte navigari poterit, tum naviges, Cic. ad Tir. Ep. 12.

Credo tum, quum Sicilia florebat opibus et copiis, magna artificia (studios of artists) fuisse in ea insula, Cic. in Verr. iv. 21.

O acerbam mihi memoriam temporis illius et loci, quum hic in me incidit, quum complexus est, conspersitque lacrimis, nec loqui prae maerore potuit! Cic. p. Planc. 41.

In like manner quum is joined with the pluperfect indicative, when it expresses an action frequently repeated; in this case the apodosis contains the imperfect. (See § 569. foll.)

Quum autem ver esse coeperat, cujus initium iste non a Favonio, neque ab aliquo astro notabat, sed quum rosam viderat, tum incipere ver arbitrabatur: dabat se labori atque itineribus, Cic. in Verr. v. 10.

Sic (Verres) confecto itinere, quum ad aliquod oppidum venerat, eadem lectica usque in cubiculum deferebatur, Cic. in Verr. v. 11.

Note. The following passage of Cicero (p. Planc. 26.) is particularly instructive: At ego, quum casu diebus iis, itineris faciendi causa, decedens e provincia Puteolos forte venissem, quum plurimi et lautissimi solent esse in iis locis, concidi paene, quum ex me quidam quaesisset, &c. In this passage quum - venissem is the historical protasis to concidi; but quum - solent merely explains the time implied in iis diebus; the former quum may be translated by "as," but the latter is "when." Among the numerous passages in which quum is used, there are, it is true, some which seem to contradict, or actually do contradict, the rule given above, for the Latin language has a sort of partiality for quum with the subjunctive, especially with the imperfect subjunctive. Thus we find in Cicero, Philip. iii. 2.: C. Caesar adolescens tum, quum maxime furor arderet Antonii, quumque ejus a Brundisio reditus timeretur, firmissimum exercitum ex invicto genere veteranorum militum comparavit, - here the idea of time is combined with that implied in "although;" Cic. in Pis. 13.: An tum eratis consules, quum cunctus ordo reclamabat, quumcupere vos diceretis, — here quum at first simply indicates time, but then the passage assumes the character of an historical narrative. The present subjunctive is used more rarely in cases which properly require the indicative, as Cic. p. Muren. 3.: nunc quum omnes me causae ad misericordiam vocent, where nunc quum is equivalent to "now as;" in the same chapter we find: Neque enim si tibi tum quum consulatum peteres, favi, idcirco nunc quum Murenam ipsum petas, adjutor eodem pacto esse debeo, - where peteres is excusable, but petas must be corrected from MSS. into petis. In other passages there are other reasons for using the subjunctive, e.g. p. Muren. 38.: qui locus est, quod tempus, qui dies, quae nox, quum ego non ex istorum insidiis divino auxilio eripiar, - here the subjunctive arises from the indefinite or general question; Cic. in Verr. i. 10.: Haec neque quum ego dicerem, neque quum tu negares, magni momenti nostra esset oratio. Quo tempore igitur aures judex erigeret animumque attenderet? Quum Dio ipse prodiret, quum ceteri, qui tum in Sicilia negotiis Dionis interfuissent : quum tabulae virorum bonorum proferrentur, &c. &c. Opinor, quum haec fierent, tum vos audiretis, tum causa vere agi viderctur, - here the subjunctive with quum arises from the hypothetical construction of the whole sentence. In the peculiar passage, de

Leg. Agr. ii. 24. 64.: unum hoc certe videor mihi verissime posse dicere, tum quum haberet respublica Luscinos—et tum quum erant Catones—tamen hujuscemodi res commissa nemini est,—commentators justly explain as an anacoluthon, for the sentence begins in a direct way, and afterwards becomes an indirect speech. Whatever therefore may be the explanation in each particular passage, the statement of some critics that quum temporale is used indiscriminately with the indicative or subjunctive, must be rejected from grammar. If we take into consideration the deviations from the rule mentioned in this note, and what was said in § 570., the beginner may perhaps take the following as his general guide: quum may always be joined with the imperfect and pluperfect subjunctive; the other tenses are in the indicative with quum temporale, and in the subjunctive with quum causale.

[§ 580.] 12. The following must be observed as peculiarities in the use of quum temporale: 1. Quum is joined with the perfect or imperfect indicative to express simultaneous occurrences which are indicated in English by "while." This simultaneousness is marked more emphatically by adding interea or interim. The perfect, in this case, is used in historical narratives, and the imperfect in descriptions. 2. Quum is joined with all tenses of the indicative, and more especially with the present to express the decided beginning of an action, in which case it does not introduce a protasis, but rather an apodosis. It is commonly preceded by adverbs, as jam, nondum, vix, aegre, or quum itself is joined with repente and subito.

Catulus, quum ex vobis quaereret, si in uno Cn. Pompejo omnia poneretis, si quid eo factum esset, in quo spem essetis habituri: cepit magnum suae virtutis fructum ac dignitatis, quum omnes prope una voce, in eo ipso vos spem habituros esse, dixistis, Cic. p. Leg. Man. 20.

Caedebatur virgis in medio foro Messanae civis Romanus, judices, quum interea nullus gemitus, nulla vox alia istius miseri inter dolorem crepitumque plagarum audiebatur, nisi haec: civis Romanus sum, Cic. in Verr. v. 62.

Evolarat jam e conspectu fere fugiens quadriremis, quum etiamtum ceterae naves uno in loco moliebantur, Cic. in Verr. v. 34.

Jam in conspectu, sed extra teli jactum utraque acies erat, quum priores Persae inconditum et trucem sustulere clamorem, Curt. iii. 25. (10.)

Jamque, qui Dareum vehebant equi, confossi hastis et dolore efferati, jugum quatere et regem curru excutere coeperant, quum ille, veritus ne vivus veniret in hostium potestatem, desilit et in equum, qui ad hoc sequebatur, imponitur, Curt. iii. 27. (11.), and in innumerable other passages of this writer.

Non dubitabat Minucius, qui Sopatrum defendebat, quin iste (Verres), quoniam consilium dimisisset, illo die rem illam quaesiturus non esset, quum repente jubetur dicere, Cic. in Verr. ii. 29.

[§ 581.] Note 1. In further confirmation of our first remark, that quum in the sense of "while" is construed with the perfect indicative, we add Cic. p. Ligar. 1.: Bellum (inter Caes. et Pomp.) subito exarsit, quod, qui erant in Africa, ante audierunt geri, quam parari. Quo audito, partim cupiditate inconsiderata, partim caeco quodam timore, primo salutis, post etiam studii sui quaerebant aliquem ducem: quum Ligarius domum spectans et ad suos redire cupiens nullo se implicari negotio passus est,-quum here properly introduces the principal action "while Ligarius would not allow himself to be implicated," although at the same time it expresses simultaneousness. Comp. also Cic. in Pis. 34.: quum quidem tibi etiam accessio fuit; Philip. ix. 4. 9.: quum quidem ille pollicitus est; for these passages must be read in their connection, in order to see the difference between the indicative which expresses the actual beginning of the actions, and the imperfect subjunctive. The addition of quidem too must be observed, as well as interim in Florus, iii. 17. in fin.: Sed pretium rogationis statim socii flagitare (Perfect): quum interim imparem Drusum aegrumque rerum temere motarum matura (ut in tali discrimine) mors abstulit.

[§ 582.] Note 2. Quum, in both cases, is used by historians (Livy, Tacitus) also with what is called the historical infinitive (infinitivus historicus). Instances of quum in the sense of "while" are Tacit. Ann. ii. 31.: Cingebatur interim milite domus, strepebant etiam in vestibulo, ut audiri, at aspici possent: quum Libo, ipsis, quas in novissimam voluptatem adhibuerat, epulis excruciatus, vocare percussorem, prendere servorum dextras, inserere gladium; Liv. ii. 27.: victor tot intra paucos dies bellis Romanus promissa consulis fidemque senatus expectabat: quum Appius quam asperrime poterat jus de creditis pecunis dicere. The following is an instance of quum expressing the actual beginning of an action, Tacit. Ann. xiv. 5.: nec multum erat progressa navis, quum dato signo ruere tectum loci. Cicero does not use such expressions, but as the infinitive is a real substitute for the present in lively descriptions, there is nothing to be said against it.

CHAP. LXXIX.

IMPERATIVE MOOD.

[§ 583.] 1. The imperative, both in the active and passive, has two forms—the imperative present and the imperative future. (See § 151.) Both express a command, but also a wish, an advice or exhortation. The difference in the meaning of the two imperatives is this: the imperative present expresses that something is to be done directly or at once; as lege, read! mo-

rere, die! or that a thing which exists at present is to continue to exist, as vive felix. The imperative future puts the command in connection with some other action, and expresses that something is to be done in future, when, or as soon as, something else has taken place. It is however not necessary that the other action should be expressed in words, but in many cases it is supplied by the mind; e. g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 1.: Rem vobis proponam; vos eam suo, non nominis pondere penditote, i. e. weigh it, viz. quum proposuero.

Quum valetudini tuae consulueris, tum consulito navigationi, Cic. ad Fam. xvi. 4.

Quodquum hujus vobis adolescentiam proposueritis, constituitote vobis ante oculos etiam hujus miseri senectutem, Cic. p. Coel. in fin.

Prius audite paucis; quod cum dixero, si placuerit, facitote, Terent. Eun. v. 11. 19.

Note. This is the view of the ancient grammarians respecting the difference between the two tenses of the imperative. Vossius and Perizonius (on Sanct. Minerv. i. 13. no. 8.), and after them the modern grammarians have without cause abandoned that view, and substituted a groundless theory about a milder and a stronger expression of a command. Comp. Nic. Bygom Krarup's dissertation de natura et usu imperativi, Havniac, 1825 (reprinted in Friedemann and Seebode's Miscellanea Critica, vol. ii. p. 728. foll.) There are some exceptions in which the imperative present is used for the imperative future; but a poet has a right to represent things as taking place at once, which in reality can occur only at a subsequent time. (So also in Livy, vi. 12.: Tu. T. Quinti, equitem intentus ad primum initium moti certaminis teneas: ubi haerere jam aciem collato pede videris, tum terrorem equestrem occupatis alio pavore infer, invectusque ordines pugnantium dissipa). Respecting scito and scitote instead of the imperative present which is wanting, see § 164. Otherwise our rule is only confirmed by passages in which the two imperatives occur, as that of Terence quoted above, or Cic. Philip. vi. 6. 17.; ad Fam. xvi. 6., and also by those in which the preceding action is not expressed, but may be understood, e. g. in the Rhet. ad Herenn. iv. 51., where the conduct of a boaster is described: Itane? inquit: eamus hospites, frater venit ex Falerno; ego illi obviam pergam; vos huc decuma venitote, i. e. return towards the evening, after you have gone away, and attended to your other business. It should also be observed that the imperative present has no third person, because a person not present cannot obey at the moment.

[§ 584.] 2. Hence the imperative future is properly used in contracts (comp. Liv. xxxviii. 38.), laws and wills, inasmuch as it is stipulated in them that things are to be done after a certain time; further in precepts and rules of conduct, that is, to express actions which are to be repeated as often as the occasion occurs.

THE CAR - Digitario by element in

Regio imperio duo sunto, iique consules appellantor, militiae summum jus habento, nemini parento, illis salus populi suprema lex esto, Cic. de Leg. iii. 3.

Causam igitur investigato in re nova atque admirabili, si poteris. Si nullam reperies, illud tamen exploratum habeto, nihil fieri potuisse sine causa, eumque terrorem, quem tibi rei novitas attulerit, naturae ratione depellito, Cic. de Divin. ii. 28.

Non satis est pulchra esse poemata, dulcia sunto,

Et quocunque volent, animum auditoris agunto.

Horat. de Art. Poet. 99.

Ignoscito saepe alteri, nunquam tibi, Syrus, Sent. 143.

[§ 585.] 3. With the imperative the English "not" must be rendered by ne and "nor" by neve, but not by non or neque. The imperative with ne however is peculiar only to the early language, and at all times in legal phraseology.

Hominem mortuum (inquit lex in duodecim tabulis) in urbe ne sepelito neve urito, Cic. de Leg. ii. 23.

Note. Non and neque with the imperative are rare. Ovid. Met. iii. 117.: ne cape—nec te civilibus insere bellis; viii. 433.: Pone, age, nec titulos intercipe femina nostros; de Art. Am. iii. 129.: Vos quoque non caris aures onerate lapillis, nec prodite graves insuto vestibus auro. But when the subjunctive is used for the imperative, non and especially neque are found more frequently. See § 529.

The imperative with ne is of quite common occurrence in conversational language in Plautus and Terence, and along with it we find ne with the present subjunctive without any difference: ne clama, ne crucia te, ne me obsecra; ne credas, ne erres, ne metuas. Later poets chiefly use ne with the present subjunct., and ne with the imperative only when they speak emphatically. Servius, on Virg. Aen. vi. 544. expressly remarks: ne saevi antique dictum est. Nam nunc ne saevias dicimus, nec imperativum jungimus adverbio imperantis. In saying that ne saevias was used in his time, he was probably thinking more especially of poets. It is not used in the classical prose writers, who always prefer the paraphrased imperative noli saevire. (§ 586.)

[§ 586.] 4. The following forms are used instead of both tenses of the imperative: —

- a) The future, which however takes the negative non, if anything is forbidden; e. g. facies or non facies hoc; Cic. ad Fam. vii. 20.: Sed valebis, meaque negotia videbis, meque diis juvantibus ante brumam expectabis, instead of vale, vide, expecta; Liv. vii. 35.: Ubi sententiam meam vobis peregero, tum quibus eadem placebunt, in dextram partem taciti transibitis, instead of transitote.
 - b) The third person of the present subjunctive, both in an

affirmative and negative command, is even more frequently used than the imperative, unless a writer intentionally uses the legal phraseology.

c) The second person of the perfect subjunctive, with the negative ne, as Cic. Acad. ii. 40.: Tu vero ista ne asciveris neve fueris commenticiis rebus assensus; ad Fam. vii. 25.: Secreto hoc audi, tecum habeto, ne Apellae quidem, liberto tuo, dixeris. Respecting the subjunctive used for the imperative, see § 529.

The affirmative imperative is paraphrased by cura (or curato) ut, fac ut, or fac alone with the subjunctive; e.g. cura ut quam primum venias, facite ut recordemini, fac animo forti magnoque sis. The negative imperative is paraphrased by fac ne, cave ne, or commonly by cave alone (without ne), with the present or perfect subjunctive: cave putes, cave dixeris; but especially by noli with the infinitive: noli putare, nolite (nolitote) existimare.

Tu nihil invita dices faciesve Minerva, Horat. Ars Poet. 385. Qui adipisci veram gloriam volet, justitiae fungatur officiis, Cic.

de Off. ii. 13.

Quod dubitas ne feceris, Plin. Epist. i. 18.

Nihil ignoveris, nihil omnino gratiae concesseris, misericordia commotus ne sis! Cic. p. Muren. 31.

Magnum fac animum habeas et spem bonam, Cic. ad Quint. Frat. 2. in fin.

Nolite id velle quod fieri non potest, et cavete ne spe praesentis pacis perpetuam pacem omittatis, Cic. Philip. vii. 8.

[§ 587.] Note. We also find an imperative of the perfect passive, but very rarely; Ovid, Trist. iv. 8. 51.: At vos admoniti nostris quoque casibus este; and the famous exclamation of Caesar before passing the Rubicon, in Sueton. Caes. 32.: Jacta alea esto! ἀνεβρίφθω κύθος. The subjunctive is more commonly used instead of it, as jacta sit alea!

CHAP. LXXX.

INFINITIVE MOOD.

[§ 588.] 1. The infinitive expresses the action or condition implied in the verb in the form of an abstract generality, with-

out specifying either person, number, or time; it merely indicates the relations of an action, that is, whether it is in progress or completed. *Scribere*, to write, expresses the action as in progress; *scripsisse*, to have written, as completed. To what time the action thus described belongs, is determined by the verb on which the infinitive depends.

Note 1. The one of these infinitives is called the present and the other the perfect infinitive. The former name is incorrect, for it is not the present time that is expressed by scribere, since, besides volo scribere, we may say, (heri) volebam scribere, volueram scribere, and (cras) volam scribere; but the action is described only as in progress. The infinitives should therefore rather be called infinitivus rei infectae and infinitivus rei perfectae. If, however, we compare the two infinitives with the tenses of the verb, we are naturally struck by the resemblance between scribere and scribo, and between scripsise and scripsi; although, with regard to the relation of the action, the imperfect scribebam and the pluperfect scripseram have the same claim as scribo and scripsi. Hence the first infinitive is also called infinitivus praesentis et imperfecti, and the other infinitivus perfecti et plusquamperfecti; but neither of these designations comprises the whole of their signification.

[§ 589.] Note 2. Memini, in a narrative of events at which the speaker himself has been present, is joined with the present infinitive, although the action may be completed; and the speaker thus transfers himself to the past, and describes the action as if it was in progress before his eyes; e.g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 4.: memini Pamphylum mihi narrare; Lael 3.: memini Catonem mecum et cum Scipione disserere; p. Sext. 35.: meministis tum, judices, corporibus civium Tiberim compleri, cloacas referciri, e foro spongiis effingi sanguinem. So also memoria teneo, Q. Scaevolam bello Marsico, quum esset summa senectute, quotidie facere omnibus conveniendi sui potestatem, in Cicero, Philip. viii. 10.; and even scribit is construed like meminit, as Cic. de Off. And after the analogy of memini, Cicero (de Off. i. 30.), without speaking of things he has witnessed himself, and merely for the sake of vivid expression, says: M. Maximum accepimus facile celare, tacere, dissimulare, insidiari, praecipere hostium consilia. But when the sentence is not a narrative, but only a statement of a result, memini is also joined with the infinitive of the completed action; e.g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 42.: meministis me ita distribuisse initio causam, where the judges are requested to remember the division he had made; Cic. p. Milon. 35.: meminit etiam, sibi vocem praeconis modo defuisse, quam minime desiderarit, populi vero cunctis suffragiis, quod unum cupierit, se consulem declaratum; Liv. xxxvi. 34.: quamquam merito iratus erat Aetolis, quod solos obtrectasse gloriae suae meminerat.

[§ 590.] Note 3. The infinitive perfect is sometimes used in Latin instead of the infinitive present, to express the result of an action rather than its progress; e.g. juvat me, pudet me hoc fecisse. This is the case chiefly after the expressions satis mihi est, satis habeo, contentus sum, which are usually joined with the infinitive perfect in the prose of the silver age; e.g. Quintil. ii. 1. 2.: Grammatici non satis credunt excepisse, quae a rhetoribus relicta erant; Vell. Pat. ii. 103.: contenti simus id unum dixisse; and many other instances. In like manner the infinitive perfect is joined with melius

erit, as Terent. Adelph. ii. 1. 26.: ante aedes non fecisse convicium; Liv. iii. 48.: quiesse erit melius; iii. 41.: vocem non misisse. In ancient laws forbidding anything, velle is joined in like manner with the infinitive perfect; e.g. in the senatusconsultum de Bacchanalibus: Ne Bacchanal habuisse velit. Bacchas ne quis adisse velit; and this mode of speaking is often imitated by later writers, as Horat. Serm. ii. 3. 187.: ne quis humasse velit Ajacem, Atrida, vetas cur? Ovid, Am. i. 4. 38 : Oscula praecipue nulla dedisse velis; and is further extended to mere negative sentences; e.g. Horat. Serm. i. 2. 28.: sunt qui nolint tetigisse; Liv. xxii. 59.: haud equidem premendo alium me extulisse velim; Plin. Hist. Nat. x. 30.: quum illam (ciconiam) nemo velit attigisse. Also, with a verb equivalent in meaning to ne velit, as Horat. de Art. Poet. 168.: commisisse cavet, quod mox mutare laboret; or with the positive velim and similar verbs, as Liv. xxx. 14.: Hanc te quoque ad ceteras tuas eximias virtutes, Masinissa, adjecisse velim; Horat. Carm. iii. 4. 52.: tendentes Pelion imposuisse Olympo. The poets go still further, and use the infinitive perfect, without any reference to a completed action, in the sense of the Greek agrist infinitive, where in ordinary language we should expect the infinitive present; e.g. Virg. Aen. vi. 78.: Bacchatur vates, magnum si pectore possit excussisse deum; Ovid, Ars Am. ii. 583.: non vultus texisse suos possunt.

[§ 591.] 2. In the passive voice there are also two infinitives, the one to express the progress of a state of suffering, and the other the completed state of suffering. The one is called the infinitive present and the other the infinitive perfect; the former is simple, laudari, to be praised; the second is formed by a combination of the participle perfect with the verb esse, as laudatus esse, or in the accusative laudatum esse, to have been praised; the participle of course takes the number and gender of the object to which it refers.

[§ 592.] Note. In the absence of a special infinitive to express the completed state of suffering, custom has assigned to the combination of the participle perfect with esse the signification of such an infinitive; and esse thus loses its own signification of a continued state; if, however, the latter must be expressed, another infinitive must be chosen; e.g. scio urbem obsessam teneri, I know that the town is besieged, for scio urbem obsessam esse would not express the continuance of the state, but its completion. Thus we read in Cicero, in Cat. i. 1.: constrictam jam horum conscientia teneri conjurationem tuam non vides? Where, however, the context is so clear that no ambiguity can arise, the participle with esse (e. g. obsessam esse) may be used, and esse retain its original meaning. Thus Cic. (de Off. i. 19.) says: Apud Platonem est, omnem morem Lacedaemoniorum inflammatum esse cupiditate vincendi. But fuisse is used with the participle perfect in its peculiar sense of a doubly completed state, i. e. a state completed previous to a certain past time, and there can be no ambiguity; e.g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 36.: certiorem te faciunt, simulacrum Dianae apud Segestanos P. Africani nomine positum ac dedicatum fuisse; Liv. i. 41.: jubet bono animo esse; sopitum fuisse regem subito ictu; Tacit. Ann. iv. 23.: tradidere quidam, Macroni praescriptum fuisse, si arma ab Sejano moverentur, juvenem ducem populo imponere.

[§ 593.] 3. Besides these infinitives expressing an action or a state in progress and completed, there is, both in the active and passive, an infinitive of future time (infinitivus futuri), which denotes an action or condition as continued. It is formed in the active by a combination of the participle future active with esse, as laudaturum esse; and in the passive by a combination of the supine with iri, as laudatum iri. The former, owing to its participle, may take different genders and numbers, the latter admits of no such change; e.g. Quintil. ix. 2. 88.: Reus videbatur damnatum iri; Cic. in Verr. v. 29.: Sciebat sibi crimini datum iri pecuniam accepisse; de Off. i. 14.: arbitrantur se beneficos visum iri.

Note. The future participle in urus properly expresses an intention or desire; and in this sense it takes the infinitives esse and fuisse, as laudaturum esse, to intend praising; laudaturum fuisse, to have intended praising; scio te scripturum fuisse, I know that you have had the intention to write. Nay, even fore is found with the part fut. in two passages pointed out by Vossius (de Analog. iii. 16.), viz. Cic. ad' Att. v. 21.: deinde addis, si quis secus, te ad me fore venturum, where Ernesti thinks fore corrupt; and Liv. vi. in fin. : quum senatus censeret deorum immortalium causa libenter facturos fore. But this is a pleonasm; for, according to common usage, venturum esse and facturos esse would be sufficient. The infinitive of an action that had once been intended (scripturum fuisse) is further used, especially in the apodosis of hypothetical sentences belonging to the past, where in direct speech the pluperfect subjunctive would be used, as Cic. de Divin. ii. 8.: etiamsi obtemperasset auspiciis, idem eventurum fuisse puto; Tusc. i. 2.: An censemus, si Fabio laudi datum esset quod pingeret, non multos etiam apud nos futuros Polyclitos fuisse? and in like manner the infinitive future with esse is used in the apodosis of hypothetical sentences instead of the imperfect subjunctive; e.g. Cic. in Verr. i. 47.: libertus, nisi jurasset, scelus se facturum (esse) arbitrabatur. The infinit. perfect potuisse occurs in Cic. de Off. i. 1.: Equidem Platonem existimo, si genus forense dicendi tractare voluisset, gravissime et copiosissime potuisse dicere, in the sense of "that he would have been able to speak," and is to be explained by what has been said in § 518.

[§ 594.] 4. Besides this a circumlocution may be employed for the infinitive of future time, by means of *futurum esse* or *fore* followed by *ut*, and the subjunctive. Here, too, the difference between an action continued and an action completed in future time may be expressed: the former by the present and imperfect, and the latter by the perfect and pluperfect of the subjunctive. The choice of one of these four subjunctive tenses depends upon that of the leading verb; e. g. *credo fore*

ut epistolam scribas, and credebam fore ut epistolam scriberes, both expressing a continued action in future time; but credo fore ut epistolam scripseris, and credebam fore ut epistolam scripsisses, expressing a completed action in future time. And so also in the passive: credo fore ut epistola scribatur, and credebam fore ut epistola scriberctur, both expressing a continued state of future suffering; but in order to express a completed state in future time, we avail ourselves in the passive of the participle perfect scriptus, which was wanting in the active; hence credo and credebam epistolam scriptam fore, for thus we read; e.g. in Cic. ad Fam. xi. 7.: a te jam expectare litteras debemus, quid ipse agas, quid noster Hirtius, quid Cacsar meus, quos spero brevi tempore societate victoriae tecum copulatos fore; and in Liv. xxiii. 13.: rebantur debellatum mox fore, si anniti paululum voluissent. The circumlocution by means of futurum esse or fore ut is necessary, when the verb has no supine or participle future active, which is the case with many intransitives. Hence we cannot say otherwise for example, than spero futurum esse (fore) ut sapias, ut te hujus rei poeniteat, ut brevi omnibus his incommodis medeare. But it is also used in many other cases, and in the passive this form occurs almost more frequently than the infinitive formed by the supine with iri.

Video te velle in coelum migrare, et spero fore ut contingat id nobis, Cic. Tusc. i. 34.

Non eram nescius, fore ut hic noster labor in varias reprehensiones incurreret, Cic. de Fin. init.

Ptolemaeus mathematicus Othoni persuaserat, fore ut in imperium ascisceretur, Tacit. Hist. i. 22.

[§ 595.] Note 1. The passive form corresponding to the active infinitive fuisse with the participle future act., in a hypothetical sense, is the circumlocution by means of futurum fuisse ut with the imperfect subjunctive; e. g. rex ignorabat, futurum fuisse ut oppidum ipsi dederetur, si unum diem expectasset, the king did not know that the town would have been surrendered to him, if he had waited one day longer. Comp. Caes. Bell. Civ. iii. 101.: nisi eo ipso tempore nuntii de Caesaris victoria essent allati, existimabant plerique futurum fuisse ut oppidum amitterctur; and Cic. Tusc. iii. 28.: Theophrastus autem moriens accusasse naturam dictur, quod cervis et cornicibus vitam diuturnam, quorum id nihil interesset; hominibus, quorum maxime interfuisset, tam exiguam vitam dedisset: quorum si aetas potuisset esse longinquior, futurum fuisse ut, omnibus perfectis artibus, omni doctrina hominum vita erudiretur.

[§ 596.] Note 2. What is called the participle future passive can never be used to form a paraphrased infinitive future passive, for this participle has

the exclusive meaning of necessity, and as such it has its three regular infinitives: laudandum esse, laudandum fuisse (equivalent to necesse fuisse ut laudaretur), and laudandum fore; e.g. Liv. xxxvii. 39.: Instare hiemem, aut sub pellibus habendos milites fore, aut differendum esse in aestatem bellum; and the correct reading in Curtius, iii. 21., probably is: laetus, quod omni expetierat voto, in illis potissimum angustiis decernendum fore.

[§ 597.] 5. The infinitive may be regarded as a verbal substantive of the neuter gender, with two cases - the nominative and accusative; differing from other substantives of the same kind in this respect that it governs the case which it requires as a real verb, and at the same time expresses the complete or incomplete state of an action. The infinitive must be considered as the nominative, when it is the subject of a sentence, that is, when anything is declared of it; e. g. invidere non cadit in sapientem, where invidere is equivalent to invidia; virtus est vitium fugere, i. e. fuga vitii; est ars difficilis recte rempublicam regere, i. e. recta gubernatio rei publicae; ignoscere amico humanum est; laudari jucundum est, juvat, delectat; peccare nemini licet. infinitive must be considered as the accusative, when it is the object of a transitive verb; e.g. volo, cupio, audeo, conor facere or dicere aliquid, just as we say cupio aliquam rem, nescio mentiri, didici vera dicere. The infinitive is very rarely dependent upon prepositions which govern the accusative, as in Cic. de Fin. ii. 13.: Aristo et Pyrrho inter optime valere et gravissime aegrotare nihil prorsus dicebant interesse; Ovid, Heroid. vii. 164.: Quod crimen dicis praeter amasse meum?

Majus dedecus est parta amittere quam omnino non paravisse, Sallust. Jug. 31.

Didicisse fideliter artes emollit mores nec sinit esse feros, Ovid, ex Pont. ii. 9. 48.

Vincere scis, Hannibal, victoriā uti nescis, Liv. xxii. 51.

[§ 598.] Note. As the infinitive expresses the action, state, or suffering implied in the verb, in the form of abstract generality, it approaches to the nature of a substantive (comp. §§ 237. and 681.), which is indicated most clearly in Greek, where the infinitive may be preceded by the article. But it retains its character of a verb by its objective case, and still more by the expressed or understood accusative of the subject. The substantive nature of the infinitive is also visibly indicated by its being joined with the adjective pronoun ipsum; e.g. Cic. ad Att. xiii. 29: cum vivere ipsum turpe sit nobis; Parad. 3. init.: ipsum quidem peccare, quoquo te verteris, num est; de Orat. ii. 6: me hoc ipsum nihil agere delectat. Other adjective pronouns are rarely joined with it, as Petron. 52: meum intelligere nulla pecunia vendo.

But we cannot assign to the infinitive more than two cases, although there

(A money that the property

are some passages, in which the infinitive appears in such connections, that if a substantive were substituted for it, we should be obliged to use the genitive, dative, or ablative. But some of these passages admit of ample explanation, for a certain phrase may have the meaning and construction of a simple verb; e.g. when Cicero says: paratus sum frumentum dare, in the sense volo dare; and when consilium mihi est, consilium capio are used in the sense of constituo with the infinitive; e.g. praeterire in Sallust, Cat. 53., and hominis propinqui fortunas evertere in Cic. p. Quint. 16. On the same principle we may explain Nepos, Lys. 3 .: iniit consilia reges Lacedaemoniorum tollere; Sallust, Cat. 17.: quibus in otio vivere copia erat; i.e. quibus licebat vivere; Cat. 30.: quibus omnia vendere mos erat; i.e. qui solebant vendere; Curt. iv. 33.: cupido incesserat non interiora modo Aegypti sed etiam Aethiopiam invisere; i.c. cupiverat. See Drakenborch on Liv. iii. 4.9. Some, however, are real exceptions from the ordinary practice; e.g. the relative adjectives which are joined by the poets with the infinitive, instead of the genitive of the gerund, as cedere nescius, avidus committere pugnam, cupidus attingere, canture peritus. The infinitive instead of the dative is sometimes joined with the adjectives utilis, aptus, idoneus, natus; e.g. Horat. Epist. i. 2. 27.: Nos numerus sumus et fruges consumere nati; Ovid, Heroid. i. 109.: nec mihi sunt vires inimicos pellere tectis, instead of pellendis inimicis or ad pellendos inimicos. But this too is of rare occurrence, and an imitation of the Greek. The place of the ablative is supplied by the infinitive, if we may say so, with the adjectives dignus and contentus, which we have already explained in §§ 568. and 590. In classical prose, therefore, we cannot consider the infinitive in any other light than as a verbal substantive with two equal cases.

[§ 599.] 6. When the infinitive has its own subject joined to it, it is put in the accusative.

Note. An exception here presents itself at once in the historical infinitive (infinitivus historicus), to which the subject is joined in the nominative. The historical infinitive is a peculiar mode of using the present infinitive (or the infinit. rei infectae, according to § 588.) in a narrative instead of the imperfect indicative, when actions or conditions are to be described in a lively and animated manner as continuing: in this case the infinitive represents the idea implied in the verb as a noun, and independent of all the additional meanings conveyed by the tenses. The imperfect therefore maintains its place along with the historical infinitive, and re-enters when an explanatory clause is inserted in the description; e.g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 18.: Quod ubi iste audivit, usque eo est commotus, ut sine ulla dubitatione insanire omnibus ac furere videretur. Quia non potuerat argentum eripere, ipse a Diodoro erepta sibi vasa optime facta dicebat : minitari absenti Diodoro, vociferari palam, lacrimas interdum vix tenere; Liv. xxxi. 41.: Philippus inopinantibus advenit. Quem quum adesse refugientes ex agris quidam pavidi nuntiassent, trepidare Damocritus ceterique duces: et erat forte meridianum tempus, quo plerique graves cibo sopiti jacebant : excitare igitur alii alios, jubere arma capere, alios dimittere ad revocandos, qui palati per agros praedabantur. Such historical infinitives thus have their subject joined to them in the nominative, whether it be a substantive or a pronoun, as Terent. Andr. i. 1. 120.: Ego illud sedulo negare factum: ille instat factum (esse). We shall add only one more instance from the writer who is particularly fond of describing

things by the historical infinitive, Sallust, Cat. 6.: Igitur reges populique finitimi bello temptare, pauci ex amicis auxilio esse: nam ceteri metu perculsi a periculis aberant: at Romani, domi militiaeque intenti, festinare, parare, alius alium hortari, hostibus obviam ire, libertatem, patriam parentesque armis tegere. Post, ubi pericula virtute propulerant, sociis atque amicis auxilia portabam. Respecting the mode of introducing such infinitives by means of quum, see § 582. Their introduction by ut, ubi, postquam, in the protasis occurs only in Tacitus; e. g. Ann. xii. 51.: ubi quati uterus, et viscera vibrantur; ii. 6.: postquam exui aequalitas, et ambitio incedebat; comp. i. 20.

[§ 600.] This is the construction of the accusative with the infinitive, which like the infinitive alone is used in two ways, either as the subject or as the object of a proposition. The accusative with the infinitive is the subject, wherever if we would or could use a substantive in its place, it would be in the nominative. So it is especially when a substantive or adjective is added as predicate by means of est, erat, fuit, &c., as justum, aequum, verisimile, consentaneum, apertum est, necesse est and opus est, or an impersonal verb, as apparet, constat, convenit, decet, licet, oportet, or the third person singular of the passive, as intelligitur, perspicitur, and the like; e.g. Victorem parcere victis aequum est, it is fair that the conqueror should spare the conquered, i. e. the elemency of the conqueror towards the conquered is fair.

Accusatores multos esse in civitate utile est, ut metu contineatur audacia, Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 20.

Hoc quidem apparet, nos ad agendum esse natos, Cic. de Fin. v. 21. Constat profecto ad salutem civium inventas esse leges, Cic. de Leg. ii. 5.

Legem brevem esse oportet, quo facilius ab imperitis teneatur, Senec. Epist. 94.

Non sine causa dictum est, nihil facilius quam lacrimas inarescere, Quintil. vi. 1. 27.

Note 1. Sometimes a circumlocution by means of quod, properly id quod (the fact that), is used for the accusative with the infinitive; further, after several adjective expressions, even after some of those mentioned above, ut with the subjunctive is used for the infinitive; in which case, however, the meaning is somewhat altered. We shall return to these points hereafter, §§ 626. and 623., in order not to interrupt our present discussion by exceptions. We shall add only the remark, which is of importance to the beginner, that it is, properly speaking, inaccurate to say that the accusat, with the infinit. is governed by utile est, constat, or oportet, for the infinitive is here the nominative; and we might say, e.g. accusatorum multitudo utilis est, or legum brevitas necessaria est. We have not noticed above the fact that the infinit, and the accusat, with the infinit, may also be the nominative of the predicate;

for as two substantives may be placed in such a relation to each other that the one is the subject and the other the predicate, so also may two infinitive sentences stand to each other in the relation of subject and predicate; e. g. Sallust, Jug.: Impune quaelibet facere id est regem esse. Id might here be omitted, and only represents the infinitive expression as a substantive: facere (see § 608.) is the subject, and regem esse the predicate.

[§ 601.] Note 2. Licet may be joined with the accus. with the infinit., or we may say licet mihi with the infinit. alone; e.g. scribere. The latter is more frequent; and when the infinitive esse (or others of a similar meaning, as fieri, vivere, vitam degere, abire) is accompanied by a noun as a predicate, the latter, too, is put in the dative; e.g. Cic. Tusc. i. 15.: licuit enim esse otioso Themistocli; ad Att. i. 17.: quo in genere mihi negligenti esse non licet; p. Flace. 29.: cur his esse liberis non licet? Liv. iii. 50.: sibi vitam filiae sua cariorem fuisse, si liberae ac pudicae vivere licitum fuisset (ei); xxvi. 41.: Hannibal precatur deos, ut incolumi cedere atque abire ex hostium terra liceat. But the accusat., too, is frequent enough; e.g. Cic. in Verr. v. 32.: Syracusanum in insula habitare non licet; ibid. 59.: non licet me isto tanto bono uti. See my note on Cic. in Verr. v. 18. 45. The same is the case with the infinitive of the passive, as Cic. ad Fam. iii. 10.: ne cooptari quidem sacerdotem licebat. See Heusinger on Cic. de Off. i. 7. It is surprising to find both cases in the same sentence, as in Cic. p. Balb. 12.: si civi Romano licet esse Gaditanum, sive exilio, sive postliminio, sive rejectione hujus civitatis; and in Caes. Bell. Civ. iii. 1.: is enim erat annus, quo per leges ei consulem fieri liceret. We also find mihi necesse est dicere; and, in connection with licet, we find mihi necesse est esse with the predicate in the dative, Liv. xxi. 44.: Illis timidis et ignavis licet esse, vobis necesse est fortibus viris esse. It must, however, be observed, that licet, oportet, and necesse est are also joined with the subjunctive; e.g. fremant omnes licet, sequantur Hermagoram licebit, which accounts for the construction of licet, when it is used as a conjunction in the sense of quamvis. See above, § 574. and § 625.

[§ 602.] 7. The accusative with the infinitive is the object, after verbs which have a sentence for their direct object, i. e. after those which denote an action of our external or internal faculties or a declaration (verba sentiendi et declarandi). The principal verbs of this kind are: audio, video, sentio, animadverto, cognosco, intelligo, percipio, disco, scio, credo, arbitror, puto, opinor, duco, statuo, memini, recordor, obliviscor; -dico, trado, prodo, scribo, refero, nuntio, confirmo, nego, ostendo, demonstro, perhibeo, promitto, polliceor, spondeo, and several others denoting feeling, knowing, thinking, or saying. These and other verbs of the same kind, instead of being followed by a dependent sentence with a conjunction (that, quod), require the infinitive, and the subject of the dependent sentence is put in the accusative. (In English the two sentences are sometimes put in juxtaposition without any sign of dependence or connection, e. g. he feels that he is unhappy, or he feels he is unhappy.)

Sentit animus, se sua vi, non aliena, moveri, Cicero.

Ego ne utilem quidem arbitror esse nobis futurarum rerum scientiam, Cic. de Divin. ii. 9.

Pompejos, celebrem Campaniae urbem, desedisse terrae motu audivimus, Senec. Nat. Quaest. vi. init.

Clodius adhuc mihi denuntiat periculum: Pompejus affirmat non esse periculum, adjurat, addit etiam se prius occisum iri ab eo, quam me violatum iri, Cic. ad Att. ii. 20. (He might have said prius futurum esse, or fore, ut ab eo occidatur, quam ego violer.)

[\$ 603.] Note 1. The propositions which are in direct dependence upon the above-mentioned verbs are put in the accusative with the infinitive; the clauses inserted in such a proposition are, according to circumstances, either in the indicative or the subjunctive, and in the latter more especially when they are inseparably connected with the proposition expressed by the accus. with the infinitive, containing either the words or sentiments of the person spoken of. (See § 545.) Respecting such inserted clauses we must add the following remarks:

a) When a relative clause has the same verb as the proposition with the infinitive, but without its being repeated, the noun which is the subject of the relative clause is put in the accusative; e.g. Cic. Tusc. i. 17.: Platonem ferunt primum de animorum acternitate sensisse idem, quod Pythagoram; Cat. Maj. i.: Te suspicor eisdem rebus, quibus me ipsum, commoveri; if, however, the verb of the relative clause is expressed, we must say idem quod Pythagoras sensit, and iisdem quibus (ego) ipse commoveor. For more examples see § 774.

b) The same is the case with the particle quam after a comparative. We say: e.g. Terentium censeo elegantiorem fuisse poetam, quam Plautum, instead of quam Plautus fuit, as in Cicero, de Fin. iii. 19.: decet cariorem esse patriam nobis, quam nosmet ipsos; i.e. quam nosmet ipsi nobis sumus. Sometimes, however, it happens that the clause with quam, even when it has a verb of its own, attaches itself so closely to the preceding construction, as to accompany it in the accusat. with the infinit., as Cic. ad Fam. ii. 16.: Nonne tibi affirmavi, quidvis me potius perpessurum, quam ex Italia ad bellum civile me exiturum, instead of the more regular quam exirem, or quam ut exirem, as in Livy, xl. 4.: Mulier ausa est dicere, se sua manu potius omnes (liberos suos) interfecturam, quam in potestatem Philippi venirent: and xxxv. 31.: (testatus est) Magnetas in corpora sua citius saevituros, quam ut Romanam amicitiam violarent.

c) When long speeches of other persons are given in the historical form (which is called oratio obliqua in a narrower sense), even complete relative clauses (i. e. such as have a verb of their own), which properly should be in the subjunctive, are put in the accusative with the infinitive, if the relative clause is not subordinate to or dependent upon the one with the infinitive, governed by a verbum sentiendi et declarandi, but rather co-ordinate or running parallel with it, in which case the relative pronoun is equivalent to the demonstrative with et, and only a grammatical form to connect two sentences. Thus, for example, Cic. in Verr. v. 62.: Res ad eum defertur: esse

civem Romanum, qui se Syracusis in lautumiis fuisse quereretur; quem jam ingredientem navem et Verri nimis atrociter minitantem, a se retractum esse et asservatum, ut ipse in eum statueret, quod videretur, for eumque a se retractum esse; Nep. Them. 7.: nam illorum urbem (Athenas) ut propugnaculum oppositum esse barbaris, apud quam jam bis classes regias fecisse naufragium, for et apud eam jam bis classes regias fecisse naufragium. (See the note of J. M. Heusinger on this passage.) In Livy and Tacitus there are some passages in which the accus, with the infin, is used in the oratio obliqua instead of the subjunctive, even after conjunctions, as after quum in Liv. iv. 51.: (plebs aegre ferebat) jacere tam diu irritas actiones, quae de suis commodis ferrentur, quum interim de sanguine ac supplicio suo latam legem confestim exerceri, where et would have been sufficient, and quum is used to express simultaneity (§ 580.); but the infinitive is rather an anomaly: after quamquam in Tacit. Ann. xii. 65.: quamquam ne impudicitiam quidem nunc abesse, is justified by the absolute signification of quanquam (§ 341.); after quia in Liv. xxvi. 27.: Flaccus ideo se moenibus inclusos tenere eos (dicebat): quia, si qui evasissent aliquo, velut feras bestias vagari, is much more surprising, and too great a licence.

The leading propositions in the oratio obliqua (which in the oratio recta would be in the indicative) are thus put in the accus. with the infinit.; and all other clauses, the tenses of which depend upon that of the leading verbum sentiendi et declarandi, are put in the subjunctive. We add the remark, that the imperatives of the direct speech become subjunctives in the oratio obliqua; e.g. hoc mihi dicite, but in the oratio obliqua hoc sibi dicant, or hoc sibi dicerent, according as the leading verb expresses either present or past time. Direct questions, which in direct speech are in the indicative, are expressed in the oratio obliqua by the accus, with the infinit, except questions addressed to the second person, which, like the imperatives, become subjunctives; e. g. when in direct speech we say etiamsi veteris contumeliae oblivisci velim, num possum etiam recentium injuriarum memoriam deponere? the oratio obliqua will be (Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 14.): Caesar respondit (histor. perf.) si veteris contumeliae oblivisci vellet, num etiam recentium injuriarum, quod eo invito (should be se invito, but see § 550.) iter per provinciam per vim temptassent, memoriam deponere posse? Again, in direct speech, we say Hocine patiendum fuit, si ad nutum dictatoris non respondit? Fingite mentitum esse: cui servo unquam mendacii poena vincula fuerunt? but in the oratio obliqua (Liv. vi. 17.): (Indignabantur) Hocine patiendum fuisse, si ad nutum dictatoris non responderit vir consularis? Fingerent mentitum ante, atque ideo non habuisse quod tum responderet: cui servo unquam mendacii poenam vincula fuisse? But questions addressed to the second person are expressed in the oratio obliqua by the subjunctive; e.g. Liv. vi. 37.: (affirmabant) An jam memoria exisse (direct an exiit?) XLIV annis neminem ex plebe tribunum Qui crederent (direct Qui creditis? how do you militum creatum esse? think?) duobus nunc in locis impartituros plebi honorem, qui octona loca tribunis militum creandis occupare soliti sint. For other examples of questions which are expressed in the oratio obliqua, either by the accus, with the infin., or by the subjunctive, see Liv. iii. 72.; vii. 4.; viii. 33. The accus. with the infin. is rarely found in a question of the second person, as in Liv. vi. 17., where, however, it is combined with one of the third person: selibrisne farris gratiam servatori patriae relatam? et, quem cognomine Capitolino prope Jovi parem fecerint, pati (for paterentur) vinctum in carcere? The subjunctive in questions of the third person is less uncommon in Cæsar, e.g. Bell. Gall. i.

43.: Quis pati posset? for quem pati posse? v. 29.: quis hoc sibi persuaderet? for quem sibi persuasurum? Cottae consilium quem haberet exitum? for quem habiturum esse exitum?

[§ 604.] Note 2. It must be particularly observed that the personal pronouns, which are expressed in the other moods only in case of their having the emphasis, are always expressed with the infinitive. The beginner must here pay especial attention to the use of the reflective pronoun se, which, as well as the possessive suus, is employed with other oblique cases, when reference is made in the dependent sentence to the subject of the leading one; and in explanatory clauses, when any thing is stated as the sentiment of the subject; see above §§ 125 and 550. We say, e.g. Caesar se non sui commodicausa arma cepisse dicebat, but an explanatory clause cannot always take these pronouns, as Caesar, quum eum nonnulli injustitiae accusarent, or Caesar, quod ejus causa a plerisque damnabatur, se non sui commodi causa arma cepisse dicebat; but when the explanatory clause contains the sentiment of the subject, we use se and suus, e.g. Caesar, quod suum jus a senatu laesum esset, or postquam nihil sibi ac suis postulatis tributum esset, se non sua sed ipsius rei publicae causa arma cepisse dicebat.

[§ 605.] This rule that the personal pronouns must be expressed (in the accus.) with the infinitive must be particularly attended to with regard to the verbs "to promise" and "to hope," since in English they are usually joined with the infinit. present without any pronoun. In Latin the pronouns are not only expressed, but the infinitive which follows is that of the future, e.g. promisit se venturum, daturum esse, spero hoc me assecuturum (with the omission of esse, as is very frequently the case with this infinitive and that of the perfect passive). There are, it is true, many instances, both of the infinitive present instead of that of the future (for which see the commentators on Caes. Bell. Gall. iv. 21.: pollicentur obsides dare, and Oudendorp on ii. 32.), and of the accusative of the pronoun being omitted; but such exceptions can never affect a rule which is so frequently followed, and they occur much more rarely in Cicero than in Curtius and Livy. In the following passages of Cicero, de Nat. Deor. i. 39.: puderet me dicere non intellexisse; in Q. Caec. 18.: quod dicturum te esse audio quaestorem illius fuisse; in Rull. ii. 36.: haec ego vos sperasse me consule assequi posse demiror—the omission of me, te, and vos, is excused by the fact of there being two constructions of the accus, with the infinit, with the same subject. The following passages are less excusable, Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 22.: confitere huc ea spe venisse; p. Sull. 23.: agrariae legi intercessorem fore professus est: p. Muren. 3.: qui gravissime et acerbissime ferre dixit. But such passages, as was said above, are comparatively rare; and the omission of se as the accusat. of the subject. (which would be ego in direct speech) is frequent only in a long oratio obliqua in historians.

[§ 606.] Note 3. When the use of an infinitive active would bring two accusatives together, one of the subject and the other of the object, and an ambiguity would be likely to arise, it is the rule to prefer the passive construction, by which the accusat of the object becomes the subject, and the other is avoided or explained by the preposition ab or per.

At vero ne fando quidem auditum est, crocodilum aut ibim aut felem violatum (esse) ab Aegyptio, Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 29.

If we were to say crocodilum violasse Aegyptium, there would certainly be a great ambiguity; but where no such ambiguity is to be apprehended, even the best authors use two accusatives by the side of each other.

[§ 607.] 8. The accusative of the subject in the construction of the accusative with the infinitive after the verbs denoting saying, showing, and believing (dicere, negare, tradere, ferre, memorare, narrare, nuntiare, perhibere, prodere, scribere; demonstrare, ostendere, arquere, credere, putare, existimare, and some others of the same meaning), is regarded also as an accusative of the object, governed by those verbs, and hence the passive construction also is admissible (according to § 382.), by which This is the case esthe accusative becomes the nominative. pecially, when the subject of those verbs is indefinite, as dicunt (they or people say) me virum probum esse, or dicor vir probus esse, and so through all persons and tenses: diceris, dicitur vir probus esse; dicimur, dicimini, dicuntur viri probi esse or fecisse. The same is frequently the case with the verbs jubere, vetare and prohibere (comp. § 617.), so that the passives of these verbs are used personally, as vetamur, prohibemur hoc facere, abire jussus sum, consules jubentur exercitum scribere, and sometimes even an infinit, passive is added, e. g. Cic. Philip. ii. 32.: jussus es renuntiari consul. Further, instead of the impersonal videtur (it appears) with the accusat, with the infinit,, it is quite common. to say personally videor, videris, videtur, videmur, videmini, videntur with the infinitive, as videor errasse, it appears that I have erred; videor deceptus esse, it appears that I have been deceived. See above § 380.

Xunthippe, Socratis philosophi uxor, morosa admodum fuisse fertur et jurgiosa, Gellius, i. 17.

Regnante Tarquinio Superbo Sybarim et Crotonem Pythagoras venisse reperitur, Cic. de Re Publ. ii. 15.

Athenis actor movere affectus vetabatur, Quintil. ii. 16.

Note. The accus, with the infinit, after the passives dicitur, traditur, fertur, narratur, existimatur, &c., that is, the impersonal use of these passives, is indeed admissible, but occurs more rarely than the personal construction. (See Duker on Florus, ii. 6. § 45.; Drakenborch on Livy, i. 31.) Hence we must regard it as an exception when we read in Nepos, Paus 5.: dicitur eo tempore matrem Pausaniae vixisse; Liv. v. 33.: eam gentem traditur fama, dulcedine frugum maximeque vini captam Alpes transisse; xl. 29.: creditur Pythagorae auditorem fuisse Numam. It is more frequently the case with nuntiatur, nuntiabatur, as in Caes. Bell. Civ. i. 51.; Cic. p. Milon. 18.; but it is very common with the compound tenses (traditum est, proditum est, creditum est) and with the participle future passive (credendum est, intelligendum est, existimandum est), e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. ii. 63.: Fides et tibias eorum causa factas dicendum est, qui illis uti possunt, and ibid. 66.: quorum neminem nisi juvante deo talem (tam fortem ac reip. utilem) fuisse credendum est.

[§ 608.] 9. The subject cannot be expressed with the infinitive, when it is an indefinite person, for the Romans had no word to express the English "one" (French on), and hence we say ignoscere amico humanum est, to forgive a friend is humane, or it is humane that one (or we) should forgive a friend; facinus est vincire civem Romanum.

But even in this case the verb esse and those denoting "to appear," "to be considered" or "called" (§ 394.) require the predicate, if it be declinable, to agree with the non-expressed subject in the accusative, e. g. ignoscere amico humanum est, recordantem beneficiorum ab eo acceptorum, it is humane that one should forgive a friend, remembering the benefit received of him.

Contentum suis rebus esse maximae sunt certissimaeque divitiae, Cic. Parad. 6.

Licet operā prodesse multis, beneficia petentem, commendantem magistratibus, vigilantem pro re alterius, Cic. de Off. ii. 19.

Atticus maximum existimavit quaestum, memorem gratumque cognosci, Nep. Att. 9.

Magnis in laudibus totā fere fuit Graeciā victorem Olympiae citari, Nep. Praef.

Note. The indefinite pronoun, which may be supplied in these cases, is aliquem, and when the accus. plur. is used, aliques. The same indefiniteness, however, may be expressed by te or nos, or what is to be especially observed, by the infinitive passive. Hence the sentences ignoscere amico humanum est and facinus est vincire civem Romanum, may also be expressed by ignosci amico humanum est, facinus est vinciri civem Romanum; e.g. Nep. Milt. 4: quum viderent de eorum virtute non desperari, et hostes eadem re fore tardiores, si animadverterent auderi adversus se tam exiguis copiis dimicare. This is to be observed especially on account of the impersonal verbs licet, decet, oportet, opus est, necesse est, which if there is no definite subject are joined with the infinitive active alone; e.g. licet hoc facere, decet specimen capere ex hac re, ex malis eligere minima oportet, or with a complete accusat. with the infinit. in the passive construction, as licet hoc fieri, decet specimen capi, ex malis eligi minima oportet.

[§ 609.] 10. The accusative with the infinitive sometimes stands apparently quite independent, but is to be explained by an ellipsis of credibile est? verumne est? This is the case in exclamations, and, when the interrogative particle is annexed, in interrogations expressive of indignation; e. g. Cic. in Verr. v. 44: Illam clementiam mansuetudinemque nostri imperii in tantam crudelitatem inhumanitatemque esse conversam! ad Fam. xiv. 2: Hem, mea lux, te nunc, mea Terentia, sic vexari, sic jacere in

lacrimis et sordibus! idque fieri mea culpa, &c.; Virg. Aen. i. 37.: Mene incepto desistere victam, Nec posse Italiā Teucrorum avertere regem! Terent. Andr. i. 5. 10.: Adeone esse hominem infelicem quemquam, ut ego sum! Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 34.: Tene, quum ceteri socii tui fugerent ac se occultarent, tibi potissimum istas partes depoposcisse, ut in judicio versarere et sederes cum accusatore! in Verr. v. 6.: O praeclarum imperatorem! tantumne vidisse (eum) in metu periculoque provinciae! But it must be observed that a sentence with ut may also be used, both with and without an interrogative particle, to express a question with indignation, e.g. Terent. Andr. i. 5. 28.: Eine (patri) ego ut adverser? Liv. iv. 2.: Illine ut impune bella concitent? v. 24.: victamne ut quisquam victrici patriae praeferret? Cic. in Cat. i. 9.: Tu ut unquam te corrigas? in Verr. iii. 10.: judicio ut arator decumanum persequatur? where we may supply fieri potest?

[§ 610.] 11. The verbs, I can, shall, hasten, venture, am accustomed, and others of the same kind, are followed in Latin as in English by the mere infinitive and not by a proposition. When they are joined with esse, haberi, judicari, videri, &c., the predicate is put in the nominative, e.g. solet tristis videri, aude sapiens esse, properat abire, coepit mihi molestus esse, debes esse diligens, potest liber esse, and so also meretur, scit, didicit liber esse. But the verbs volo, nolo, malo; cupio, opto, studeo, admit of a twofold construction: the mere infinitive is used after them, when the subject remains the same, and when they are followed by esse or any of the above-mentioned verbs, the predicate is in the nominative; but the accusat. with the infinit. is used, when the subject is changed, or when the pronoun of the same person is repeated. On the one hand therefore we say volo eruditus fieri, and on the other volo te eruditum fieri, and volo me eruditum fieri. Hence it is indifferent whether I say discipulum me haberi volo, non doctorem, or discipulus haberi volo, non doctor; principem se esse maluit quam videri, or princeps esse maluit quam videri.

Volo is esse, quem tu me esse voluisti, Cic. ad Fam. i. 7.

Cupio me esse clementem, cupio in tantis rei publicae periculis me non dissolutum videri (or cupio esse clemens nec dissolutus videri), Cic. in Cat. i. 2.

Omnis homines, qui sese student praestare ceteris animalibus,

summa ope niti decet, ne vitam silentio transeant, Sallust, Cat. init.

[§ 611.] Note 1. Particular attention is to be paid to the infinitive passive with velle, e.g. me amari volo, I wish to be beloved; hoc velim intelligi, I wish this to be understood. The infinitive perfect passive is joined with it, originally to express the zeal and rapidity with which a thing was done; e.g. Cic. p. Leg. Man. 5.: Legati quod erant appellati superbius, Corinthum patres vestri, totius Graeciae lumen, extinctum esse voluerunt; in Q. Caec. 6.: quibus maxime lex consultum esse vult; p. Lig. 5.: saluti civis calamitosi consultum esse volumus; but it occurs still more frequently with the omission of esse (or, as it may be expressed, with the participle perf. pass.), e.g. Cicero: hoc natura praescribit, ut homo homini consultum velit; his omnibus me vehementer excusatum volo; hoc factum volo; nunc illos commonitos velim; patres ordinem publicanorum offensum nolebant; aliis hanc laudem praereptam nolo;

patriam extinctam cupit, &c.

[§ 612.] Note 2. But the nominat. with the infinitive after the other abovementioned verba sentiendi et declarandi occurs very rarely even in poetry, and is to be explained only as an imitation of the Greek, in which language it is the rule to use the nominat. with the infinit., when the same subject remains. Thus we find in Catullus, iv.: Phaselus ille, quem videtis hospites, ait fuisse navium celerrimus; in Horace, Epist. i. 7.22.: vir bonus et sapiens dignis ait esse paratus; Ovid, Met. xiii. 141.: quia rettulit Ajax esse Jovis pronepos, instead of se esse Jovis pronepotem; Trist. ii. 10.: acceptum refero versibus esse nocens, and Propert. iii. 6. (4.) 40. combines both constructions: me quoque consimili impositum torquerier igni jurabo, et bis sex integer esse dies. But there are no other instances of the kind in these classical poets, for in Horace, Carm. iii. 27. 73., uxor invicti Jovis esse nescis, is used for non vales, or non audes esse uxor rather than for te esse uxorem. And in like manner we may, in other passages, explain the nominat with the infinit as a mere poetical licence in the choice of the expression, as in Ovid, Ars Am. i. 345.: gaudent tamen esse rogatae, where gaudent is equivalent to volunt. There is only one more passage (Virg. Aen. ii. 377.) in which the poet uses the participle in this way: sensit medios delapsus in hostes, in imitation of the Greek ήσθετο ἐμπεσών, instead of the Latin se delapsum esse.

[§ 613.] 12. There are many Latin verbs which, according to our notions, seem to require a proposition for their direct object, that is, the accusative with the infinitive, but which nevertheless are followed in Latin by ut with the subjunctive, either exclusively, or admit the construction of the accusat. with the infinit besides. This arises from the circumstance that such propositions may be or more properly must be conceived as expressing a design, purpose, effect, or result of the leading proposition, which is indicated by ut (or ne).

a) The verbs patior and sino are generally followed by the infinitive, and more rarely by ut; the verbs opto, concedo, permitto, which have a more forcible meaning, may have either

the infinitive, or ut; posco, postulo, flagito and cogo have more frequently ut than the infinitive.

Consuetudo laborum perpessionem dolorum efficit faciliorem. Itaque illi, qui Graeciae formam rerum publicarum dederunt, corpora juvenum firmari labore voluerunt, Cic. Tusc. ii. 15.

Phaëthon optavit ut in currum patris tolleretur (instead of tolli or se tolli), Cic. de Off. iii. 25.

Illud natura non patitur, ut aliorum spoliis nostras facultates, copias, opes augeamus, Cic. de Off. iii. 5.

Augustus dominum se appellari ne a liberis quidem aut nepotibus suis passus est, Sucton. Aug. 53.

Note. Volo ut is more rare, but is used to express a strong emphasis; e. g. Cic., in Vatin. 7., has several times: volo uti mihi respondeas. Nolo ut does not occur. Malle is used by Cicero, ad Att. viii. 9., in both constructions: Balbus minor ajebat, nihil malle Caesarem, quam ut Pompejum assequeretur. Balbus quidem major ad me scribit, nihil malle Caesarem quam principe Pompejo sine metu vivere. Postulare, too, is found with different constructions, Curt. vi. 43.: Non homines solum, sed etiam deos despicit qui postulat deus credi; Cic. in Verr. iii. 60.: Hic postulat se Romae absolvi, qui in sua provincia judicarit se absolvi nullo modo posse. Ut is of quite common occurrence with postulo; e. g. Liv. iii. 19.: Tribuni plebis postulant, ut sacrosancti habeantur. Cicero uses optare ut exclusively; but in other good authors the infinitive is found frequently. Recusare is used indiscriminately either with the infinitive or with ne.

[§ 614.] b) The verbs of resolving and endeavouring to do or prevent a thing are followed by ut and ne, when the dependent clause has a subject of its own, but when the same subject remains they are generally followed by the infinitive (i. e. the nominat. with the infinit.), though ut is found in this case also. Verbs of this kind are: statuo, constituo, decerno, tempto (also spelled tento), paro, meditor, curo, nitor, contendo, and the phrases consilium capio, in animum induco, or animum induco. Hence, we may say constitui domi manere, as well as constitui ut domi manerem; but we can say only constitui ut filius meus tecum habitaret. Ut is used almost exclusively after the expressions operam do, I exert myself; id (hoc, illud) ago, I endeavour or exert myself (see § 748.); nihil antiquius habeo or duco, quam, nothing is of more importance to me; and videre in the sense of curare.

Qui sapientes appellari volunt, inducant animum divitias, honores, opes contemnere, eaque, quae his contraria sunt, pro nihilo ducere, Cic. Tusc. v. 10.

Erat certi accusatoris officium, qui tanti sceleris argueret, explicare omnia vitia filii, quibus incensus parens potuerit animum inducere, ut naturam ipsam vinceret, ut amorem illum penitus insitum ejiceret ex animo, ut denique patrem esse sese oblivisceretur, Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 19.

Omne animal se ipsum diligit, ac simul ut ortum est id agit, ut se conservet, Cic. de Fin. v. 9.

Videndum est igitur, ut ea liberalitate utamur, quae prosit amicis, noceat nemini, Cic. de Off. i. 14.

[§ 615.] c) The verbs rogo, oro, precor, peto, moneo, admoneo, commoneo, hortor, adhortor, cohortor, exhortor, suadeo, persuadeo, instituo (I instruct), impello, perpello, excito, incito, impero, and some others, are followed by ut and ne in both cases, when the subject remains the same and when it is changed, and by the infinitive only by way of exception, and by a licence in speaking. The complete accusat with the infinit. occurs with some of them only when their meaning is different, as with moneo and admoneo in the sense of "I remind" a person that a thing is, not is to be; with persuadeo in the sense of "I convince." But, on the other hand, even such verbs as nuntio, dico, scribo, are followed by ut, when the meaning is "I announce, say or write with the intention that," &c.

Illud te oro et hortor, ut in extrema parte muncris tui diligentissimus sis, Cic. ad Quint. Frat. i. 1.

Moneo obtestorque, ut hos, qui tibi genere propinqui sunt, caros habeas, neu malis alienos adjunyere, quam sanguine conjunctos retinere, Sallust. Jug. 10.

Themistocles persuasit populo, ut pecuniā publicā, quae ex metallis rediret, classis centum navium aedificaretur, Nep. Them. 2.

Tibi persuade, praeter culpam et peccatum homini accidere nihil posse, quod sit horribile aut pertimescendum, Cic. ad Fam. v. 21.

Parmenio litteras aperit, in quis erat scriptum, ut mature Alexander aliquem ex ducibus suis mitteret, Curt. iii. 33. (13.)

[§ 616.] Note 1. We have above described the infinitive as of rare occurrence, that is, in comparison with the much more frequent use of ut in the prose of the best period of Roman literature. It must however be observed that the poets and later prose writers, in imitation of the Greeks, are partial to the infinitive with these verbs, and use it, instead of ut, with the subjunctive without any difference; Tacitus in particular almost invariably prefers the infinitive, being more concise than the construction with ut. Some few

1.00

instances of the same kind occur even in Cicero; e.g. p. Sext. 3.: Mihi ante oculos obversatur rei publicae dignitus, quae me ad sese rapit, haec minora relinquere hortatur; de Fin. i. 20.: Cum vita sine amicis insidiarum et metus plena sit, ratio ipsa monet amicitias comparare; and in Nepos, Dion, 3.: Plato autem tantum apud Dionysium auctoritate potuit valuitque eloquentia, ut ei persuaserit tyrannidis facere finem libertatemque reddere Syracusanis; comp. Nep. Phoc. 1. But this should not be imitated, and must be remembered only because it often occurs in the poets and later prose writers. The poets go even further, and use the infinitive to express a design or purpose, for which ut ought to be employed; e. g. Horat. Carm. i. 2. 7.: Proteus pecus egit altos visere montes.

[§ 617.] Note 2. The verbs of commanding, as imperare, mandare, pracscribere, edicere (to issue a command), legem dare, decernere, are followed by ut according to the above rule. Jubere and vetare alone form an exception, being construed with the accusat. with the infinit,, but attention must be paid as to whether the infinit. active or passive is to be used; e. g. militem occidi jussit, he ordered the soldier to be put to death; eum abire jussit, he ordered him to depart; vetuit castra vallo muniri, and vetuit legatos ab opere discedere. Exceptions from this regular construction are rare, but sometimes the subject is omitted, when it is indefinite or one which is always understood with certain actions, as in Cicero: lex recte facere jubet, vetat delinguere, viz. homines; Caes. Bell. Gall. v. 34.: duces eorum tota acie pronuntiare jusserunt, viz. praecones; ii. 5.: castra munire jubet, viz. milites; Liv. iii. 22.: signum observare jussit; xliii. 3.: tribuni militum pabulum lignaque projicere jubent; xxix. 7.: receptui canere cum jussisset, viz. tubicines; xxv. 10.: Hannibal Tarentinos sine armis convocare jubet, viz. eum, qui convocandi potestatem habebat. Nor is there any objection to the subject being omitted, if it is mentioned shortly before. The poets however sometimes go too far, and the infinitive active then seems to be used for the passive; their example is followed by some prose writers. See Horat. Carm. ii. 3. 14.; ii. 15. in fin.; iii. 21. 7.; and Ernesti on Tacit. Hist. i. 38. - Jubeo tibi ut hoc facias, or with the omission of ut: jubeo tibi hoc facias, is likewise rare, but is found in Tacit. Ann. xiii. 15. and 40. But the expression jubeo tibi facere must be rejected, for it is only based upon two doubtful passages in Cicero, ad Att. ix. 13. 2., and Curt. v. 20. (6. 8.) Compare the commentators on Liv. xxvii. 24. But jubeo ut hoc facias, without a dative of the person, may be used, just as veto ne hoc facias, and is in accordance with the general rule; e. g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 12.: hic tibi in mentem non venit jubere, ut haec quoque referret? Jussi venires, for ut venires, occurs in Ovid, Met. iv. 111. Imperare, on the other hand, is sometimes used, like jubere, with the accusat. with the infinit. (pass.); e. g. Cic. in Verr. v. 27.: eodem ceteros piratas condi imperarat; ibid. 56.: ipsos in lautumias abduci imperabat; but it is more frequently construed with ut. Censeo, too, in the sense of "I give my opinion to the effect that," is construed like jubeo, and takes the accusat. with the infinit. pass. instead of ut, as Liv. ii. 5.: de bonis regis, quae reddi ante censuerant, res integra refertur ad patres, where Drakenborch adduces several other passages. It is construed very frequently with esse and the participle of necessity, or with this participle alone, esse being understood; e.g. Carthaginem delendam censeo. Censeo does not occur in prose with the infinitive active, instead of which ut or the subjunctive without ut is used according to § 624.

[§ 618.] d) The verbs of effecting, viz. facio, efficio, perficio, evinco, pervinco, impetro, assequor, and consequor, are never construed with the infinitive or the accusative with the infinitive, but with ut and ne; since the relation of dependence upon these verbs is regarded in Latin as that of an intended result. Hence arises a frequent circumlocution by means of facere ut to express a real fact, and instead of dimisit milites, we accordingly find fecit ut dimitteret milites.

Epaminondas perfecit, ut auxilio sociorum Lacedaemonii privarentur, Nep. Epam. 6.

Tu quidquid indagaris de re publica, facito ut sciam, Cic. ad Att. ii. 4.

Note 1. Fac frequently has the sense of "suppose" or "granting," and is then construed as a verbum sentiendi with the accus. with the infinit., as in Cicero: fac animos interire ut corpus, fac animos non remanere post mortem, fac qui ego sum esse te. In like manner efficere in the sense of "to infer by logical reasoning" is treated as a verbum declarandi, and takes the accus. with the infinit, as Cic. Tusc. i. 31.: Dicaearchus tres libros scripsit, in quibus vult efficere animos esse mortales. But efficitur in the sense of "it is inferred," or "it follows," is also followed by ut, as Cic. de Off. ii. 3.: ex quo efficitur, ut, quidquid honestum sit, idem sit utile, whereas in iii. 5. we read: ex quo efficitur hominem naturae obedientem homini nocere non posse. Conficitur in this sense is found only with ut, but occurs on the whole rarely, Cic. de Invent. ii. 49. and 56.

Facere, used of writers, in the sense of "to introduce," or "represent" (like fingere, inducere), is joined with the present or perfect participle, as in Cicero, de Nat. Deor. i. 12.: Xenophon facit in iis, quae a Socrate dicta retulit (i. e. in Memorabilibus), Socratem disputantem, formam dei quaeri non oportere; Tusc. i. 40.: oratio, qua Plato Socratem usum facit; in the passive, however, we also find the accus. with the infinitive, there being no participle present; e. g. Cic. de Opt. Gen. 6.: Isocratem Plato admirabiliter laudari facit a Socrate; de Nat. Deor. i. 8.: quibus enim oculis animi intueri potuit vester Plato fabricam illam tanti operis, qua construi a deo atque aedificari mundum facit.

[§ 519.] Note 2. The fact of facere in the sense of "to effect" being joined with ut cannot be surprising (it is much more surprising to find in Cicero, Brut. 38.: (actio) tales oratores videri facit, quales ipsi se videri volunt); but especial attention must be paid to the periphrasis facio ut to express a thing which really takes place, as some other peculiarities of the Latin syntax are connected with it, of which we shall speak in § 623. Thus we read in Cicero, Cat. Maj. 12.: invitus quidem feci, ut L. Flaminium e senatu ejicerem, instead of invitus ejeci; in Vatin. 9.: invitus facio, ut recorder ruinas rei publicae; p. Planc. 30.: At etiam gregarii milites faciunt inviti, ut coronam dent civicam, et se ab aliquo servatos esse fateantur; ad Fam. i. 7.: Facio libenter ut per literas tecum colloquar; in Verr. v. 63.: et Glabrionem, id quod sapientissime fecit, facere laetatus sum, ut repente testem dimitteret, instead of laetatus sum, quod—dimisit; in Verr. ii. 4.: fecerunt etiam, ut me prope de vitae meae statu dolore ac lacrimis suis deducerent, instead of deduxerunt; p. Cluent. 40.: fa-

vite enim, ut non solum mores ejus et arrogantiam, sed etiam vultum atque amictum, atque illam usque ad talos demissam purpuram recordemini, instead of recordamini; ad Fam. iii. 8.: faciendum mihi putavi, ut tuis litteris breviter responderem, instead of respondendum mihi esse putavi; in Cat. iii. 3.: negavi me esse facturum, ut de periculo publico non ad consilium publicum rem integram deferrem; i. e. negavi me rem non integram delaturum, or dixi me rem integram delaturum.

[§ 620.] 13. Hence it not unfrequently happens in narratives, that the verbs of begging, commanding, admonishing, &c. are first followed by ut or ne and the subjunctive, and afterwards by the accusative with the infinitive, only the words or sentiments of the subject of the narrative being recorded. For the purpose of explanation, we supply from the preceding verb the general idea of thinking or saying, which is always implied in the leading verb; e. g. Caes. Bell. Civ. iii. 89.: Simul tertiae aciei totique exercitui imperavit, ne injussu suo concurreret: se, quum id fieri vellet, vexillo signum daturum.

His (colonis Athen.) consulentibus nominatim Pythia praecepit, ut Miltiadem sibi imperatorem sumerent: id si fecissent, incepta prospera futura, Nep. Milt. 1.

[§ 621.] 14. Lastly, ut is used, and not the accusative with the infinitive (which would here be the accusative of the subject):—

- a) After the expressions denoting "it happens:" fit (fieri non potest), accidit, incidit, contingit (chiefly of desirable things), evenit, usu venit, occurrit, and est (it is the case or happens, and hence also after esto, be it that).
- b) After the words denoting "it remains," or "it follows:" futurum, extremum, prope, proximum, and reliquum est, relinquitur, sequitur, restat, and superest; sometimes also accedit ut ("to this must be added that," where, however, quod is more common).

Fieri autem potest, ut recte quis sentiat, et id, quod sentit, polite eloqui non possit, Cic. Tusc. i. 3.

Persaepe evenit, ut utilitas cum honestate certet, Cicero.

Amicis quoniam satisfeci, reliquum est, ut egomet mihi consulam, Nep. Att. 21.

[§ 622.] Note 1. Contingit mihi is not unfrequently joined with the infinitive; e.g. antecellere omnibus, in Cic. p. Arch. 3., and non cuivis homini contingit adire Corinthum, in Horat. Epist. i. 17. 36. The predicate is also found in the dative (as in the case of licet), with esse and other verbs of similar meaning; e.g. Vell. Pat. ii. 124.: mihi fratrique meo destinari prace-

toribus contigit. Sequitur, which in the sense of "it follows," should take the accusat. with the infinit., is frequently followed by ut; e.g. Cicero: si hoc verum non est, sequitur ut falsum sit. The same is the case with nascitur, "the result is," and sometimes with efficitur (which has the same meaning), though it appears more frequently to take the accusat. with the infinit. Respecting accedit ut, see the passages of Cicero, p. Rosc. Am. 31. § 86.; in Verr. ii. 12. § 31.; Cat. Maj. 6.; ad Ap. Claudii senectutem accedebat etiam, ut caecus esset; p. Reg. Dejot. 1.: accedit ut accusatorum alterius crudelitate, alterius indignitate conturber; Tusc. i. 19.: accedit, ut eo facilius animus evadat ex hoc aëre, quod (because) nihil est animo velocius. The same principle appears to be followed in Cic. p. Leg. Man. 17.: nunc quum haec quoque opportunitas adjungatur, ut in his ipsis locis adsit, ut habeat exercitum, &c., quid expectamus? and Liv. ii. 27.: qui ad id, quod de credita pecunia jus non dixisset, adjiceret, ut ne delectum quidem ex SCto haberet.

After consultudo and mos or moris est, ut is frequently used instead of the infinitive, the fundamental idea being "it usually happens that;" e.g. Cic. Brut. 21.: sed est mos hominum, ut nolint eundem pluribus rebus excellere; in Verr.i.26.: negavit moris esse Graecorum, ut in convivio virorum accumberent mulieres. For the same reason the expressions natura or consultudo fert are followed by ut; e.g. Cic. p. Muren. 2.: natura fert, ut its faveamus, qui eadem

pericula, quibus nos perfuncti sumus, ingrediantur.

[§ 623.] Note 2. What has become the ordinary practice with the expressions "it happens" and "it remains," may at least serve to explain why ut is used, by way of exception, after several other expressions with an adjective conveying the idea of happening, instead of the accusat. (of the subject) with the infinitive. For the Latin language expresses happening, as a result or effect, by ut, and is fond of paraphrasing even the expression of a simple act by means of facio ut; see § 619. Hence many such phrases as novum est, rarum, naturale, necesse, usitatum, mirum, singulare est, &c. are construed with ut, because all of them imply the idea of happening, and accordingly novum est ut in Cic. in Verr. v. 6., is equivalent to nova ratione fit; and rarum est in Quintil. vi. 3. 38. and x. 7. 24., equivalent to raro fit, &c. Ernesti, therefore, ought not to have doubted the correctness of the expression in Cic. Tusc. v. 21.: Atque ei (Dionysio) ne integrum quidem erat, ut ad justitiam remigraret, civibus libertatem et jura redderet; and the same expression occurs p. Muren. 4.: neque est integrum, ut meum laborem hominum periculis sublevandis non impertiam, for we may easily complete the expression integrum ei erat ut, by that common periphrasis integrum ei erat factu ut. Non verisimile est ut occurs in Cicero four times, p. Rosc. Am. 41. § 121.; in Verr. iv. 6. § 11.; p. Sull. 20. § 57.; p. Sext. 36. § 78., and in all of them it has the meaning of the periphrasis non videtur re vera factum esse ut. In the same manner we must explain verum est ut in Nepos (Hann. i.), which is otherwise very singular: si verum est, quod nemo dubitat, ut populus Romanus omnes gentes virtute superarit. Comp. Cic. Lacl. 4. § 14., and in the same manner falsum esse ut is used by Cicero, de Divin. ii. 31.

The transition being thus formed we may add lastly, that ut is sometimes used after adjectives implying an abstract relation, as aequum, rectum, utile est, although the infinitive is commonly employed after them, as after similar expressions with verbs; e.g. Cic. de Off. ii. 22.: quam autem habet aequitatem, ut agrum multis annis aut etiam saeculis ante possessum, qui nullum habuit, habeat, qui autem habuit, amittat; i.e. quam aeque fit ut; and in Cicero (de Fin. ii. 33., and Tusc. iii. 3.) we twice meet with qui probari potest ut in the

sense of qui potest cuiquam verisimile factu esse. But the beginner should not forget, that we are here speaking only of peculiarities, which are indeed based upon the analogy of other grammatical rules, and supported by the authority of classical writers, but which we are not bound to imitate.

[§ 624.] 15. The verbs denoting willingness and permission, which may take ut instead of the accusative with the infinitive (volo, nolo, malo, sino, permitto and licet); those which denote asking, advising, reminding (especially postulo, peto, rogo, oro, quaeso, precor, hortor, suadeo, censeo, moneo, admoneo), which are generally construed only with ut, and some others of a similar kind, as curo, decerno, mando, jubeo, may also be followed by the subjunctive alone, without ut. To these we must add the two imperatives fac (in its periphrastic sense "take care that"), which usually takes ut, and cave, which usually takes ne; for they too are frequently joined with the subjunctive alone.

Vellem equidem aut ipse (Epicurus) doctrinis fuisset instructior, aut ne deterruisset alios a studiis, Cic. de Fin. i. 7.

Malo te sapiens hostis metuat, quam stulti cives laudent, Liv. xxii. 39.

Summa militum alacritate, jubentium quocunque vellet duceret, oratio excepta est, Curt. vi. 10. (4.)

Itaque, quod plerumque in atroci negotio solet, senatus decrevit, darent operam consules, ne quid respublica detrimenti caperet, Sallust, Cat. 29.

[§ 625.] Note. Oportet and necesse est may likewise be followed either by the accusative with the infinitive, or by the subjunctive alone; e.g. leges oportet breves sint; Seneca: philosophiae servias oportet, ut tibi contingat vera libertas; Cicero: virtus necesse est vitium aspernetur atque oderit. Opus est generally takes the infinitive; ut, however, occurs, though rarely, with opus est as well as with necesse est, but never with oportet.

The subjunctive alone after the verbs of entreating is rare in Cicero, but it occurs ad Fam. v. 18.: tamen te magno opere non hortor solum, sed etiam pro

amore nostro rogo atque oro te colligas virumque praebeas.

[§ 626.] 16. The infinitive and the accusative with the infinitive, according to §§ 588 and 597., serve to express a proposition as a thought, so that it resembles an abstract noun. Quod with a tense of the indicative or subjunctive, on the other hand, represents a proposition simply as a fact. This is obviously the case, e.g. when in replying to a person, we take up and repeat a previous remark of his. It is frequently indifferent whether we express a proposition by the

accusative with the infinitive, or by quod, as, for example, in those cases where the predicate "it is agreeable," or "disagreeable," "it is pleasant" or "unpleasant," follows the proposition. But the infinitive is always more properly made the subject, when the predicate expresses an abstract idea; but when it implies a fact, the proposition is more properly introduced by quod, to which is frequently joined a demonstrative pronoun hoc, id, illud, in order to mark its character as a fact still more emphatically.

Quod autem me Agamemnonem aemulari putas, falleris. Namque ille vix decem annis unam cepit urbem: ego contra ea, una urbe nostra, dieque uno, totam Graeciam Lacedaemoniis fugatis liberavi, Nep. Epam. 5., where Epaminondas makes this answer to an opponent.

Inter causas malorum nostrorum est, quod vivimus ad exempla, Seneca, Epist. 123.

Supra belli Latini metum id quoque accesserat, quod triginta jam conjurasse populos satis constabat, Liv. ii. 18.

Ex tota laude Reguli illud est admiratione dignum, quod captivos (Poenorum) retinendos censuit, Cic. de Off. iii. 31.

Note 1. It is unquestionably a great nicety of the Latin language to be able, by means of the accusative with the infinitive, to metamorphose, as it were, a proposition into a single abstract thought, and at the same time to express it in its natural relation by means of the conjunction quod. In English these two constructions likewise exist, as "I know him to be a good man," and "I know that he is a good man;" but the former is not used as extensively as in Latin, and the distinction between them is not observed with the same accuracy as in Latin: in Greek, too, the distinction is not adhered to with the same accuracy. Let us explain the practice of the Latin language by an example. Take the proposition victor pepercit victis; if we make it the subject, or object of another proposition, we may say either quod victor pepercit victis, or victorem pepercisse victis. The first is used when the proposition is to be left in its natural relation; e.g. quod victor victis pepercit, magnum est, sed majus etiam, quod eos in numerum suorum recepit, i. e. the fact that he spared them and, &c ; quod rex victis pepercit, ipsi causa multorum malorum fuit. The infinitive, on the other hand, changes the proposition into an abstract noun, victorem victis pepercisse; and this mode of speaking is generally adopted when the predicate also contains some abstract notion; e.g. regem victis pepercisse justum est, magnum est, or magnum videbatur; and especially when, by the use of the infinitive present, the sentence acquires the character of generality, and is no longer limited to a particular case; e.g. victorem victis parcere justum, magnum est, magnum videtur, &c. See § 599.

It is clear that in a great many cases, and with many predicates, the choice between the two constructions must be left to discretion. We find in

Cic. ad Att. xv. 1.: Sed ad haec omnia una consolatio est, quod ea condicione nati sumus, ut nihil, quod homini accidere possit, recusare debeamus, where, with the same justice, the accusat. with the infinit. might have been used: ea condicione nos esse natos. Cicero, ad Quint. Frat. ii. 13. says: Te hilari animo esse valde me juvat; and Pliny, Epist. i. 13.: juvat me quod vigent studia; Liv. iii. 9.: Invidiosum vobis est, desertam rem publicam invadi; Cic. in Cat. ii. 7.: Timeo ne mihi sit invidiosum, quod illum emiserim potius, quam quod ejecerim. Compare the examples in the treatise of Fickenscher, Commentat. de conjunctione quod, Norimberg. 1826. But the great difference pointed out above must be observed, and we must add that quod generally refers to past time; for which reason it is preferable to say, e.g. gratissimum mihi est, quod ad me tua manu scripsisti, and gratissimum mihi est te bene valere. Wherever a Roman thought it necessary to express the individual fact more emphatically, he added to quod a demonstrative pronoun, which has no influence whatever upon the construction; and hence (to take up again the above sentence) we might say: illud ipsum, quod rex victis pepercit, causa ei multorum malorum fuit; magnum est hoc, quod victor victis pepercit, &c. Compare Cic. de Off. ii. 20.: Videndumque illud est, quod, si opulentum fortunatumque defenderis, in uno illo manet gratia; sin autem inopem, probum tamen et modestum, omnes non improbi humiles praesidium sibi paratum vident.

[§ 627.] Note 2. The use of quod in repeating a previous expression or proposition of a person for the purpose of answering it occurs most frequently in letters; and quod in this case may be rendered in English by "with regard to," or "as regards;" e. g. Cic. ad Fam. i. 7.: Quod mihi de nostro statu gratularis, minime miramur te tuo opere laetari. Quod scribis te velle scire, qui sit rei publicae status : summa dissensio est. Quod mihi de filia et de Crassipede (to whom she was betrothed) gratularis: agnosco humanitatem tuam. Further, Cicero writes to Terentia: Quod scribis, te, si velim, ad me venturam: ego vero te istic esse volo. Quod ad me, mea Terentia, scribis, te vicum vendituram: quid, obsecro te, quid futurum est? Such sentences, therefore. are not in any grammatical connection with the verb that follows after them.

Nisi quod and praeterquam quod, except the fact that, or except that, are of a different kind (see §. 735.); e.g. Cic. ad Fam. xiii. 1.: Cum Patrone Epicureo mihi omnia communia sunt: nisi quod in philosophia vehementer ab eo dissentio; but this, too, is simply an external addition of a proposition stating

[§ 628.] 17. A purely objective proposition is expressed by quod only when it depends upon the very general transitive verbs addere (mostly in the imperative adde or adjice, adde huc quod) and facere joined with an adverb, as bene facis quod me Otherwise the infinitive is employed exclusively in propositions of this kind, for a proposition, when represented as the object of a verb, is already converted into a single thought. Fecit humaniter Licinius, quod ad me, misso senatu, vesperi venit,

Cic. ad Quint. Frat. ii. 1.

Hippocrates, clarus arte medicinae, videtur honestissime fecisse, quod quosdam errores suos, ne posteri errarent, confessus est, Quintil. iii. 6. 64. (He might also have said ut—confiteretur, according to § 619.)

[§ 629.] But it must be observed that after the verbs denoting a feeling of pain or joy, and the outward expression of those feelings, viz. gaudeo, delector, angor, doleo, graviter fero, succenseo, poenitet, miror, admiror, glorior, gratulor, gratias ago, queror, indignor, and others of a similar meaning, we may either use quod in the sense of "because," or "of" or "at the fact that," or the accusative with the infinitive, in the same way that we say either illa re gaudeo or illud gaudeo. Whether quod is to be joined with the indicative or subjunctive, must be determined by the general rules concerning these moods: the indicative expresses a fact, and the subjunctive a conception.

Guadeo, quod te interpellavi, Cic. de Leg. iii. 1.

Meum factum probari abs te triumpho gaudio, Caesar in Cic. ad Att. ix. 16.

Quod spiratis, quod vocem mittitis, quod formas hominum habetis, indignantur, Liv. iv. 3.

Vetus illud Catonis admodum scitum est, qui mirari se ajebat, quod non rideret haruspex, haruspicem cum vidisset, Cic. de Divin. ii. 24.

Scipio saepe querebatur, quod omnibus in rebus homines diligentiores essent, ut, capras et oves quot quisque haberet, dicere posset, amicos quot haberet, non posset dicere, et in illis quidem parandis adhibere curam, in amicis eligendis negligentes esse, Cic. Lael. 17.

Note. We should carefully mark the distinction between real objective propositions of the accus. with the infinit. (§ 602.) and those in which the accusat, with the infinit, may be used along with the construction of quod. The use of quod to express a purely objective proposition would be contrary to the pure Latin idiom (the instances adduced from Cicero belong to § 626.; and those from Livy, iii. 52. 2., and xlv. 41., have been corrected), and is found only in the earliest Latin (see Forcellini, Lexic. s. v. quod), and in the unclassical author of the work de Bell. Hispan. 36.: legati renuntiarunt quod Pompejum in potestate haberent. In the silver age, beginning with Celsus, again, some few instances occur; e. g. Celsus, i. 3. p. 25. or p. 30. ed. Bip.: illud quoque nosse (scire) oportet, quod, &c.; Martial, xi. 65.: hoc scio quod scribit nulla puella tibi, where the pronoun forms the transition; Sueton. Tit. 8.: recordatus quondam super coenam, quod nihil cuiquam toto die praestitisset. This use of quod afterwards increased, and through the Vulgate it became with Christian writers the ordinary mode of speaking. See Madvig, Opusc. Acad. ii. p. 232. foll. But after the verbs enumerated above both constructions are, on the whole, equally in use, because they may be looked at from two points of view: the dependent clause may be regarded either as

a kind of object (such as we frequently find with intransitive verbs), or as an explanatory sentence answering to the ablative of a noun. We may indeed notice this further difference that the verbs expressing a feeling (gaudeo, doleo, miror) are more commonly followed by the accusat. with the infinit., and those denoting the outward expression of feeling (laudo, reprehendo, accuso, consolor, misereor, gratias ago, gratulor, &c.) are more commonly construed with quod. But there are passages in which this distinction is reversed; e.g. gratias agere, is joined by Cicero with quod, and by Tacitus with the accusat. with the infinitive; Hist. iv. 64.: Redisse vos in corpus nomenque Germaniae communibus deis et praecipuo deorum Marti grates agimus, vobisque gratulamur quod tandem liberi inter liberos eritis. Gratulor when joined to a noun takes the preposition de or the ablative alone, as Cic. ad Fam. viii. 13.: gratulor tibi affinitate viri optimi; sometimes also the accusative, as Cic. ad Att. v. 20.: mihi gratulatus es illius diei celebritatem, qua nihil me unquam delectavit magis, or with the addition of a participle, Cic. Philip. ii. 21.: Brutus Ciceroni recuperatam victoriam est gratulatus; Liv. i. 28.: Mettus Tullo devictos hostes gratulatur; but when a proposition is dependent upon gratulor, it most commonly takes the conjunction quod (answering to the preposition de), but the accuswith the infinit. is also used.

[§ 630.] 18. Quod is used exclusively in explanatory or periphrastic propositions, which refer to a preceding demonstrative pronoun (hoc, id, illud, istud), unless this pronoun be added in the nominative or accusative, as a pleonasm to verbs governing the accusative with the infinitive. Hence this rule finds its certain application only when the demonstrative pronoun is in some other case, or dependent upon a preposition.

Mihi quidem videntur homines hac re maxime beluis praestare, quod loqui possunt, Cic. de Invent. i. 4.

Socrates apud Platonem hōc Periclem ceteris praestitisse oratoribus dicit, quod is Anaxagorae fuerit auditor, Cic. Orat. 5.

Tribunos (militum) omnes patricios creavit populus, contentus eo, quod ratio plebejorum habita esset, Livy.

Quam te velim cautum esse in scribendo, ex hoc (or hinc) conjicito, quod ego ad te ne haec quidem scribo, quae palam in re publica turbantur, ne cujusquam animum meae litterae interceptae offendant, Cic. ad Quint. Frat. iii. 9.

Note. The pleonastic use of the accusat. of demonstrative pronouns with the verba sentiendi et declarandi, and with the verbs of effecting, asking, and others, which require ut for the purpose of directing attention to what follows, must be carefully distinguished from this necessary use of those pronouns. The pleonastic use of this pronoun, of which we shall speak in § 748., has no influence whatever upon the construction. We remarked above that the nominat. of the demonstrative pronoun is likewise used pleonastically, and serves, in conjunction with quod following, to express more distinctly that the proposition contains a real fact; but we are here speaking of the oblique cases, especially the ablative, both with and without a preposition.

CHAP. LXXXI.

USE OF THE PARTICIPLES.

[§ 631.] 1. The Participle expresses the action or condition of the verb in the form of an adjective, governing the case of the verb, and at the same time marking the complete or incomplete state of the action or condition. In Latin, as in English, this form of the verb is very defective, for it has, in the active, one participle to express an action still going on, as scribens, writing; and, in the passive, one to express the completed state of suffering, as scriptus, written; consequently, there is no participle of a completed action (for which we say having written), nor of a state of suffering still going on. The Greek language has participles for all these cases. The Latin deponent is the only kind of verb which has the participles complete, its passive form having an active meaning: imitans, imitating, and imitatus, one who has imitated.

To these, however, we must add two participles, one in the active and the other in the passive, which express the action or suffering as not yet begun, that is, as something which is to take place in future, whence they are called participles of the future. The participle future active properly expresses the intention or obligation to perform an action, as scripturus, one who intends or has to write, but has also the signification of simple futurity, "one who is about to write." The participle future passive expresses in the nominative the necessity that something should be done or suffered, as epistola scribenda, a letter which must be written, and not one that will be written. In the other cases it serves to supply the very sensible want of a participle present passive, expressing a state of suffering going on. But of this hereafter, § 652. foll.

Note 1. The participle contains in itself no specification of time. When we say written, we suppose indeed the act of writing to have taken place at some period of the past time; but the state expressed in written may exist in

the present as well as in the past or future time. For we may say: a thing is now written, was written three years ago, and will be written many years hence: the participle written expressing in all these cases only the completion

of a passive state.

[§ 632.] Note 2. The want of the participle of a completed action in the active is often felt very sensibly, for neither circumlocution nor the change into the passive form (e. g. victoriā partā, after he had gained the victory) always conveys exactly what is meant. But the perfect participles of deponents are a very convenient means of supplying this want, as their number is not small, and it is always easy to find some deponent which is synonymous with an active; in the case just mentioned we may say victoriam

adeptus, assecutus, or consecutus.

On the other hand, the Latin writers use many perfect participles of deponents in a passive sense, along with the proper active one; but the following only are attested by the authority of correct writers: adeptus, comitatus, commentatus, complexus, confessus, demensus and emensus, effatus, ementitus, emeritus, expertus (especially inexpertus), execratus, interpretatus, meditatus, metatus, moderatus, opinatus, pactus, partitus, perfunctus, periclitatus, populatus, depopulatus, stipulatus, testatus, and its compounds contestatus and detestatus. A pretty complete list of them is given in Joh. Conr. Schwarz, Grammat. Lat. p. 382. foll. The perfect tenses of these deponents thus sometimes acquire a passive signification, and some participles are also used in a passive sense in the construction of the ablative absolute; partitus is frequently used so by Caesar: partitis copiis, Bell. Gall. vi. 6.: partito exercitu, ibid. vi. 33., and Liv. xxviii. 19.; partita classe, Liv. xxviii. 8.; and depopulato agro in Liv. ix. 36.: adepta libertate in Sallust, Cat. 7. But such things must be looked upon as exceptions, though there may be less objection to such an expression as adepta libertate uti nescis.

[§ 633.] There are, however, some active verbs which have a participle perfect with a passive form. (See § 148.) Such participles are: juratus, pransus, coenatus (which however has also a passive meaning), potus; ausus, gavisus, solitus, fisus, confisus; further, exosus, perosus, and pertaesus, which belong to odisse and the impersonal taedet. The participles assuetus and desuetus have a reflective meaning besides the passive one, and signify one

who has accustomed or disaccustomed himself.

[§ 634.] Note 3. The periphrasis of habere with a participle perfect passive, which in English forms the perfect active, occurs also in Latin, but almost exclusively in those expressions which denote knowing and determining. Hence we say: cognitum, perspectum, perceptum, comprehensum, exploratum, statutum, constitutum, deliberatum, persuasum mihi habeo, equivalent to cognovi, perspexi, percepi, &c.; e.g. hoc cognitum habeo comprehensumque animo; qui homines amicitiam nec usu nec ratione habent cognitam; omnes habeo cognitos sensus adolescentis. Persuasum mihi habeo, and persuasissimum habeo can only be used in the neuter gender, and with an accusative with the infinitive, in the sense of mihi persuasi or persuasum mihi est. In other cases, where this periphrasis occurs, it differs in meaning from the ordinary perfect active; inchoatum and institutum habeo opus express more than inchoavi, institui, and absolutum habeo is more than absolvi. Quint. Cic. in Cic. ad Fam. iii. in fin. : quod me hortaris ut absolvam: habeo absolutum suave epos ad Cuesarem, i. e. I have it ready; in Verr. iii. 14.: ut decumas ad aquas deportatas haberent. has a strengthening power in Cic. in Rull. ii. 6.: non enim naturā bellum nescio quod habet susceptum consulatus cum tribunatu; in Verr. v. in fin.: Verres deorum templis et religionibus bellum semper habuit indictum; ibid. ii. 32.: fidem et religionem tuam jam alteri addictam pecuniā acceptā habuisti; ad Att. xvi. 16.: quod si feceris, me maximo beneficio devinctum habebis, which is stronger than devinxeris; but ad Att. vi. 2.: Senatum inclusum in curia habuerunt, must be understood in its literal sense: they kept the senate imprisoned, i. e. inclusum tenuerunt, an expression which frequently occurs.

[§ 635.] 2. Participles are employed in Latin more frequently than in English, not only to express the verb in explanatory clauses, connected, by means of a relative pronoun, with a noun of the leading sentence; but clauses which are introduced by means of particles of time (e. g. as, when, although, since), may be expressed by participles, provided their subject occurs in the leading sentence.

Est enim lex nihil aliud, nisi recta et a numine deorum tracta ratio, imperans honesta, prohibens contraria, Cic. Philip. xi. 12.

Curio, ad focum sedenti, magnum auri pondus Samnites quum attulissent, repudiati ab eo sunt, Cic. Cat. Maj.

Dionysius tyrannus, Syracusis expulsus, Corinthi pueros docebat, Cic. Tusc. iii. 12.

Dionysius, cultros metuens tonsorios, candenti carbone sibi adurebat capillum, Cic. de Off. ii. 7.

Risus interdum ita repente erumpit, ut eum cupientes tenere requeamus, Cic. de Orat. ii. 58.

Note 1. It must be observed, as one of the most frequent occurrences, that clauses denoting time are connected, by means of a participle, with a noun of the leading proposition, e.g. regem forte inambulantem homo adiit, i. e. while he was taking a walk; domum reversus litteras tuas inveni, when I returned home. One of two verbs connected in English by "and" may be expressed by the present participle, in Latin, when the actions expressed by them are to be regarded as simultaneous, e.g. he came to me and cried out (or crying out), venit ad me clamitans. The perfect participle both of passive and deponent verbs, however, must be used, whenever one of the actions precedes the other, although in English they are sometimes connected by "and," and described as simultaneous, e.g. Caesar hostes aggressus fugavit, Caesar attacked the enemy and defeated them; Caesar hostes in fugam conjectos persecutus est, Caesar put the enemy to flight and pursued them. Examples of this kind occur in great numbers. Sentences which we connect by "although" must be more especially attended to, as the Latin language here differs more widely from ours, e.g. in the last passage above quoted (Cic. de Orat. ii. 58.), and in other passages of Cicero, as Misericordia occurrere solet supplicibus et calamitosis, nullius oratione evocata. Such a participle is often followed by tamen; e.g. Cicero: Scripta tua jam diu expectans non audeo tamen flagitare; quis hoc non intelligit, istum absolutum tamen e manibus populi Romani eripi nullo modo posse? Later writers join the particles quamquam, quamvis, etiam and vel with the participle itself e.g. Sueton.: Caesarem milites quamvis recusantem ultro in Africam sunt secuti. Conditional clauses also, implying an unreal hypothesis, which should be expressed by the subjunctive, are not unfrequently put in the participle. But, on the other hand, it must be observed, that a general protasis describing an object only as conceived to be endowed with certain qualities; e. g. he who does or thinks this, are generally not expressed by a participle, but as in English by is qui, or with the omission of is, by qui alone, or by si quis, since a participle cannot appear in the independent character of a substantive any more than an adjective. (See § 363.) It is only in later Latin that participles are used more frequently in this sense, e. g. adstantes, audientes, instead of ii qui adstabant, audiebant. (Comp. § 714.)

[§ 636.] Note 2. A participle is used with the verbs denoting "to represent" and "perceive," especially with those denoting "to see" or "hear," when a thing is described or perceived in a particular state, as in Pliny: Apelles pinxit Alexandrum Magnum fulmen tenentem. In English we frequently join the infinitive with such verbs; e.g. audivi te canentem, I heard you sing; vidi te ambulantem, I saw you take a walk; but audivi te canere, in Latin either means: "I heard (from somebody) that you sang," or I heard that you sang a song (e.g. carmen Catulli, Trojae excidium), so that the object of my perception was not the person in the act of singing, but the action of the person. Audivi te quum caneres (see § 749.) would refer to a portion of his song.

Timoleon, quum aetate jam provectus esset, lumina oculorum amisit, quam calamitatem ita moderate tulit, ut neque eum querentem quisquam audierit, neque eo minus privatis publicisque rebus interfuerit, Nep. Timol. 4.

[§ 637.] 3. Substantives expressing the action of the verb; e.g. the building, instituting, writing, hearing, are expressed by the participles perfect and future passive, the Latin language not always having substantives of this kind (at least they are not in common use). There is of course this difference, that the perfect participle is employed when the action is to be represented as completed, and the future participle, when it is conceived as still incomplete. (The participle future passive, however, only in its oblique cases, as the nominative has the signification of necessity, see § 649.) This is done in all the cases of such participles, and even when they are governed by the prepositions ad, ante, ob, post, propter, ab, and ex; e.g. Liv. xxvii. 29.: hae litterae recitatae magnum luctum fecerunt, the reading of this letter; Tacit. Ann. i. 8.: Occisus Caesar aliis pessimum, aliis pulcherrimum facinus videbatur, the murder of Caesar, &c.; Tarentum captum, the taking of Tarentum; receptus Hannibal, the reception of Hannibal; ob receptum Hannibalem, on account of the reception of Hannibal; Curt. iv. 58.:

sibi quisque caesi regis expetebat decus, the glory of having killed, or of killing the king (for both expressions are here equivalent). It must, however, be observed, that the nominative is not thus used by Cicero, but is peculiar to the silver age of the language.

P. Scipio propter Africam domitam Africanus appellatus est, Eutrop. iv. 4.

Thebae et ante Epaminondam natum et post ejus interitum perpetuo alieno paruerunt imperio, Nep. Epam. 10. (So also post Christum natum, ab urbe condita, &c.)

Note 1. It deserves to be especially noticed, that Livy uses the neuter of the participle perfect passive, without a noun, as a verbal subject of a proposition; e.g. vii. 22.: Tentatum domi per dictatorem, ut ambo patricii consules crearentur, rem ad interregnum perduxit; i. e. the attempt, or properly, the fact of the attempt being made by the dictator; xxviii. 26.: Haud procul ab urbe aberant, quum ex obviis auditum, postero die omnem exercitum proficisci, omni metu eos liberavit, the news freed them from all fear. Comp. i. 53. init.; iv. 16.; iv. 59.; and in many other passages. With this we must compare the use of the neuter of the same participle in the ablative. See § 647.

[§ 638.] Note 2. The English "without" with a verbal substantive is not expressed in Latin by sine, but a negative particle is used instead; e.g. Caesar exercitum nunquam per insidiosa itinera duxit, nisi perspeculatus locorum situs, without having examined the localities; — especially with the ablative absolute; as: Athenienses non expectato auxilio adversus ingentem Persarum exercitum in proelium egrediuntur, without expecting assistance; natura dedit usuram vitae, tamquam pecuniae, nulla praestituta die, without fixing any time; nulla valetudinis habita ratione celeriter profectus sum, without paying any regard to my health; Virgilii Aeneidem noli legere, nisi lectis Homeri carminibus, without having read the Homeric poems.

[§ 639.] 4. The participle future active is used especially with verbs of motion (such as go, send, &c.) to express a purpose, which we indicate in English by the particle "to;" the conjunction ut, or a relative pronoun with the subjunctive, however, is very commonly used in Latin instead of the participle.

Hannibal in Etruriam ducit, eam quoque gentem aut vi. aut voluntate adjuncturus, Liv. xxi. 58.

Note. This participle is also used to supply the place of the conjunctions "since," "when," "although" (§ 635.); e.g. plura locuturos abire nos jussit; i.e. when or although we intended to say more; Sueton. Tib. 18.: Tiberius trajecturus Rhenum commeatum omnem non ante transmisit, quam, &c., when he wanted to cross; Tacit. Germ. 3.: Herculem Germani, ituri in proelium, canunt, when they intend to go to battle; Phaedr. iii. 2.: Alii onerant saxis,

quidam contra miseriti picturae quippe, quamvis nemo laederet, misere panem, since the animal was to die after all. (Notice here the addition of quippe, and utpote in this sense.) Hence this participle is also used as apodosis to express the inference from an hypothetical proposition: Liv. iii. 30.: egreditur castris Romanus, vallum invasurus, ni copia pugnae fieret; Tacit. Ann. i. 36.: augebat metum grarus Romanae seditionis et, si omitteretur ripa, invasurus hostis; and with the repetition of the preceding verb, Plin. Epist. iii. 13.: librum misi exigenti tibi; missurus, etsi non exegisses; iii. 21.: dedit mihi quantum maxime potuit, daturus amplius, si potuisset; i.e. ac dedisset amplius. Comp. Nep. Them. 2.: aliter illos nunquam in patriam recepturi, for aliter here is equivalent to nisi id fecissent. But it must be observed, that this concise mode of using the participle future active is foreign to the language of Cicero: it belongs to the silver age, in which, however, the language was still in its progress of development.

It must further be remarked that the genitive plural of this participle, with the exception of futurorum and futurarum, is of extremely rare occurrence, probably on account of its unpleasant sound. The only instances that are known are venturorum, Ovid, Met. xv. 835.; exiturarum and transiturarum, Senec. Epist. 98. and 95.; periturorum, Senec. de Tranquil. xiv. 4.,

and Petron. 123.; moriturorum in St. Augustin.

[§ 640.] 5. In the cases hitherto considered the participle supplies the place of an inserted clause, the subject of which is a noun contained in the leading proposition. If, however, a new subject is introduced, it is put with the participle in the ablative, independent of the leading proposition. (Ablativus absolutus or consequentiac.) A similar construction is sometimes used in English, as "he could not live in his own country any longer, his influence being too great for the republic;" but it is more common to express such sentences by the conjunctions "as," "when," or by a verbal substantive with a preposition, e. g. Cyro regnante, in the reign of Cyrus; Cyro mortuo or occiso, after the death or fall of Cyrus, or after Cyrus had been killed. In the passive construction, a special reference to the subject of the leading sentence is generally not needed, but is understood, e. g. his dictis abiit, or his ille dictis abiit, and not his ab eo dictis abiit. See § 766.

Pythagoras quum Tarquinio Superbo regnante in Italiam venisset, magnam illam Graeciam quum honore disciplinae, tum etiam auctoritate tenuit, Cic. Tusc. i. 16.

L. Valerii virtute, regibus exterminatis, libertas in re publica constituta est, Cic. p. L. Flacc. 11.

[§ 641.] Note. Beginners must be particularly attentive to the various modes in which we render the Latin ablative absolute; e.g. te adjuvante, with thy assistance; non—nisi te adjuvante, only with thy assistance; te non

adjuvante, without thy assistance. (See § 638.) They must also be cautioned not to put together two participles in the ablative, one of which stands in apposition to the other: e.g. it is correct to say: quum Cn. Pompejus Strabo, de coelo tactus, mortuus esset; but if mortuus esset is changed into a participle, we cannot say: Pompejo de coelo tacto mortuo. Again, we may say: Porcia saepe maritum cogitantem invenerat, but not marito cogitante invento. (Comp. § 394. note 2.)

The ablative absolute is rarely used, when its subject is contained in the leading proposition, still instances sometimes do occur, as Cic. Philip. xi. 10.: nemo erit qui credat, te invito, provinciam tibi esse decretam, instead of tibi invito; ad Att. x. 4.: me libente, eripies mihi hunc errorem; Liv. xxxviii. 54.: M. Porcius Cato, vivo quoque Scipione, allatrare ejus magnitudinem

solitus erat.

[§ 642.] 6. An ablative absolute may also be used instead of the other particles "when," "since," "while," "although," which were mentioned in § 635. And the writers after Cicero even retain the conjunctions quamquam and quamvis with the ablat. absolute.

Reluctante natura, irritus labor est, Senec. de Tranquil. 6.

Eclipses non ubique cernuntur, aliquando propter nubila, saepius globo terrae obstante, Plin. Hist. Nat. ii. 13.

Haud scio an, piètate adversus deos sublata, fides etiam et societas generis humani et una excellentissima virtus justitia tollatur, Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 2.

Mucius solus in castra Porsenae venit, eumque interficere, proposita sibi morte, conatus est, Cic. p. Sext. 21.

[§ 643.] Note. The ablative absolute with the participles future active and passive occurs less frequently, especially with the latter, though it is attested by sufficient authority: Plin. Hist. Nat. xi. 16.: rex apum nisi migraturo agmine foras non procedit; Tacit. Hist. ii. 32.: quoniam (Vitelliani) deserve Rheni ripam, irrupturis tam infestis nationibus, non audeant; Liv. xxxvi. 41.: Antiochus securus admodum de bello Romano erat, tamquam non transituris in Asiam Romanis; Curt. iv. 15.: Tyrii aurea catena devinxere simulacrum (Apollinis), araeque Herculis, cujus numini urbem dicaverant, inseruere vinculum, quasi illo deo Apollinem retenturo; v. 28.: ceterum propalam comprehendi Dareus non poterat, tot Persarum milibus laturis opem; Cic. ad Att. iv. 1.: quum contio plausum, meo nomine recitando, dedisset, when my name was pronounced (respecting this meaning of the part. fut. pass. in its oblique cases we shall speak hereafter); Orat. 22.: quum immolanda Iphigenia tristis Calchas esset, maestior Ulixes, maereret Menelaus, &c.; in Cat. iii. 6.: tardissime autem Lentulus venit, credo quod litteris dandis praeter consuetudinem proxima nocte vigilarat; de Off. i. 5.: quis est enim, qui, nullis officii praeceptis tradendis, philosophum se audeat dicere? Comp. Cic. p. Murex. 8. init., which is correctly explained by Ernesti, and Wunder on Cic. p. Planc. 6. § 15. It occurs also in Livy, v. 43.: quum diis hominibusque accusandis senesceret; xxi. 2.: ita se Africo bello, ita in Hispania, augendo Punico imperio, gessit; xxxiii. 3.: exercendo cotidie milite hostem opperiebatur.

[§ 644.] 7. Instead of a participle certain substantives also may be used, which express the action of a verb, as dux, comes, adjutor and adjutrix, auctor, testis, judex, interpres, magister, praeceptor, and magistra, praeceptrix; e. g. duce natura in the sense of ducente natura, under the guidance of nature; comite fortuna, i. e. comitante fortuna; judice Polybio, according to the judgment of Polybius. So also official titles, as consul, praetor, imperator, rex, generally only to denote time, as Cicerone consule, in the consulship of Cicero.

Magis auctoribus (on the advice of the Magi) Xerxes inflammasse templa Graeciae dicitur, Cic. de Leg. ii. 10.

Sapientia enim est una, quae maestitiam pellat ex animis, quae nos exhorrescere metu non sinat: qua praeceptrice in tranquillitate vivi potest, omni cupiditatum ardore restincto, Cic. de Fin. i. 13.

O quam facile erat orbis imperium occupare, aut mihi, Romanis militibus, aut, me rege, Romanis! Flor. i. 18.

[§ 645.] As the Latins have no participle of esse in current use, an adjective alone must sometimes supply the place of a participle; e. g. deo propitio, when God is gracious; invita Minerva, sereno coelo, aspera hieme, me ignaro, illis consciis.

Romani, Hannibale vivo, nunquam se sine insidiis futuros arbitrabantur, Nep. Hann. 12.

Obvius fit Miloni Clodius expeditus, nullā rhedā, nullis impedimentis, nullis Graecis comitibus, Cic. p. Milon. 10.

[§ 646.] Note. Owing to the want of a participle of esse, an adjective is used alone in descriptions of the weather, the substantive being understood; thus we frequently find sereno, scil. coelo, the heaven being bright; tranquillo, scil. mari, the sea being tranquil; Plin. Hist. Nat. xi. 28.: iidem sereno texunt, nubilo texunt. Substantives when used thus absolutely must be considered as ablatives of time, as comitiis, ludis, circensibus; but it is surprising to find, e. g. Sueton. Caes. 11.: qui proscriptione pecunias ex aerario acceperant, where we have to supply durante, during the proscription; Tacit. Ann. iii. 28. dedit jura, quis pace et Principe uteremur; i. e. under a Princeps, or there being a Princeps; xvi. 1.: multis palam et pluribus occultis, many being present openly and still more secretly. Sometimes it is difficult to distinguish such an ablative absolute from an ablativus modi, as in Liv. xxxiv. 47.: aequis viribus, pari spe pugnatum est, where we prefer regarding the ablatas ablativi modi; but in Cic. Acad. ii. 21.; Siquis ex hoc loco proficiscatur Puteolos, stadia triginta, probo navigio, bono gubernatore, hac tranquillitate, probabile videatur se illuc venturum esse salvum, we regard them as real ablatives absolute. Comp. § 472.

[§ 647.] 8. The simple ablative of the participle perfect

passive sometimes supplies the place of the whole construction of the ablative absolute, the proposition following being considered as a noun of the neuter gender, and as the subject of the participle, e. g. Hannibal, cognito insidias sibi parari, fuga salutem quaesivit, equivalent to cognitis insidiis sibi paratis. This use however is confined to a few participles, as audito, cognito, comperto (in a passive sense), explorato, desperato, nuntiato, edicto. Alexander, audito Dareum appropinquare cum exercitu, obviam

ire constituit, Curt. v. 35. (13.)

Excepto quod non simul esses, cetera laetus, Horat. Ep.

[§ 648.] Note. The place of such an ablative is sometimes supplied by an adjective, as Liv. xxviii. 35.: multi advantes navibus, incerto prae tenebris quid peterent aut vitarent, foede interierunt; i. e. quum incertum esset, which would be much more in accordance with the ordinary practice; Tacit. Ann. i. 6.: juxta periculoso, ficta seu vera promeret; iii. 60.: ipsorumque numinum religiones introspexit, libero, ut quondam, quid firmaret mutaretve. Sometimes, though very rarely, a participle is found in the ablative absolute so inde-. pendently, that the proposition following cannot even be conceived as its subject, as in Liv. xxii. 55.: quum, nondum palam facto, vivi mortuique promiscue complorarentur; Tacit. Ann. xi. 10.: in cujus amnis transgressu multum certato, pervicit Bardanes; and Terent. Hecyr. v. 1. 10.: Nam jam aetate ea sum, ut non siet, peccato, mi ignosci aequum; i. e. si peccatum fuerit. In a similar passage in Cicero, de Leg. Agr. ii. 2. in fin., we must read according to the majority of MSS. cujus errato, instead of cui, errato. Some of these ablatives absolute, as auspicato, have by long usage become adverbs, see § 266.

[§ 649.] 9. The participle future passive has in the nominative (and in the construction of the accusative with the infinitive, in the accusative also) the signification of necessity, and less frequently that of possibility: laudandus, one who must be praised, or ought to be praised. The person by whom a thing must be done is expressed with this participle by the dative, and not by the preposition ab.

The neuter of this participle, joined with a tense of esse, retains the signification of necessity, as audendum est, moriendum est, omnibus hominibus moriendum est, we must venture, we must die, &c. An accusative of the object, if the verb is transitive, is joined with this neuter only in the early and unclassical writers, as Plautus, Lucretius, and Varro, and sometimes also by the poets who are fond of ancient expressions (as Silius Ital. viii. 36.; xi. 562. and xv. 105., on which passages see the notes of Drakenborch). Such an accusative is generally changed into the nominative, and the participle is made to agree with it in gender and number; e.g. virtus laudanda est, virtue must

be praised, or we must praise virtue; omnes captivi occidendi sunt, all the prisoners must be put to death, or we must put to death, &c.; haec via tibi ineunda (ingredienda) est, you must take this road, or this road must be taken by you.

Hence it is better to say: quoniam aeternae poenae in morte timendae sunt, than aeternas quoniam poenas in morte timendum est, as we read in Lucretius, i. 112. The only passages in which Cicero joins an accusative of the object with such a participle, are the following: Cat. Maj. 2.: Volumus sane, nisi molestum est, Cato, tamquam longam aliquam viam confeceris, quam nobis quoque ingrediendum sit, istuc, quo pervenisti, videre quale sit; and Fragm. p. Scaur. 13.: Obliviscendum nobis putatis matrum in liberos, virorum in uxores scelera? Comp. Quintil. iv. 5. 17.: Quod tamen nemo sic accipiet, ut omnia credat audendum. Quum suo cuique judicio sit utendum, difficile fuctu est, me id sentire semper, quod tu velis, Cic. de Nat. Deor. iii. 1.

Diligentia in omnibus rebus plurimum valet: haec praecipue colenda est nobis, haec semper adhibenda, Cic. de Orat. ii. 35.

[§ 650.] Note 1. The participle in dus never has the signification of possibility in classical prose, for although we frequently read in Cicero's work de Officiis intelligendum est in the sense of intelligitur or facile potest intelligi, still it implies at the same time that it is proper or becoming to see or understand. In like manner a kind of moral obligation is expressed, in Verr. iv. 59.: hi qui hospites ad ea quae visenda sunt ducere solent, the things to be seen, the curiosities of towns; and iv. 60.: longum est commemorare, quae apud quosque visenda sunt tota Asia et Graecia. A similar obligation is expressed in the following passages, Cic. de Off. i. 31.: si Circe et Calypso mulieres appellandae sunt; de Fin. iii. 2. : quasi heluari libris, si hoc verbo in tam praeclara re utendum est; Tusc. i. 1.: jam illa, quae natura, non litteris assecuti sunt (Romani), neque cum Graecia, neque ulla cum gente sunt conferenda; i. e. conferri debent. In classical prose it signifies possibility only when joined with the particle vix (compare Bremi on Nep. Att. 18.): e. g. Cic. de Orat. i. 21.: vix optandum nobis videbatur; Caes. Bell. Gall. v. 28.: vix erat credendum, equivalent to vix credi poterat. Writers of the subsequent period use it in this sense with negative particles, and this use was extended by still later writers who employ the participle fut. pass. in the sense of possibility as well as in that of necessity.

[§ 61.] Note 2. Ab with the ablative is sometimes found in Cicero with the participle future pass. instead of the dative. In some cases he adopts this construction for particular reasons, as p. Leg. Man. 2.: aguntur bona multorum civium, quibus est a vobis et ipsorum et rei publicae causa consulendum, for the two datives quibus vobis might for a moment prevent our understanding the passage, p. Muren. 26. § 54.; and p. Planc. 3. § 8., on account of the parallel ab which precedes, and p. Milon. in fin.: fortem et a vobis conservandum virum, since the dative vobis might be taken as a dativus commodi (comp. also p. Sext. 18. § 41.). Sometimes however ab is used

without any special reason, as ad Fam. xiii. 16.: eos a se observandos et colendos putabat; ad Att. x. 4.: patris lenitas amanda potius ab illo quam tam crudeliter negligenda; p. Rab. 2.: sic enim existimare debetis, rem nullam majorem, magis periculosam, magis ab omnibus vobis providendam, ad populum Romanum esse delatam; in Rull. ii. 35.: non eos in deorum immortalium numero venerandos a vobis et colendos putatis? p. Leg. Man. 12.: atque haec a me in dicendo praetereunda non sunt. Hence we are inclined to think that no alteration is needed in the passage of the same oration: ne forte a vobis, quae diligentissime providenda sunt, contemnenda esse videantur. But these are all the passages of Cicero, and their number is very small in comparison with the very numerous instances in which the rule is observed. We mention this to prevent beginners from believing that these exceptions are frequent, because three happen to occur in one oration.

[§ 652.] 10. In the remaining cases this participle has likewise occasionally the signification of necessity (e. g. Cic. Philip. iii. 4.: a L. Bruto, principe hujus maxime conservandi generis et nominis); but it much more frequently supplies the place of the participle present passive, that is, it has the meaning of a continued passive state; e. g. occupatus sum in litteris scribendis, in letters which are being written; peritus rei publicae regendae. A reference to future time also may be implied, but this arises from the connection, and not from the participle itself; e. g. consilium libertatis recuperandae; missus erat ad naves comparandas. For the rest see the chapter on the gerund.

[§ 653.] Note. With the verbs dare and tradere, mittere, concedere, and permittere, accipere and suscipere, locare and conducere, and others of a similar meaning, the purpose for which anything is given, sent, &c., is expressed passively by the future participle: e.g. rex Harpago Cyrum infantem occidendum tradidit, to be killed; Cicero: Clodius uberrimas provincias vexandas diripiendasque consulibus permisit; demus nos philosophiae excolendos; Lentulus attribuit nos trucidandos Cethego, ceteros cives interficiendos Gabinio, urbem inflammandam Cassio, totam Italiam vastandam diripiendamque Catilinae; quattuor columnas locavit dealbandas, ceteras aedificandas; conduxerat columnas faciendas; Horace: haec porcis comedenda relinques. But the same may be expressed actively by means of ad with the gerund; e.g. Scaevola nemini se ad docendum dabat; Caesar oppidum ad diripiendum militibus concessit; auctores nobis propositi sunt ad imitandum. (The poets use the infinitive active, as Horat. Carm. i. 26.: Tristitiam et metus tradam protervis in mare Caspium portare ventis; in prose it is a rare exception, and occurs only in the phrase bibere dare in Cic. Tusc. i. 26. or ministrare, in Terent. Andr. iii. 2. 4.) The construction of curare with the same participle also deserves to be noticed; e.g. Conon muros dirutos a Lysandro reficiendos curavit, he ordered them to be restored, or had them restored; Fabricius perfugam reducendum curavit ad Pyrrhum, he ordered him to be taken back; funus ei satis amplum faciendum curavi, I had him honourably buried. In the silver age we also find the expression habeo faciendum, I have to do, or must do; e.g. Plin. Hist. Nat. Praef.: huic epistolae subjunxi, quid singulis contineatur libris, ne perlegendos eos haberes; Tacit. Ann. xiv. 44.: si nunc

primum statuendum haberemus. Habeo facere, I can do, occurs in Cicero. See § 562.

[§ 654.] 11. This participle should properly be formed only from active transitive verbs, but it is formed also from deponents which have a transitive meaning; e. g. in imitando hoc scriptore, i. e. if this writer is imitated. Of intransitive verbs, however, only the neuter of this participle is used with est, erat, &c.; e. g. quiescendum est, dormiendum, eundum est.

CHAP. LXXXII.

USE OF THE GERUND.

- [§ 655.] 1. The Gerund is in form nothing else than the four oblique cases of the neuter of the participle future passive. governs the case of its verb, and with regard to its signification it supplies the place of a declinable infinitive present active, and is a verbal substantive, just as in English the present participle is used as a verbal substantive. Thus we find the dative in Quintilian, xi. 2. 35 .: illud ediscendo scribendoque commune est, this is common to learning by heart and writing; the ablative in Cicero, Tusc. iii. 7.: discrepat a timendo confidere: Lael. 27.: amicitia dicta est ab amando. Examples of the genitive are given above, § 425. The accusative presents a difference from the infinitive; for the latter, which is also used as an accusative (§ 597.), has the power of an abstract noun, whereas the gerund expresses a real action; e. g. Senec. de Benef. v. 10.: multum interest inter dare et accipere; but on the other hand, Cic. de Fin. iii. 20.: Non solum ad discendum propensi sumus, sed etiam ad docendum,
- [§ 656.] 2. The relation of the gerund to the real participle future passive is this: as the gerund has an active meaning, e. g. consilium scribendi, the design of writing or to write, it may have an accusative as its object, as consilium scribendi epistolam, and this construction may, without any change of meaning, be changed into the passive: consilium scribendae epistolae, the design of a letter to be written, or, that a letter should be written. The accusative is thus always changed into the case in which

the gerund stood. This change into the passive may take place wherever no ambiguity is likely to arise, i. e. wherever the gender is distinguishable; hence it generally does not take place, when the accusative dependent upon the gerund is the neuter of a pronoun or adjective; e. g. studium illud efficiendi, cupido plura cognoscendi, not illius efficiendi, or plurium cognoscendorum, because it would be impossible to see whether the genitives illius and plurium are masculine or neuter. Hence it is better to say lex appellata est a suum cuique tribuendo, than a suo cuique tribuendo. But independently of this reason, the change of the active construction into the passive with the participle future (which modern grammarians call gerundivum to distinguish it from the gerund), is less frequent in some writers, Livy and Curtius for example, than in others.

[§ 657.] Note 1. The passive construction is also found with utor, fruor, fungor, and potior, because these verbs were originally joined with an accusative, and sometimes are still so used in our writers. (See § 465.) Hence we read in Cicero, de Fin. i. 3.: sapientia non paranda nobis solum, sed etiam fruenda est; de Off. ii. 12.: justitiae fruendae causa videntur olim bene morati reges constitut; de Off. i. 8.: expetuntur autem divitiae quum ad usus vitae necessarios, tum ad perfruendas voluptates; Tusc. iii. 7.: oculus probe affectus ad suum munus fungendum; in Verr. ii. 18.: omnia bona ei utenda ac possidenda tradiderat; Caes. Bell. Gall. iii. 6.: hostes in spem potiundorum castrorum venerant,— and thus we very frequently find in Livy and Curtius spes potiundae urbis, petrae. As an exception the same occurs with the verb mederi, which in the early language was likewise sometimes joined with the accusative, whence we find in Livy, viii. 36., and Vell. Pat. ii. 25., medendis corporibus. Invidendus, poenitendus, and pudendus have become adjectives.

[§ 658.] Note 2. There are a few passages in good authors, in which the gerund is used in a passive sense: Cic. in Verr. i. 18.: censendi causa haec frequentia convenit, for the purpose of undergoing the census; p. Flacc. 32.: si aliena censendo Decianus sua facere posset; Vell. Pat. ii. 15.: ad censendum ex provinciis in Italiam revocare; Cic. ad Fam. vii. 3.: ades ad imperandum; i.e. ut imperetur tibi; Tusc. i. 23.: ceteris, quae moventur, hic fons, hoc principium est movendi; Nep. Att. 9.: spes restituendi, the hope of being restored.

See Bremi's note on this passage.

[§ 659.] 3. The particular cases in which the gerund, and, under the limitations above mentioned, the participle future passive are used, are the following:—

a) The genitive of the gerund is used after substantives and after relative adjectives. (See § 436.) In English, substantives and relative adjectives are followed either by "of" with the participle present, or by "to" with the infinitive; e.g. ars dicendi, the art of speaking; discendi cupidus, desirous to learn. Such substantives, among many others, are: ars, causa, con-

A WINDSHIP

silium, consuetudo, cupiditas, facultas, occasio, potestas, spes, studium, voluntas. The ablatives causā and gratiā are also joined with the genitive of the gerund; e.g. discendi causa, for the sake or purpose of learning; quidam canes venandi gratia comparantur.

Note. It must, however, be observed, that with these and other substantives the infinitive may also be used (see § 598.), when with a tense of esse they form a periphrasis for a verb which is joined with the infinitive, or when they supply the place of an adjective expression, of which the infinitive is the subject; e.g. Sallust, Cat. 30.: quibus omnia honesta atque inhonesta vendere mos erat, with whom it was a custom, or who were accustomed; Cic. Tusc. i. 41.: tempus est abire, it is time, that is, tempestivum est, it is proper to go; but we may also say est (i.e. adest) tempus abeundi, as in Quintil. xi. 3. 61.: jam tempus est dicendi, quae sit apta pronuntiatio; Liv. ii. 53.: Mos, credo, non placebat, sine Romano duce exercituque socios propriis viribus consiliisque bella gerere,—here the accusative with the infinitive depends upon the whole construction, and more especially upon placebat, for else it would have been necessary to say socioram mos bella gerendi. All other constructions, especially the infinit. after relative adjectives, are poetical.

Beate vivendi cupiditate incensi omnes sumus, Cicero.

Parsimonia est scientia vitandi sumptus supervacuos, aut ars re familiari moderate utendi, Senec. de Benef. ii. 34.

Postremo Catilina dissimulandi causa aut sui expurgandi, sicuti jurgio lacessitus foret, in senatum venit, Sallust, Cat. 31.

Epaminondas studiosus erat audiendi, Nep. Epam. 3.

b) If the verb governs the accusative, the passive construction with the participle future is *commonly* preferred.

Quis ignorat Gallos usque ad hanc diem retinere illam immanem ac barbaram consuetudinem hominum immolandorum? Cic. p. Font. 10.

Inita sunt (a Catilina ejusque sociis) consilia urbis delendae, civium trucidandorum, nominis Romani extinguendi, Cic. p. Muren. 37.

Timothčus rei militaris (belli gerendi) fuit peritus, neque minus civitatis regendae, Nep. Timoth. 1.

[§ 660.] Note 1. The rule respecting the agreement of the participle with the noun in gender and number is apparently violated in the genitive of the personal pronouns, since tui, even when feminine, is joined with the masculine or neuter form of the participle: Plaut. Trucul. ii. 4. 19.: quoniam tui videndi est copia; Ovid, Heroid. xx. 74.: copia placandi sit modo parva tui,—and vestri and sui, even when they are plural, are joined with the singular of the participle. Thus we read in Liv. xxi. 41.: non vercor ne quis hoc me vestri adhortandi causa magnifice loqui existimet; Cic. de Divin. ii. 17.: doleo tantum Stoicos vestros Epicureis irridendi sui facultatem dedisse; in Cat.

i. 3.: quum multi principes civitatis Romā non tam sui conservandi, quam tuorum consiliorum reprimendorum causā profugerunt; and frequently in Caesar; e.g. Bell. Gall. iii. 6.: neque sui colligendi hostibus facultatem relinquunt; iv. 13.: in castra venerunt, sui purgandi causa. No instance has yet been found of a feminine mei or nostri being joined with the mascul. (or neuter) of the participle, but there is no reason for doubting it. It must be supposed, that this peculiarity arises from the singular form of these genitives, which are properly derived from the neuters meum, tuum, suum, nostrum, vestrum (analogous to the Greek $\tau \circ i \mu \dot{\nu} \circ \tau, \tau \circ i \mu \dot{\nu} \tau \circ i \nu \iota \tau$). But with the demonstrative pronouns, ejus, hujus, illius the rule respecting the agreement between the noun and participle is observed, although ejus, referring to a woman, is found with the genit. masc. of the participle, in Terent. Phorm. i. 3. 24., and Hec. iii. 3. 12. (for in Phorm. v. 6. 40., this is only a correction

of Bentley).

[§ 661.] A similar irregularity, but more difficult to explain, occurs in the combination of the genitive of the gerund with the genitive plural of substantives, instead of the accusative. It is found not only in some passages of Plautus and Terence, and frequently in Gellius, who was fond of reviving obsolete forms, but also in the following passages of Cicero, de Invent. ii. 2.: ex majore enim copia nobis, quam illi, fuit exemplorum eligendi potestas; de Univ. § 9.: reliquorum siderum quae causa collocandi fuerit, quaeque eorum sit collocatio, in alium sermonem differendum est; in Verr. ii. 31.: homines quibus ne rejiciundi quidem amplius quam trium judicum praeclarae leges Corneliae faciunt potestatem; in Verr. iv. 47.; earum autem rerum nullam sibi iste neque infitiandi rationem, neque defendendi facultatem reliquit; Philip. v. 3.: Agitur, utrum M. Antonio facultas detur opprimendae rei publicae, caedis faciendae bonorum, diripiendae urbis, agrorum suis latronibus condonandi, populum Romanum servitute opprimendi: an horum nihil facere ei liceat. It once occurs in Cicero with the genit. plur. of a pronoun, de Fin. v. 7.: eorum (for ea) adipiscendi causa. Comp. Sueton. Aug. 98.: permissa licentia diripiendi pomorum, with the remarks of the commentators. We are of opinion, that the noun, which properly depends upon the gerund, is by some confusion, of which instances occur in every language, connected and made to depend upon the substantive. Suetonius, e.g. might have said licentia diripiendi poma, or licentia pomorum diripiendorum, but what he does say is a combination of both. Another method of explaining this peculiarity is adopted by Kritz (on Sallust, Cat. 31.), who thinks that the gerund and the leading substantive are so closely united as to constitute only one idea, and form as it were only one compound word as eligendi potestas (elective power), exemplorum (of examples). But see Madvig on Cic. de Fin. i. 18. § 60.

[§ 662.] Note 2. The genitive in general serves to express quality in the case of a substantive joined to an adjective; and hence the genitive, not only of a gerund, but of a substantive joined with the participle future passive and esse, is used in the sense of "having a tendency to a thing," or "serving a certain purpose;" e. g. Sallust, Cat. 6.: Regium imperium initic conservandae libertatis atque augendae rei publicae fuerat; Caes. Bell. Alex. 65.: quum multa contra morem consuetudinenque militarem fierent, quae dissorivendae disciplinae severitaisque essent; Liv. xxvii. 9. hace prodendi imperium Romani, tradendae Hannibali victoriae sunt; xl. 29.: lectis rerum summis quum animadvertisset pleraque dissolvendarum religionum esse, L. Petillio dixit, sese eos libros in ignem conjecturum esse. The same construction occurs frequently in this author; comp. iii. 39. and xxxviii. 50.: nihil tam aequandae

libertatis esse, and v. 3., with the notes of Gronovius and Drakenborch. Esse must be understood in Sallust, Jug. 88.: quae postquam gloriosa modo neque belli patrandi cognovit,—and in direct connection with a substantive in Sallust's speech of Lepidus, in the Fragm. Hist. lib. i.: Sulla eo processit, ut nihil gloriosum nisi tutum et omnia retinendae dominationis honesta aestumet; i. e. omnia quae sunt dominationis retinendae. In Cicero this use of the genitive with esse occurs only de Leg. ii. 23.: Cetera in duodecim (tabulis) minuendi sunt sumptus lamentationisque funeris, and in Verr. ii. 53.: ut studia cupiditatesque honorum atque ambitiones ex omnibus civitatibus tolleret, quae res evertendae rei publicae solent esse, which, according to the above examples, it is better to consider as a genitive than as a dative, for which Garatoni takes it.

(Carthagine) pro se quisque quae diutinae obsidionis tolerandae sunt, cx agris convehit, Liv. xxx. 9.

[§ 663.] Note 3. It is a deviation from the ordinary principles of the Latin Syntax, and a decided imitation of the Greek idiom, to use the genitive of the gerund to express a purpose or intention (it does not occur in Cicero), for this is generally expressed by the addition of causa, or by the dative of the gerund. (See § 764.) Another irregular use of the genitive of the gerund, instead of the infinitive, occurs in Tacit. Ann. ii. 43.: Plancinam haud dubie Augusta monuit muliebri aemulatione Agrippinam insectandi, though the genitive may perhaps be explained as dependent upon monere; but in Ann. xiii. 26.: nec grave manumissis, per idem obsequium retinendi libertatem, per quod assecuti sunt; xv. 21.: maneat provincialibus potentiam suam tali modo ostentandi; and xv. 5.: Vologesi vetus et penitus infixum erat arma Romana vitandi,—the genitive of the gerund is used quite in the sense of the infinitive, and can scarcely be explained otherwise than by the ellipsis of negotium, τὸ τοῦ φεύγειν. Compare the observations of Gronovius on Livy, xxxv. 49.

[§ 664.] 4. The dative of the gerund is used after adjectives which govern this case (§ 409.), especially after utilis, inutilis, noxius, par, aptus, idoneus, and after verbs and other expressions denoting a purpose or design. In this sense, however, it is much more common, at least in Cicero, to use ad with the accusative of the gerund, or a clause with ut. (The expressions which from their meaning are most frequently joined with the dative of the gerund, are: studere, intentum esse, tempus impendere, tempus consumere or insumere, operam dare, sufficere, satis esse, deesse and esse in the sense "serving for," "being adequate to." In the language of the silver age, however, the dative is not limited to particular expressions, but is used very extensively, chiefly after verbs of motion, to express the purpose.) The participle future passive, as was remarked above, is used much more frequently than the dative of the gerund with ad and the accusative.

Aqua nitrosa utilis est bibendo, Plin. Hist. Nat. xxxvi. 6.

Non fuit consilium socordia atque desidia bonum otium conterere, neque vero agrum colendo aut venando intentum aetatem agere, Sallust, Cat. 4.

Brutus quum studere revocandis in urbem regibus liberos suos comperisset, secūri eos percussit, Flor. i. 9.

Tiberius quasi firmandae valetudini in Campaniam concessit, Tacit. Ann. iii. 31.

Note 1. Esse with the dative of the gerund is usually explained by the ellipsis of idoneus, but it is better not to have recourse to an ellipsis, and to consider it as analogous to the expression auxilio alicui esse. Thus we read in Cicero: non solvendo esse, to be insolvent; in Livy, ii. 8.: divites, qui oneri ferendo essent, able to bear the burden; xxvii. 25.: rem publican esse gratiae referendae, able to show its gratitude; and in Celsus, viii. 10.7.: medicamenta, quae puri movendo sunt. We must add the political expression scribendo affuerunt; i. e. at the drawing up of a senatus consultum, there were present.

[§ 665.] Note 2. The dative of the gerund is generally also used with the names of dignities and offices; e. g. decemviri legibus scribendis, the ten commissioners for drawing up a code of laws; duumvir, or, quindecimvir sacris faciundis; triumvir agro dando; triumvir coloniis deducendis, juventuti conquirendae, senatui legendo; tresviri rei publicae constituendae, and also with the word comitia, as in Livy: comitia regi creando, creandis decemviris, though

here the genitive may also be used.

[§ 666.] 5. The accusative of the gerund is always dependent upon prepositions, most frequently upon ad (to), or inter (during or amidst), but sometimes also upon ante, circa, and ob. The change into the passive construction with the participle future, takes place almost invariably when the gerund governs an accusative.

Mores puerorum se inter ludendum simplicius detegunt, Quintil. i. 3.

Musicen natura ipsa nobis videtur ad tolerandos facilius labores velut muneri dedisse, Quintil. i. 10. 16.

Note. The beginner must particularly attend to the use of the gerund (without a noun) with inter, which is equivalent to our "during" or "amidst;" e. g. inter eundum, inter bibendum, inter ambulandum, inter vapulandum.

[§ 667.] 6. The ablative of the gerund is used:—a) Without a preposition, as an ablativus instrumenti. b) With the prepositions ab, de, ex, and in. In the first case the construction is commonly, and in the latter always, changed into the passive, when the gerund governs an accusative. The accusative of a neuter pronoun or adjective alone are generally retained. (See § 656., and the last of the following passages.)

Hominis mens discendo alitur et cogitando, Cic. de Off.

Caesar dando, sublevando, ignoscendo, Cato nihil largiundo gloriam adeptus est, Sallust, Cat. 54.

Superstitione tollenda non tollitur religio, Cic. de Divin. ii. in fin. Fortitudo in laboribus periculisque subeundis cernitur, temperantia in praetermittendis voluptatibus, prudentia in delectu bonorum et malorum, justitia in suo cuique tribuendo, Cic. de Fin. v. 23.

Note. The ablative of the gerund is very rarely employed in any other way: Cic. de Off. i. 15.: nullum officium referenda gratia magis necessarium est; instead of relatione gratiae; Liv. vi. 14.: nec jam possidendis publicis agris contentos esse instead of possessione agrorum. To the prepositions found with the ablative of the gerund we must add pro, which occurs in a passage of Livy, xxiii. 28.: pro ope ferenda sociis pergit ire ipse ad urbem deditam nuper in fidem Romanorum oppugnandam, instead of giving assistance to his allies. An irregular use of the ablat. of the gerund occurs in Tacit. Ann. xiv. 4.: Nero matrem prosequitur abeuntem, artius oculis et pectori haerens, sive explenda simulatione seu periturae matris supremus aspectus quamvis ferum animum retinebat, where the ablat. is employed for the dative; Ann. iii. 19.: is finis fuit ulciscenda Germanici morte,—here the ablative implies time: "in avenging the death of Germanicus."

CHAP. LXXXIII.

USE OF THE SUPINE.

- [§ 668.] 1. The two Supines are, in form, cases of a verbal substantive of the fourth declension. The first supine, or that in um, is the accusative, and the second, or that in u, may be either the dative or the ablative, according to § 81. But with regard to construction, the supine in um remains a true part of a verb, for it does not govern the genitive, but the case of the verb. The supine in u does not govern any case, and for this reason we assign to it a passive meaning.
- 2. The supine in um is used with verbs which express motion to a place; e.g. ire, proficisci, contendere, pergere, festinare, venire, mittere, trajicere: and it indicates the object; e.g. cubitum ire, to go to sleep: exploratum, speculatum, aquatum, frumentatum, pabulatum mittere, oratum obsecratumque venire;—or

with a case depending on the supine, Cicero: mittit rogatum ea vasa; Livy: legati venerunt questum injurias et res repetitum; Virgil: non ego Grajis servitum matribus ibo. The same meaning is implied in the expression alicui nuptum dare (or tradere, collocare), to give a woman in marriage. But the Latin writers in general prefer using the gerund in the accusat. with ad, or in the genit. with causa, or the participle future active, instead of the supine.

Philippus Argis a Pausania, quum spectatum ludos iret, juxta theatrum occisus est, Nep. de Reg. 2.

[§ 669.] Note. Eo, is, it, with the supine literally signifies "I go to do a thing," and hence "I intend," or "am going to." Instances of this meaning occur in Plautus and Terence, and in the prose of the period after the time of Cicero, who himself does not make use of it (comp. Cic. ad Fam. xiv. i. 5.), for the periphrastic conjugation by means of esse and the participle future active expresses the same meaning; e. g. Terent. Andr. i. 1. 107.: Mca Glycerium, quid agis? cur te is perditum? Heaut. ii. 3. 74.: in mea vita tu tibi laudem is quaesitum, scelus? villain, do you intend to acquire fame at the cost of my life? In like manner Sallust, Jug. 85.: ubi se flagitiis dedecoravere turpissimi viri, bonorum praemia ereptum eunt; and in the infinitive, Liv. xxviii. 41.: qui te in Italia retineret, materiam gloriae tuae isse ereptum videri posset; in the same chapt.: Hoc natura prius est, quum tua defenderis, aliena ire oppugnatum. In dependent clauses however this mode of speaking is used as a mere circumlocution for a simple verb, the relation to the future being implied in the conjunction or (with the infinitive) in the leading verb; Sallust, Cat. 52.: Sint sane misericordes in furibus aerarii, ne illi sanguinem nostrum largiantur, et, dum paucis sceleratis parcunt, bonos omnes perditum eant, equivalent to perdant; Liv. xxxii. 22.: obtestatus filium, ut consulere Achaeos communi saluti pateretur, neu pertinacia sua gentem universam perditum iret, i. e. perderet; Sallust, Jug. 68.: ultum ire injurias festinat, i. e. ulcisci; Liv. xxxix. 10.: vitricus ergo tuus pudicitiam, famam, spem vitamque tuam perditum ire hoc facto properat; Curt. x. 25. (comp. Tacit. Ann. xvi. 1.): Meleagri temeritatem armis ultum ire decreverant; Tacit. Ann. xiii. 17.: illusum isse, instead of illusisse: xii. 45.: (belli causas confingit, se) eam injuriam excidio ipsius ultum iturum, for ulturum esse.

But it must be observed, that the form of the infinitive future passive, perditum iri, is derived from the proper signification of perditum ire, to go to destroy, the notion of going or intending easily passing over into that of futurity.

[§ 670.] 3. The supine in u has a passive sense, and is used after the substantives fas, nefas, and opus, and after the adjectives good or bad, agreeable or disagreeable, worthy or unworthy, easy or difficult, and some others of similar meaning. Of the adjectives which are joined with this supine, the following occur most frequently: honestus, turpis, jucundus, facilis, incredibilis, memorabilis, utilis, dignus and indignus. But the number of

these supines actually in use in good prose is very small, and almost limited to the following: dictu, auditu, cognitu, factu, inventu, memoratu, to which we may add natu (by birth, according to age), which occurs in the expressions grandis, major, minor, maximus, and minimus natu. But we also find magno natu, of an advanced age, and maximo natu filius, the eldest son, where natu is the ablative of a verbal substantive.

Later prose writers, however, use a great many other supines in u, and it cannot be denied that this form adds considerably to the conciseness of the Latin language.

Pleraque dictu quam re sunt faciliora, Liv. xxxi. 38.

Quid est tam jucundum cognitu atque auditu, quam sapientibus sententiis gravibusque verbis ornata oratio? Cic. de Orat. i. 8.

[§ 671.] Note. The best writers however prefer using facilis, difficilis, and neundus with ad and the gerund: res facilis ad judicandum, ad intelligendum; or the neuter (it is easy, &c.) with the infinitive active: facile est invenire, existimare, cognoscere. In some cases there exist verbal nouns, as lectio, cognitio, potus, which are used in the dative or ablative in the same sense as the supines lectu, cognitu, potu; e.g. Plin. Hist. Nat. xxiii. 8.: arbutus fructum fert difficilem concoctioni; vi. 8.: aqua potui jucunda; and Cicero frequently says res cognitione dignae. Dignus is most commonly followed by the relative pronoun with the subjunctive (see § 568.), and it is only the poets and later prose writers that join it with the infinitive passive.

SYNTAXIS ORNATA.

THE preceding portion of this Grammar contains the rules according to which the forms of the declinable parts of speech (cases, tenses, and moods) are employed in the Latin language for the purpose of forming sentences. Hence that section is called Syntaxis Regularis. If we observe those rules, the language (whether spoken or written) is grammatically correct (emendata, grammatica). It now remains to treat of certain peculiarities of the Latin idiom, which we meet with in the works of the best authors, and the use of which gives to the language its peculiar Latin colouring (color Latinus, Latine

scribere). A systematic collection of remarks of this kind is commonly termed Syntaxis Ornata.

These remarks, however, cannot be reduced to fixed rules, and their application must be left entirely to the discretion of the individual writer; for when used too frequently or improperly, they render the Latin style affected and unpleasant, instead of embellishing it. The beginner must also beware of supposing that the following remarks contain the whole secret of a good Latin style. A good style depends for the most part upon the application of general principles in expressing correct thoughts in an appropriate manner. These principles are the same for all languages, and are explained in rhetoric, a distinct and highly important branch of mental cultivation. But we are here offering a supplement to the Latin Syntax, and can accordingly discuss only those points which are either peculiar to the Latin language as a language, or at least belong to it more peculiarly than to the English, with which alone we have here to compare it. Many peculiarities have already been discussed in the Syntax, especially in the notes, and it will not be difficult to find them by means of the Index.

We shall comprise all we have to say under four heads: 1. Peculiarities in the use of the Parts of Speech; 2. Pleonasm; 3. Ellipsis; 4. Arrangement of Words and Structure of Periods.

CHAP. LXXXIV.

PECULIARITIES IN THE USE OF THE PARTS OF SPEECH.

A. Substantives.

[§ 672.] 1. The place of an adjective, in case of a particular stress being laid upon it, is often supplied by a substantive expressing the quality in the abstract, and the other substantive is accordingly joined to it in the genitive; e.g. in hac (tanta) varietate studiorum consensus esse non potest, i. e. in his tam variis studiis; Cic. de Orat. iii. 35.: quum Aristoteles florere Isocratem nobilitate discipulorum videret, i. e. nobilibus or claris

discipulis; p. Rosc. Am. 17.: in hanc calamitatem venit propter praediorum bonitatem et multitudinem.

- [§ 673.] 2. In stating the age at which a person performed any action, it is not customary in Latin to use the abstract nouns pueritia, adolescentia, juventus, senectus, &c., with the preposition in, but the concrete nouns puer, adolescens, juvenis, senex, &c., are joined to the verb (§ 304.). The same frequently takes place in stating the number of years that a person has lived, provided there are adjectives ending in enarius, with this meaning, as tricenarius, sexagenarius, octogenarius, perhaps also vicenarius, septuagenarius, nonagenarius (see § 119.). Those in ennis from annus are less frequently used in the sense of substantives.
- [§ 674.] 3. When official titles are used to indicate time, the concrete nouns usually take their place; e.g. instead of ante or post consulatum Ciceronis, it is preferable to say ante or post Ciceronem consulem; and instead of in consulatu Ciceronis, it is better to use the ablat absolute, Cicerone consule, and in like manner with the substantive pronouns, ante or post te praetorem is more common than ante or post praeturam tuam, and te praetore is better than in praetura tua.
- [§ 675.] 4. Sometimes abstract nouns are used instead of concrete ones; thus we frequently find nobilitas for nobiles, juventus for juvenes, vicinia for vicini, servitium for servi, levis armatura for leviter armati. Other words of this kind, as remigium for remiges, matrimonium for uxores, ministerium for ministri, and advocatio for advocati, are less common, and occur only here and there. See Drakenborch on Livy, iii. 15., and on Silius Ital. xv. 748. Adolescentia is not used in this way; it only signifies the age of an adolescens, but is never equivalent to adolescentes as juventus is to juvenes.

We must add that the neuters nihil and quidquam are sometimes used instead of the masculines nemo and quisquam, as in the expressions hoc victore nihil moderatius est; non potest insipiente fortunato quidquam fieri intolerabilius, Cic. Lael. 15.

[§ 676.] 5. Names of nations are used as adjectives, and joined to other substantives which denote persons, as miles Gallus, Syrus philosophus. Comp. § 257.

The use of substantives in tor and trix as adjectives has been sufficiently explained above (§ 102.) They are most frequently joined as predicates to the substantive animus, as in Sallust:

animus Catilinae cujuslibet rei simulator ac dissimulator; animus rector humani generis, &c.

The substantive nemo (nobody) is frequently joined to other substantives denoting male persons, in such a way that it becomes equivalent to the adjective nullus; Cic. de Orat. i. 28.: saepe enim soleo audire Roscium, quum ita dicat, se adhuc reperire discipulum, quem quidem probaret, potuisse neminem : Tusc. v. 22.: adhuc neminem cognovi poetam, qui sibi non optimus videretur; de Off. iii. 2.: ut nemo pictor esset inventus, qui Coae Veneris eam partem, quam Apelles inchoatam reliquisset, absolveret; de Orat. i. 4.: nemo fere adolescens non sibi ad dicendum studio omni enitendum putavit. Sometimes we even find homo nemo, as Cic. ad Fam. xiii. 55.: tum vero, posteaquam mecum in bello atque in re militari fuit, tantam in eo virtutem, prudentiam, fidem cognovi, ut hominem neminem pluris faciam; de Leg. ii. 16.: quum nemo vir bonus ab improbo se donari velit. Quisquam, which has likewise the value of a substantive, sometimes follows the same principle: hence we find quisquam homo, quisquam civis; and homo itself is joined pleonastically to nouns expressing age, as homo adolescens, homo juvenis; this however may be explained by the fact of adolescens and juvenis being properly adjectives. Nullus and ullus, on the other hand, are used as substantives, instead of nemo and quisquam, especially the genitive nullius and the ablative nullo, neminis not being used at all, and nemine very rarely. See the manner in which Cicero varies his expression in p. Muren. 40.: si injuste neminem laesit, si nullius aures voluntatemve violavit, si nemini, ut levissime dicam, odio nec domi, nec militiae fuit; de Off. i. 4.: honestum vere dicimus, etiamsi a nullo laudetur, natura esse laudabile ; Lael. 9.: ut quisque sic munitus est, ut nullo eqeat.

[§ 677.] 6. Nihil, properly a substantive, is used adverbially as an emphatic non (like the Greek οὐδὲν for οὐ), in the sense of "in no way," "in no respect," e. g. nihil me fallis, nihil te impedio, nihil te moror, Graeciae nihil cedimus; Terent. Andr. init.: nihil istac opus est arte; Cic. in Rull. ii. 23.: Pompejus beneficio isto legis nihil utitur; Liv. iv. 33.: ea species nihil terruit equos; xxii. 45.: nihil consulto collegā; xxxviii. 40.: Thraces nihil se moverunt. Also with adjectives, Liv. iv. 9.: nihil Romanae plebis similis; Sallust, Cat. 17.: Senatus nihil sane intentus. Nonnihil is likewise used adverbially in the sense

of "to some extent," "in some measure," e. g. Cic. ad Fam. iv. 14.: nonnihil me consolatur, quum recordor. Quidquam, like nihil, is also used as an adverb, as Cic. de Invent. ii. 27.: ne hoc quidem ipso quidquam opus fuit. Respecting aliquid, e. g. res aliquid differt, see § 385., and compare what is said of quid in § 711.

[§ 678.] 7. Some substantives are used frequently for the purpose of forming circumlocutions, especially res, genus, modus, ratio, animus, and corpus.

Res is often used for the neuter of pronouns and adjectives (see above, § 363.) in such a manner, that sometimes even references to the preceding res are expressed by a neuter, as Cic. de Divin. i. 52.: earum rerum utrumque; Sallust, Jug. 102.: humanarum rerum pleraque fortuna regit; Liv. xliii. 17.: nequis ullam rem magistratibus Romanis conferret, praeterquam quod senatus censuisset; Cic. de Divin. ii. 57.: mens provida rerum futurarum, ut ea non modo cernat, &c.

Genus is used in circumlocutions like the English hind, regard, respect; in hoc genere, in this respect; quo in genere, in which respect; in omni genere te quotidie desidero, in every respect: in like manner, omni genere virtutis florere, "to be possessed of every virtue," instead of which we at least should

be inclined to say virtute omnis generis.

Modus very frequently serves as a circumlocution for adverbs (like the English way or manner): in this manner, hoc or tali modo, or with the preposition in: in hunc modum locutus est, majorem in modum peto (I beg more urgently), mirum (mirabilem, incredibilem) in modum gaudeo, miserandum in modum necatus est, servilem in modum cruciari. Ad is found less frequently; e. g. Cicero: Est igitur ad hunc modum sermo nobis institutus; Caesar: Ipsorum naves ad hunc modum factae armataeque erant. The genitive modi with a pronoun supplies the place of the pronomina qualitatis (§ 130.), which are either wanting, or not much used. Thus cujusmodi is used for qualis; hujusmodi, istiusmodi, ejusmodi, ejusdemmodi for talis, and cujusdammodi for the indefinite pronomen qualitatis, which does not exist.

Ratio properly signifies "an account," and is also used in the same sense as the English "on account of;" e.g. Cicero: propter rationem belli Gallici. equivalent to propter bellum Gallicum; in Verr. i. 40.: multa propter rationem brevitatis ac temporis praetermittenda existimo, for the sake of brevity, brevitatis causā. Sometimes, however, this explanation is inapplicable, and we must have recourse to the supposition of a mere circumlocution; e.g. in Verr. iv. 49.: oratio mea, aliena ab judiciorum ratione, instead of a judiciis: p. Muren. 17.: quod enim fretum, quem Euripum tot motus, tantas, tam varias habere putatis agitationes fluctuum, quantas perturbationes et quantos aestus habet ratio comitiorum? instead of comitia; and in the same chapter: Nihil est incertius vulgo, nihil obscurius voluntate hominum, nihil fallacius ratione tota comitiorum, than the whole character of the comitia, το των άρχαιρεσιών; comp. the same speech, Chap. 2.: praecipere tempestatum rationem et praedonum; de Off. ii. 17.: tota igitur ratio talium largitionum vitiosa est, sed interdum necessaria, instead of tales largitiones omnes, which, however, would be less idiomatic.

Animus (and the plural animi, when several persons are spoken of) is often

used as a periphrasis for the person himself, but only when the feelings of a person are spoken of. Thus we say, e. g. animus (meus) abhorret ab aliqua re, instead of ego; and in like manner animum contineo or submitto, instead of me; cogitare aliquid cum animo suo, statuere apud animum suum, pro animi mei voluntate, and very frequently animum alicujus movere, commovere, turbare, offendere, &c.

Corpus is used in some expressions instead of the personal pronoun; e.g. imponere corpus lecto, to go to bed; levare corpus in cubitum, to lean upon the elbow; corpus applicare stipiti, to lean against a tree; librare corpus, to swing

one's self.

[§ 679.] 8. The periphrasis by means of causā and operā is common in ordinary language; hence it has been noticed above §§ 454. and 455. Gratiā is used in the same sense as causā, but less frequently; e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. ii. 63.: tantumque abest ut haec bestiarum causa parata sint, ut ipsas bestias hominum gratia generatas esse videamus; ergo (originally $\rlap/ep\gamma\phi$), which has the same meaning, occurs still more rarely, and chiefly in early juridical language, e. g. in the formula in Cic. ad Att. iii. 23.: si quid contra alias leges hujus legis ergo factum est; de Opt. Gen. Or. 7.: donari virtutis ergo benivolentiaeque.

Nomen also belongs to this class of substantives, inasmuch as the ablat. nomine (in respect of) is often used in the sense of "on account of," or "on the part of," c. g. Cic. p. Muren. 38.: neque isti me meo nomine interfici, sed vigilantem Consulem de rei publicae praesidio demovere volunt; ad Quint. Frat. ii. 2.: Quod ad me Lentuli nomine scripsisti, locutus sum cum Cincio.

[§ 680.] 9. Names of nations are very often used for those of countries, and many names of countries very seldom occur at all. (See § 95.) In Nepos we read: in Persas proficisci, ex Medis ad adversariorum hibernacula pervenit, in Lucanis aliquid fecit, and similar expressions are of very frequent occurrence in other writers also: in Colchos abiit, in Bactrianis Sogdianisque urbes condidit; and we can only say in Volscis res bene gestae sunt, in Aequis nihil memorabile actum, in Sabinis natus, versatus, for there are no names for the countries inhabited by these people; in like manner there is no name (at least in Latin writers) for the town of the Leontini who are mentioned so frequently. Hence, verbs are joined with names of nations, which are properly applied only to countries; thus we commonly read in the historians vastare, devastare, and also ferro atque igni vastare, e. g. Samnites, instead of agros Samnitium. Liv. xxiii. 43.:

7/10/61 1/0105511

Nolani in medio siti; and names of nations, on the other hand are construed as names of towns, e. g. Liv. xxiv. 30.: Leontinos ire, and Cicero often has Leontinis, Centuripinis instead of in Leontinis, &c.

[§ 681.] 10. Verbal substantives are sometimes joined with the case governed by the verb, from which they are derived. There is only one instance of the accusat. in Plaut. Asin. v. 2. 70.: Quid tibi huc receptio ad te est virum meum? but the dative is more frequent, Cic. de Leg. i. 15.: Justitia est obtemperatio scriptis legibus institutisque populorum; Topic. 5.: traditio alteri; p. Planc. 1.: quum tam multos et bonos viros ejus honori viderem esse fautores; Liv. xxiii. 35.: praeceperat, ne qua exprobratio cuiquam veteris fortunae discordiam inter ordines sereret. Hence Cicero says, reditus Romam, Narbone reditus, adventus in Italiam, domum itio, and Caesar domum reditio. The dative, which is often joined to the words legatus, praefectus, and accensus, instead of the genitive, is of a different kind, these words being originally participles, and therefore admitting both constructions: legatus Luculli and Lucullo, praefectus castrorum and praefectus urbi.

B. Adjectives.

[§ 682.] 1. An adjective is sometimes used in Latin where in English we employ an adverb. This is the case, when the state or condition of the subject during an action is described, and when the action remains the same, in whatever state the subject may be. Hence we say: Socrates venenum laetus hausit; invitus dedi pecuniam; imprudens in hoc malum incidi; si peccavi insciens feci; nemo saltat sobrius; perterritus, trepidus, or intrepidus ad me venit; but we may say tardus or tarde ad me venisti, laetus or laete vivit, libens hoc feci or libenter hoc feci, since here the action itself may be conceived as being modified. In such cases the poets are always more inclined to use the adjective either because it has more of a descriptive power, or because they like to deviate from ordinary practice. Horace, e. g. says, domesticus otior, vespertinus tectum peto; and Persius: te juvat nocturnis impallescere chartis, instead of which the adverbs domi, vesperi, and noctu would be used in prose. But it must be remarked in general that the Latin language is partial to expressing adverbial modifications by an adjective or parti-

ciple joined to the substantive; e. g. mortuo Socrati magnus honos habitus est, where we should say: "great honour was paid to Socrates after (his) death;" Nep. Att. 3.: Quamdiu affuit, ne qua sibi statua poneretur restitit, absens prohibere non potuit, in his absence; Liv. xxi. 25.: praetor effusum agmen ad Mutinam ducit, he led the army, without keeping it together, to Mutina.

[§ 683.] 2. The origin from a place or country is generally expressed by adjectives formed from the names of the places, and not by the names themselves, unless we prefer the circumlocution by means of the participles natus, ortus, profectus. E. g. "Thrasybulus of Athens" is in Latin Thrasybulus Atheniensis; and in like manner Gorgias Leontinus, Protagoras Abderites, Prodicus Ceus, &c. Livy often uses ab, as i. 50.: Turnus Herdonius ab Aricia; iv. 3.: Tarquinius incola ab Tarquiniis; Cæsar prefers the ablative alone, as Bell. Civ. i. 24.: Cn. Magius Cremona, comp. iii. 71. The tribe to which a person belongs is expressed by the ablative alone, e. g. Ser. Sulpicius, Lemoniā, Rufus; Q. Verres Romiliā; C. Claudius C. F. Palatinā.

Note. Adjectives of this kind belong to the name and serve to distinguish the person from others of the same name. There are some adjectives which the Romans did not like to join to a proper name; they would not have said; e.g. Socrates sapiens, but would have put it in the form of apposition: Socrates, homo sapiens, or sapientissimus. See § 796.

[§ 684.] 3. It is a very common practice in Latin to use adjectives derived from proper names, instead of the genitive of those names. Hence we say, e. g. Ciceroniana simplicitas, the simplicity of Cicero; Hercules Xenophonteus, Hercules in Xenophon, i. e. according to the description of Xenophon; proelium Cannense, the battle of Cannae; bellum in particular is frequently joined with an adjective derived from the nation or king against whom war was carried on, e. g. bellum Mithridaticum, Cimbricum, Marsicum, Punicum, &c. On the same principle the possessive pronouns are used instead of the personal ones with a preposition, especially with the words epistola and litterae: multas litteras tuas uno tempore accepi, tuas litteras expecto, nunquam epistolam meam legisti nisi manu mea scriptam.

Note. This is less frequently the case with adjectives derived from appellative nouns, as the derivation is not so easily made. But wherever there are such adjectives, they are usually employed in preference to the genitive; hence herilis filius, the son of the master; fulgor avitus, the fame of the

- 12-Q 21, 11/0 050/19

grandfather; amorem servilem objicere, a love affair with a slave; with bellum: bellum sociale, bellum servile, instead of which, however, bellum sociorum, servorum is more frequent. We must here also mention the adjectives in arius derived from substantives, and denoting a trade or profession, as negotium vinarium, wine business; negotiator vinarius, a wine merchant; mercator frumentarius, a corn merchant; institor unguentarius, medicus ocularius. See § 252.

But it also happens very frequently that the English use an adjective where the Latin language must have recourse to the genitive of a substantive, as mental contemplation, contemplatio mentis; literary occupation,

litterarum studia, &c.

- [§ 685.] 4. The adverbial expressions denoting at, in or on a place are generally expressed in Latin by adjectives; e. g. in summa arbore, on the top of a tree; in media urbe, in the midst of the city; sol in medio mundo situs est; Terence: quis est hic senex, quem video in ultima platea? whom I see at the end of the street; Caesar: prima luce summus mons a Labieno tenebatur, the summit of the mountain. The use of the neuter of these adjectives as substantives, as in summo arboris, occurs only in later writers whom we should not imitate. See above § 435. Adjectives are also used in expressions denoting time, where we say "at the beginning," "in the middle," "at the end," e. g. prima, media nocte, prima luce (not primo die), extremo anno; Cic. p. Leg. Man. 12.: Maximum bellum Cn. Pompejus extrema hieme apparavit, ineunte vere suscepit, media aestate confecit.
- [§ 686.] 5. In like manner the corresponding adjectives are often used for the ordinal adverbs prius, primum (or primo), posterius, postremum, when they belong to a noun in the proposition, e. g. Livy: Priori Remo augurium venisse fertur; Curt. iv. 20.: Tyriorum gens litteras prima aut docuit aut didicit; Liv. xxviii. 12.: Hispania postrema omnium provinciarum, ductu Augusti Caesaris, perdomita est; Cic. in Verr. ii. 1.: Omnium exterarum nationum princeps Sicilia se ad amicitiam fidemque populi Rom. applicuit: prima omnium, id quod ornamentum imperii est, provincia est appellata: prima docuit majores nostros, quam praeclarum esset, exteris gentibus imperare; sola fuit ea fide benivolentiaque erga populum Rom., ut civitates ejus insulae, quae semel in amicitiam nostram venissent, nunquam postea deficerent.
- [§ 687.] 6. In the same manner the adjectives solus and unus, joined with a noun, are very frequently used for the adverbs modo, solum, tantum, e. g. Cic. ad Att. v. 17.: Scaevola solos novem menses Asiae praefuit, only nine months; Terent. Phorm.

iii. 3. 24.: Quantum opus est tibi argenti? Solae triginta minae; Cic. de Fin. i. 14.: Homo non sibi se soli natum meminerit, sed patriae, sed suis (but on the other hand, we read de Off. i. 7.: non nobis solum nati sumus; comp. de Fin. i. 13. 44.); ad Quint. Frat. i. 1.: in tuis summis laudibus excipiunt unam iracundiam; ibid.: me, cui semper uni magis, quam universis placere voluisti. So also unum illud cogitent, unum hoc dico.

[§ 688.] 7. Nullus is used for the adverb non, not only with esse and verbs of similar meaning, which is easily explained, as in Cicero: nolite existimare, me, quum a vobis discessero, nusquam aut nullum fore, i. e. no longer exist:—but sometimes also with verbs expressing a distinct action, e. g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 44.: haec bona in tabulas publicas nulla redierunt; ad Att. xv. 22.: Sextus ab armis nullus discedit; xi. 24.: Philotimus non modo nullus venit, sed ne per litteras quidem—certiorem fecit me, quid egerit. But it occurs rarely in prose, frequently in Terence; as, memini, tametsi nullus moneas, and the phrase nullus dubito, which is so frequently, though improperly, used by moderns, should be employed only in conversation, and never without a comical or humorous shade of meaning.

[§ 689.] 8. The place of the adverb quam joined to a tense of posse to strengthen the superlative of adjectives, is often supplied by the adjective quantus, in the same case as the superlative; hence, instead of quam maximis potuit itineribus ad hostem contendit, we may say quantis maximis potuit itineribus. Examples are numerous; those which occur in Livy are collected by Drakenborch on xlii. 15. Cicero uses this mode of speaking only when tantus precedes, e. g. de Fin. i. 12.: statue aliquem confectum tantis animi corporisque doloribus, quanti in hominem maximi cadere possunt; Lael. 20.: tanta est inter eos, quanta maxima esse potest, morum studiorumque distantia.

[§ 690.] 9. When two adjectives or adverbs are compared with each other, both are put in the comparative, e. g. longior quam latior, calidior quam cautior pericula adiit, fortius quam felicius bellum gesserunt, acrius quam constantius proelium inierunt; Cic. p. Milon. 29.: non timeo ne libentius haec in illum evomere videar quam verius; Liv. xxii. 38.: Pauli Aemilii contio fuit verior quam gratior populo. The same is the case when the comparative is formed by means of magis, e. g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 72.: neque enim vereor, ne quis hoc me magis accusatorie quam

7/00 D 1/1/1/10 TOT

libere dixisse arbitretur; Brut. 68.: magis audacter quam parate ad dicendum veniebat.

Note. Tacitus has his peculiarities in this respect also: he uses the positive in one part of the proposition; e.g. Agr. 4.: speciem excelsae gloriae vehementius quam caute appetebat; or the positive in both: Ann. iv. 61.: claris majoribus quam vetustis. In a similar manner he and others modify the construction quo magis—eo magis: Liv. i. 25.: Romani Horatium eo majore cum gaudio accipiunt, quo prope metum res fuerat; comp. Tacit. Ann. i. 57. and 68.; Hist. ii. 99.; Ann. i. 74.: quantoque incautius efferverat, poenitentia patiens tulit; instead of tanto patientior; but in Ann. iv. 67., we find: Tiberius quanto intentus olim publicas ad curas, tanto occultos in luxūs et malum otium resolutus, if the common reading be correct.

[§ 691.] 10. The numeral unus is added to superlatives for the purpose of strengthening their meaning, as Cic. Lael. 1.: quo mortuo me ad pontificem Scaevolam contuli, quem unum nostrae civitatis et ingenio et justitia praestantissimum audeo dicere; p. Planc. 41.: urbem unam mihi amicissimam declinavi; in Verr. i. init.: quod unum ad invidiam vestri ordinis sedandam maxime pertinebat; ad Fam. xiii. 43.: quo ego uno equite Romano familiarissime utor. The genitive omnium may be added to unus, as Cic. Brut. 6.: eloquentiam rem unam esse omnium difficillimam; ad Fam. xi. 16.: hoc ego uno omnium plurimum utor. The same is the case with the verb excellere, e. g. Cic. Tusc. ii. 18.: Virtutes appellatae sunt ab ea, quae una ceteris excellebat.

[§ 692.] 11. The numeral sexcenti is used in conversational language to express any large number, as we say a thousand; e. g. Cic. ad Att. vi. 4.: in quo multa molesta, discessus noster, belli periculum, militum improbitas, sexcenta praeterea; Terent. Phorm. iv. 3. 63.: sexcentas proinde scribito mihi dicas, nihil do, bring a thousand actions against me, I will not pay. Mille, and especially millies, however, are used in the same way, as Cic. p. Milon. 20.: villam ut perspiceret? millies in ea fuerat; de Off. i. 31.: Ajax millies oppetere mortem, quam illa perpeti maluisset.

C. Pronouns.

[§ 693.] 1. The personal pronouns are expressed in the nominative, when particular stress is laid on the subject of a proposition; in other cases the person is sufficiently indicated by the termination of the verb. See above § 379. It must be especially observed that tu is used in questions and addresses

expressive of indignation, as Auct. ad Herenn. iv. 13.: Tu in forum prodire, tu lucem conspicere, tu in horum conspectum venire conaris? Cic. in Verr. v. 52.: tu a civitatibus pecunias classis nomine coëgisti! tu pretio remiges dimisisti! tu, navis quum esset ab legato et quaestore capta praedonum, archipiratam ab omnium oculis removisti! See Heindorf on Horat. Sat. ii. 2. 20. It occurs also with the subjunctive, according to § 529.; e. g. Cic. Philip. vii. 2.: Faveas tu hosti? litteras tibi ille mittat de sua spe rerum secundarum? eas tu laetus proferas? recites? describendas etiam des improbis civibus? &c., et te consularem, aut senatorem, aut denique civem putes?

[§ 694.] 2. The plural of the first person is often used instead of the singular, nos for ego, and noster for meus, and the verb, even without the pronoun being expressed, is put in the first person plural instead of the first person singular; e. g. Cic. de Divin. ii. 1.: sex libros de re publica tunc scripsimus, quum gubernacula rei publicae tenebamus. This use of the plural, which occurs also in modern languages, must not be considered as an affectation, for nos gives the idea of communicating something and makes the reader go along with the writer, whereas ego expresses a distinct individual, and therefore produces the impression of assumption much more frequently than the plural. It must be observed that the genitive nostri is used for mei, but not nostrum, this genitive always expressing a plurality.

[§ 695.] 3. Ipse (self), is very frequently equivalent to the English "just" or "very," when it denotes the agreement or coincidence of two things; when joined to numerals, it signifies "neither more nor less," and when to other nouns, "only;" e. g. Cic. ad Att. iv. 1.: pridie Non. Sext. Dyrrhachio sum profectus, ipso illo die, quo lex est lata de nobis: Brundisium veni Non. Sext., ibi mihi Tulliola mea fuit praesto, natali suo ipso die; iii. 21.: triginta dies erant ipsi, quum has dabam litteras, per quos nullas a vobis acceperam; p. Leg. Man. 15.: et quisquam dubitabit—quam facile imperio atque exercitu socios et vectigalia conservaturus sit, qui ipso nomine ac rumore defenderit.

[§ 696.] 4. *Ipse*, when joined to personal pronouns, is put in the case of the subject, i. e. in the nominative, or, in the construction of the accusative with the infinitive, in the accusative, when stress is to be laid on the idea implied in the subject; but it is put in the same case as the pronoun, when the object is to

be distinguished from other objects, as is the case, e.g. in Cic. p. Leq. Man. 13.: Non potest exercitum is continere imperator, qui se ipsum non continet; ad Fam. ix. 14.: Tu quoniam rem publicam nosque conservas, fac ut diligentissime te ipsum custodias; iii. 7.: Cn. Pompejum omnibus, Lentulum mihi ipsi antepono. In the following passages stress is laid on the subject, Cic. Lael. 3.: Non egeo medicina (i. e. ut alii me consolentur): me ipse consolor; ad Fam. xii. 13.: Maximus consularis maximum consulem, te ipse vicisti; in Verr. iii. 1.: Nos, nisi facile cupiditates nostras teneremus, nunquam ipsimet nobis praecideremus istam licentiam libertatemque vivendi; Liv. iii. 56.: accusando eum, a cujus crudelitate vosmet ipsi armis vindicastis. Hence we say me ipsum diligo, but sibi ipse mortem conscivit, pro se ipse dixit, de se ipse praedicat, and in the accusative with the infinitive, deforme est de se ipsum praedicare (Cic. de Off. i. 38.). It must be remarked in general that Cicero is partial to construing ipse as the subject, even where the emphasis belongs to the object; e.g. in Verr. i. 6.: ut non modo populo Romano, sed etiam sibi ipse condemnatus videretur; ad Fam. iv. 8.: non ita abundo ingenio, ut te consoler, quum ipse me non possim; ad Quint. Frat. i. 1. 2.: Quid est negotii continere eos, quibus praesis. si te ipse contineas?

Note. Ipse, when joined to a possessive pronoun in a reflective clause, usually takes the case of the subject; e.g. meam ipse legem negligo, tuam ipse legem negligis, not meam ipsius, tuam ipsius, &c., as we may say according to § 424. Cic. de Orat. ii. 2.: si ex scriptis cognosci ipsi suis potuissent; p. Rosc. Am. 29.: Conveniat mihi tecum necesse est, Roscium aut ipsum sua manu fecisse, aut per alios; Liv. xxiv. 38.: eam fraudem vestra ipsi virtute vitastis; ii. 9.: nec hostes modo timebant, sed suosmet ipsi cives; viii. 25.: velut capti a suismet ipsi praesidiis; i. 54.: alios sua ipsos invidia opportunos interemit; i.e. qui sua ipsi invidia opportuni erant. The genitive is necessary only in those cases where there is no reference to the subject, as in tua ipsius causa, vestra ipsorum causa hoc feci; Quintil. ii. 6.: Aves foetus suos libero coelo suaeque ipsorum fiduciae permittunt; but sometimes we find the genitive where the case of the subject should be used, as Cic. p. Muren. 4.: conjecturam de tuo ipsius studio ceperis, instead of ipse; Liv. x. 16.: omnia expertos esse, si suismet ipsorum viribus tolerare tantam molem belli possent, instead of ipsi; xxx. 20.: suum ipsius caput execratum, for ipsum. But it does not occur so often as Drakenborch on Liv. vii. 40. 9. thinks, for he does not accurately distinguish the cases.

[§ 697.] 5. Idem is used (see § 127), when two predicates are given to one subject; hence it supplies the place of etiam, when the predicates are of a similar kind, and of tamen, when they are of a different kind; e. g. Cic. de Off. ii. 3.: ex quo efficitur,

ut, quidquid honestum sit, idem sit utile; i. e. "also," or, "at the same time," for which we might also use id etiam utile sit; beneficentiam, quam eandem benignitatem appellari licet; Libera, quam eandem Proserpinam vocant; viros fortes eosdem bonos esse volumus; Cic. p. Muren. 9.: Asiam istam refertam et eandem delicatam sic obiit, ut in ea neque avaritiae neque luxuriae vestigium reliquerit; de Off. i. 6.: alterum est vitium, quod quidam nimis magnum studium multamque operam in res obscuras atque difficiles conferunt, easdemque non necessarias. Especial attention must be paid to *idem* connecting two opposite predicates, where tamen might be used in its stead, Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 43.: quum (although) enim optimam et praestantissimam naturam dei dicat esse, negat idem in deo esse gratiam; Curt. v. 2.: Euphrates et Tigris ex Armeniae montibus profluunt, ac magno deinde aquarum divortio iter, quod cepere, percurrunt: iidem, quum Mediae et Gordiaeorum terras secare coeperunt, paulatim in artius coëunt, et, quo longius manant, hoc angustius inter se spatium terrae relinguunt.

[§ 698.] 6. Et ipse, on the other hand, is used (like the Greek καὶ αὐτόs), when the same predicate is given to a second subject. It is rendered in English by "also" or "too;" e. g. Eutrop. viii. 7. (15.): Antoninus Commodus nihil paternum habuit, nisi quod contra Germanos feliciter et ipse pugnavit, for item or ipse quoque. In Cicero, however, this use of et ipse occurs, as far as we know, only in one passage, p. Caec. 20.: Etiamsi tuus servus nullus fuerit, sed omnes alieni ac mercenarii, tamen et ipsi tuae familiae et genere et nomine continebuntur, for Cicero, in general, very rarely uses et for etiam; in the passage p. Cluent. 51. § 141. we must read ipse, and not et ipse. But et ipse frequently occurs in Livy, Curtius, and the later writers; e. g. Liv. xxi. 17.: quia L. Manlius et ipse cum haud invalido praesidio in Galliam mittebatur; ibid. c. 21.: credo ego vos, socii, et ipsos cernere; Quintil. ix. 4. 43.: Virtutes et ipsae taedium pariunt, nisi gratia varietatis adjutae. In like manner nec ipse is used in the sense of "neither;" e. g. Liv. xxii.18.: Primis repulsis Maharbal cum majore robore virorum missus nec ipse eruptionem cohortium sustinuit.

[§ 699.] 7. Is, as was remarked in § 127., refers to something preceding, a person or thing spoken of before; e. g. Cic. in Verr. iii. 23.: Polemarchus est Murgentinus, vir bonus atque

honestus. Is quum medimna DCC decumae imperarentur, quod recusabat, ad istum in jus eductus est; i. 41.: C. Annius Asellus mortuus est C. Sacerdote praetore. Is quum haberet unicam filiam—eam bonis suis heredem instituit. If the noun thus referred to is to receive some additional predicate, we must use et is, atque is, isque, et is quidem, and with a negative, nec is; e. g. Cic. in Cat. iv. 4.: Vincula vero, et ea sempiterna, certe ad singularem poenam nefarii sceleris inventa sunt; de Fin. i. 20.: At vero Epicurus una in domo, et ea quidem angusta, quam magnos quantaque amoris conspiratione consentientes tenuit amicorum greges! Liv. ii. 3.: Erant in Romana juventute adolescentes aliquot, nec ii tenui loco orti, quorum in regno libido solutior fuerat; Cic. Tusc. i. 3.: at contra oratorem celeriter complexi sumus, nec eum primo eruditum, aptum tamen ad dicendum, post autem eruditum. Sed idem is used when the additional predicate is opposed to the one preceding, as Cic. Cat. Maj. 18.: Severitatem in senectute probo, sed eam, sicut alia, modicam: acerbitatem nullo modo. The neuter (et id, idque) is used, when the proposition itself receives an addition, Cic. ad Fam. xiii. 16.: doctum igitur hominem cognovi et studiis optimis deditum, idque a puero; de Off. i. 1.: Quamquam te, Marce fili, annum jam audientem Cratippum, idque Athenis, abundare oportet, &c.

[§ 700.] 8. Hic—ille, when referring to persons or things mentioned before, generally follow the previous order, hic (the former) referring to the person mentioned first, and ille (the latter) to the one mentioned last; e. g. Quintil. vi. 1. 21.: Meritis majorum Cicero atque Asinius certatim sunt usi, pro Scauro patre hic (Cicero), ille pro filio; vi. 1. 9.: Haec pars perorationis accusatori patronisque ex aequo communis est. Affectibus quoque iisdem fere utuntur, sed rarius hic (accusator), ille saepius ac magis. Nam huic concitare judices, illi flectere convenit; Cic. Lael. 2.: Cave Catoni anteponas ne istum quidem ipsum, quem Apollo sapientissimum judicavit (Socratem): hujus enim facta, illius dicta laudantur; Liv. xxx. 30.: melior tutiorque est certa pax, quam sperata victoria: haec (pax) in tua, illa in deorum potestate est. But the case is often reversed, hic referring to the object mentioned last, as the nearer one, and ille to that mentioned first, as the remoter one; in this case, however, ille—hic is used, and the order in which the objects were mentioned before is thus restored; e. g. Cic. Lael. 24.: Scitum est

illud Catonis, ut multa: melius de quibusdam acerbos inimicos mereri, quam eos amicos, qui dulces videantur; illos (inimicos) saepe verum dicere, hos nunquam; Sallust, Cat. 54.: Caesar beneficiis atque munificentia magnus habebatur, integritate vitae Cato. Ille (Caesar) mansuetudine et misericordia clarus factus, huic severitas dignitatem addiderat. The same is sometimes found in Quintilian. Both pronouns, but more frequently hic, are used in the sense of the English "the following," which is never expressed by sequens. It should however be observed that hoc dico is commonly equivalent to hoc tantum dico, I will say only thus much.

Note. When alter—alter (the one—and the other) refer to things mentioned before, the reference may likewise be made in two ways: either the previous order is observed, or it is reversed, reference being first made to the thing mentioned last. The former occurs; e. g. in Cic. de Off. i. 26.: Philippum Macedonum regem, rebus gestis et gloria superatum a filio, facilitate et humanitate video superiorem fuisse. Itaque alter (Philippus) semper magnus, alter (filius) saepe turpissimus; the latter in Cic. p. Quint. 1.: Quae res in civitate duae plurimum possunt, eae contra nos ambae faciunt, summa gratia et eloquentia, quarum alteram (eloquentiam) vereor, alteram (gratiam) metuo. See de Off. iii. 18. init.; i. 12. Wherever there is ambiguity, the latter order must be observed. Plin. Epist. ix. 13.: Fuerat cum Arria et Fannia, quarum altera (Fannia) Helvidio noverca, altera mater novercae.

[\S 701.] 9. Ille, when not in opposition to hic, is often used to refer to things which are well known or celebrated, and although distant in time or place, are yet present to the minds of all, as Cic. p. Leg. Man. 9 .: Primum ex suo regno sic Mithridates profugit, ut ex eodem Ponto Medea illa quondam profugisse dicitur; p. Arch. 10.: Quam multos scriptores rerum suarum magnus ille Alexander secum habuisse dicitur? Nep. Thrasyb. 4.: Bene ergo Pittacus ille, qui septem sapientum numero est habitus, quum ei Mitylenaei multa milia jugerum agri muneri darent, Nolite, oro vos, inquit, id mihi dare, quod multi invideant, plures etiam concupiscant; Cic. Brut. 4.: Illud Hesiodium laudatur a doctis, quod eadem mensura reddere jubet, qua acceperis, aut etiam cumulatiore, si possis. Hence ille is sometimes added to other pronouns, to refer to something discussed before, as Tacit. Ann. xi. 7.: quem illum tanta superbia esse, ut aeternitatem famae spe praesumat? xii. 36.: avebant visere, quis ille tot per anno- pes nostras sprevisset; xiv. 22.: hunc illum numine deum destinari credebant. Iste, on the other hand, which is properly a pronoun of the second person (see § 127.), sometimes implies disapproval

or contempt. This arises from its frequent use in speeches in the courts of justice and its application to the opponent.

Note. The pronouns hic, ille, iste are joined with talis and tantus, which we cannot well render in English, except by making two sentences, e. g. Cic. ad Fam. xvi. 21.: Da operam ut hunc talem—virum videas quam plurimum, this man, who is of such a character; de Orat. ii. 20.: Ista tanta tumque multu profitenda non censeo, this, which is so great and manifold. Hic et hic, hic et ille, ille et ille are used to refer to several indefinite objects, as in English "this one and that one; "any one" of indefinite persons or things is expressed by hic aut ille.

[§ 702.] 10. The oblique cases of the personal pronoun of the third person (English him) are commonly expressed in prose by the cases of is, ea, id, as was remarked in § 125. The pronouns hic and ille are more emphatic; hence, as Bentley (on Horat. Carm. iii. 11. 18.) has acutely observed, they supply in lyric poetry throughout the place of the plain ejus, ei, eum; in prose, too, they are frequently so used, ille in this case answering to the emphatic "he." The cases of ipse, ipsa, ipsum, are employed, when the individuality of the person is to be expressed, e. g. Caesar respondit, sicut ipsius dignitas postulabat, as his own dignity demanded; sicut ipsi placuit, sicut ipsum decebat; Cic. de Fin. ii. 26.: Hoc etsi reprehendi potest, tamen accipio quod dant: mihi enim satis est, ipsis non satis. Hence ipse is joined to ego, tu, se, hic, ille, iste and idem. In reflective sentences this pronoun is used for sui, sibi, se, only when the person of the leading subject is to be referred to with particular emphasis, as Cic. de Fin. iii. 19.: Inhumana vox ducitur eorum qui negant se recusare, quo minus, ipsis mortuis, terrarum omnium deflagratio consequatur; Sallust, Jug. 46.: Igitur (Jugurtha) legatos ad consulem mittit, qui tantummodo ipsi liberisque vitam peterent. Sibi, however, might also be used. Comp. § 550.

[§ 703.] 11. Hic and ille bear the same relation to time present and past, as nunc and tunc (see §§ 285. and 291.), that is, every thing which a person, when speaking of time really present, expresses by hic and its derivative adverbs hic, hinc, huc and adhuc, is expressed by ille and its derivatives, when it is spoken of as belonging to time past. The Syracusans, as Cicero (in Verr. iv. 62.) relates, complained senatum populumque Syracusanum moleste ferre, quod ego, quum in ceteris Siciliae civitatibus senatum populumque docuissem, quid eis utilitatis afferrem, et quum ab omnibus mandata, legatos, litteras tes-

timoniaque sumpsissem, in illa civitate nihil ejusmodi facerem. In direct speech they themselves would say: querimur in hac civitate te nihil ejusmodi facere. In the same manner, c. 29: Rex clamare coepit, candelabrum sibi C. Verrem abstulisse: id etsi antea jam mente et cogitatione sua fratrisque sui consecratum esset, tamen tum se in illo conventu civium Romanorum dare, donare, dicare, consecrare Jovi Opt. Max.; he himself would say tamen nunc in hoc conventu do, &c.

[\$ 704.] 12. In the connection of sentences is, idem, talis, tantus, tot or totidem, are followed (sometimes the arrangement of words produces the reversed order) by the relative pronouns qui, qualis, quantus, quot. This must be particularly attended to by the beginner, as the English language usually employs "as" instead of the relative; e. g. qualem te jam antea populo Romano praebuisti, talem te nobis hoc tempore imperti; Cic. ad Att. vii. 1.: videre mihi videor tantam dimicationem, quanta nunquam fuit, as there never was. Further, eodem modo me decepit quo te; eadem facilitate Graccos scriptores intelligere, qua Latinos; idem quod tu passus sum; iidem abeunt qui venerunt. Instead of the relative after idem, talis and totidem, however, we may also use ac, atque or ut. See § 340. Cic. in Vat. 4.: honos talis paucis est delatus ac mihi; Tusc. ii. 3.: eisdem fere verbis exponimus, ut actum disputatumque est. Idem cum also occurs, as Tacit. Ann. xv. 2 .: eodem mecum patre genitus, instead of quo ego. The construction of idem with the dative is pure Greek, and occurs only in poetry, and even there very rarely, e. g. Horat. Ars Poet. 467.: Invitum qui servat idem facit occidenti, i. e. quod occidens, or quasi occidat; Ovid, Amor. i. 4. 1.: Vir tuus est epulas nobis aditurus easdem. Similis is construed like idem, in Horat. Serm. i. 3. 122.: quum magnis parva mineris falce recisurum simili te, to cut down with equal sickle small as well as great things.

[§ 705.] 13. Qui joined to esse and a substantive, either in the nominative or ablative of quality, is used in explanatory clauses instead of pro, "in accordance with," or "according to," e. g. instead of Tu, pro tua prudentia, quid optimum factu sit, videbis, in Cicero (ad Fam. x. 27.), we may say Facile, quae tua est prudentia, or qua prudentia es, quid optimum factu sit, videbis. Examples are numerous: D. Brut. in Cic. ad Fam. xi. 13.: Attendere te volo, quae in manibus sunt. Qua enim

m. wholasan m

prudentia es, nihil te fugiet, si meas litteras diligenter legeris; Cic. ad Att. vi. 9.: Quare de hoc satis: spero enim, quae tua prudentia et temperantia est, te jam, ut volumus, valere; ad Fam. xii. 29.: Nec dubito, quin sine mea commendatione, quod tuum est judicium de hominibus, ipsius Lamiae causa studiose omnia facturus sis.

[§ 706.] 14. We observed above (§ 128.) that the relativa generalia, which are formed either by doubling the simple relative, or by the suffix cunque, as quisquis and quicunque, are in classical prose always joined with a verb, and form the protasis. When, notwithstanding this, we sometimes read in Cicero quacunque ratione and quoquo modo in the sense of omni ratione, omni modo, we must explain such expressions by means of an ellipsis, e. g. quacunque ratione fieri potest. But in later writers we frequently find quicunque used in this absolute sense for quivis or quilibet; e. g. Sueton. Claud. 34.: quocunque gladiatorio munere prolapsos jugulari jubebat; Quintil. x. 1. 105.: Ciceronem cuicunque corum fortiter opposuerim, and this author and Tacitus use it quite commonly in this sense; but the fact of such peculiarities, which are founded on the whole structure of a language, being effaced, is a sign of the decay of the language. Qualiscunque and quantuscunque are likewise used in an absolute sense (by means of an ellipsis), which, however, cannot be censured, the force of the expression being thus enhanced; e. g. Senec. Epist. 80.: Tu non concupisces quanticunque ad libertatem pervenire, at any price, be it ever so high; Cic. ad Fam. iv. 8.: Si libertatem sequimur: qui locus hoc dominatu vacat? sin qualemcunque locum: quae est domestica sede jucundior.

[§ 707.] 15. Quidam, some, and substantively, "some one," expresses qualitative indefiniteness, and it is strange to find that certi homines is used in the same sense (e. g. Cic. Tusc. iii. 34.), just as we say "certain people." Quidam expressing quantitative indefiniteness, in the sense of nonnulli, aliquot, occurs more rarely. We must here observe that quidam, when joined to substantives and adjectives, is very often used merely to soften the expression, when the speaker feels that he has made use of too strong an expression, especially when he means to suggest that the word he has used, should not be taken in its literal, but in a figurative sense. The best Latin writers, and

more particularly Cicero, are very scrupulous in their application of words, and add their quidam or quasi quidam, where later writers and modern languages do not feel any necessity for such a modifying or softening word. When in English any thing of the kind is required, it is expressed in different ways, one of which is the expression, "so to speak," which is also not unfrequently used in Latin, ut ita dicam. In the following passages quidam softens down adjectives, Cic. ad Fam. viii. 8.: ex tuis litteris cognovi praeposteram quandam festinationem tuam; xii. 25.: fuit enim illud quoddam caecum tempus servitutis; de Orat. ii. 74.: ut apud Graecos fertur incredibili quadam magnitudine consilii atque inqenii Atheniensis ille fuisse Themistocles; Lael. 13.: non sunt isti audiendi, qui virtutem duram et quasi ferream quandam volunt; and in the following it softens down substantives, Cic. de Orat. ii. 46.: Saepe enim audivi, poetam bonum neminem sine inflammatione animorum existere posse, et sine quodam afflatu quasi furoris; i. 3.: Neque enim te fugit, artium omnium laudatarum procreatricem quandam et quasi parentem philosophiam ab hominibus doctissimis judicari; p. Arch. 1.: Etenim omnes artes, quae ad humanitatem pertinent, habent quoddam commune vinculum et quasi cognatione quadam inter se continentur. Tamquam is used for the same purpose, as Cic. de Orat. iii. 43.: Translatum verbum maxime tamquam stellis quibusdam notat et illuminat orationem.

[\$ 708.] 16. There is this difference between the simple indefinite pronoun, quis, qui, and the compound aliquis, that the latter is more emphatic than the former. Hence aliquis stands by itself as an independent word, while the unaccented quis is joined to other words, more especially to the conjunctions si, nisi, ne, num, and to relatives, and quum which originally was a relative (§ 136.); sometimes one or more words are inserted between quis and the words to which it belongs, e. g. Cic. de Off. i. 10.: Illis promissis standum non est, quae coactus quis metu promiserit; Tusc. iv. 19.: Ubi enim quid esset, quod disci posset, eo veniendum judicaverunt; v. 27.: mulieres in India. quum est cujus earum vir mortuus; de Fin. v. 10.: quotienscunque dicetur male de se quis mereri. In other connections, however, quis is used with somewhat more independence, as Cic. ad Att. vi. 1.: credo Scaptium iniquius quid de me scripsisse; de Off. iii. 6.: morbus aut egestas aut quid ejusmodi; de Fin.

iii. 21.: alienum est a justitia detrahere quid de aliquo, and immediately after injuriam cui facere; de Nat. Deor. i. 24.: priusque te quis de omni vitae statu, quam de ista auctoritate dejecerit, and we not unfrequently find dixerit quis, some one might say. But such passages are, after all, of very rare occurrence in the language of Cicero, and it is advisable to follow his example rather than that of later writers, who used the indefinite quis more frequently in the place of aliquis.

It must, however, be observed, on the other hand, that aliquis is used after those conjunctions which usually require quis, when it stands in an antithetical relation to something else, and accordingly has a stronger emphasis, e. g. Cic. p. Milon, 24:: Timebat Pompejus omnia, ne aliquid vos timeretis; Philip. xiii. 1:: Si aliquid de summa gravitate Pompejus, multum de cupiditate Caesar remisisset; ad Fam. xiv. 1:: cui si aliquid erit (if he has but something) ne egeat, mediocri virtute opus est, ut cetera consequatur; Liv. xxiv. 8:: Create consulem T. Otacilium, non dico si omnia haec, sed si aliquid eorum praestitit. We are sometimes obliged, in English, to express the emphasis of aliquis by the word "really," e. g. Cic. Cat. Maj. 20:: Sensus moriendi, si aliquis esse potest, is ad exiguum tempus durat; ibid. 13:: si aliquid dandum est voluptati, senectus modicis conviviis potest delectari. Comp. ad Fam. xi. 18. 3:; in Verr. ii. 31. 77.

Quispiam, which is used more rarely, is sometimes employed, like quis, after conjunctions, as in Cicero: pecuniam si cuipiam fortuna ademit; si grando quippiam nocuit,—and sometimes it stands alone, e. g. quaeret fortasse quispiam, where quispiam is rather more indefinite than aliquis would be.

[§ 709.] 17. The difference between quisquam and ullus is this, that quisquam is used substantively (we must, however, bear in mind what was said in § 676.), while ullus is an adjective; both, however, have a negative sense, and are thus opposed to the affirmatives quis, quispiam, and aliquis. They are used, like the adverbs unquam and usquam (see § 284.), only in such sentences as are negative, either through the negative particles non, neque, nemo, nunquam, &c., or through a negative verb, as nego, nescio, veto, ignoro, or through their whole construction; e. g. nego fore quemquam, or nego fore ullum hominem, which are equivalent to neminem, or nullum hominem fore puto, so that quisquam corresponds to the substantive nemo, and ullus to the

adjective nullus. Cic. Philip. x. 7.: Ab hoc igitur quisquam bellum timet? which, if we resolve the interrogative form, will be nemo ab hoc bellum timet. A sentence may acquire a negative character from a comparative; e. g. when I say: "he stayed in this place longer than in any other," the meaning is: "he did not stay so long in any other place." Hence we say in Latin, diutius in hac urbe quam in alia ulla commoratus est; Cic. in Verr. iv. 55.: Tetrior hic tyrannus Syracusanus fuit quam quisquam superiorum. It seems surprising that quis, and not quisquam, is used after the dependent negative particles ne, neve, and after the negative interrogative particle num; and this is indeed an exception arising from the ordinary use of quis after conjunctions. The preposition sine has likewise a negative power; hence we say sine ulla spe; and hence non sine is affirmative, e. g. non sine aliqua spe huc venerunt, not without some hope, i. e. cum aliqua spe. See my note on Cic. Divin. 18.

[§ 709. b.] Quisquam and ullus, however, are sometimes used

after si, instead of aliquis or quis, not in a negative sense, but only to increase the indefiniteness which would be implied in aliquis or quis, e. g. Cic. Lael. 2.: Aut enim nemo, quod quidem magis credo, aut, si quisquam, ille sapiens fuit; de Off. i. 31.: Omnino, si quidquam est decorum, nihil est profecto magis, quam aequabilitas universae vitae; ad Fam. ii.

16.: Filio meo, si erit ulla res publica, satis amplum patrimonium in memoria nominis mei: sin autem nulla erit, &c., here the former part with ulla is meant as affirmative. In Liv. v. 33.: Camillo manente, si quidquam humanorum certi est, capi Roma non potuerat, the negative sense is still perceptible, for, in fact, nothing human can be asserted with certainty; and such passages may serve to explain many similar ones. In this manner it gradually came to pass, that quisquam, ullus, unquam, usquam were also used without si, where the indefiniteness is to be made emphatic (answering to the emphatic any), as Cic. in Cat. i. 2.: Quamdiu quisquam erit, qui te defendere audeat, vives; p. Rosc. Am. 43.: Dum praesidia ulla fuerunt, Roscius in Sullae praesidiis fuit; Nep. Att. 19.: Tanta prosperitas Caesarem est consecuta, ut nihil ei non tribuerit fortuna, quod cuiquam ante detulerit; Liv. i. 18.: Curibus Sabinis habitabat consultissimus vir, ut in illa quisquam esse aetate poterat; xxi. 1.: bellum maxime omnium memorabile, quae unquam gesta sunt, scripturus

sum; Tacit. Ann. xi. 24.: majores mei hortantur, ut paribus consiliis rem publicam capessam transferendo huc quod usquam egregium fuerit; Quintil. x. 1. 60.: Archilochus quod quoquam minor est, materiae vitium est, non ingenii; and Seneca (de Tranquil. 11.) uses it in a witty antithesis, in a decidedly affirmative sense: cuivis potest accidere, quod cuiquam potest.

[§ 710.] 18. Quisque is every one distributively or relatively, but unusquisque, quivis, quilibet, every one absolutely; e.g. natura unumquemque trahit ad discendum; but (Quintil. ii. 8. init.) virtus praeceptoris haberi solet, quo quemque natura maxime ferat, scire, presupposes a division or distribution, every one in his own particular way. Hence quisque has its peculiar place after relative and interrogative pronouns and adverbs; e. g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 33.: Scipio pollicetur sibi magnae curae fore, ut omnia civitatibus, quae cujusque fuissent, restituerentur; de Divin. i. 1.: ut praedici posset, quid cuique eventurum et quo quisque fato natus esset; i. 39.: Cur fiat quidque quaeris: recte omnino; p. Rosc. Com. 11.: Quo quisque est sollertior et ingeniosior, hoc docet iracundius et laboriosius; de Orat. i. 26.: Ut quisque optime dicit, ita maxime dicendi difficultatem timet : Liv. iii. 27.: vallum sumpsere, unde cuique proximum fuit, and in innumerable other passages. Hence the expression quotusquisque in the sense of "how few among all?" as Plin. Epist. iii. 20.: Quotocuique eadem honestatis cura secreto, quae palam? Quisque is further used distributively after numerals; e. g. decimus quisque sorte lectus, every tenth man; quinto quoque anno ludi celebrabantur, in every fifth year; tertio quoque verbo peccat; and after suus, a, um, as sui cuique liberi carissimi, suum cuique placet, suae quemque fortunae maxime poenitet, where attention must be paid to the arrangement of the words (see § 801.), and also to the fact of quisque remaining in the nominat. in the construction of the ablat. absolute; e. g. Sallust, Jug. 18.: multis sibi quisque imperium petentibus; Justin. xxix. 1.: his regibus in suorum quisque majorum vestigia nitentibus; Liv. xxi. 45.: omnes, velut diis auctoribus in spem suam quisque acceptis, proelium poscunt. (See Kritz on the passage of Sallust.) In the same manner we find quisque in the accusat. with the infinitive in Liv. xxvi. 29.; affirmantes, se non modo suam quisque patriam, sed totam Siciliám relicturos.

[§ 710. b.] Quisque with a superlative, both in the singular

and plural, as optimus quisque, or (adject.) optimi quique, is in general equivalent to omnes with the positive, but in connection with the verb following it conveys the idea of a reciprocal comparison among the persons implied in the statement; as Cic. Tusc. iii. 28.: Quid? ex ceteris philosophis nonne optimus quisque et gravissimus confitetur, multa se ignorare? Hence this superlative is frequently in relation to another, which is joined with the verb, whereby the reciprocal comparison is distinctly expressed, Cic. Cat. Maj. 23.: Quod quidem ni ita se haberet, ut animi immortales essent, haud optimi cujusque animus maxime ad immortalitatem gloriae niteretur. Quid quod sapientissimus quisque aequissimo animo moritur, stultissimus iniquissimo? de Fin. ii. 25.: in omni enim arte optimum quidque rarissimum; Curt. vii. 16.: Altissima quaeque flumina minimo sono labuntur; Liv. xxx. 30.: Maxiamae cuique fortunae minime credendum est.

[§ 711.] 19. The interrogative quid is often used in the sense of "why?" or "for what purpose?" (comp. nihil, § 677.); e.g. quid me ostentem? why should I boast? quid opus est plura? why should I say more? Also in indirect questions, as Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 12.: A Fimbria quaerebatur, quid tandem accusaturus esset eum, quem pro dignitate ne laudare quidem quisquam satis commode posset; p. Muren. 37.: Quaeris a me, quid ego Catilinam metuam. Nihil, et curavi ne quis metueret.

[§ 712.] 20. Alius is joined in a peculiar way to other cases of its own, or to adverbs derived from alius, for which in English we use two sentences with the one, the other; e.g. Cicero: aliud aliis videtur optimum, one thinks this and another that the best; alius alio modo interpretatur, the one interprets it in this, and the other in another way, or every one interprets it differently; alia alio in loco intuebantur; aliter cum aliis loquitur; aliis aliunde periculum est; aliud alias mihi videtur. When only two persons or things are spoken of, alter is used in the same way, but there are no adverbs derived from alter; e.g. alter in alterum causam conferunt, they accuse each other. We may here add the remark that alius—alius and the other derivatives are employed in two sentences for alius, aliter, alias, &c., with ac or atque (than); e.g. aliud loquitur, aliud sentit, he speaks otherwise than he thinks; aliter loquitur, aliter scribit, he speaks otherwise than he writes.

D. Verbs.

[§ 713.] 1. The English verb "to order" or "have," in the sense of "to order," is frequently not expressed in Latin, but is implied in the verb, which, in English, is dependent upon the verb "to order;" e. g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 25.: Piso anulum sibi fecit, Piso ordered a ring to be made for himself, or, had a ring made for himself; ibid. 29.: Verres ad palum alligavit piratas, he had them tied to a post; securi percussit archipiratam, he had the archipirate put to death; multos innocentes virgis cecidit; Nep. Cim. 4.: Cimon complures pauperes mortuos suo sumptu extulit, had them buried. In like manner condemnare is used of an accuser who brings about a person's condemnation.

[§ 714.] 2. It has already been observed (§ 637.) that the Latins generally prefer using a verb in the form either of the participle perfect or future passive, instead of a substantive expressing the action of the verb. The present participle is likewise often used in Latin to express a state or condition where we employ a substantive with a preposition; e. g. ignorans, from ignorance; metuens, from fear; consulatum petens, in his suit for the consulship; omne malum nascens facile opprimitur, in its origin. The Latin language is not fond of abstract nouns, and prefers, if possible, to express them by verbs.

3. In like manner circumlocutions, by means of a verb and a relative pronoun, are preferred to those substantives which denote the person of the agent in a definite but not permanent condition: e. g. ii qui audiunt, qui adsunt, qui cum aliquo sunt, qui tibi has litteras reddent, i. e. the audience, the persons present, companions, the bearer of the letter; is qui potestatem habet, the commander or ruler; ea quae visenda sunt, things to be seen or curiosities; thus we often find ii qui consuluntur for juris consulti; qui res judicant for judices, since in the Roman constitution they did not form a distinct class of citizens. The English expression "above mentioned" is likewise paraphrased by a verb; e.g. ex libris, quos dixi, quos ante (supra) laudavi; Cic. de Off. ii. 9.: primum de illis tribus, quae ante dixi, videamus: the English "so-called," or "what is called," is expressed by quem, quam, quod vocant, or by qui, quae, quod vocatur, dicitur, &c.; e.g. Cic. de Leg. ii. 26.: neque opere tectorio exornari sepulchra, nec Hermas hos, quos vocant, imponi (Athenis) licebat : Liv. xlv. 33.: ad Spelaeum, quod vocant, biduo moratus; Cic.

de Re Publ. vi. 14.: vestra, quae dicitur, vita mors est; p. Quint. 6.: Cum venissent ad Vada Volaterrana, quae nominantur, vident L. Publicium.

[§ 715.] 4. The connection of two substantives by means of a preposition is frequently paraphrased in Latin by a sentence; e. g. your conduct towards this or that person, agendi ratio, qua uteris, or usus es adversus hunc vel illum; Cicero's works on Duties may be expressed by Ciceronis libri de Officiis, but more generally Ciceronis libri quos scripsit de Officiis, or libri de Officiis scripti. Certain pronominal expressions are likewise rendered in Latin by special sentences; e. g. I have no doubt of it, non dubito quin hoc ita sit, quin hoc ita se habeat, quin hoc verum sit; many things have prevented me from it, multa me impediverunt, quominus hoc facerem. The ablat. absolute quo facto, whereupon, which is in common use, belongs to the same class of expressions.

[§ 716.] 5. It is customary in an answer to repeat the verb used in the question; e.g. Cic. Tusc. v. 4.: nempe negas ad beate vivendum satis posse virtutem? Prorsus nego; Flor. i. 5.: Tarquinius Navium rogavit, fierine posset, quod ipse mente conceperat: ille posse respondit; Cic. Tusc. iii. 4.: haecine igitur cadere in sapientem putas? Prorsus existimo, for puto. Comp. the ancient formula of deditio in Liv. i. 38. The same is the case when a negative is introduced: Estne frater tuus intus? Non est. (Non alone is used more rarely.) The adverb vero, certainly, is frequently added to the verb in an affirmative answer, as Cic. Tusc. i. 11.: dasne aut manere animos post mortem, aut morte ipsa interire? Do vero. Hence when the protasis supplies the place of a question, vero is introduced in the apodosis merely to show that it contains the answer; e.g. Cic. p. Flacc. 40.: Quod si provinciarum ratio vos magis movet quam vestra: ego vero non modo non recuso, sed etiam postulo, ut provinciarum auctoritate moveamini; p. Muren. 4.: Quodsi licet desinere, si te auctore possum - ego vero libenter desino; ad Fam. xiv. 3.: Quod scribis, te, si velim, ad me venturam: ego vero, quum sciam magnam partem istius oneris abs te sustineri, te istic esse volo. Cicero begins his answer to the celebrated consolatory letter of S. Sulpicius in the following manner: Ego vero, Servi, vellem, ut scribis, in meo gravissimo casu affuisses. For Sulpicius had mentioned in his letter what he would have done, if he had been at Rome at the time. Hence we so frequently find quasi vero and immo vero in the same connection, but the latter only when that which precedes is denied, and something still stronger is put in its place. The verb may also be omitted in the answer, and in case of its being affirmative, the pronoun of the verb alone is sometimes repeated with vero; e.g. dicamne quod sentio? Tu vero; Cic. de Off. iii. 13.: quaero, si hoc emptoribus venditor non dixerit—num id injuste aut improbe fecerit. Ille vero, inquit Antipater; ad Att. xi. 7.: Quod rogas, ut in bonam partem accipiam, si qua sint in tuis litteris, quae me mordeant: ego vero in optimam. Hence lastly the use of vero alone in the sense of "yes," and equivalent to sane, ita, etiam; e.g. Cic. de Divin. i. 46.: illam autem dixisse: Vero, mea puella, tibi concedo meas sedes. (See § 357.) Vero occurs very rarely in negative answers, but is found in the expression minime vero.

[§ 717.] 6. When a circumstance is added, supplementary, as it were, to a preceding verb, the verb is frequently repeated; e.g. Pompey obtained the highest dignities in the state, and that at an earlier age than any one before him, Pompejus summos in republica honores assecutus est, et assecutus est maturius quam quisquam ante eum; Cic. de Off. iii. 14.: Emit (hortos) tanti, quanti Pythius voluit, et emit instructos.

[§ 718.] 7. A similar repetition of a preceding verb, but in the participle perfect passive, expresses the completion of an action, which in English is commonly indicated by "then" or "afterwards;" e. g. mandavit mihi ut epistolam scriberem, scriptam sibi darem; Caes. Bell. Civ. i. 76.: edicunt ut producantur: productos palam in praetorio interficiunt; Liv. i. 10.: exercitum fundit fugatque, fusum persequitur; comp. ii. 28.; xxii. 20.

[§ 719.] 8. Respecting the circumlocution of the ablativus causalis by means of the participles ductus, motus, commotus, adductus, captus, incensus, impulsus, and others of similar meaning, see § 454.; e.g. Nep. Alcib. 5.: Lacedaemonii pertimuerunt, ne caritate patriae ductus (from love of his country) aliquando ab ipsis descisceret et cum suis in gratiam rediret; Cic. de Off. i. 10.: Jam illis promissis standum non esse, quis non videt, quae coactus quis metu promiserit? de Invent. ii. 8.: dubia spe impulsus certum in periculum se commisit; ad Fam. iii. 8.: quum hoc suscepissem non solum justitia, sed etiam misericordia adductus.

[§ 720.] 9. Soleo aliquid facere and solet aliquid fieri are very

frequently nothing but forms of expression for saepe hoc facio, saepe or plerumque fit; and in this sense it must be understood, especially in the infinitive; e. g. narrabat patrem suum solitum esse dicere, he related that his father used to say, or often said.

[§ 721.] 10. The expressions nescio an and hand scio an (the latter is frequent in Cicero, but occurs only once in Livy, iii. 60., and in ix. 15., haud sciam an) have been discussed above, § 354., but only briefly. This expression, which properly signifies "I know not, whether not," has acquired the meaning of the adverb fortasse, perhaps, e. g. Cic. ad Quint. Frat. i. 1.: Tanti tibi honores habiti sunt, quanti haud scio an nemini; Brut. 33.: eloquentiā quidem (C. Gracchus, si diutius vixisset) nescio an habuisset parem neminem, he would, perhaps, not have had his equal; p. Lig. 9.: Quae fuit unquam in ullo homine tanta constantia? constantiam dico? nescio an melius patientiam possim dicere; de Fin. v. 3.: Peripateticorum fuit princeps Aristoteles, quem excepto Platone haud scio an recte dixerim principem philosophorum. This adverbial signification perhaps accounts for the indicative which occurs in Terence, Adelph. iv. 5. 33.: qui infelix haud scio an illam misere nunc amat, but should not be imitated. Hence it appears that we ought always to say nescio an nullus, nunquam, as in the above passages nescio an nemo, and also in Cic. de Off. iii. 2., ad Fam. ix. 14. 12., and Nep. Timol. 1. And this, indeed, is the reading which learned critics (Lambinus, Ernesti, Goerenz) have introduced in Cicero, e. g. Cat. Maj. 16.: mea quidem sententia haud scio an nulla beatior esse possit; de Leg. i. 21.: hoc dijudicari nescio an nunquam, sed hoc sermone certe non poterit. See also ad Fam. ix. 9. 4.: ad Att. iv. 3. init.; de Orat. ii. 4. 18. The authority of MSS has recently been urged against this view, but we think with those editors, that the authority of MSS. is of no weight in so undisputed an analogy; and the more so, as in all cases the MSS. contain evidence also in favour of the negative, and the differences between the readings are insignificant. There is only one passage in which the difference is considerable, viz. Cic. Lael. 6.: qua quidem haud scio an excepta sapientia quidquam (or nihil) melius homini sit datum; but even here the reading nihil is sufficiently attested by MSS., to which we may add one of the three Berlin MSS., the two others having quicquam. But we mustobserve in conclusion, that the writers of the silver age (es-

Lie and as a transposition

pecially Quintilian, see Buttmann on xii. 10. 2.) do not, indeed, give up the use of nescio an in the sense of fortasse, but along with it they employ the expression also in the negative sense of "I know not whether," and with ullus after it, the ancient and limited use of an having in the mean-time likewise become extended.

E. Adverbs.

- [§ 722.] 1. The Latins frequently use an adverb, where the English use a substantive with a preposition, e. g. vere hoc dicere possum, I can say this in truth, or truly. In Latin the preposition cum is sometimes thus employed with a substantive (§ 471.), but the adverb occurs far more frequently, and it is easy to perceive that cum with a substantive serves rather to denote some accessory circumstance, than any thing inherent in the action.
- 2. As the adverb is joined to a verb in the same manner that an adjective is joined to a substantive, the beginner must be reminded that participles, being parts of a verb, are qualified by adverbs and not by adjectives; and this rule is observed even when a participle, as is sometimes the case, has acquired the meaning of a substantive, e. g. inventum, invention; factum, fact, which are frequently joined with adverbs. We find indeed illustria, fortia, gloriosa facta, but, at the same time, bene facta, recte facta, good deeds, and always res fortiter, praeclare, feliciter a te gestae.
- [§ 723.] 3. Respecting the special use of every separate adverb, see Chap. LXII.: it only remains here to add some remarks relative to the connection of sentences by means of adverbs, and to the interchange of adverbs.

Sentences are connected by the doubled adverbs modo—modo, and nunc—nunc (sometimes—sometimes); as, modo hoc, modo illud dicit; modo huc, modo illuc (volat); modo ait, modo negat. Nunc—nunc does not occur in Cicero, but is found frequently in Livy and others; as, nunc singulos provocat, nunc omnes increpat; referre egregia facinora nunc in expeditionibus, nunc in acie. Instead of the second modo other particles of time are sometimes used, and Tacitus in particular is fond of varying his expression, by substituting aliquando, nonnunquam, interdum, saepius, tum, or deinde for the second modo.

Partim—partim, partly—partly, is sometimes used in quite the same sense as alii—alii (or the other genders), that is, as the nominat. of a noun. See § 271.

Simul—simul, as well—as, does not occur in Cicero, but is used by the historians, and once by Caesar, Bell. Gall. iv. 13.: simul sui purgandi causa, simul ut, si quid possent, de induciis impetrarent.

Qua—qua does not occur very frequently, and is equivalent to et—et, as Cic. ad Att. ii. 19.: Gladiatoribus qua dominus, qua advocati sibilis conscissi.

Tum—tum is used like modo—modo, as an adverb of time, or like partim—partim, denoting divisions of equal value, e.g. Cic. Lael. 21.: Erumpunt saepe vitia amicorum tum in ipsos amicos, tum in alienos, quorum tamen ad amicos redundat infamia; de Fin. i, 14.: Plerique propter voluptatem tum in morbos graves, tum in damna, tum in dedecora incurrunt; de Off. ii. 19.: Quae autem operā, non largitione, beneficia dantur, haec tum in universam rem publicam, tum in singulos cives conferuntur.

Quum—tum is equivalent to et—et, except that it assigns a greater importance to the second part; it must therefore be translated by "both—and especially," "not only—but also," or, "but more particularly." This meaning is often expressed more strongly by adding to tum the particles vero, certe, etiam (sometimes quoque), praecipue, imprimis, maxime. The construction and signification of this expression must be traced to the use of quum with the subjunctive in a protasis which contains the introductory premises, and is followed by an apodosis with tum, containing the application of the premises to the particular ease in question; e. g. Cic. p. Sext. 1.: in quo quum multa sint indigna, tum nihil minus est ferendum; in Rull. iii. 3.: Jam totam legem intelligitis, quum ad paucorum dominationem scripta sit, tum ad Sullanae assignationis rationes esse accommodatam; p. Arch. 4.: idque, quum per se dignus putaretur, tum auctoritate et gratia Luculli impetravit. This frequent mode of connecting sentences led the Romans to regard quum as an adverbial correlative of tum, without any influence upon the construction; and hence it is joined with the indicative, e. g. Cic. ad Fam. iii. 9.: Quum ipsam cognitionem juris augurii consequi cupio, tum mehercule tuis incredibiliter studiis delector; vi. 14.: nam quum te semper maxime dilexi, tum fratrum tuorum sin-

2007 07 7 (61,000)

gularis pietas nullum me patitur officii erga te munus praetermittere. Quum then becomes a complete adverb, when being followed by tum, it serves to express the opposition between single words which have the same verb, e. g. Animi magnitudo quum in utilitatibus comparandis, tum multo magis in his despiciendis elucet; fortuna quum in reliquis rebus, tum praecipue in bello plurimum potest; Agesilaus quum a ceteris scriptoribus, tum eximie a Xenophonte collaudatus est; luxuria quum omni aetate turpis, tum senectuti foedissima est; quum multa indigna, tum vel hoc indignissimum est. Sometimes the verb stands in the first part of the sentence, Cic. Divin. 11.: quum omnis arrogantia odiosa est, tum illa ingenii atque eloquentiae multo molestissima; in Verr. v. 2. 1.: Nam quum omnium sociorum provinciarumque rationem diligenter habere debetis, tum praecipue Siciliae, judices, plurimis justissimisque de causis. Such a sentence, however, might also be expressed in the manner which we mentioned first, e. g. Fortuna quum in ceteris rebus multum, tum praecipue in bello dominatur. Tum is sometimes repeated in the second part of a sentence, e. g. Cic. in Verr. i. 58.: quem pater moriens quum tutoribus et propinquis, tum legibus, tum aequitati magistratuum, tum judiciis vestris commendatum putavit,—and sometimes we find the gradation quum -tum-tum vero, as Cic. de Leg. Agr. i. 3.: quorum quum adventus graves, tum fasces formidolosi, tum vero judicium ac potestas erit non ferenda; p. Rab. perd. 1.: Nam me quum amicitiae vetustas, tum dignitas hominis, tum ratio humanitatis, tum meae vitae perpetua consuetudo ad C. Rabirium defendendum est adhortata, tum vero, &c. It is doubtful whether the same is allowable with quum. See Cic. p. Muren. 18. 38.; Stürenburg on Cic. p. Arch. 12. 31. Lat. edition.

[§ 724.] 4. Non modo—sed etiam (or non solum, or non tantum—verum etiam) generally expresses the transition from less important to more important things, like the English "not only—but (also)," e. g. Liv. i. 22.: Tullus Hostilius non solum proximo regi dissimilis, sed ferocior etiam Romulo fuit. When a transition from greater to lesser things is to be expressed, we usually find non modo (but not non solum)—sed, without the etiam, e. g. Cic. p. Leg. Man. 22.: Quae civitas est in Asia, quae non modo imperatoris aut legati, sed unius tribuni militum animos ac spiritus capere possit? Divin. 8. Qua in re non modo

ceteris specimen aliquod dedisti, sed tute tui periculum fecisti? p. Sext. 20.: Jecissem me ipse potius in profundum, ut ceteros conservarem, quam illos mei tam cupidos non modo ad certam mortem, sed in magnum vitae discrimen adducerem. We render this non modo—sed in English by "I will not say—but only," and in Latin too we may say non dicam, or non dico-sed, as in Cic. p. Planc. 33.: Nihil tam inhumanum est, quam committere ut beneficio non dicam indignus, sed victus esse videare; Philip. ii. 4.: Quid est enim minus non dico oratoris, sed hominis, &c. We may further, without altering the meaning, invert such sentences by means of ne dicam or nedum; thus instead of the above quoted passage (p. Leg. Man. 22.), we may say: Quae civitas est in Asia, quae unius tribuni militum spiritus capere possit, ne dicam (nedum) imperatoris aut legati. See above, § 573. There are indeed some passages in Cicero, in which non modo (solum)—sed expresses an ascending transition, and non modo - sed etiam a descending one, in which case etiam is added without any meaning; but the majority of passages of this author justifies us in adhering to the distinction drawn above.

[§ 724. b.] When the sentences are negative, i. e. when they are connected by means of "not only not—but not even," non modo (solum) non-sed ne quidem, the second non is omitted if both sentences have the same verb, and if the verb is contained in the second sentence, for the negative ne is then considered to belong conjointly to both sentences, e.g. Cic. de Off. iii. 19.: talis vir non modo facere, sed ne cogitare quidem quidquam audebit, quod non honestum sit, which is equivalent to talis vir non modo facere, sed etiam cogitare non audebit, Lael. 24.: Assentatio, vitiorum adjutrix, procul amoveatur; quae non modo amico, sed ne libero quidem digna est. This sentence may also be inverted: Assentatio ne libero quidem digna est, non modo (not to mention) amico, as in Cic. Tusc. i. 38.: ne sues quidem id velint, non modo ipse. The case remains the same, when sed vix follows in the second part of the sentence, e. g. Cic. p. Coel. 17.: verum haec genera virtutum non solum in moribus nostris, sed vix jam in libris reperiuntur, these virtues are not only not found in life, but scarcely in books; Liv. iii. 6.: non modo ad expeditiones, sed vix ad quietas stationes viribus sufficiebant. But if each part of the sentence has its own verb, or if the verb, although common to both, is expressed in the first part, non modo non is used

and the state of t

complete, e. g. Cic. p. Sull. 18.: Ego non modo tibi non irascor, sed ne reprehendo quidem factum tuum; ad Att. x. 4.: horum ego imperatorum non modo res gestas non antepono meis, sed ne fortunam quidem ipsam. The negative is not unfrequently retained in the first sentence, even when both negative sentences have the same predicate, as Cic. p. Muren 3.: Atque hoc non modo non laudari, sed ne concedi quidem potest, ut, &c. So also Liv. iv. 3.: Enunquam fando auditum esse, Numam Pompilium, non modo non patricium, sed ne civem quidem Romanum, Romae regnasse? whereas the rule is observed in i. 40.: Anci filii semper pro indignissimo habuerant, regnare Romae advenam, non modo civicae, sed ne Italicae quidem stirpis, for the predicate of both sentences here is the participle of the verb esse. Lastly, it must be observed, that the second non, when its place is supplied by a negative word, as nemo, nullus, nihil, nunquam, is generally not omitted, e. g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 46.: quod non modo Siculus nemo, sed ne Sicilia quidem tota potuisset; iii. 48.: quum multis non modo granum nullum, sed ne paleae quidem ex omni fructu relinquerentur,—although quisquam or ullus would not be wrong, and are actually used, e. g. by Livy.

[§ 725.] 5. Tam—quam express a comparison, as Cic. ad Att. xiii. 20.: Vellem tam domestica ferre possem, quam ista contemnere; Orat. 30.: Nemo orator tam multa, ne in Graeco quidem otio, scripsit, quam multa sunt nostra. Hence we say Cicero tam facile Graece, quam facile Latine dicebat; or in the inverted order, Sallust, Jug. 34.: Quam quisque pessime fecit, tam maxime tutus est. Tam—quam quod maxime signifies "as much as possible." See § 774. note.

Non tam—quam, "not so much—as," e. g. Cic. de Orat. ii. 30.: De eo non tam quia longum est, quam quia perspicuum, dici nihil est necesse; in Verr. ii. 34.: Quae studiose compararat non tam suae delectationis causa, quam ad invitationes suorum amicorum atque hospitum; p. Muren. 8.: provincia non tam gratiosa et illustris, quam negotiosa ac molesta. The real meaning of "not so much—as" thus vanishes, the former part of the sentence being negatived altogether.

Non minus—quam and non magis—quam are on the whole equivalent to aeque ac, as much as; but it must be observed that in non magis—quam the greater weight is attached to the affirmative part of the sentence beginning with quam; e. g. Alexander non

ducis magis quam militis munia exequebatur, Alex. performed just as much the service of a soldier as that of a commander; Cic. ad Fam. xiv. 3.: conficior enim maerore, mea Terentia, nec meae me miseriae magis excruciant, quam tuae vestraeque; Curt. vii. 38.: Moverat eos regis non virtus magis, quam clementia in devictos Scythas. The place of the adverb magis is frequently supplied by plus; e. g. Cic. de Prov. Cons. 10.: rei publicae plus quam otio meo prospexi; p. Flacc. 31.: revera non plus aurum tibi quam monedulae committebant; ad Att. ii. 1.: Catonem non tu amas plus quam ego. See my note on Cic. in Verr. ii. 7., and Heusinger on de Off. iii. 23. (Otherwise plus is rarely used for magis: Cic. de Leg. ii. 1.: inest nescio quid in animo ac sensu meo, quo me plus hic locus fortasse delectet; Philip. ii. 15.: An ille quemquam plus dilexit; for Philip. ii. 13.: plus quam sicarii, plus quam homicidae sunt, is perfectly regular, "they are something more.")

[§ 726.] 6. Sic and ita are demonstrative adverbs denoting similarity, and corresponding to the relative ut (see § 281. foll.); but ita, which differs from sic, also serves to indicate a more special relation: hence it very often has a restrictive meaning, "only in so far;" e. g. Cic. p. Leg. Man. 3.: vestri imperatores ita triumpharunt, ut ille (Mithridates) pulsus superatusque regnaret; i. e. your generals triumphed indeed, but in such a manner, that Mithridates nevertheless continued to rule; this is sometimes expressed more emphatically by the addition of tamen (e. g. p. Sext. 5.: Verum haec ita praetereamus, ut tamen intuentes ac respectantes relinquamus); p. Cluent. 32.: ita multum agitata, ita diu jactata ista res est, ut hodierno die primum causa illa defensa sit; in Verr. iii. 82.: itaque hoc est, quod multi fortasse fecerunt, sed ita multi, ut ii, quos innocentissimos meminimus aut audivinus, non fecerint. Tantus (but not tam) is used in the same sense, as Caes. Bell. Gall. vi. 35.: praesidii tantum est, ut ne murus quidem cingi possit; i. e. only so much; Nep. de Reg. 1.: tantum indulsit dolori, ut eum pietas vinceret, and in like manner we find in Cic. ad Fam. i. 7.: tantam vim habet in the sense of "so small a value."

Ut—ita (sic), places sentences on an equality; but this equality is sometimes limited to the result, to which both sentences lead, so that ut—ita is equivalent to "although—still," or "indeed—but," Cie. ad Fam. x. 20.: Ut errare, mi Plance, potu-

isti, sic decipi te non potuisse quis non videt? Liv. xxi. 35. : Pleraque Alpium ab Italia sicut breviora, ita arrectiora sunt, are indeed shorter, but steeper.

The adverb ut, "as," sometimes takes the signification of the conjunction quod, "because;" e. g. homo, ut erat furiosus, respondit, the man, furious as he was; i. e. because he was furious; Cic. p. Muren. 25.: Atque ille, ut semper fuit apertissimus, non se purgavit; in Verr. i. 26.: magnifice et ornate, ut erat in primis inter suos copiosus, convivium comparat, rich as he was, or because he was rich.

[§ 727.] 7. Instead of the adverbial numerals primum, secundo (for secundum is not often used, see § 123.), tertium, quartum, unless the strict succession of the numbers is required, the ancients preferred using the ordinal adverbs primum, deinde, tum, denique, and generally in the order here adopted, but sometimes tum is used once or twice instead of deinde, or the series is extended by such expressions as accedit, huc adde. Sometimes denique is followed by postremo to form the conclusion of a series, which is otherwise so commonly the function of denique, that, even without the other adverbs preceding, it concludes a series by introducing the greatest or most important, and is then equivalent to the English "in short," or "in fine;"e.g. Cic. in Cat. i. 5.: templa deorum immortalium, tecta urbis, vitam omnium civium, Italiam denique totam ad exitium ac vastitatem vocas.

[§ 728.] 8. The adverb forte differs in meaning from fortasse and forsitan (comp. § 271.), the former signifying "accident-ally," and the two latter "perhaps." Forsitan, according to its derivation, is chiefly joined with the subjunctive; i. e. it is used in those constructions the nature of which admits of the subjunctive in other connections also; e. g. forsitan aliquis dixerit; quod debeam forsitan obtinere. But forte acquires the signification of "perhaps" after some conjunctions, especially after si, nisi, ne, num; e. g. siquis forte miratur, if perhaps any one should be surprised. Hence arises the frequent confusion of the two particles in modern Latin.

[§ 729.] 9. Modo non and tantum non acquire, like the Greek μόνον οὐκ, the meaning of the adverb "nearly" or "almost," for properly they signify "only not so much;" e. g. Terent. Phorm. i. 2. 18.: is senem per epistolas pellexit modo non montes auri pollicens; i. e. paene or prope pollicens; Liv. iv. 2.: hostes tantum non arcessiverunt; xxxiv. 40.: nuntii afferebant, tantum non jam captam Lacedaemonem esse. The same meaning is also expressed by tantum quod non, which brings us still nearer to the origin of the expression; e. g. Cic. in Verr. i. 45.: tantum quod hominem non nominat, only (except) that he does not mention him by name; i. e. he almost mentions him by name.

[§ 730.] 10. Non ita is used like the English "not so," which is to be explained by an ellipsis, as non ita longe aberat, he was not so far off, viz. as you might imagine; but it also acquires the meaning of "not exactly," "not very;" i. e. it becomes equivalent to non sane, non admodum. Cicero uses it in this sense only before adjectives and adverbs, and before verbs non ita valde is employed; c. g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 49.: simulacra praeclara, sed non ita antiqua; Brut. 66.: Fimbria non ita diu jactare se potuit; de Nat. Deor. i. 31.: quibus homines non ita valde moventur, and in many other passages.

[§ 730. b.] 11. Non item is used to express a certain opposition or contrast, and properly signifies "not in the same manner or degree," but it is usually rendered by the simple "not" or "but—not;" Cie. de Off. i. 32.: hoc Herculi potuit fortasse contingere, nobis non item; ad Att. ii. 21.: O spectaculum uni Crasso jucundum, ceteris non item! Orat. 43.: nam omnium magnarum artium, sicut arborum, altitudo nos delectat, radices stirpesque non item. Comp. § 781.

[§ 731.] 12. Minus is often used for non, e. g. Cic. de Divin. i. 14.: Nonnumquam ea, quae praedicta sunt, minus eveniunt. We must especially notice si minus—at, if not—yet, e. g. Cic. in Verr. v. 27.: si minus supplicio affici, at custodiri oportebat,—and sin minus, "but if not," without a verb, after a preceding si; but with si non the verb is repeated; Cic. ad Fam. vii. 1.: Quod si assecutus sum, gaudeo: sin minus, hoc me tamen consolor, quod posthac nos vises; ad Att. ix. 15.: si mihi veniam dederit, utar illius condicione: sin minus, impetrabo aliquid a me ipso. Comp. § 343. Parum always retains its proper signification of "not—enough," though it may sometimes seem to be used for non, e. g. parum diu vixit, he did not live long enough; parum multi sunt defensores nobilitatis, not numerous enough. The English "how little" is in Latin quam non, and "so little"

ita non, or adeo non, e. g. adeo non curabat, quid homines de se loquerentur.

[§ 732.] 13. Nunc, as was remarked in § 285., always expresses the time actually present, and not merely relatively present, or the time to which a narrator transfers himself for the purpose of making his description livelier. In a narrative we may say in English, e. g. Caesar now thought that he ought not to hesitate any longer; but the now in this sentence must be rendered in Latin by: tunc, or tum, Caesar non diutius sibi cunctandum censebat. (In the connection of sentences, however, jam may be used instead, see § 286.) In speaking of the time actually present we say, e. g. nunc primum somnia me eludunt, or eluserunt, this is the first time that a dream deceives me, or has deceived me. In a narrative, on the other hand, we must say: somnia tunc primum se dicebat elusisse. See the passage in Tacit. Ann. xvi. 3. This rule is observed throughout. Respecting the same use of ille in contradistinction to hic, see \$ 703.

[§ 733.] 14. The conjunction dum (while) alters its meaning when added to negatives, and becomes an adverb signifying "yet," as nondum or hauddum, not yet; nequedum or necdum, and not yet; nullusdum, no one yet; nihildum, nothing yet; e.g. Cic. ad Att. xiv. 10.: Quid agat frater meus si scis, nequedum Roma est profectus, scribas ad me velim; Sueton. Caes. 7.: Caesar quum Gades venisset, animadversa apud Herculis templum Magni Alexandri imagine, ingemuit quasi pertaesus ignaviam suam, quod nihildum a se memorabile actum esset in aetate, qua jam Alexander orbem terrae subegisset. Hence, when attached to the negative adverb vix—vixdum it signifies "scarcely yet," e.g. Cic. ad Att. ix. 2.: Vixdum epistolam tuam legeram, quum ad me Curtius venit.

[§ 734.] 15. The conjunction vel (or), which originally serves to correct an expression, acquired through an ellipsis the meaning of the adverb "even," and enhances the sense of the word modified by it; e. g. Cic. de Fin. i. 2.: quum Sophocles vel optime scripserit Electram, tamen male conversam Attii mihi legendam puto,—here the expression is to be explained by supplying the word bene before vel. In this sense vel is used frequently, as in Cicero: hac re vel maxime praestat; quam sint morosi qui amant, vel ex hoc intelligi potest; isto modo vel consulatus vituperabilis

est; per me vel stertas licet. The derivation of this particle from velle (wilt thou?) accounts for its signifying "for example," or "to mention a case at once;" e. g. Cic. ad Fam. ii. 13.: Raras tuas quidem, sed suaves accipio litteras: vel quas proxime acceperam, quam prudentes! p. Flacc. 33.: Ita scitote, judices, esse cetera. Vel quod ait L. Flaccum sibi dare cupisse, ut a fide se abduceret, HS. vicies. Velut is more frequently used in this sense; e. g. Cic. de Fin. ii. 35.: Non elogia monumentorum hoc significant? velut hoc ad portam; de Nat. Deor. ii. 48.: Veluti crocodili—simulac niti possunt, aquam persequuntur.

[§ 735.] 16. The conjunction nisi, by omitting its verb or uniting it with the leading verb, acquires the sense of the adverb "except," which is generally expressed by praeterquam or the preposition practer. (See § 323.) This, however, is the case only after negatives and negative questions; e. g. Nep. Milt. 4.: Athenienses auxilium nusquam nisi a Lacedaemoniis petiverunt; Cic. p. Planc. 33.: Quid est pietas, nisi voluntas grata in parentes? p. Sext. 60.: Quem unquam senatus civem nisi me nationibus exteris commendavit?—instead of which we might say in the first passage, practerquam a Lacedaemoniis, and in the second practer me; and we must say so, when no negative precedes, e. g. Liv. xxiv. 16.: praeda omnis praeterquam hominum captorum (or praeter homines captos) militi concessa est. But the expression "except that" may be rendered in Latin either by nisi quod or praeterquam quod, so that here we may have nisi without a preceding negative; e. g. Cic. ad Att. ii. 1.: Tusculanum et Pompejanum me valde delectant, nisi quod me aere alieno obruerunt. (Nisi ut are likewise joined together, but in a different sense, ut retaining its proper signification; e.g. nihil aliud ex hac re quaero, nisi ut homines intelligant, except that people may see.)

As the Latin nisi after negatives is rendered in English not only by "except," but by "than," the beginner must beware of translating this "than" by quam. It is only after nihil aliud that we may use either nisi or quam, nisi referring to nihil, and quam to aliud. The difference is this, that nihil aliud nisi signifies "nothing further" or "nothing more," and nihil aliud quam "nothing else," or "no other thing but this particular one." Hence, Cicero (de Orat. ii. 12.) says: Erat historia nihil aliud nisi annalium confectio (but it should be more); de Off. i.

23.: Bellum ita suscipiatur, ut nihil aliud nisi pax quaesita videatur (and not other advantages besides); Tusc. i. 34.: Nihil aliud est discere, nisi recordari. Praeter is used in the same sense in Cic. de Off. ii. 2.: nec quidquam aliud est philosophia praeter studium sapientiae (nothing more). But in de Leg. i. 8. we read: Virtus est nihil aliud quam in se perfecta et ad summum perducta natura (this definition comprising everything); Nep. Lys. 1.: Nihil aliud molitus est quam ut omnes civitates in sua teneret potestate. Quam must as a matter of course be used, when it refers to a comparative, as nihil magis timeo quam illum.

F. Prepositions.

[§ 736.] The use of every separate preposition has been fully explained in Chap. LXV., and there is no further general remark to be made, except that the beginner must be cautioned, not to join two prepositions as we do in English; e. g. "to speak for and against a law," or "I have learned this with, and to some extent from, him." The only mode of rendering these sentences in Latin is: pro lege et contra legem dicere; haec cum eo, partim etiam ab eo didici. Those dissyllabic prepositions only, which are also used without a noun and as adverbs, may follow another, without being joined with a case; e. g. Cicero: quod aut secundum naturam esset, aut contra; Livy: cis Padum ultraque. Caesar (Bell. Civ. iii. 72.) reverses the order: intra extraque munitiones. Compare also § 794.

G. Conjunctions.

[§ 737.] 1. Respecting the signification of the several conjunctions see Chap. LXVII. Those who wish to acquire a thorough knowledge of the Latin language cannot bestow too much attention to this part of speech. From a careful observation of their use in good authors we learn that many combinations have, in fact, quite a different meaning from what lies on the surface. Atque adeo, properly "and even," acquires the power of correcting that which precedes, and also enhances the sense; hence it becomes equivalent to vel potius, or rather. (See § 336. Compare what is said of immo in § 277.) E. g. Cic. in Verr. iii. 8.: Tu homo minimi consilii, nullius auctoritatis, injussu

populi ac senatus, tota Sicilia recusante, cum maximo detrimento atque adeo exitio vectigalium, totam Hieronicam legem sustulisti. At quam legem corrigit, judices, atque adeo totam tollit? and: Verres tot annis atque adeo saeculis inventus est.

- [§ 738.] 2. Attention must be paid to the following peculiarity of the Latin language: when the negative power of a proposition is not expressed by non, but contained in some other word, the negative is usually combined with the copulative conjunction; hence, instead of et and ut with the negatives nemo, nihil, nullus, nunquam, we find much more frequently neque (nec) and ne with the corresponding affirmative words quisquam, ullus, unquam, usquam. It must however be observed (see § 709.), that "in order that no one" is rendered in Latin by ne quis, and never by ne quisquam. But it should not be forgotten that ne cannot be used everywhere, and that ut nemo, ut nullus, &c., are required in all cases in which ut non must be employed and not ne. (See § 532.) E. g. Cic. Cat. Maj. 12.: impedit enim consilium voluptas ac mentis, ut ita dicam, praestringit oculos, nec habet ullum cum virtute commercium; ibid. 19.: horae quidem cedunt, et dies et menses et anni: nec praeteritum tempus unquam revertitur; Sallust, Cat. 29.: Senatus decrevit, darent operam consules, ne quid respublica detrimenti caperet; Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 46.: Caesar suis imperavit, ne quod omnino telum in hostes rejicerent.
- [§ 739.] 3. When any clause inserted in another has impeded or disturbed the construction, the return to the construction of the leading sentence is indicated by one of the conjunctions igitur, verum, verumtamen, sed, sed tamen, which we commonly render by "I say." In Latin, too, inquam is sometimes so used (as in Cic. in Verr. iv. 29. 67, p. Muren. 30. 63.), but the conjunctions are much more common; Cic. de Off. iii. 16.: M. Cato sententiam dixit, hujus nostri Catonis pater: (ut enim ceteri ex patribus, sic hic, qui illud lumen progenuit, ex filio est nominandus): is igitur judex ita pronuntiavit, emptori damnum praestari oportere; Philip. ii. 32.: Primum quum Caesar ostendisset, se, priusquam proficisceretur, Dolabellam consulem esse jussurum: quem negant regem, qui et faceret semper ejusmodi aliquid et diceret: sed quum Caesar ita dixisset, tum hic bonus augur eo se sacerdotio praeditum esse dixit, &c. See Heusinger on this passage, and compare in Cat. iii. 2. init.; p. Planc. 4.; de Leg. ii. 1.: Quare

ante mirabar—sed mirabar, ut dixi, &c. As for the other conjunctions used in this manner, see in Cat. iv. 11.; Philip. ii. 37.; de Fin. ii. 22.; p. Rosc. Am. 43.; in Verr. iii. 2. init.; ad Att. i. 10. init.; p. Sext. 10. init. Nam is also employed in this way, as p. Planc. 41. Itaque is doubtful in Cic. de Fin. i. 6. 19., but occurs in Liv. ii. 12. init.

- [§ 740.] 4. Siquis often seems to stand for the relative pronoun, as in Greek εἴτις for ὄστις; but it always contains the idea of "perhaps," which it naturally retains from its proper signification of a possible condition; e.g. Liv. xxi. 37.: Nuda fere Alpium cacumina sunt, et si quid est pabuli, obruunt nives; Cic. in Verr. v. 25.: iste quasi praeda sibi advecta, non praedonibus captis, si qui senes aut deformes erant, eos in hostium numero ducit, qui aliquid formae, aetatis, artificiique habebant, abducit omnes; Brut. 69.: C. Cosconius nullo acumine, eam tamen verborum copiam, si quam habebat, populo praebebat. Ernesti proposed to strike out si, but it may be explained in the manner stated above, for Cicero does not even like to admit that Cosconius possessed copia verborum; and in a similar manner he speaks with some doubt of his own eloquence, c. 87.: etsi tu melius existimare videris de ea, si quam nunc habemus, facultate, and also Divin. 15.: ipse Allienus ex ea facultate, si quam habet, aliquantum detracturus est.
- [§ 741.] 5. The conjunction et (que and atque) not unfrequently connects two substantives and places them on an equality with each other, although properly one bears to the other the relation of a genitive or an adjective. This kind of connection is called êν διὰ δυοῦν, that is, one idea is expressed by two words independent of each other, for a genitive and an adjective when joined to a substantive constitute only one idea. When, e. g. Virg. Georg. 1. 192. says: pateris libamus et auro, it is equivalent to pateris aureis; and Aen. i. 61.: molem et montes insuper altos imposuit, equivalent to molem altorum montium. But similar expressions occur also in prose, and oratorical diction thereby gains in fulness and power; e. g. Cic. in Cat. i. 13.: ut saepe homines aegri morbo gravi, quum aestu febrique jactantur i. e. aestu febris; p. Flacc. 2.: quem plurimi cives devincti necessitudine ac vetustate defendunt, i. e. vetustate necessitudinis; p. Arch. 6.: ex his studiis haec quoque crescit oratio et facultas, i. e. facultas dicendi; in Verr. v. 14.: jus imaginis ad memoriam

posteritatemque prodere, i. e. ad memoriam posteritatis; ibid. iv. 35.: complesse coronis et floribus; and in Curt. iv. 17.: navigia redimita floribus coronisque, with garlands of flowers. It is particularly frequent in Tacitus, as Ann. ii. 69.: carmina et devotiones reperiebantur, for carmina devotionum; ii. 83.: tempore ac spatio, for temporis spatio; xii. 27.: veteranos coloniamque deducere, for coloniam veteranorum. Of a somewhat different, though similar, kind are those combinations of substantives, where the second contains a more accurate definition of the general meaning of the first. The substantive which occurs most frequently in such combinations is vis, as vi et armis, vi ac minis, vi et contentione, vi ac necessitate.

CHAP. LXXXV.

PLEONASM.

- [§ 742.] 1. PLEONASM is that mode of expression in which several words of the same or similar meaning are accumulated, or in which a thought is conveyed in more words than are necessary to express the meaning.
- 2. The first kind of pleonasm does not, properly speaking, belong to Latin grammar. Good authors accumulate words of similar meaning only when they intend to set forth a particular thing forcibly and emphatically, and they take care that there is a certain gradation in the words they put together, as in relinquere ac deserve, deserve ac derelinquere; aversari et execrari; rogo te oroque, oro te atque obsecro; gaudeo vehementerque laetor, laetor et triumpho; hoc animis eorum insitum atque innatum videtur esse; agitatur et perterretur Furiarum taedis ardentibus; hoc maxime vestros animos excitare atque inflammare debet. Innumerable instances of this kind are found in the orators, and they constitute a great part of the copia verborum which is required of orators. But they go even further, and when their endeavour to accumulate words for the sake of emphasis becomes still more striking, it is called a rhetorical figure; e. g. when Cicero (in Cat. i. 5.) calls on Catiline

The seed by Werdsoft in

to quit Rome: Quae quum ita sint, Catilina, perge quo coepisti: egredere aliquando ex urbe: patent portae: proficiscere; and where he describes Catiline's flight (in Cat. ii. 1.): Abiit, excessit, evasit, erupit. But in grammar we have to notice only certain combinations, which by usage have become so familiar, that they do not appear to contain any particular emphasis, as casu et fortuito, forte fortuna, forte temere, prudens sciens, vivus vidensque, volens propitius, fundere et fugare; and some legal and political expressions, where it was originally intended, by an accurate phraseology, to prevent a wrong or ambiguous application. Expressions of this kind are pecunia capta conciliata, Cic. in Verr. iii. 94.: ager datus assignatus, Philip. v. in fin.; nihil aequi boni impetravit, Philip. ii. 37.; quum Brutus exercitum conscripserit compararit, in a decree of the senate, Philip. v. 13., and others.

[§ 743.] 3. The second kind of pleonasm belongs to grammar, in as much as certain redundant expressions are sanctioned by usage, and can no longer be considered faulty. But we must not suppose that a thing expressed by a redundancy of words is quite equivalent to a shorter expression which we may meet with elsewhere. The language of good authors is not arbitrary in this respect, and two modes of expression never have quite the same meaning. It is not, however, our object here to trace such differences in their minutest details, but only in general to mention those cases in which the Latin usage employs more words than appear necessary to a person who judges of it by the standard of a modern language.

4. A preceding substantive is often repeated after the relative pronoun; e.g. Cic. p. Flace. 33.: habetis causam inimicitiarum, qua causa inflammatus Decianus ad Laelium detulerit hanc accusationem; de Orat. i. 38.: quum obsignes tabellas clientis tui, quibus in tabellis id sit scriptum; in Verr. iii. 79.: quum in eo ordine videamus esse multos non idoneos, qui ordo industriae propositus est et dignitati; Divin. 1.: si quod tempus accidisset, quo tempore aliquid a me requirerent. It is especially frequent in Caesar, as Bell. Gall. i. 6.: erant omnino itinera duo, quibus itineribus domo exire possent; but it is most frequent, and appears indeed to have been customary, with the word dies; e.g. Cic. ad Att. ii. 11.: dies enim nullus erat, Antii quum essem, quo die non melius scirem Romae quid ageretur, quam ii qui erant Romae;

Cic. in Cat. i. 3.: fore in armis certo die, qui dies futurus erat a. d. VI. Cal. Novembres. A great many passages of this kind are found in Cicero and Caesar, and it was the regular practice to say pridie and postridie ejus diei. The repetition of the substantive is necessary when there are two preceding the relative, and when it becomes doubtful to which of them the relative refers; e. g. Cic. p. Sext. 45.: Duo genera semper in hac civitate fuerunt eorum, qui versari in re publica atque in ea se excellentius gerere studuerunt, quibus ex generibus alteri se populares, alteri optimates et haberi et esse voluerunt; p. Flacc. 35.: litteras misit de villico P. Septimii, hominis ornati, qui villicus caedem fecerat.

[§ 744.] 5. The pronouns is and ille are superfluously added to quidem, and the personal pronouns ego, tu, nos, vos, though already implied in the verb, are sometimes expressed separately, see §§ 278. and 801. Respecting is, see above, § 699., and Cic. Tusc. iv. 3.: Sapientiae studium vetus id quidem in nostris; sed tamen ante Laelii aetatem et Scipionis non reperio quos appellare possim nominatim. Ille is thus found frequently, as Cic. de Off. i. 29.: Ludo autem et joco uti illo quidem licet, sed sicut somno et quietibus ceteris tum, quum gravibus seriisque rebus satisfecerimus; Tusc. i. 3.: Multi jam esse Latini libri dicuntur scripti inconsiderate ab optimis illis quidem viris, sed non satis eruditis; ad Fam. xii. 30.: O hominem semper illum quidem mihi aptum, nunc vero etiam suavem! Ille is further superfluous after at; e.g. Curt. iii. 19.: Hi magnopere suadebant, ut retro abiret spatiososque Mesopotamiae campos repeteret; si id consilium damnaret, at ille divideret saltem copias innumerabiles. Is (sometimes also hic), when referring to something mentioned before, seems to us to be superfluous, but is used for the sake of emphasis; e.g. Cic. de Off. i. 38.: Quae cum aliqua perturbatione fiunt, ea non possunt iis, qui adsunt, probari; i. 35.: (Natura) formam nostram reliquamque figuram, in qua esset species honesta, eam posuit in promptu: quae partes autem corporis ad naturae necessitatem datae aspectum essent deformem habiturae, eas contexit atque abdidit; ii. 6.: Male se res habet, quum, quod virtute effici debet, id temptatur pecunia. In Livy, xxii. 30. in fin. ut vix cum eadem gente bellum esse crederent, cujus terribilem eam famam a patribus accepissent, the eam refers to something implied, which we may express by "so frightful." [§ 745.] 6. The monosyllabic prepositions ab, ad, de, ex, and

and the state of

in are often pleonastically repeated, but according to the observation of some critics, only when two substantives, although united by et, are yet to be considered as distinct. Hence we should not say ad ludum et ad jocum facti, but we may say deinceps de beneficentia ac de liberalitate dicamus, if the two qualities are not to be mixed together, but considered separately. This theory seems plausible; but the texts of the Latin authors, especially of Cicero, such as they are at present, do not enable us to come to any definite conclusion, since a preposition is very often repeated, when the substantives really belong together and are of a kindred nature, while it is omitted in cases of the opposite kind. Comp. Heusinger on Cic. de Off. i. 14. init.; and my note on the Divinat. in Caec. 13. But it may be considered as an invariable rule, that wherever the substantives are separated by et—et, the preposition must be repeated; e.g. Cic. de Off. i. 34.: ut eorum et in bellicis et in civilibus officiis vigeat industria.

The preposition inter is frequently repeated by Cicero after

The preposition inter is frequently repeated by Cicero after the verb interesse; e.g. Lael. 25.: quid intersit inter popularem, id est, assentatorem et levem civem, et inter constantem, severum et gravem; de Fin. i. 9.: interesse enim inter argumentum et inter mediocrem animadversionem. Other writers repeat it after other verbs also, as Liv. x. 7.: certatum inter Ap. Claudium maxime ferunt et inter P. Decium.

[§ 746.] 7. The dative of the personal pronouns frequently seems to be used pleonastically, as it expresses a relation of an action to a person which is often almost imperceptible. See above § 408., and Drakenborch on Sil. Ital. i. 46.; Burmann on Phaedr. i. 22. 3. But the addition of sibi to suus, or rather to suo, for so we find it in the few passages (especially of the comic writers) where this peculiarity occurs, is a real pleonasm. Something analogous to it in English is the addition of the word "own" to possessive pronouns. Plaut. Capt. Prol. 50.: ignorans suo sibi servit patri; ibid. i. 1. 12.: suo sibi suco vivunt; Terent. Adelph. v. 8. in fin.: suo sibi hunc gladio jugulo.

[§ 747.] 8. Potius and magis are sometimes used pleonastically with malle and praestare; e. g. Cic. Divin. 6.: ab omnibus se desertos potius quam abs te defensos esse malunt; Liv. xxii. 34.: qui magis vere vincere quam diu imperare malit; Cic. in Pis. 7.: ut emori potius quam servire praestaret. Comp.

p. Balb. 8. in fin., with the notes of Ernesti and Garatoni. Hence we sometimes find it also with comparatives, as Cic. in Pis. 14.: mihi in tanto omnium mortalium odio, justo praesertim et debito, quaevis fuga potius quam ulla provincia esset optatior. Comp. p. Lig. 2.; de Orat. ii. 74.; de Nat. Deor. ii. 13. The pleonasm of prius, ante and rursus with verbs compounded with prae, ante and re, is of a similar kind. See Drakenborch on Liv. i. 3. § 4.

9. Respecting the superfluous genitives loci, locorum, terrarum, gentium, and ejus in the phrase quoad ejus fieri potest, see § 434.; and for id quod, instead of quod alone, see § 371.

[§ 748.] 10. Sic, ita, id, hoc, illud, are very often superfluously used, as a preliminary announcement of a proposition, and added to the verb on which this proposition depends; e.g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 3.: Sic a majoribus suis acceperant, tanta populi Romani esse beneficia, ut etiam injurias nostrorum hominum perferendas putarent; ad Fam. xiii. 10.: quum sibi ita persuasisset ipse, meas de se accurate scriptas litteras maximum apud te pondus habituras, &c.; ad Att. i. 10.: hoc te intelligere volo, pergraviter illum esse offensum; ad Quint. Frat. i. 1.: te illud admoneo, ut quotidie meditere, resistendum esse iracundiae; and afterwards: illud te et oro et hortor, ut in extrema parte muneris tui diligentissimus sis. These pleonastic additions, as we remarked above, have no influence on the construction of propositions, and we find only in a few instances that a pronoun or sic is followed by ut, which would not otherwise be used, as Cic. de Orat. iii. 34.: de cujus dicendi copia sic accepimus, ut, &c.; Tusc. iv. 21.: ita enim definit, ut perturbatio sit; ibid. 6.: Est Zenonis haec definitio, ut perturbatio sit aversa a recta ratione animi commotio. This must be considered as a contraction of sentences, as ut should properly be followed by a verb denoting "to say" or "to think," with an accusat. with the infinitive; e.g. in the last mentioned passage: ut dicat (putet) perturbationem esse. In the phrase hoc, illud, id agere ut, however, the pronoun is established by custom and necessary. See § 614.

[§ 749.] 11. A kind of pleonastical expression is observed in quoting indirectly the words of another; e.g. Cic. ad Fam. iii. 7.: A Pausania, Lentuli liberto, accenso mee, audivi quum diceret, te secum esse questum, quod tibi obviam non prodissem; Brut. 56.: Ipsius Sulpicii nulla oratio est; saepe ex eo audiebam, quum se

scribere neque consuesse neque posse diceret, and in many other passages; comp. in Verr. i. 61. init.; de Fin. v. 19. in fin.: de Orat. i. 28.; Philip. ix. 4.: atque ita locutus est ut auctoritatem vestram vitae suae se diceret anteferre; in Verr. v. 18.: ejusmodi de te voluisti sermonem esse omnium, palam ut loquerentur; Liv. xxii. 32.: atque ita verba facta, ut dicerent.

[§ 750.] 12. A similar pleonasm is often found with the verbs of thinking, believing, &c., inasmuch as putare and existimare are expressly added in the dependent sentence, although a word of similar meaning has preceded; e.g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 75.: Cogitate nunc, quum illa (Sicilia) sit insula, quae undique exitus maritimos habeat, quid ex ceteris locis exportatum putetis, instead of cogitate quid exportatum sit; ibid. iv. 1.: genus ipsum prius cognoscite, judices; deinde fortasse non magnopere quaeretis, quo id nomine appellandum putetis, where quo nomine appelletis would be quite sufficient; p. Leg. Man. 13.: tum facilius statuetis, quid apud exteras nationes fieri existimetis, and in several other passages of this oration, especially chap. 9.: sed ea vos conjectura perspicite, quantum illud bellum factum putetis, where Ernesti found difficulties; Quintil. i. 10.: in hac fuere sententia ut existimarent.

Such a redundancy occurs also with licet, when depending on permittitur and conceditur; e.g. Cic. in Rull. ii. 13.: totam Italiam suis coloniis ut complere liceat permittitur, and afterwards: quacunque velint summo cum imperio vagari ut liceat conceditur; in Verr. ii. 18.: neque enim permissum est, ut impune nobis liceat; de Off. iii. 4.: nobis autem nostra Academia magnam licentiam dat, ut quodcunque maxime probabile occurrat, id nostro jure liceat defendere. For other peculiarities of this kind see Heusinger on Nep. Milt. 1.: Delphos deliberatum missi sunt, qui consulerent Apollinem.

[§ 751.] 13. Videri in dependent sentences is often used in a singularly tautological manner, as Cic. p. Leg. Man. 10.: Restat, ut de imperatore ad id bellum deligendo ac tantis rebus praeficiendo dicendum esse videatur; ibid. 20.: Reliquum est, ut de Q. Catuli auctoritate et sententia dicendum esse videatur. In other cases too it is a favourite practice of Cicero to make a circumlocution of a simple verb by means of videri, which however is not to be considered as a pleonasm, but as a peculiarity of this writer, who likes to soften his expression by represent-

ing facts as matters of opinion, and consequently as subject to doubt; e.g. p. Leg. Man. 14.: Et quisquam dubitabit, quin hoc tantum bellum huic transmittendum sit, qui ad omnia nostrae memoriae bella conficienda divino quodam consilio natus esse videatur? another writer would, perhaps, have said simply qui divino consilio natus est. Cicero softens the strong and somewhat offensive expression by videri, and the "divine decree" by his peculiar quidam. We know from the author of the dialogue de Oratoribus (c. 1. and 23.), that Cicero's own contemporaries remarked upon the frequent use of his favourite conclusion esse videatur; but it was certainly not the subjunctive, at which they took offence, for it is the necessary result of the construction, but the use of videri in cases where there was no occasion for representing a fact as a mere matter of opinion. prefer, without hesitation, Cicero's views as to propriety of expression, to the judgment of later writers, who lived at a time when the language began to lose its natural elasticity of expression.

[§ 752.] 14. Respecting the circumlocution of facere ut, see § 619. A similar circumlocution by means of est ut is especially frequent in Terence, e. g. Phorm. ii. 1. 40.: Si est culpam ut Antipho in se admiserit, if it is the case that Antipho is in fault, equivalent to si Antipho culpam admisit; Horat: Epist. i. 12. 2.: non est ut copia major a Jove donari possit tibi. The same occurs in the following passages of Cicero, Orat. 59.: est autem ut id maxime deceat, non id solum; p. Coel. 20.: quando enim hoc factum non est? quando reprehensum? quando non permissum? quando denique fuit ut, quod licet, non liceret? i. e. quando non licuit, quod licet? de Off. ii. 8.: haec est una res prorsus ut non multum differat inter summos et mediocres viros, i. e. haec una res non multum differt. But est ut, instead of est cur, is of a different kind. See § 562.

The same circumlocution is also made, though very seldom, by means of est with the infinitive, e. g. Propert. i. 10. 13.: Ne sit tibi, Galle, montes semper adire, equivalent to ne adeas; Tibull. i. 6. 24.: At mihi si credas—non sit mihi oculis timuisse meis, that is, non timeam or non timebo. Also in Sallust, Jug. 110. 3.: Fuerit mihi eguisse aliquando amicitiae tuae, i. e. eguerim, may I have been in want of your friendship.

[§ 753.] 15. Coepi with the infinitive is very often nothing

else than a descriptive circumlocution of the verbum finitum, though always implying temporary duration, e. g. Cic. in Verr. iv. 29.: Rex maximo conventu Syracusis, in foro, flens atque deos hominesque obtestans, clamare coepit, candelabrum factum e gemmis—id sibi C. Verrem abstulisse; in Verr. ii. 22.: Primo negligere et contemnere coepit, quod causa prorsus, quod dubitari posset, nihil habebat, that is, negligebat et contemnebat aliquamdiu. Similar passages are of frequent occurrence. Incipere is more rarely used in this way, as in Verr. ii. 17.: cogere incipit eos, ut absentem Heraclium condemnarent, it took place, but not till after some delay; iv. 66.: retinere incipit, he did his part in retaining. Comp. § 500. note 1.

[§ 754.] 16. Another kind of pleonasm in Latin is the use of two negatives instead of an affirmative; in English this does not occur, except where a negative adjective, as unlearned, unskilful, unfrequent, acquires an affirmative meaning by the addition of the negative "not," as not unlearned, &c. In Latin this use extends much further, for not only does non before a negative word, as nemo, nullus, nihil, nunquam, nusquam, nescio, ignoro, render this word affirmative, but also the negative coniunction neque obtains the affirmative sense of et, by means of a negative word following in the same proposition, e. g. neque haec non evenerunt, and this took place indeed; neque tamen ea non pia et probanda fuerunt, and yet this was right and laudable; Cic. de Fin. iv. 22.: Nec hoc ille non vidit, sed verborum magnificentia est et gloria delectatus; de Nat. Deor. ii. 33.: Nec vero non omni supplicio digni P. Claudius, L. Junius consules, qui contra auspicia navigarunt. The sentence preceding is: auspicia ad opinionem vulgi retinentur; Nep. Att. 13.: Nemo Attico minus fuit aedificator: neque tamen non imprimis bene habitavit. As to ne non for ut, see § 535. in fin.

Note. Two negatives however do not mutually destroy each other in the case of non being followed by ne—quidem; e.g. non fugio ne hos quidem mores; non praetermittendum videtur ne illud quidem genus pecuniae conciliatae, in Cicero, in Verr.; further, when the negative leading proposition has subordinate subdivisions with neque—neque, neve—neve, in which case these negative particles are equivalent to aut—aut. Thus we very frequently find, e.g. Cic. ad Att. xiv. 20.: nemo unquam, neque poita, neque orator fuit, qui quemquam meliorem quam se arbitraretur; ad Att. ix. 12.: non medius fidius prae lacrimis possum reliqua nec cogitare, nec scribere; de Leg. ii. 27.: eam ne quis nobis minuat neve vivus neve mortuus. Respecting ne non, we may add that after vide (see § 534.) it must be rendered in English by "whether;" e.g.

Cic. de Divin. ii. 13.: multa istiusmodi dicuntur in scholis, sed credere omnia vide ne non sit necesse, but consider, whether it is necessary to believe it all; ii. 4.: vide igitur ne nulla sit divinatio, therefore consider, whether divinatio exists at all.

There are some few passages where two negatives in the same proposition do not destroy each other, but strengthen the negation. In Greek this is a common practice; but in Latin it can be regarded only as a rare exception, apparently derived from the language of common life. See my remark on Cic. in Verr. ii. 24. in fin.

It must be observed, however, that the use of non before a negative word does not merely restore the affirmative sense, but generally heightens it. The meaning depends upon the whole tenor of the speech, but usually it is merely a formal softening of the expression, e. g. homo non indoctus, instead of homo sane doctus; especially with superlatives, non imperitissimus, not the most inexperienced, that is, a very experienced man. In like manner non semel is equivalent to saepius, non ignoro, non nescio, non sum nescius to "I know very well;" non possum non to necesse est, e. g. Cic. ad Att. viii. 2.: non potui non dare litteras ad Caesarem, quum ille prior ad me scripsisset; de Fin. iii. 8.: Qui mortem in malis ponit, non potest eam non timere; ad Fam. iv. 7.: Nemo potest non eum maxime laudare, qui cum spe vincendi simul abjicit certandi etiam cupiditatem.

[§ 755.] 17. The words nemo, nullus, nihil, nunquam have a different sense, according as the non is placed before or after them.

```
non nemo, some one ... nemo non, every one (subst.).
non nulli, some ... nullus non, every (adject.).
non nihil, something ... nihil'non, everything.
nonnunquam, sometimes ... nunquam non, at all times.
```

So nusquam non, everywhere; but nonnusquam is not in use, alicubi being used instead of it. Non—nisi acquires the meaning of "only" (see the examples in § 801.), and modo non and tantum non that of "almost." See above, § 729.

[§ 756.] 18. Et seems to be pleonastically used after multi, when another adjective follows, for in English the adjective many is put, like numerals, before other adjectives without the copulative "and." In Latin, however, we frequently find; e. g. multae et magnae res, multa et varia negotia, multi being used like other adjectives, and et also supplying the place of et is, introducing a more accurate description (see § 699); e. g. Cic.

in Rull. ii. 2.: versantur enim in animo meo multae et graves cogitationes, quae mihi nullam partem neque diurnae neque nocturnae quietis impertiunt.

The conjunction vero is used pleonastically in the apodosis to indicate that it contains an answer, see above § 716. At is similarly used to express opposition, especially after si and its compounds; e. g. Terent. Eunuch. v. 2. 25.: Si ego digna hac contumelia sum maxime, at tu indignus qui faceres tamen; Liv. x. 19.: Bellona, si hodie nobis victoriam duis, ast ego templum tibi voveo. Also after quoniam, as Liv. i. 28.: Quoniam tuum insanabile ingenium est, at tu tuo supplicio doce, humanum genus ea sancta credere, quae a te violata sunt.

[§ 757.] 19. A kind of pleonasm, which however partakes of the nature of an anacoluthon, and is therefore beyond our limits, consists in the repetition of a conjunction, when a sentence has grown too long, or has been interrupted by parenthetical clauses. This is the case most frequently with si and ut; e.g. Terent. Phorm. i. 3. init.: Adeon' rem redisse, ut, qui mihi optime consultum velit, patrem ut extimescam, where Ruhnken's note is to be compared; Cic. in Verr. v. 11.: ut quivis, quum aspexisset, non se praetoris convivium, sed ut Cannensem pugnam nequitiae videre arbitraretur; Liv. iii. 19.: si quis vobis humillimus homo de plebe—si quis ex his; Cic. de Divin. i. 57.: Quid est igitur, cur quum domus sit omnium una, eaque communis, quumque animi hominum semper fuerint futurique sint, cur ii, quid ex quoque eveniat, et quid quamque rem significet, perspicere non possint? An interrupted construction here may be taken up again by the particles mentioned above, § 739.

CHAP. LXXXVI.

ELLIPSIS.

[§ 758.] 1. ELLIPSIS is the omission of one or more words which are necessary for the completeness of a construction, or at least appear necessary to us who are not Romans, inasmuch as we are inclined to consider the complete expression of a thought, where no word is wanting, as the regular and original one. But

it is manifest that grammar cannot notice all kinds of ellipsis, as a speaker or writer very often begins to express a thought, and after having used some words, drops it, being satisfied with having merely suggested it, as in Virgil, Aen. i. 139.: Quos ego! where we see from the connection what is to be supplied, "I will teach you how to conduct yourselves," or something of a similar kind. To explain the reasons of such arbitrary omissions made by the speaker for the sake of emphasis, and to illustrate the practice by examples, is the province of rhetoric, which considers it as a rhetorical figure, called aposiopesis. Grammar has to treat only of things which often recur, and are customary under particular circumstances, and grammatical omissions of this kind alone will be the subject of the following remarks.

[§ 759.] Note. The ellipses occurring in proverbs cannot be taken into consideration here, for it is the custom of all languages to indicate well-known sentences only by a few words, and to leave it to the hearer to supply the rest; e. g. fortes fortuna; scil. adjuvat; nec sibi, nec alteri, scil. prodest, in Cic. de Off. ii. 10. Of a similar kind is the expression in drinking the health of a person: bene te, scil. valere jubeo. Tibull. ii. 1. 31.; Ovid, Fast. ii. 637.

- [§ 760.] 2. Respecting the omission and addition of the personal pronouns when forming the subject of a sentence, see § 693. The indefinite homines (people), is also omitted, whence the expressions dicunt, tradunt, ferunt, putant, vocant, &c. (see § 381.), frequently with the addition of vulgo (commonly). The expression "so called" is, by means of the same ellipsis, rendered by quem, quam, quod or quos, quas, quae vocant or vocabant. (See § 714.)
- [§ 761.] 3. Proper names of persons are sometimes joined with the genitive of the father's name, the words filius or filia being omitted; e. g. Faustus Sullae, in Cic. p. Cluent. 34.; Caecilia Metelli, Cic. de Divin. i. 46,—but more especially in the case of foreign names, it being customary in Greek; e. g. Hannibal Gisgonis, Seleucus Antiochi, see Ruhnken on Vell. Pat. ii. 5. An omission more common in Latin than that of filia is that of uxor with the name of the husband; hence we not unfrequently find Terentia Ciceronis, Metella Crassi, Marcia Catonis, and Fabia Dolabellae, Domitia Passieni in Quintil. vi. 3. 73.; Apicata Sejani, Tacit. Ann. iv. 11.; Hectoris Andro-

ELLIPSIS.

UNIVERSITY 513

mache, Virg. Aen. iii. 319.; Elissa Sichaei, Ovid, Heroid. vii. 193.

[§ 762.] 4. Aedes or templum is frequently omitted, the name of the divinity alone being expressed in the genitive, but a preposition is always added; e.g. Liv. i. 41.: habitabat rex ad Jovis Statoris; Cic. ad Fam. xiv. 2.: Valerius mihi scripsit quemadmodum a Vestae ad tabulam Valeriam ducta esses; Philip. i. 7.: pecunia utinam ad Opis maneret!

[§ 763.] 5. Other particular ellipses are those of the words tempus, in the expressions ex quo, ex eo, and ex illo (since that time), and brevi (shortly); pars, with adjectives, as in English: tertia (a third), decuma (a tenth), quinquagesima (the fiftieth part), and in the plural partes (parts performed by an actor). with the adjectives primae and secundae; febris with the adjectives tertiana and quartana; aqua with frigida and calida; caro with the adjectives ferina, agnina, bubula, canina, porcina, &c., is very common; mare with altum; castra with hiberna, aestiva; praedium (an estate), with adjectives derived from the names of neighbouring towns, as in Pompejanum properabam, in Tusculano eram, ex Formiano scripsit; ordo in the expression in quattuordecim sedere; i. e. to sit on one of the fourteen rows of benches set apart for the equites; pecuniae in the name of the action of repetundae, i. e. of sums of money reclaimed,—and other expressions, which must be learned from the dictionary.

[§ 764.] 6. The ellipsis of causā with the genitive of the gerund (or Fut. Part. Pass.) is a decided imitation of a Greek idiom (viz. the use of the genitive of the declined infinitive, τοῦ φεύγειν, to express a purpose or object, the preposition ἕνεκα or ὑπέρ being omitted), which, especially in later times, was looked upon and sought for as an elegance, vide § 663.

But it is wrong to apply this ellipsis also to the immediate connection of a noun with the genitive of the gerund; for such expressions as, Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 22.: deliberandi sibi unum diem postulavit, "one day of (i. e. for) deliberation," or Quintil. iv. 1. in fin.: paulo longius exordium rei demonstrandae repetam, or Livy, ix. 45.: ut Marrucini, Peligni, &c. mitterent Romam oratores pacis petendae amicitiaeque, do not require the ellipsis of causa for their explanation. Nor must the dative (as is often done) be confounded with the genitive; for since the use of the dative as expressive of purpose is as agreeable to Latin Syntax as that of the genitive is foreign to it, it would be wrong to suppose the genitive where the text allows us to consider it as the dative. In conformity to this, there occurs no decisive passage of the ellipsis of causā (or that Greek use of the genitive of the

gerund) in Cicero, Nepos, or Livy, and it is not certain in Caesar, since in the passage, Bell. Gall. iv. 17.: si naves deficiendi operis essent a barbaris missae, and still more in others, the reading varies, and even here the genitive may perhaps depend on naves. But the ellipsis in question certainly occurs in Terence in one passage, probably a close imitation of the Greek original, Ad. ii. 4. 6.: vereor coram in os te laudare amplius, ne id assentandi magis quam quo habeam gratum facere existumes. Further, in Sallust, designedly, and with some affectation, in the speech of L. Philippus (Fragm. Hist. lib. i.) \$ 2. 4. and 7.: arma ille adversum divina et humana omnia cepit, non pro sua aut quorum simulat injuria, sed legum ac libertatis subvertendae; but nowhere else in this author. In Velleius, in one passage, ii. 20., opus erat partibus auctoritate, gratia : cujus augendae C. Marium cum filio ab exilio revocavit, unless we ought to read cui, which is more genuine Latin. But it occurs more frequently in Tacitus, Ann. iii. 9.: ab Narnia vitandae suspicionis, an quia pavidis consilia in incerto sunt, Nare ac mox Tiberi devectus; ibid. 27.: Secutae leges dissensione ordinum, et apiscendi illicitos honores, aut pellendi claros viros, aliaque ob prava per vim latae sunt; Ann. vi. 30.: quia pecuniam omittendae delationis ceperant; Ann. xiii. 11.: quas (orationes) Seneca testificando quam honesta praeciperet, vel jactandi ingenii, voce principis vulgabat; Hist. iv. 25.: tum e seditiosis unum vinciri jubet, magis usurpandi juris, quam quia unius culpa foret; ibid. 42.: accusationem subisse juvenis admodum nec depellendi periculi, sed in spem potentiae videbatur. But even in Tacitus the dative of the gerund occurs more frequently in this sense, and in the passages Ann. ii. 59. init., and iii. 41. in fin., this case might easily be restored. It is at all events clear that this use of the genitive, generally speaking, remained foreign to the Latin language.

[§ 765.] 7. The pronoun is, ea, id, is frequently omitted, when it stands in the same case as the corresponding relative; less frequently, though not very rarely, when the cases are different. It may also be observed that the relative part often precedes the leading part of the proposition, in which case is, in the same case, is put only when a certain emphasis is intended. Hence we often meet with such passages as e. g. Cic. Lael. 22.: maximum ornamentum amicitiae tollit (is), qui ex ea tollit verecundiam, i. c. "he bereaves friendship of its brightest ornament, who takes away from it mutual respect;" and very frequently with such as, terra quod accepit, (id) nunquam sine usura reddit. For the demonstrative pronoun is expressly added only when it is to be pronounced with emphasis; see above, § 744.

Note. Upon the whole, however, it is a favourite practice in Latin to omit the demonstratives, if they can be supplied from the relatives. We should therefore say, discipulum maxime probo, qualem te fore promisisti, for talem—qualem; quanta potuit celeritate cucurrit; and so very frequently with the same case of maximus, as e. g. Consul quantis maximis poterat itineribus ad collegam ducebat; dedit mihi quantum maximum potuit; i. e. "as much as he possibly could give." (See § 689.) Qualis is used by Livy with a still greater ellipsis, iii. 62.: proclium fuit, quale inter fidentes sibi ambo exercitus, i. e. tale quale esse debuit; xxii. 49.: equitum pedestre proclium, quale jam

ELLIPSIS. 515

haud dubiā hostium victoriā fuit. With relative adverbs the corresponding demonstrative adverb is omitted, as unde semel pecuniam sumpsisti, iterum sume, for inde iterum sume.

[§ 766.] 8. The pronoun is, ea, id, is likewise not expressed, if it would be required to be put in the same oblique case as the preceding noun to which it refers. Thus e. g. pater amat liberos et tamen castigat, i. e. "and nevertheless he chastises them." Sen. Epist. 79.: multos illustrat fortuna, dum vexat. The student may also remember that in the construction of the ablative absolute, those references to the subject of the proposition which we in English express by means of a preposition and an unemphatical pronoun, are not expressed in Latin, e. g. Caesar, Pompejo victo, in Asiam profectus est, i. e. "after Pompey had been vanquished by him," where in Latin ab eo is never added. Hence we usually render such ablatives absolute actively, thus, "after having vanquished Pompey."

§ 767.] 9. In those cases where we use "that," "those," instead of a repetition of the preceding substantive, the pronoun is is never used in Latin, and only later authors express this relation by ille. It is the rule, that the preceding substantive, if it can be conveniently omitted, is left to be supplied, and the pronoun, which would refer to it, is not expressed, thus e.g. Nep. Alc. 5.: quum Atheniensium opes senescere, contra Lacedaemoniorum crescere videret, for illas Lacedaemoniorum, "those of the Lacedaemonians;" Curt. ix. 26. (6.): Philippus in acie tutior, quam in theatro fuit: hostium manus saepe vitavit, suorum effugere non valuit. And thus we should say, not only in the nominative, fratris filius mihi placet, sororis displicet, but also in the dative, fratris filio magnam pecuniam, sororis nihil prorsus testamento legavit, and in the ablative, fratris filio multum, sororis longe minus utor. So also with prepositions, as e.g. Cic. in Verr. i. 30.: Flebat uterque, non de suo supplicio, sed pater de filii morte, de patris filius; iii. 38.: ut aratores in servorum numero essent, servi in publicanorum; iv. 20.: ut non conferam vitam neque existimationem tuam cum illius, i. e. "with those of that man." There are also instances where another substantive of a similar meaning is used, or the same is repeated, even with some harshness (e. g. Vell. Pat. ii. 128.: In hujus virtutum aestimatione jam pridem judicia civitatis cum judiciis principis certant), or a derivative adjective is made use of, as e. g. Terentii fabulas studiose lego, Plantinis minus delector. We also meet with passagewhere, instead of the derivative adjective, or of the genitive of the person depending on the omission of a substantive, the name of the person itself is put in the case which the verb governs, as e. g. Terentii fabulis magnopere delector, Plauto longe minus, or libros Platonis lego, non multum ab Aristotele dissidentes, instead of ab Aristotelis (libris) or ab Aristoteleis. Comp. Cic. de Orat. i. 4. § 15. and 44. § 197.: si cum Lycurgo et Dracone et Solone nostras leges conferre volueritis, and Heusinger on Cic. de Off. i. 22.

Note. Hic and ille, when the preceding substantive is understood, retain in Cicero their demonstrative signification, and therefore do not merely supply the place of the substantive which is omitted; e.g. Cic. p. Arch. 11.: Nullam enim virtutem aliam mercedem laborum periculorumque desiderat, praeter hanc (the one of which I am speaking) laudis et gloriae; Divin. 11.: Quum omnis arrogantia odiosa est, tum illa (into which I should fall) ingenii atque eloquentiae multo molestissima. But such cases form the precedent on which later writers actually use ille in the place of a preceding substantive.

[§ 768.] 10. The possessive pronouns are usually omitted, when they can be easily supplied from the subject, which is either a noun, or implied in the person of the verb. Thus patrem video, fratrem certe diligis, roga parentes, Cicero in libro de senectute Catonem loquentem induxit, without the pronouns meum, tuum, tuos, suo; they are added, only when emphasis or contrast is intended, where in English we might add "own" to the pronoun. Hence the Latins did not say animum suum adjecit, advertit, appulit ad aliquam rem, animos vestros attendite ad ea quae consequentur, but animum advertit, animos attendite alone, since, in the absence of a genitive or of the pronoun of another person, the reader or hearer would naturally understand no other person than that of the verb. The contrast, however, requires its addition in (Cic. in Rull. ii. 24.): ego non dicam, tamen id poteritis cum animis vestris cogitare, and the precision in juro ex animi mei sententia, jura ex animi tui sententia. But if, besides the person of the subject, that of a remote object also occurs in the proposition, the possessive pronoun will be supplied from this latter, e. g. patris animum mihi reconciliasti, i. e. patris mei animum rather than tui.

The possessive pronoun, as in English, is generally expressed only once, when it belongs to two substantives, even if they are of different genders, as amor tuus ac judicium de me; ingenium tuum ac doctrina.

[§ 769.] 11. The interrogative interjection Quid? which is of

act as inviernment

such frequent occurrence, may easily be explained by an ellipsis of ais, censes. It deserves to be noticed that this quid attracts, or draws near to itself, the case of the succeeding verb, e. g. Cic. de Off. ii. 7.: Quid? Alexandrum Pheraeum quo animo vixisse arbitramur? p. Muren. 15.: Quid? illam pugnam navalem ad Tenedum mediocri certamine commissam arbitraris? in Pis. 36.: Quid? illam armorum officinam ecquid recordaris? and further, that in the phrase quid censes (censemus, censetis)? when another clause depends on it, quid often serves merely to introduce the interrogation, e. g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 17.: Quid censes hunc ipsum Roscium, quo studio esse in rusticis rebus? equivalent to Quo studio censes Roscium esse? de Off. ii. 7.: Quid censemus superiorem Dionysium, quo cruciatu timoris angi solitum, the same as Quo cruciatu censemus Dionysium angi solitum esse? Quid vero? Quid igitur? Quid ergo? Quid enim? are like-

Quid vero? Quid igitur? Quid ergo? Quid enim? are likewise easily explained by an ellipsis of censes or censetis. They are always followed by another question which may be united with that elliptical interrogation into one proposition. But of a different kind are Quid postea? Quid tum? Supply sequitur, i. e. "what follows then (or from this)?" and Quid ita? "How so?" "Why?" which may be explained by an ellipsis of the preceding verb; thus, e. g. Cic.: Accusatis Sex. Roscium. Quid ita? Quia de manibus vestris effugit.

In the interrogative transition Quid quod, which is so frequent in the Latin writers, dicam de eo is omitted, hence properly "what shall I say about this, that, &c.;" but it may be rendered in various ways, as, e. g. by "nay," "nay even," "but now," "moreover." Thus, e. g. Cic.: Quid quod salus sociorum summum in periculum vocatur? Quid quod sapientissimus quisque animo aequissimo moritur?

Quid multa? quid plura? ne multa; ne multis; ne plura, are used with the ellipsis of dicam; we may perhaps also supply verba and verbis, unless we consider the neuter to be used substantively. Similar expressions are quid quaeris? quid vultis amplius? We also find the infinitive dicere omitted; Cic. Brut. 92.: Nimis multa videor de me; ad Fam. v. 21.: Multa alia coram brevi tempore licebit.

[§ 770.] 12. Pertinere, "to concern," "to have reference to," is omitted in such expressions as nihil ad me; recte an secus, nihil ad nos; aut si ad nos, nihil ad hoc tempus, in Cic. in Pis.

28.; nihil ad rem; or interrogatively quid hoc ad Epicurum? "what does this concern Epicurus?" what does he care about it? Quorsus haec? for quorsus haec pertinent? "what is that for?" "what does this refer to?"

In the elliptical expression quo mihi hanc rem? "what use is this to me?" and unde mihi aliquam rem? "whence am I to get any thing?" parabo may be supplied; e.g. Cic. ad Fam. vii. 23.: Martis vero signum quo mihi, pacis auctori? Horat. Epist. i. 5. 12.: quo mihi fortunam, si non conceditur uti? Sen. de Tranq. 9.: quo mihi bibliothecas? Horat. Serm. ii. 5. 102.: Unde mihi tam fortem atque fidelem? ii. 7. 116.: unde mihi lapidem? In the indignant question, in Cic. Philip. i. 10.: Quas tu mihi intercessiones, quas religiones? supply profers or dicis.

A similar expression is quid mihi cum hac re? "what have I to do with this?" "what does this concern me?" e. g. Cic. pro Quint. 17.: Quid mihi, inquit, cum ista summa sanctimonia ac diligentia? viderint ista officia viri boni, de me autem sic considerent, &c.

[§ 771.] 13. A tense of facere is omitted in short propositions containing an opinion on a person's actions, e. g. Cicero: Recte ille, melius hi; Bene Chrysippus, qui docet; de Off. iii. 27.: At stulte (Regulus) qui non modo non censuerit captivos remittendos, verum etiam dissuaserit. Quomodo stulte? p. Milon. 14.: Nihil per vim unquam Clodius, omnia per vim Milo; de Off. i. 11.: ne quid tale post hac (scil. faciat.). Also in the phrase finem facere, Cic. de Nat. Deor. iii. 40.: Quae quum dixisset, Cotta finem; de Fin. iv. 1.: Quae quum dixisset, finem ille. This ellipsis deserves especially to be noticed in the phrases nihil aliud quam, quid aliud quam, nihil praeterquam, which in sense are equivalent to "merely," e. g. Nep. Ages. 2.: Tissaphernes nihil aliud quam bellum comparavit; Liv. xxxiv. 46.: Per biduum nihil aliud quam steterunt parati ad pugnandum; Sucton. Caes. 20.: ut, quoad potestate abiret, domo abditus nihil aliud quam per edicta obnuntiaret; Aug. 83.: mox nihil aliud quam vectabatur et deambulabat; Flor. iii. 23.: Nam quum jure belli Sulla dictator proscripsisset inimicos, qui supererant, revocante Lepido, quid aliud quam ad bellum vocabantur? Liv. iv. 3.: rogationes quibus quid aliud quam admonemus, cives nos corum esse? Liv. iii. 26.: et illa quidem nocte nihil praeterquam vigilatum est in urbe. In like manner the verb is omitted with nihil amplius

quam, nihil minus quam, and its place is supplied by the one following, as Sueton. Domit. 3.: quotidie sibi secretum horarium sumere solebat, nec quidquam amplius quam muscas captare; Liv. xxvi. 20.: nihil minus quam verebatur, ne obstaret gloriae suae, he was far from fearing, &c. We observe a similar ellipsis in the phrase si nihil aliud, e. g. Curt. iv. 28.: vincam tamen silentium, et, si nihil aliud, certe gemitu interpellabo, "if nothing else," i. e. "if I cannot do any thing else." See Drakenborch on Liv. xxx. 35.

Note. The elliptical use of nihil aliud quam does not occur in Cicero. (See § 735.) But nihil aliud nisi, nothing else than, occurs in Cicero, as p. Arch. 4.: si nihil aliud nisi de civitate ac lege dicimus, nihil dico amplius; but a real ellipsis of facere cannot be supposed to exist here, since there is a direct connection with the verb following; p. Leg. Man. 22.: ut nihil aliud nisi de hoste ac de laude cogitet.

[§ 772.] 14. Ait or inquit, which serves to introduce the direct words of another person, is sometimes omitted, e. g. Phaedr. v. 5. 37.: turpemque aperto pignore errorem probans: En, hic declarat, quales sitis judices; but more frequently in relating a connected conversation, in this manner, Tum ille; hic ego; huic ego.

Respecting the omission of the verb "to say" in indirectly quoting some one's words, and the supplying it from some preceding verb, see above, § 620. Even without another verb preceding, dicit is sometimes omitted in quoting a person's words, e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. ii. 14.: Scite enim Chrysippus: ut gladii causa vaginam, sic praeter mundum cetera omnia aliorum causa esse generata.

The omission of the idea implied in "I will tell you," or "let me tell you" in the apodosis, and commonly after a protasis with ne, is of a different nature; e. g. Cic. p. Arch. 1.: Ac ne quis a nobis hoc ita dici forte miretur—(let me tell you) ne nos quidem huic uni studio penitus unquam dediti fuimus; in Verr. ii. 73.: Ac ne forte omnia ita condita fuisse videantur: quae consilio aliquo aut ratione inveniri potuerunt, inventa sunt, judices.

[§ 773.] 15. In adjurations the verb oro (or rogo, precor, &c.) is frequently omitted after the preposition per (by), which makes the accusative of the person adjured appear to depend on the preposition. Curt. iv. 55. (14.): Per ego vos deos patrios, vindicate ab ultimo dedecore nomen gentemque Persarum. The

construction is this, per deos patrios vos oro, vindicate. Gronov., in the 7th vol. of Drakenborch's Livy, p. 187. (on Liv. xxix. 18. 9.) has collected numerous examples of this kind. Comp. § 794.

In the wish Di meliora! either the verb dent is omitted, or velint, as we find at full length in Ovid, Metam. vii. 37.: Di meliora velint; Juven. vii. 207.: Di, majorum umbris tenuem et sine pondere terram!— supply date.

[§ 774.] 16. As occasionally in English, so often in Latin a verb is put once only instead of twice, being left to be supplied the second time, e. g. in Cic. de Leg. iii. 13.: ut enim cupiditatibus principum et vitiis infici solet tota civitas, sic emendari et corrigi continentiā; and even when the persons are different, as, e. g. magis ego te amo quam tu me, supply amas. From a preceding negative verb the corresponding positive verb is sometimes left to be supplied, as e. g. from nego, dico; from veto, jubeo; in which case the copulative et obtains the signification of the adversative sed; e. g. Cic. ad Att. vii. 15.: plerique negant, Caesarem in condicione mansurum, postulataque haec ab eo interposita esse, quo minus quod opus esset ad bellum a nobis pararetur, which we should in English express either by "most people say that — not — and that, &c.," or by using two verbs. Comp. de Leq. ii. 27. § 67. Further, in a relative clause an infinitive is left to be supplied from the tempus finitum of the main proposition, as e. g. quos voluit omnes interfecit "he caused all whom he wished to be killed;" rogat Rubrium, ut, quos commodum ei sit, invitet; non facile irascetur judex cui tu velis, viz. eum irasci; and even in cases where an infinitive future is to be supplied, e. g. Cic. de Off. ii. 22.: ne illam quidem consequantur, quam putant, gratiam, i. e. quam se consecuturos putant. An ellipsis of the infinitive takes place also in indirect discourse after relatives, where the verb, if it were repeated, would have to be put in the subjunctive; and it deserves to be noticed that the subject of the omitted infinitive is put in the accusative, e. g. Cic. in Verr. iii. 92.: (Verres) ajebat se tantidem aestimasse, quanti Sacerdotem for quanti Sacerdos aestimasset, p. Lig. 1.: confitetur se in ea parte fuisse, qua te, qua virum omni laude dignum patrem tuum; de Fin. iv. 20.: Zeno negat, Platonem, si sapiens non sit, eadem esse in causa, qua tyrannum Dionysium; Liv. viii. 14.: Cumanos Sucssulanosque ejusdem juris condicionisque, cujus Capuam, esse placuit, i. e. cujus Capua esset. See § 603. The same attraction to the case of the leading proposition, which is general in the construction of the accus. with the infinit., sometimes also occurs with the participle, as Nep. Hann. 5.: Hannibal Minucium, magistrum equitum, pari ac dictatorem dolo productum in proelium, fugavit; Liv. xxxiv. 32 .: nam et Messenen uno et eodem jure foederis, quo et Lacedaemonem, in amicitiam nostram receptam, sociam nobis urbem, vi atque armis cepisti; - and in the construction of the ablative absol., Liv. iv. 39.: Quibus poterat sauciis ductis secum; i. 29.: raptim quibus quisque poterat elatis. Other kinds of attraction of the relative pronoun are of Greek origin, and very rarely used, as Luccejus in Cic. ad Fam. v. 14.: quum aliquid agas eorum, quorum consuesti; Horat. Serm. i. 6. 15.: judice, quo nosti, populo, where Bentley has collected some similar passages from Gellius, but Terent. Heaut. i. 1. 35.: hoc quidem causa, qua dixi tibi, is of a different kind, as the ellipsis me scire velle is to be supplied from the preceding scire hoc vis?

Note. A peculiar construction of quam qui with the superlative is explained by the omission of the verb; Cicero, ad Fam. xiii. 3.: tam mihi gratum id erit, quam quod gratissimum; ibid. v. 2.: tam enim sum amicus reipublicae, quam qui maxime; pro Sull. 31.: tam sum misericors, judices, quam vos, tam mitis, quam qui lenissimus. So also ut qui, without a preceding tam; e. g. Cic. ad Fam. xiii. 62.: Te semper sic colam et tuebor, ut quam diligentissime; Quintil. iii. 8. 12.: deliberatio affectus, ut quae maxime, postulat.

[§ 775.] 17. Zeugma (ζεθγμα, called by some syllepsis) is that form of expression in which a verb which grammatically belongs to two or more nouns is, as to its meaning, applicable only to one; so that to the other noun or nouns another verb, sometimes of a quite different meaning, must be supplied. This mode of expression is not unfrequent in the poets, and in those prose writers who are fond of deviating from the common mode of speaking; e.g. Tac. Ann. ii. 20.: Germanicus, quod arduum, sibi, cetera legatis permisit, where from permisit another verb, perhaps sumpsit, must be supplied with sibi; ibid. iii. 12.: si legatus officii terminos, obsequium erga Imperatorem exuit, where to terminos we may supply excessit. Sallust, Jug. 46.: In Jugurtha tantus dolus tantaque peritia locorum et militiae erat, uti, pacem an bellum gerens perniciosior esset, in incerto haberetur. Pacem gerere is not said, but pacem agere; on the other hand, bellum gerere is common. But even in Cicero we find similar expressions; p. Mil. 1.: illa arma, centuriones, cohortes non periculum nobis,

sed praesidium denuntiant, where the verb denuntiare, "to threaten," is applicable only to periculum, and for praesidium we must supply promittunt.

[§ 776.] 18. The auxiliary verb esse is frequently omitted with the infinitives formed by means of it. This is so common with the infin. perf. pass., depending on oportet, that it may be regarded as the ordinary usage, as in Terence: adolescenti morem gestum oportuit; ancillas non oportuit relictas; Cicero: quod jam pridem factum oportuit; totam rem Lucullo integram servatam oportuit; signum ablatum non oportuit, &c. Comp. § 611. As regards the other forms, it is only the third persons of the present, est and sunt, which, in the tenses of the passive, are omitted; though not so frequently in Cicero as in later prose writers. Cicero, however, especially in his philosophical writings, often omits est and sunt as the predicate verb with adjectives; e. g. de Off. i. 12.: Poeni foedifragi, crudelis Hannibal: reliqui justiores; and in proverbial phrases this is almost the general practice: summum jus summa injuria, in Cic. de Off. i. 10.; omnia praeclara rara, Lacl. 21.; jucundi acti labores, de Fin. ii. 32.; quot homines tot sententiae, suus cuique mos, in Terence, Phorm. ii. 4. 14.

Note. In speaking of the passive forms, it deserves to be noticed that est is most frequently omitted with the fut. part. pass.; Cic. Cat. Maj. 11.: Habenda ratio valetudinis, utendum modicis exercitationibus; but it is done only in short sentences, and when rhetorical emphasis is aimed at.

[§ 777.] 19. Ut (as) in interposed clauses, such as ut opinor, ut puto, ut censeo, ut credo, is not unfrequently omitted, as is the case also in English. It must be observed that credo, used in this manner, very often takes an ironical meaning, like our "I should think;" e. g. Cic. de Fin. i. 3.: male, credo, mererer de meis civibus, si ad eorum cognitionem divina illa ingenia transferrem. The other verbs, however, are much more frequently used as leading verbs followed by the accus. with the infin.; hence it is not advisable to say, e. g. nondum domi erat, ut sciebam; nondum Romam venisti, ut puto, but rather nondum eum domi esse sciebam; nondum Romam te advenisse puto: this construction is especially common with relatives; e. g. libri, quos putabam mihi surreptos esse, reperti sunt.

[\S 778.] 20. A preposition is sometimes pleonastically put with two nouns joined by et or aut; respecting this, see \S 744.

foll. On the other hand, an ellipsis of a preposition takes place when it is omitted with the first of two nouns, and put with the second only. This is, however, not often the case, and only in the poets; e.g. Horat. Carm. iii. 25.: Quae nemora, aut quos agor in specus, for in quae nemora aut in quos specus agor, comp. Bentley's note to this passage; Epist. ii. 1. 25.: foedera regum vel Gabiis vel cum rigidis aequata Sabinis. Another ellipsis of a preposition with the relative pronoun, together with the ellipsis of the verb which preceded with the demonstrative, is of more frequent occurrence; e.g. Cic. ad Att. viii. 15.: in eadem opinione fui, qua reliqui omnes, properly in qua reliqui omnes fuerunt; p. Rosc. Am. 44.: In quem hoc dicam, quaeris, Eruci? Non in eum, quem vis et putas, for in quem vis et putas me dicere; Tusc. i. 46.: si opinamur eos, quibus orbati sumus, esse cum aliquo sensu in iis malis, quibus vulgo opinantur, i. e. in quibus eos esse vulgo homines opinantur. Quintil. vi. 1. 16.: si percussus sit ab eo, quo minime oportuit. See § 774., and comp. Heindorf on Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 12.

[§ 779.] 21. In the phrase tantum abest ut, followed by another clause with ut, an adverb, such as potius (rather), contra (on the contrary), seems to be omitted with the second ut. This potius, however, is never added, and contra but rarely; for the second clause with ut, another construction with vix or etiam is sometimes used; e. g. Cic. Orat. 29.: in quo tantum abest, ut nostra miremur, ut usque eo difficiles ac morosi simus, ut nobis non satisfaciat ipse Demosthenes; Tusc. v. 2.: ac philosophia quidem tantum abest, ut proinde ac de hominum vita est merita laudetur, ut a plerisque neglecta a multis etiam vituperetur; Brut. 80.: tantum abfuit, ut inflammares nostros animos: somnum vix tenebamus.

[§ 780.] 22. The conjunction si in the protasis is often omitted in Latin, as is sometimes done in English with "if;" in this case it is doubtful whether the clause should not be considered as a question, it being pronounced with the tone of a question; e.g. Cic. in Rull. ii. 25.: Libet agros emi. Primum quaero quos agros? et quibus in locis? you will buy lands, or will you buy lands? i. e. if you will buy lands, I will first ask, &c.; Juven. iii. 100.: Rides, majore cachinno concutitur: flet, si lacrimas conspexit amici, nec dolet; Horat. Serm. ii. 6. 50.: Frigidus a rostris manat per compita rumor: quicunque obvius est

me consulit; Cic. in Rull. ii. 15.: Commodum erit Pergamum—totam denique Asiam populi Romani factam esse dicere: utrum oratio ad ejus rei disputationem deerit, an impelli non poterit ut falsum judicet? The future perfect is particularly frequent in these sentences, as Cic. in Verr. iii. 2.: Furem aliquem aut rapacem accusaris; vitanda tibi semper erit omnis avaritiae suspicio. Maleficum quempiam adduxeris aut crudelem: cavendum erit semper, ne qua in re asperior aut inhumanior fuisse videare; Hor. Serm. ii. 3. 292.: casus medicusve levarit aegrum ex praecipiti, mater delira necabit, "should chance or the physician have saved him," i. e. "if chance, &c., has saved him, the mother will destroy him;" Terent. Phorm. i. 2. 35.: Unum cognoris, omnes noris, "if you know one, you know all." Also with the imperf. and pluperf. subjunctive, in supposing a case which is known not to be a real one; in Verr. iii. 97.: negaret hac aestimatione se usum: vos id homini credidisse videremini, for si negaret; de Off. iii. 19.: Dares hanc vim M. Crasso, ut digitorum percussione heres posset scriptus esse, qui re vera non esset heres: in foro, mihi crede, saltaret; Plin. Epist. i. 12.: Dedisses huic animo par corpus, fecisset quod optabat, for si dedisses.

[§ 781.] 23. The conjunctions vero, autem, are frequently omitted in adversative clauses, especially in short ones, the opposition being indicated by the position of the members of the proposition; e.g. Cic. in Rull. ii. 8.: Non defuit consilium: fides erga plebem Rom. defuit; Liv. xxii. 51.: vincere scit Hannibal, victoria uti nescit; Senec. Epist. 88.: ego, quid futurum sit, nescio, quid fieri possit scio; Plin. Epist. iii. 20.: multi famam, conscientiam pauci verentur; Cic. ad Att. ix. 10.: Sulla potuit, ego non potero? de Fin. v. 32.: An Scythes Anacharsis potuit pro nihilo pecuniam ducere, nostrates philosophi non facere poterunt? "and should our philosophers not do it?" in Cat. i. 1.: An vero vir amplissimus P. Scipio Ti. Gracchum mediocriter labefactantem statum rei publicae privatus interfecit: Catilinam, orbem terrae caede atque incendiis vastare cupientem, nos consules perferemus? And it occurs in this manner frequently, in describing a progress from smaller to greater things. We must add the remark that non in a second negative member of a proposition, e.g. aliena vitia videt, sua non videt, is thus used without the conjunction et or vero; Cic. Cat. Maj. 11.: Tantum cibi et potionis adhibendum, ut reficiantur vires, non opprimantur; Tusc.

iii. 18.: A gravibus et antiquis philosophis medicina petenda est, non ab his voluptariis. But et (ac) non must be used in unreal suppositions, or ironical sentences, where the second member contains the truth. Here we may supply "rather," or "as is really the case" (see above, § 334.); Cic. de Off. i. 2.: si sibi consentiat, et non interdum naturae bonitate vincatur; in Rull. ii. 26.: usus est hoc verbo, exhauriendam esse plebem, quasi de aliqua sentina, ac non de optimorum civium genere loqueretur; p. Rosc. Am. 33.: Quasi nunc id agatur—ac non hoc quaeratur. Potius is actually used in Cic. de Orat. i. 22.: Quando enim me ista curasse aut cogitasse arbitramini, et non semper irrisisse potius eorum hominum impudentiam; in Cat. ii. 6.: quis denique ita adspexit ut perditum civem ac non potius ut importunissimum hostem, which is equivalent to quasi perditus esset civis, ac non importunissimus hostis.

[§ 782.] 24. The conjunction is likewise omitted when two single words as comprehending the whole idea are opposed to each other; e.g. velim nolim, "whether I would or not;" maxima minima, "the greatest as well as the least;" prima postrema, "from the first to the last;" dignos indignos adire, "both those who do and those who do not deserve it;" ire redire, "to go to and fro." Thus Cic. in Verr. iv. 54.: Aedificiis omnibus publicis privatis, sacris profanis sic pepercit; Sall. Cat. 11.: Nam gloriam, honorem, imperium bonus ignavus aeque sibi exoptant, at which passage Corte quotes several similar ones.

[§ 783.] 25. Et is very frequently omitted in mentioning the names of two colleagues; e. g. Consules declarati sunt Cn. Pompejus, M. Crassus; quo anno Cn. Pompejus, M. Crassus consules fuerunt; Cn. Pompejo, M. Crasso consulibus; and so also Cic. in Verr. i. 41.: ab A. Postumio, Q. Fulvio censoribus; ibid. 14.: P. Lentulo, L. Triario quaestoribus urbanis. But sometimes also when the names of two persons stand in another relation to each other; e. g. Cic. Brut. 74.: Mitto L. Laelium, P. Scipionem; de Off. ii. 17.: dicebat idem Cotta, Curio; ibid. ii. 19.: commendare judicibus, magistratibus. Respecting another customary omission of the conjunction in certain formulae, see § 740. In other combinations et is seldom omitted with two words, in the oratorical style; e. g. Cic. in Verr. i. 48.: aderant amici, propinqui; ii. 24.: inimicus, hostis esses; iii. 55.: dejectos fortunis omnibus, expulsos. See my note on Cic. in Verr. ii. 78., § 192

Also with verbs; e.g. Cic. Divin. 4.: Adsunt, queruntur Siculi universi; p. Liq. 4.: Italiā prohibetur, exulat. If three or more substantives are joined, it is usual, in good prose, either to omit the conjunction in all cases, or to insert it between each; thus either amicitiam summa fide, constantia, justitia servavit, or summa fide et constantia et justitia; Cic. p. Muren. 8. may serve as an example for both cases: qui non modo Curiis, Catonibus, Pompejis, antiquis illis, sed his recentibus Mariis et Didiis et Coeliis commemorandis jacebant. This is also the more common practice with adjectives and verbs. Hence it is not proper to conclude an enumeration of several persons or things with et alii, et ceteri, et cetera, but rather without et, thus, alii, ceteri, reliqui, reliqua. We must, however, observe that though et, ac, and atque are not used alone in the third or fourth place, yet the enclitic que very frequently occurs in this position; as e.g. Cic. p. Muren. 1.: precor—ut ea res volis populoque Romano pacem, tranquillitatem, otium concordiamque afferat; and afterwards idem ego sum precatus, ut ea res fauste, feliciter prospereque eveniret, and ut ab hujus honore; fama fortunisque omnibus inimicorum impetus propulsare possim, and in a great many other passages.

[§ 784.] 26. We may likewise suppose an ellipsis of the conjunction et, when two protases introduced by si are joined together; where we say "if—and if," or "if—and," omitting the second "if." Examples of this kind are common. Comp. Cic. de Off. iii. 9.: Haec est vis hujus anuli et hujus exempli: Si nemo sciturus, nemo ne suspicaturus quidem sit, cum aliquid injuste feceris, si id diis hominibusque futurum sit semper ignotum, sisne facturus. An ellipsis of the conjunction ut is supposed when ne precedes, and the mere et is used to continue the sentence; e. g. Curt. viii. 50.: monere coepit Porum, ne ultima experiri perseveraret, dederetque se victori; Nep. Eum. 6.: Olympias ab Eumene petiit, ne pateretur Philippi domus et familiae inimicissimos stirpem quoque interimere, ferretque opem liberis Alexandri, and a little before huic ille primum suasit ne se moveret et expectaret, where the copulative conjunctions et, atque, que obtain the meaning of the adversative sed. Comp. § 774.

[§ 785.] 27. We may here mention in conclusion that a praenomen which belongs to two persons in common is only put once in the plural, before the other names, e. g. Cic. in Rull. ii.

the control of the other

5.: Tib. et Gajus Gracchi; Cat. Maj. 9.: mihi Gnaeus et Publius Scipiones - fortunati videbantur; Liv. i. 42.: Servius duas filias juvenibus regiis, Lucio atque Arunti Tarquiniis, jungit. Also when two names belong to two persons in common, as Cic. in Verr. i. 39.: cum Q. et Cn. Postumis Curtiis; Brut. 25.: orationes L. et C. Aureliorum Orestarum. Such persons are usually brothers, and the word fratres is added, Cic. Brut. 69.: Eodem tempore C. et L. Caepasii fratres fuerunt. The singular is not so frequent, but still occurs in Cic. p. Rab. perd. 7.: Cn. et L. Domitius; Liv. vi. 22.: Sp. et L. Papirius; Sueton. Caes. 80.: Marcoque et D. Bruto, which is attested by MSS. The same is done with other substantives; Vell. Pat. ii. 67.: legio Martia et quarta; Brutus in Cic. ad Fam. xi. 19.: quum putarem quartam et Martiam legiones mecum futuras; Liv. x. 18.: cum legionibus secunda et tertia; xxvi. 10.: circa portas Collinam Esquilinamque, and inter Esquilinam Collinamque portam.

Note. A praenomen belonging to two persons should, according to Gronovius (on Liv. iv. 48.), precede the other names, and be put in the plural, as is there edited by Drakenborch: Turbatores vulgi erant Spurii Maecilius quartum et Metilius tertium tribuni plebis; so also x. 1.: Marcis Livio Dentre et Aemilio consulibus; x. 40.: equitibus Gajos Caedicium et Trebonium praefecit. Others have doubted this, because the same praenomen is very frequently repeated, and the question cannot be decided by means of MSS. But the plural of the praenomen, joined with duo, is well attested; Sueton. Aug. 100.: Obiit in cubiculo eodem, quo pater Octavius, duobus Sextis Pompejo et Appulejo consulibus; Liv. v. 24.: duos Publios Cornelios, Cossum et Sci-

pionem.

CHAP. LXXXVII.

ARRANGEMENT OF WORDS, AND STRUCTURE OF PERIODS.

[§ 786.] 1. When we arrange the words according to the logical connection of ideas, the first place next to the conjunction or connecting relative is taken by the subject; next comes the verb with its adverb; then the cases of the nearer or remoter object; and last the remaining additions of prepositions with their cases. The adjective closely adheres to the substantive

which it qualifies. This is commonly called the grammatical order of construction which is strictly followed in most modern languages. But the Latin language may place any one of the four principal parts first, and has, besides, great freedom in the arrangement of the rest: the adverb may be separated from the verb, and the adjective from the substantive, being placed either before or after, or even removed to some distance. Hence oratorical effect may be produced independent of accent, by the position of words, and the language affords great facility for the poetical numerus. In prose, however, the following general principle is observed: words which are necessary for the complete expression of a thought are put together, and should not be separated by the introduction of ideas not connected with the main thought.

[§ 787.] 2. But as we do not always speak emphatically, and as in ordinary discourse we naturally choose the simplest expression for our thoughts, a certain arrangement has become established in good Latin prose, especially in historical narrative; and this arrangement (which is not departed from without a special reason) is this: the subject is placed first; then follow the oblique cases, with all other unemphatic additions, and last of all the verb. For in the construction of a Latin sentence we should avoid, what is so common in modern languages, the introduction of a train of subordinate and additional matter, after the expression of the principal ideas: a Latin sentence is compact, being enclosed by the subject on the one hand, and by the principal verb of the predicate on the other; e. g. Caes. Bell. Gall. i. 9.: Dumnorix gratia et largitione apud Sequanos plurimum poterat.

[§ 788.] Note. The verb, however, is often not placed at the end of a sentence, when either this is too long for the hearer to be kept in expectation of it, or when too many verbs would come together at the end. We should therefore not say, e. g. se incolumem esse non posse demonstrat, but rather se demonstrat incolumem esse non posse. But without either of these reasons the verb is placed earlier in the sentence in easy and familiar style, for the verb at the end of a sentence, for the purpose of closing it, is more suited to the oratorical and historical style, and in general shows meditation and design. Comp. a passage in a letter of Cicero to Luccejus (v. 12.), which is written with great care, but purposely with the ease and frankness of a man of the world: genus enim scriptorum tuorum, etsi erat semper a me vehementer expectatum, tumen vicit opinionem neam, meque ita vel cepit vel incendit, ut cuperem quam celerrime res nostras monumentis commendari tuis. In a narrative it would be expressed thus: genus enim scriptorum Lucceji, etsi semper ab eo

. To software the people

rehementer expectatum erat, tamen opinionem ejus ita vicit, ut quam celerrime res suas illius monumentis illustrari cuperet. The verb is placed at the very beginning of a proposition, even where no oratorical emphasis is aimed at in explanatory clauses, in which case a conjunction is generally added; e. g. amicum aegrotantem visere volebam: habitat autem ille in parte urbis remotissima.

[§ 789.] 3. With this rule respecting the arrangement of words in ordinary statements of facts, we must connect another, that in sentences containing the expression of emotion or an independent judgment, the pathetic word is put at the beginning or the most significant at the end. The pathetic word is that whose emphasis characterises it as especially affecting the feelings or as forming a contrast. Innumerable instances show that it is placed first, e. g. Cicero: Cito arescit lacrima, praesertim in alienis malis; Sua vitia insipientes et suam culpam in senectutem conferent; A malis mors abducit, non a bonis, verum si quaerimus; Insignia virtutis multi etiam sine virtute assecuti sunt. The other words of the proposition then follow in the usual order. If there be no pathetic word requiring prominence, or if the sentence with the verb being placed first, is explanatory of the preceding one, the place at the end of the proposition is reserved for the significant word, that is, the word which is most strongly to be impressed upon our understanding or memory. This is especially frequent in Caesar, e.g. Gallia est omnis divisa in partes tres; i. 6.: quod ante id tempus acciderat nunquam; i. 7.: quod aliud iter haberent nullum, - but also in other authors, and especially in the didactic style of Cicero, as de Off. i. 2.: Sequemur igitur hoc quidem tempore et in hac quaestione potissimum Stoicos; i. 8.: Expetuntur autem divitiae quum ad usus vitae necessarios, tum ad perfruendas voluptates; de Leg. i. 32.: quae virtus ex providendo est appellata prudentia.

Note. We have adopted the terms pathetic and significant from the work of Gehlius, Ratio ordinationis verborum, Hamburg, 1746, 4to. Compare especially the rules laid down by Quintilian, ix. 4.26. foll.: Verbo sensum claudere multo, si compositio patiatur, optimum est. In verbis enim sermonis vis. — Saepe tamen est vehemens aliquis sensus in verbo, quod, si in media parte sententiae latet, transire intentionem et obscurari circumjacentibus solet, in clausula positum assignatur auditori et infigitur; quale illud est Ciceronis (Philip. ii. 25.): Ut tibi necesse esset in conspectu populi Romani vomere postridie. Transfer hoc ultimum, minus valebit. Nam totius ductūs hic est quasi mucro, ut per se foeda vomendi necessitas, jam nihil ultra expectantibus, hanc quoque adjiceret deformitatem, ut cibus teneri non posset postridie. But we should not deviate from the common form of a proposition without a special reason: he who aims

at too much emphasis falls into affectation, the most unpleasant fault in composition.

[§ 790.] 4. What is common to several objects either precedes or follows them, but is not put with one exclusively; hence we say, e. g. in scriptoribus legendis et imitandis or in legendis imitandisque scriptoribus, not in legendis scriptoribus et imitandis; further, hostes victoriae non omen modo, sed etiam gratulationem praeceperant; quum respondere neque vellet neque posset; habentur et dicuntur tyranni; amicitiam nec usu nec ratione habent cognitam; philosophia Graecis et litteris et doctoribus percipi potest, and the like.

Note. In this point, too, the familiar style differs from the oratorical. In the former, words are very often subjoined, with a certain appearance of negligence, which, in a more strict arrangement, would have been introduced earlier, and more closely united with the rest; e.g. the last sentence might have stood thus, philosophia et litteris Graecis percipi potest et doctoribus.

[§ 791.] 5. It is commonly laid down as a general rule that the dependent cases, and therefore especially the genitive, precede the governing nouns. This rule, however, may easily lead to mistakes, for it is arbitrary, and all depends on the idea which is to be expressed. Fratris tui mors acerbissima mihi fuit and mors fratris tui are both equally correct, according as the idea of the person or his death is to be more strongly impressed on the mind: mors fratris tui contrasts the death with the preceding life; and fratris tui mors describes this case of death as distinct from others that may occur. Hence we say, e. g. animi motus, animi morbus, corporis partes, terrae motus in this order, since the general term receives its specific meaning only from the genitive. A genitive, however, which expresses an objective relation (see § 423.), usually follows the noun on which it depends. Thus we read in Cicero, pro Leg. Man. 3.: quod is, qui uno die, tota Asia, tot in civitatibus, uno nuntio atque una significatione litterarum cives Romanos necandos trucidandosque denotavit, a notice "by letters," not una litterarum significatione; so in Verr. i. 40.: offensionem negligentiae vitare, an "offence by my negligence." When several genitives are dependent on one noun (compare § 423.), the subjective genitive commonly precedes, and the objective genitive may either precede or follow the governing noun; e. g. Cic. in Caec. 6.: cur eorum spem exiguam reliquarum fortunarum vi extorquere co-

the suid be material in

naris? in Verr. i. 13.: cognoscite hominis principium magistratuum gerendorum; p. Mur. 4.: hominis amplissimi causam tanti periculi repudiare; de Re Publ. i. 28.: Atheniensium populi potestatem omnium rerum, &c.; Cic. Brut. 44.: Scaevolae dicendi elegantiam satis cognitam habemus; de Fin. i. 5.: quod ista Platonis, Aristotelis, Theophrasti orationis ornamenta neglexit Epicurus; because dicendi elegantia, orationis ornamenta in this order express the idea which is to be set forth.

[§ 792.] Note. The genitive dependent on causā or gratiā, "on account of," always precedes these ablatives; gloriae causa mortem obire, emolumenti sui gratia aliquid hominibus detrahere. Exceptions are very rare in Cicero (Lael. 16.: multa facimus causā amicorum); more common in Livy.

[§ 793.] 6. The Adjective likewise may be placed before or after its substantive; it is before its substantive when it is declarative of an essential difference of that substantive from others; it is placed after, when it merely expresses an accessory or incidental quality. The natural accent will, in most cases, be a sufficient guide. Pliny calls his work Libri Naturalis Historiae, the idea of nature appearing to him of greater importance in characterizing the work, than that of history; Theodosianus Codex is in the same way distinguished from other codices. It must be observed that a monosyllabic substantive almost invariably precedes a longer adjective, e. g. Di immortales, rex potentissimus et nobilissimus; especially with res: res innumerabiles, res incertissimae, res dissimillimae; if the position were inverted, the impression would be unpleasant, and the shorter word would be lost. Other qualifying words (besides adjectives) which belong to the idea of the noun, especially genitives and prepositions with their cases, are usually placed between the substantive and the adjective; e.g. amicitia usque ad extremum vitae diem permansit; tuorum erga me meritorum memoria. Such words as respublica, jusjurandum, which are combinations of a substantive and an adjective rather than compound words, are separated only by particles. Other words which do not belong to the substantive and adjective may be placed between them only for the sake of a special emphasis which lies either on the substantive or on the adjective; e.g. magnum animo cepi dolorem; ut cuperem quam celerrime res nostras monumentis commendari tuis.

[§ 794.] Note 1. Other words may be introduced between a preposition and the case governed by it: this, however, is usually the case only with

genitives or adverbs which are closely connected with the following noun or participle; e.g. Cicero: inter hostium tela; propter Hispanorum, apud quos consul fuerat, injurias; ad bene beateque vivendum. Conjunctions also, in the connection of clauses, are so interposed; e.g. post vero Sullae victoriam; praeter enim tres disciplinas. Other words very rarely and only in certain combinations; e.g. Cic. Brut. 12.: in bella gerentibus, which expression has, in a certain measure, become one word; ibid. 22.: in suum cuique tribuendo. We mention this in order to caution the student against saying: e.g. ad praesidiis firmanda moenia; in mihi invisum locum, or even ex a te laudato loco, the proper order being this, ad moenia praesidiis firmanda, in locum mihi invisum, ex loco a te laudato; or ad firmanda praesidiis moenia, in invisum mihi locum, ex laudato a te loco.

It deserves to be noticed that the preposition per "by," in adjurations, is usually separated from its case by the accusative of the person adjured; e.g. Terent. Andr. v. 1. 5.: per ego te deos oro, and with the omission of oro, Cic. p. Planc. 42.: Nolite, judices, per vos fortunas vestras, inimicis meis dare lateitiam; Sall. Jug. 14: Patres conscripti, per vos liberos atque parentes,

subvenite misero mihi. Comp. § 773.

[§ 795.] Note 2. The variation in the arrangement of words by the poets properly consists in too great and ungrammatical a separation of the adjective from its substantive; and, generally speaking, in putting together words from different parts of a proposition. We may illustrate this by an example; Cicero (Philip. v. 10.) says bella civilia opinione plerumque et fama gubernantur. He intended to conclude thus, opinione plerumque gubernantur, but added (according to our remark in § 790.) et fama. This is very natural, and plerumque is an unemphatical word, which must be somewhere inserted. The arrangement still remains prosaic, if we say bella gubernantur civilia fama plerumque et opinione, for civilia follows soon enough after bella. But if we insert one word more, we have an entirely poetical diction, and by substituting another word for civilia, a complete verse, Bella gubernantur plerumque domestica fama. And it would likewise be poetical to say bella fama et opinione civilia gubernantur, still more so civilia fama et opinione bella gubernantur, and entirely lyric civilia fama et plerumque bella opinione gubernantur, but all these and similar arrangements of words occur in the poets; and we might easily prove this by quotations, and analyse the different forms, were it not our object here only to show the point at which poetical licence commences.

[§ 796.] 7. Names of honours or dignities, and everything of the nature of a title, are commonly placed after the proper name, as merely serving as explanatory additions. Thus especially the names of changeable Roman dignities, e. g. Cicero Consul, Proconsul, Imperator, C. Curioni Tribuno plebis; and the like. But also permanent appellations, e. g. Ennius poëta, Plato philosophus, Zeno Stoicus, Dionysius tyrannus, and such epithets as vir honestissimus, vir fortissimus, vir clarissimus, homo doctissimus. Cic. Lael. 1.: Q. Mucius Augur, multa narrare de C. Laelio, socero suo, memoriter et jucunde solebat; Tusc. i. 43.: Cyrenaeum Theodorum, philosophum non ignobilem, nonne mi-

ramur? cui quum Lysimachus rex.crucem minaretur, Istis, quaeso, inquit, ista horribilia minitare, purpuratis tuis: Theodori quidem nihil interest, humine an sublime putrescat. But it must be observed that the hereditary title rex is frequently placed before the name; e.g. rex Dejotarus; and this also applies to the Roman title Imperator, from the time that it became permanent, in contra-distinction to the ancient usage.

- [§ 797.] Note. In the use of the Roman proper names, the name of the gens commonly precedes the name of the familia (i.e. the nomen precedes the cognomen), which may here be considered as an apposition; e.g. Q. Fabius Maximus Cunctator, P. Cornelius Scipio Africanus, M. Tullius Cicero. In the imperial times, however, when the ancient gentes had become extinct, and lost their importance, we usually find the name of the familia or even the agnomen of the individual placed first, and the name of the gens, if mentioned at all, following as something subordinate.
- [§ 798.] 8. Words expressing contrasted ideas are commonly placed by the side of each other, e. g. alius alium vituperat, alius aliunde venit, manus manum lavat, cuneus cuneum trudit, vir virum legit; so also the possessive and personal pronouns, e. g. mea mihi conscientia pluris est quam omnium sermo; sequere quo tua te natura ducit; suum se negotium agere dicunt.
- [§ 799.] 9. Non, when it belongs to a single word of the proposition, always stands immediately before it; e. g. non te reprehendo, sed fortunam, i. e. "I blame not thee, but fortune." But if the negative belongs to the proposition generally, and not to any specific word, non stands before the verb, and more particularly before the verbum finitum, if an infinitive depends on it; e. g. cur tantopere te angas, intelligere sane non possum. Instead of non dico, nego is generally used; negavit eum adesse, "he said he was not there," not "he denied," &c. Respecting vetare see § 774.

Note. We may further observe that the negatives non, neque, nemo, nullus, joined to general negative pronouns or adverbs, such as quisquam, ullus, unquam, always precede them, though not always immediately; thus we must say; e.g. nemini quidquam negavit, not quidquam nemini negavit; non memini me unquam te vidisse, not unquam me vidisse te non memini. See § 709.

[§ 800.] 10. In many phrases custom has established a certain order, which must therefore be attended to in reading the authors. This is especially the case with many judicial and political expressions, e. g. civis Romanus, populus Romanus, jus civile, aes alienum, terra marique, Pontifex maximus, magister

equitum, tribunus militum, tribuni militum consulari potestate, Juppiter optimus maximus, via Appia, via Flaminia, &c.

It more properly belongs to grammar to observe that the ablatives opinione, spe, justo, solito (see § 484. extr.), generally precede the comparative; quisque, if joined with sui, sibi, se or suus, always follows these pronouns, e.g. sibi quisque maxime favet; pro se quisque laborabat; suum cuique pulchrum videtur; sua cuique dextra ultionem tot malorum pariet; vigiles relicta sua quisque statione fugiunt. But in relative clauses quisque joins itself closely to the relative (see § 710.), in which case se or suus follows, e.g. Cic. de Off. i. 31.: maxime decet, quod est cujusque maxime suum; expendere debet quid quisque habeat sui.

[§ soi.] Quidem is attracted by the pronoun (see § 278.), and is therefore often separated from the word to which it properly belongs, in order to be joined to a neighbouring pronoun, e.g. Cic. de Off. iii. in fin.: tibique persuade, esse te quidem mihi carissimum, sed multo fore cariorem, si, &c., instead of te carissimum quidem mihi esse. And as the custom of joining quidem to a pronoun had become established, the personal pronoun, although contained in the verb, is expressly added (see § 801.:) Cic. ad Fam. ix. 13.: Quod dicturus sum, puto equidem non valde ad rem pertinere, sed tamen nihil obest dicere; ad Quint. Frat. ii. 16.: Timebam Oceanum, timebam litus insulae (Britanniae). Reliqua non equidem contemno, sed plus tamen habent spei quam timoris; de Fat. 2.: Oratorias exercitationes non tu quidem, ut spero, reliquisti, sed certe philosophiam illis anteposuisti, instead of the simple reliquisti quidem.

Ne—quidem are always separated, the word on which the emphasis rests being placed between them, e. g. ne patrem quidem venerabatur, "he did not reverence even his father." Prepositions and conjunctions which belong to the word on which the emphasis rests are placed with it between ne and quidem; e. g. Cicero: ne in fanis quidem; ne si dubitetur quidem; ne quum in Sicilia quidem fuit; ne si extra judicium quidem esset; even ne cujus rei argueretur quidem, in Cic. p. Caec. 25.: ne quum esset factum quidem, p. Mur. 17. Hence compound expressions which form one idea, such as res publica, go together, as Cic. de Off. i. 24:: ne re publica quidem postulante. In like manner non nisi (only) are separated (not indeed in all authors, but in Cicero almost without exception) by some intervening

word or words, in such a way however that either non or nisi may precede; e.g. Cic. Lael. 5.: sed hoc primum sentio, nisi in bonis amicitiam esse non posse; ibid. 8.: quae (caritas internatos et parentes) dirimi nisi detestabili scelere non potest; the negative may also be contained in a verb; e.g. ibid. c. 5.: negant enim quemquam virum bonum esse nisi sapientem.

Mihi crede (mihi credite) and crede mihi, in the sense of profecto, are both used detached from the rest of the construction; the former especially if the emphasis rests on the pronoun, i. e. "believe me," implying "who know it better."

[§ 802.] 11. Inquit (says he, or said he) is used only after one or more of the words quoted, or, still better, after a short clause; e. g. Liv. i. 58.: Sex. Tarquinius—stricto gladio ad dormientem Lucretiam venit, sinistraque manu mulieris pectore oppresso, Tace, Lucretia, inquit, Sex. Tarquinius sum; ii. 10.: Tum Cocles, Tiberine pater, inquit, te sancte precor, haec arma et hunc militem propitio flumine accipias. Ita sic armatus in Tiberim desiluit. When a nominative is added to inquit, it usually follows this verb, as Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 7.: Mihi vero, inquit Cotta, videtur. (For exceptions, see Heindorf on this passage.) Ait is either placed before the words quoted, or, like inquit, between them (see § 219.); dicit and dixit are used in this way only by the poets.

[§ 803.] 12. Thus much respecting the arrangement of words in single propositions. We now add some remarks on the connection of sentences. It may be laid down as a general rule for good Latin style, that no proposition should be unconnected with another, and that the propositions and periods should, as it were, form links of a chain which breaks off only at last when the series of the thoughts themselves comes to its close. At least, no proposition should stand detached without a special reason.

Relative pronouns, adjectives, and adverbs are particularly useful for effecting this connection of propositions, and are therefore very frequently employed to avoid the monotonous connection by means of et or autem, and sometimes also of certain other conjunctions, such as nam (for). Every relative may be used for the demonstrative with et; qui for et is, qualis for et talis, quo for et eo, &c. They are therefore also found before those conjunctions which admit of a connection by means of et

or autem; e.g. quod quum audivissem, quod si fecissem, quod quamvis non ignorassem, for et quum hoc, et si hoc, et quamvis hoc, or quum autem hoc, &c.; often, also, where in English no conjunction is used; e.g. Cic. Cat. Maj. 6.: Quam palmam utinam di immortales tibi reservent! Further, even before other relatives, quod qui facit, eum ego impium judico, i. e. et qui hoc facit, or qui autem hoc facit; contra quem qui exercitus duxerunt, iis senatus singulares honores decrevit; p. Leg. Man. 15.:. a Cn. Pompejo omnium rerum egregiarum exempla sumuntur, qui quo die a vobis maritimo bello praepositus est, tanta repente vilitas annonae consecuta est, for nam quo die is. The connection by means of the relative pronoun in the-ablative, with comparatives, deserves especial attention; e.g. Cato quo nemo tum erat prudentior; liberi quibus nihil mihi potest esse jucundius; i. e. "Cato, who was more prudent than all others;" "my children, who delight me more than anything else."

[§ 804.] In propositions consisting of two members, the relative pronoun is grammatically joined sometimes to the leading proposition or the apodosis, and sometimes to the secondary clause or the protasis; the former is the case, e. g. in Cic. Cat. Maj. 5.: qui (Gorgias) quum ex eo quaereretur, cur tam diu vellet esse in vita, Nihil habeo, inquit, quod accusem senectutem; Philip. ii. 7 .: Hoc ne P. quidem Clodius dixit unquam, quem, quia jure ei fui inimicus, doleo a te omnibus vitiis esse superatum; — but the latter is much more frequent; e.g. Cic. de Off. ii. 25.: a quo quum quaereretur, quid maxime expediret, respondit. In this case the nominative of the demonstrative is supplied with the apodosis from another case of the relative in the protasis, as in the passage just quoted, and in p. Planc. 7.: In hortos me M. Flacci contuli, cui quum omnis metus, publicatio bonorum, exilium, mors proponeretur, haec perpeti maluit, quam custodiam mei capitis dimittere. But a demonstrative may also be used with emphasis, as Cic. ad Fam. v. 16.: Saepissime legi, nihil mali esse in morte, in qua si resideat sensus, immortalitas illa potius quam mors dicenda sit. In the other cases the demonstrative, for the sake of clearness, is not merely understood, but expressed; e.g. de Fin. ii. 1.: qui mos quum a posterioribus non esset retentus, Arcesilas eum revocavit; de Nat. Deor. i. 5.: Multa sunt probabilia, quae quamquam non percipiantur, tamen - iis sapientis vita regitur. Without a demonstrative the sen-

Placed to the last the second

tence becomes harsh, e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. iii. 14.: Heraclitum non omnes interpretantur uno modo, qui quoniam intelligi noluit, omittamus, instead of eum omittamus; Liv. xxx. 30.: Agimus ii, qui quodcunque egerimus, ratum (id) civitates nostrae habiturae sint. These examples, however, show that the accusative is sometimes left to be supplied by the mind. When the demonstrative precedes, and is followed by a proposition consisting of two members, the relative attaches itself to the secondary clause, which is placed first, and not to the leading proposition or the apodosis; e.g. Cic. in Verr. v. 38.: Eone pirata penetravit, quo simulatque adisset, magnam partem urbis a tergo relinqueret? ad Fam. vi. 6.: Ea suasi Pompejo, quibus ille si paruisset, Caesar tantas opes, quantas nunc habet, non haberet; in Verr. i. 14.: Mihi venit in mentem illud dicere, quod apud Glabrionem quum commemorassem, intellexi vehementer populum Rom. commoveri; Nep. Att. 4.: noli, oro te, inquit Pomponius, adversus eos me velle ducere, cum quibus ne contra te arma ferrem, Italiam reliqui. (See Bremi's note on this passage.)

[§ 805.] Note 1. The English practice of connecting a clause, which is introduced by a relative, to the preceding clause by the additional conjunction "however" (e. g. who, however,) is not admissible in Latin. Thus, e. g. "he promised me many things, which, however, he did not perform" (the latter part being equivalent to "but he did not perform them") cannot be expressed in Latin by multa mihi promisit, quae autem (vero) non praestitit, but by sed (verum) ea non praestitit, or, the relative implying the adversative conjunction, quae non praestitit. Qui autem and qui vero, however, may be used in protases where the relative retains its relative meaning, and a demonstrative in the apodosis corresponds to the relative preceding, e. g. Talium juvenum consuetudine utere; qui vero petulantes sint, eos procul a te remove; Cic. Cat. Maj. 2.: Qui autem omnia bona a se ipsis petunt, iis nihil malum videri potest, quod naturae necessitas afferat.

[§ 806.] Note 2. In double relative clauses, Cicero not unfrequently abandons the relative construction in the second member, and makes use of the demonstrative; e.g. Orat. 2.: Sed ipsius in mente insidebat species pulchritudinis eximia quaedam, quam intuens in eaque (for et in qua) defixus ad illius similitudinem manus et artem dirigebat; Brut. 74.: Omnes tum fere, qui nuc extra hanc urbem vixerant nec eos (for nec quos) aliqua barbaries domestica infuscaverat, recte loquebantur. Comp. de Fin. ii. 2.: Finem definiebas id esse, quo omnia referrentur, neque id ipsum usquam referretur, for et quod ipsum nusquam, &c.; comp. de Off. ii. 5. in fin.; de Orat. ii. 74. § 299. And sometimes even where the cases are the same, as e.g. Cic. Tusc. v. 3.: quem Phliuntem venisse ferunt, eumque cum Leonte disseruisse quaedam, where et alone

would have been sufficient.

[§ 807.] From this tendency to connect sentences by relatives arose the use of quod before certain conjunctions,

merely as a copulative. We may express this quod by "nay," "now," or "and." It is most frequent before the conditional particle si, and its compounds nisi and etsi; e. g. Cic. in Verr. i. 14.: Quodsi illinc inanis profugisses, tamen ista tua fuga nefaria, proditio consulis tui conscelerata judicaretur; i. e. "and even if you had fled without taking anything with you, &c.;" de Nat. Deor. i. 18.: Quodsi omnium animantium formam vincit hominis figura, eā figurā profecto est, quae pulcherrima sit omnium, "If then, &c.;" and this use of quodsi is especially intended to introduce something assumed as true from which further inferences may be drawn. It is, moreover, also equivalent to "although;" comp. Cic. p. Mur. 2., which passage is too long to be inserted here. Quodnisi; e. g. in Cic. in Verr. ii. 66.: Quodnisi Metellus hoc tam graviter egisset atque illam rem imperio edictoque prohibuisset, vestigium statuarum istius in Sicilia non esset relictum; i. e. "if then—not;" ib. ii. 26.: Quodnisi ego meo adventu illius conatus aliquantulum repressissem—tam multos testes huc evocare non potuissem. Quodetsi; e. g. Cic. de Fin. iv. 4.: Quodetsi ingeniis magnis praediti quidam dicendi copiam sine ratione consequentur, ars tamen est dux certior, nay, even if, &c. But quod is found also, though more rarely, before other conjunctions, as quodquum, quodubi, quodquia, quodquoniam, quodne, quodutinam, where the conjunction alone would have been sufficient, though quod is intended to effect a closer connection of the sentences; e. g. Cic. de Off. iii. 31.: Criminabatur etiam (L. Manlius), quod Titum filium, qui postea est Torquatus appellatus, ab hominibus relegasset et ruri habitare jussisset. Quodquum audivisset adolescens filius, negotium exhiberi patri, accurrisse Romam et cum prima luce Pomponii domum venisse dicitur. So also de Off. ii. 8.: quodquum perspicuum sit, benivolentiae vim esse magnam, metūs imbecillam, sequitur ut disseramus, &c.; in Verr. i. 26 : Quodubi ille intellexit, id agi atque id parari, ut filiae suae vis afferretur, servos suos ad se vocat. Comp. in Verr. iv. 66.; de Orat. ii. 49.; de Fin. i. 20.: Quodquia nullo modo sine amicitia firmam et perpetuam jucunditatem vitae tenere possumus, neque vero ipsam amicitiam tueri, nisi aeque amicos et nosmet ipsos diligamus: idcirco et hoc ipsum efficitur in amicitia, et amicitia cum voluptate connectitur; iii. 18.: quodquoniam (sapiens) nunquam fallitur in judicando, erit in mediis rebus officium; Acad. ii. 25.: Quodne id facere posses, ideirco heri non necessario loco contra sensus tam multa dixeram. Comp. Hottinger on Cic. de Divin. ii. 62.; Cic. ad Fam. xiv. 4.: Quodutinam minus vitae cupidi fuissemus, certe nihil in vita mali vidissemus, where the note of Manutius may be compared. Even before the relative pron. we find quod thus used in Cic. Philip. x. 4. in fin.: Quodqui ab illo abducit exercitum, et respectum pulcherrimum et praesidium firmissimum adimit reipublicae.

[§ 808.] 13. Another peculiarity, which at the same time facilitates in Latin the connection of propositions, is the use of the conjunctions neque and nec. They stand for et, and at the same time contain the negation, in whatever form it occurs in the proposition (except when it belongs to one particular word, as e. g. in an antithesis). For examples see § 738. The Latin language is so partial to this kind of connection that, for the sake of it, neque or nec is added to enim and vero where in English we could not use "and," and we therefore explain it by saying that neque is used for non. In neque tamen too, the copulative is to us superfluous, although the Latins appear to have considered it as essential to the connection of the propositions. Examples are very numerous. Non vero, non tamen, are very rarely used for this purpose, and are therefore not deserving of imitation; non enim, however, is common. To these negative expressions the Latins often join (comp. § 754.) a second negative, in which case neque enim non is equivalent to nam; non vero non to atque etiam, a stronger et; nec tamen non to attamen; e. g. Cic. p. Mil. 32.: Neque vero non eadem ira deorum hanc ejus satellitibus injecit amentiam, ut sine imaginibus, sine cantu atque ludis, sine exequiis—ambureretur abjectus; i. e. "and, in truth, the same anger, &c.;" ad Fam. vi. 1.: nec enim is, qui in te adhuc injustior fuit, non magna signa dedit animi erga te mitigati; i. e. "for he gave signs;" v. 12.: neque tamen, quum haec scribebam, eram nescius; i. e. "and yet I knew;" de Orat. ii. 85.: neque tamen illa non ornant, habiti honores, decreta virtutis praemia, &c.; i. e. "and yet these things also, &c." Comp. § 334.

Note. The use of namque for nam (see § 345.) may likewise be considered as an instance of this redundance of the copulative.

[§ 809.] 14. Upon the signification, the use, and the position of the several conjunctions we have treated at large in

Logic Calif - Digitizani bu Mineganii ili

Chap. LXVII. It may here be observed in addition that it is a favourite practice in Latin to make antitheses and to indicate them by placing conjunctions in opposition to each other, as et—et, aut—aut, neque—neque, neque—et, et—neque, see § 338.; further, etsi—tamen, quum—tum, non magis—quam, non modo—sed etiam, and the like. But compare also § 781. foll. respecting the omission of copulative and adversative conjunctions.

[§ 810.] 15. In a rhetorical point of view there are three kinds of propositions, viz. commata, cola, and periodi. Compare on this point Cic. Orat. 66., and Quintil. ix. 4. 122. foll. A comma (κόμμα, incisum) is an absolute or independent simple proposition; e.g. Bene res se habet. Aliud videamus. A colon (κῶλον, membrum) is likewise a simple proposition, but which by its form shows its relation to another proposition; e.g. quum bene res se habeat. A period is a proposition which is enlarged by a combination of *commata* and *cola*, and is at the same time absolute or complete in itself (i. e. it begins and ends in itself). It therefore requires at least two propositions, which are united into a whole either as precedent and consequent clauses (protasis and apodosis), or by the insertion of the one into the other; e.g. quum bene res se habeat, aliud videamus (but not in an inverted order), or Nunc igitur, quoniam res bene se habet, aliud videamus. But according to the views of the ancients, it is not necessary that a complete proposition should be inserted. The enlargement of a proposition, which is required to form a period, may be effected by the insertion of parts of propositions, which contain only the elements of entire propositions, as in the passage of Cicero: Hominem foedum, perditum, desperatum pluris quam te et quam fortunas tuas aestimasti. And such a period is called a simple one (μονόκωλος). The following period on the other hand consists of two parts: Quem, quaeso, nostrum fefellit, ita vos esse facturos? The period is the blossom of a finished style; it is generally employed in even and progressive descriptions, and the highest perfection of style is displayed in its variety and easy development. But as not all thoughts are so complex as to admit of an enlargement of the principal by subordinate propositions, or by a combination of protasis and apodosis, periods should be intermixed with commata and cola. In Latin style interrogative and exclamatory forms of expression are, among others, particularly calculated to produce the desirable variety.

Note. We have been obliged above to abandon the common definitions given by the ancients of commata and cola, for they do not explain the real nature of the propositions. The correct definition of a colon is given by the rhetorician Alexander in Ernesti's Technolog. Graec. Rhet. p. 258.: κῶλον έστὶ περιόδου μέρος ὁ λέγεται μὲν καθ' αὐτὸ, ἀντικείμενον δὲ πληροῖ περίοδον. The term period should not be confined exclusively to such propositions as are enlarged by the insertion of another proposition. Scheller, for instance, in his Praecepta stili, considers such a proposition as Quemadmodum concordia res parvae crescunt, ita discordia etiam maximae dilabuntur not to be a period: we, however, do consider it a period, since by the very beginning, quemadmodum, we are made to expect the subsequent ita, and the course of the proposition is fixed; not, however, in an inverted position of the two members. In like manner the above-mentioned period Quum bene res se habeat, aliud videamus, would lose its periodic character, if the two members were inverted; for it would merely represent two propositions in juxtaposition, not united either by their form or otherwise into a coherent whole.

[§ 811.] 16. Where, however, we have subordinate propositions introduced by conjunctions (excepting the copulative conjunctions), it is certainly preferable to form a period by inserting them; for, as has already been observed above, the placing of circumstances after the thought or idea, which they are intended to introduce, is contrary to the common practice of the Latin language. As in the construction of a simple proposition minor additions or circumstances are put between the subject and the verb, and especially as the verb closes the whole, so propositions which contain secondary circumstances are in Latin thrown into the middle of the period. A proposition, such as Scipio exercitum in Africam trajecit ut Hannibalem ex Italia deduceret, is not periodic in its structure, but it becomes so when we say Scipio, ut Hannibalem ex Italia deduceret, exercitum in Africam trajecit. Thus propositions like the following, where the subordinate member precedes with two conjunctions, Quum igitur Romam venisset, statim imperatorem adiit, are made still more strictly periodic by placing the conjunction which belongs to the whole first, and then inserting the subordinate proposition, Itaque, quum Romam venisset, statim imperatorem adiit. And this must especially be recommended in shorter propositions, though we do not mean to say that quum igitur, quum autem, quum vero, quum enim are incorrect, or that nam quum, sed quum, &c., are of themselves preferable.

[§ 812.] It is therefore particularly necessary to see whether in two propositions connected by a conjunction, the subject is the same; for in this case it is the almost invariable practice in

Latin to form them into one periodic proposition; e.g. Nep. de Reg. 3.: Antigonus, quum adversus Seleucum Lysimachumque dimicaret, in proelio occisus est; Cic. in Verr. i. 10.: Verres, simulac tetigit provinciam, statim Messana litteras dedit; Tusc. v. 18.: Stultitia, etsi adepta est, quod concupivit, nunquam se tamen satis consecutam putat. Hence the Latins are rather fond of expressing a complex thought in such a manner, that the subject remains the same; for a period with two subjects, the one in the principal, the other in the subordinate proposition, is less easily to be surveyed or followed by the mind. Instead of, Antimachus, quum eum omnes praeter Platonem deservissent, Nihilominus, inquit, legam, it would accordingly be better to say Antimachus, quum ab omnibus desertus esset, Nihilominus, inquit, legam. The same praetice is observed when the object is the same in both propositions; e. g. Nep. Alcib. 10.: quem, ut barbari incendium effugisse eminus viderunt, telis missis interfecerunt. In such constructions, it cannot be said whether the nominative or the oblique case, which stands first, belongs to the leading or the dependent proposition.

the leading or the dependent proposition.

When the object of the leading proposition is the subject of the dependent proposition, it is likewise placed first, and the nominative supplied in the dependent proposition from the oblique case which has preceded; e. g. Cic. de Off. iii. 31.:

L. Manlio, quum dictator fuisset, M. Pomponius, tribunus plebis, diem dixit, quod is paucos sibi dies ad dictaturam gerendam addidisset; and both united, p. Leg. Man. 12.: Idem Cretensibus, quum ad eum usque in Pamphyliam legatos deprecatoresque misissent, spem deditionis non ademit.

[§ 813.] 17. Relative propositions of every kind are very frequently employed in constructing a period, being especially adapted to form inserted clauses. If emphasis is required, the relative proposition is generally placed before the demonstrative pronoun or adverb; e. g. Cic. de Nat. Deor. i. 42.: Quid? ii qui dixerunt, totam de diis immortalibus opinionem fictam esse ab hominibus sapientibus reip. causa, ut, quos ratio non posset, eos ad officium religio duceret, nonne omnem religionem funditus sustulerunt? de Off. ii. 12.: Socrates hanc viam ad gloriam proximam dicebat esse, si quis id ageret, ut, qualis haberi vellet, talis esset; in Verr. ii. 2.: Itaque ad omnes res Sicilia semper usi sumus, ut, quidquid ex sese posset efferre, id apud eos non nasci, sed domi

nostrae conditum putaremus; in Verr. i. 2.: Quodsi, quam audax est ad conandum, tam esset obscurus in agendo, fortasse aliqua in re nos aliquando fefellisset; p. Rosc. Com. 11.: Nam, quo quisque est sollertior et ingeniosior, hoc docet iracundius et laboriosius. It is, however, equally common, even in the elaborate and oratorical style, to place the demonstrative in its natural order before the relative; e. g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. 24.: Nolite enim putare, quemadmodum in fabulis saepenumero videtis, eos, qui aliquid impie scelerateque commiserint, agitari et perterreri Furiarum taedis ardentibus. The inversion, therefore, should be adopted only occasionally for the sake of ornament, but should not be used immoderately. Respecting the omission of the demonstrative after the relative, see § 765., note.

[§ 814.] Note. The poets not unfrequently take away the substantive from the leading proposition, and join it to the relative pronoun in the dependent clause, and in the same case as the pronoun; the substantive either preceding or following the pronoun; e.g. Terent. Eun. iv. 3. 11.: Eunuchum quem dedisti nobis, quas turbas dedit! for Eunuchus; Virg. Aen. i. 573.: urbem quam statuo, vestra est; Terent. Andr. prol. 3.: poëta id sibi negotii credidit solum dari, populo ut placerent quas fecisset fabulas; Horat. Serm. i. 4. 2.: atque alii, quorum comocdia prisca virorum est; for atque alii viri, quorum est; Serm. i. 10. 16.: illi, Scripta quibus comoedia prisca viris est, for illi viri, quibus. Comp. also Epod. 2. 37.; 6. 7.; Carm. iv. 13. 18-22.; Serm. ii. 2. 59. Ovid, Art. Am. ii. 342.: sub qua nunc recubas arbore, virga fuit; Heroid. iv. 173.: Sic tibi dent Nymphae quae levet unda sitim, for dent undam, quae levet. And also in Cicero, p. Sulla, 33.: Quae prima innocentis mihi defensio est oblata, suscepi; ad Âtt. vi. 1.: quos pueros miseram, epistolam mihi attulerunt; de Leg. iii. 5.: haec est, quam Scipio laudat et quam maxime probat temperationem reipublicae, comp. p. Clu. 42. in fin.; and on the other hand, de Leg. i. 17.: vel ab ea, quae penitus in omni sensu implicata insidet imitatrix boni voluptas. The regular form, however, always is this, that the substantive has its place in the leading proposition, or, if it has preceded in the relative proposition, that the retrospective pronoun is is put in the case which the leading proposition requires; hence either pueri, quos miseram, attulerunt, or quos pueros miseram, ii attulerunt.

[§ s15.] 18. A period becomes more complex and artificial if the dependent proposition has neither the same subject nor the same object as the leading proposition; e. g. Cic. p. Rosc. Am. init.: Credo ego vos, judices, mirari, quid sit quod, quum tot summi oratores hominesque nobilissimi sedeant, ego potissimum surrexerim, qui, &c. Here care must be taken that, by the insertion and enlargement of a new proposition, the construction of the main proposition be not suspended or embarrassed, which would produce an Anacoluthon, as, e. g. if we were to enlarge

the inserted proposition in the preceding period thus, quid sit quod, quum tot summi oratores hominesque nobilissimi sedeant, neque in hac causa, quod in aliis facere consueverunt, vocem pro salute hominis innocentissimi mittere audeant—the beginning, quid sit quod, would have been forgotten in the length of the inserted propositions; and an orator, following the train of his feelings, would scarcely have proceeded by ego potissimum surrexerim, but would probably have found it necessary to take up the suspended construction with cur igitur ego potissimum surrexerim. See § 739. and § 756.

[§ 816.] 19. In constructing a period, we must take care that the apodosis be not too short in proportion to the protasis, which would produce a disagreeable effect upon the ear. If, for example, we had the protasis Qui putat magnam doctrinam sine ingenio praeclaro, sine industria indefessa, sine libris optimis posse comparari, and were to close with errat, the disproportionately short apodosis would seem ridiculous; we ought either to have written unperiodically (though sufficiently well) errat qui putat; or we ought to produce the necessary counterpoise by an enlargement of the idea errat, e. g. by saying magno vehementique This requires a knowledge and command of errore ducitur. words and phrases which is acquired from an accurate and attentive study of the authors. The Auct. ad Herenn. iv. 1. commences a periodic proposition thus: Quoniam in hoc libro de elocutione scripsimus, et, quibus in rebus exemplis opus fuit, usi sumus nostris, idque fecimus praeter consuetudinem eorum, qui de hac re scripserunt — and had in mind, then, to conclude with the apodosis ratio nostri consilii danda est. But in comparison with that protasis, his apodosis would have been too short; he therefore enlarges it thus; necessario faciendum putavimus ut paucis rationem nostri consilii demus. (Comp. § 619.) The following passages from Cicero may serve as examples of a pleasing and symmetrical structure of periods; de Leg. Agr. ii. 36.: Quemadmodum, quum petebam, nulli me vobis auctores generis mei commendarunt: sic, si quid deliquero, nullae sunt imagines, quae me a vobis deprecentur; in Cat. i. 13.: Ut saepe homines aegri morbo gravi, quum aestu febrique jactantur, si aquam gelidam biberint, primo relevari videntur, deinde multo gravius vehementiusque afflictantur: sic hic morbus, qui est in re publica, relevatus istius poenā, vehementius, vivis reliquis, ingravescet; p. Caec. init.: Si, quantum

and the passiff

in agro locisque desertis audacia potest, tantum in foro atque in judiciis impudentia valeret: non minus nunc in causa cederet A. Caecina Sex. Aebutii impudentiae, quam tum in vi facienda cessit audaciae. It is easy, in these periods, to see the accurate propriety with which the several propositions are separated and again connected by the adequate use of corresponding particles.

[§ 817.] 20. We may here draw attention to the difference of periods in the historical and the oratorical style. Historical nar-

rative requires above all things variety of the propositions containing statements of time: to form propositions possessing this quality, historians have recourse to three methods; the use of quality, historians have recourse to three methods; the use of the participle in the case of the preceding noun; of the ablative absolute; and thirdly of the conjunctions of time, quum, ubi, postquam. By these means Livy can unite, without injury to perspicuity, in one period, what in English we must express by three or more propositions; e. g. Liv. i. 6.: Numitor, interprimum tumultum hostes invasisse urbem atque adortos regiam dictitans, quum pubem Albanam in arcem praesidio armisque obtination and account most and invenes and praesidio armisque obtinations. tinendam avocasset, postquam juvenes, perpetrata caede, pergere ad se gratulantes vidit, extemplo advocato consilio, scelera in se fratris, originem nepotum, ut geniti, ut educati, ut cogniti essent, caedem deinceps tyranni, seque ejus auctorem ostendit. Such a period is, perhaps, not to be found in all the writings of Cicero; but it is well adapted to express all collateral circumstances in their subordinate relation. In this way therefore most of the periods in Livy are constructed, though, of course, with many variations in the detail; Liv. ii. 6.: His, sicut acta erant, nuntiatis, incensus Tarquinius non dolore solum tantae ad irritum cadentis spei, sed etiam odio iraque, postquam dolo viam obseptam vidit, bellum aperte moliendum ratus, circumire supplex Etruriae urbes, &c.

[§ 818.] 21. A correct and ingenious arrangement of words, and an artistic construction of propositions, naturally produce in the delivery a symmetrical variety in the raising and sinking of the voice, which the ancients called oratorical numerus ($\dot{\rho}\nu\theta\mu\dot{o}s$.) The rhetoricians reduced the effect thus produced to metrical feet, though we must not thereby be led to suppose that the orator set out with a premeditated view to use and apply certain metrical feet. The Greek and Latin languages possess the peculiarity of marking in their pronunciation the natural quan-

tity of the syllables, along with and distinct from the accent of the words: another peculiarity is their freedom in the arrangement of words, and it is the admirable result of a thorough rhetorical cultivation, especially of the Latin language, that a wellconstructed proposition in prose, such as we have considered in the preceding paragraphs, calls forth a natural variety in the raising and sinking of the voice, which otherwise is not to be met with but in poetical composition. There is, it is true, no strictly uniform return of any change; but the application of the principle, that an important word which by its prominent position draws the accent upon itself, is followed by a number of less important words expressive of secondary qualities or circumstances, which again are succeeded by an important word which forms the close of the proposition or period, produces the same effect: the period has a beginning, a middle, and an end, and the words form a compact whole, as well as the thoughts they express. Thus, the orator need but follow the general law, and his prose will naturally be rhythmical and melodious.

[§ 819.] Note. A regular verse in prose is considered by all rhetoricians as a fault, though a verse is occasionally found in good prose writers. Nay it seems as if at the commencement of a book or writing, as e.g. in Livy Facturusne operae pretium sim, a poetically measured start were aimed at. But an hexametrical close should certainly be avoided, especially in the combination of esse videtur (2) 2). This caution is the more necessary, as in the passive construction we readily fall into such a cadence. See my note on Cic. in Verr. ii. 9.

Hiatus, that is, the concurrence of long vowels at the end of one word and the beginning of another, should be avoided as much as possible; for a concurrence of short vowels, or of a long vowel followed by a short one, is not objectionable. Comp. § 8.

[§ 820.] 22. The language of the orator differs from common prose chiefly in the use of tropes and figures, for these terms denote modes of expression varying from the common form. These modes of expression when they consist in single words are called tropes; and when in propositions, figures. There are several tropes, i. e. modes by which one word is used for another for the sake of rhetorical variety and ornament:

Metaphora or translatio, a contracted simile; e. g. segetes sitiunt, homo asper, fulmina eloquentiae;

Synecdoche, when a part is mentioned instead of the whole, e. g. tectum for domus;

Metonymia, when a thing is expressed by means of circum-

The wed on whome of w

stances connected with it, e.g. segne otium; Vulcanus for ignis, Ceres for panis;

Antonomasia, substituting other nouns for a proper name, e. g. Romanae eloquentiae princeps, for Cicero;

Kaτάχρησιs, the use of a word in an improper sense, when the language is in want of a proper or specific term; e.g. aedificare naves;

and other tropes less applicable to the Latin language. The store of words and expressions which have come down to us and are collected in Dictionaries, must decide upon the degree of propriety and applicability of these tropes.

[§ 821.] 23. The figures admit a greater freedom in their use. They are divided into figurae sententiarum and figurae verborum; the former are modes of conceiving and shaping an idea or thought, which differ from the common or vulgar mode; the latter have reference merely to a different expression of the same idea, and are therefore, as it were, transformations of the same body. A knowledge and practice in the use of figures is interesting and important even for the beginner, since in them lies the secret of the most admired portion of the rhetorical art; and, in fact, they are indispensable for the orator, although the essential part of his art consists in far different things, viz. the invention and adequate arrangement and disposition of his thoughts. The figurae verborum arise from addition, from resemblance of sound and form, and suppression.

The following arise from addition; - geminatio, a doubling of words; e. g. Cic. in Verr. v. 62.: crux, crux inquam, misero et aerumnoso comparabatur;—ἐπαναφορά, repetitio, repetition; i. e. when the several members of a proposition begin with the same word; e. g. Cic. in Cat. i. 1.: Nihilne te nocturnum praesidium Palatii, nihil urbis vigiliae, nihil timor populi, nihil consensus bonorum omnium, nihil hic munitissimus habendi senatus locus, nihil horum ora vultusque moverunt? Comp. p. Arch. 9. 21.; in Rull. ii. 6. The reverse (i. e. when the same word is used at the end of several members) is called ἀντιστροφή, conversio. — Complexio arises from a combination of repetitio and conversio; e. g. Cic. in Rull. ii. 9.: Quis legem tulit? Rullus. majorem partem populi suffragiis prohibuit? Rullus. comitiis praefuit? Rullus. Quis decemviros quos voluit renuntiavit? Idem Rullus. — Traductio, when a word from a preceding clause is repeated in the following, as in the Auct. ad Her. iv. 14.: Eum tu hominem appellas, qui si fuisset homo, nunquam tam crudeliter vitam hominis petisset.—Πολυσύνδετον, i. e. the repetition of the same conjunction; e. g. ad Her. iv. 19.: Et inimico proderas, et amicum laedebas, et tibi ipsi non consulebas.

[§ 822.] From resemblance of sound and form, or symmetry, arise: παρονομασία, annominatio, when words, with some resemblance of sound, are placed together or rather in opposition; e. g. Cic. in Verr. v. 10.: ut eum non facile non modo extra tectum, sed ne extra lectum quidem quisquam videret; in Cat. i. 12.: hanc reip. pestem non paulisper reprimi, sed in perpetuum comprimi volo; de Off. i. 23.: expetenda magis est decernendi ratio, quam decertandi fortitudo. For more examples see my note on Cic. in Verr. iv. 5. 10. - Ομοιόπτωτον, when the same cases are in several members of the proposition; and όμοιοτέλευτον, when the members end similarly; e. g. both united appear in Cic. p. Clu. 6.: Vicit pudorem libido, timorem audacia, rationem amentia. To these may be added ἰσοκωλον; i. e. when the members are of (about) equal length; e. g. Auct. ad Her. iv. 20.: Alii fortuna felicitatem dedit, huic industria virtutem comparavit. Compare the quotation from Cic. p. Caecina, at the end of § 816.—'Αντίθετον, opposition, requires this symmetry; e. g. Cic. p. Mil. 4.: Est igitur haec, judices, non scripta, sed nata lex, quam non didicimus, accepimus, legimus, verum ex natura ipsa arripuimus, hausimus, expressimus, ad quam non docti, sed facti, non instituti, sed imbuti sumus, ut, &c. Of a similar nature is ἀντιμεταβολή, commutatio, where the opposition is expressed by an inverted order of the proposition; e.g. ad Her. iv. 28.: Quia stultus es, ea re taces, non tamen quia taces, ea re stultus es; si poëma loquens pictura est, pictura tacitum poëma debet esse. If not the whole clause is inverted, this figure is called ἐπάνοδος, regressio; e. g. Cic. Brut. 39.: ut eloquentium juris peritissimus Crassus, juris peritorum eloquentissimus Scaevola haberetur. Lastly, κλίμαξ, gradatio, i. e. gradation, at the same time repeating the preceding word; e.g. ad Her. iv. 25.: Imperium Graeciae fuit penes Athenienses, Atheniensium potiti sunt Spartiatae, Spartiatas superavere Thebani, Thebanos Macedones vicerunt, qui ad imperium Graeciae brevi tempore adjunxerunt Asiam bello subactam.

han and hi wierosoft wi

[§ 823.] The following arise from suppression, — ἀποσιώπησις, an intentional breaking off in the middle of a speech; e. g. Cic. p. Mil. 12.: De nostro enim omnium -- non audeo totum dicere. Videte quid ea vitii lex habitura fuerit, cujus periculosa etiam reprehensio est, and the well-known passage of Virgil (Aen. i. 135.), Quos ego — sed motos praestat componere fluctus. — 'Ασύνδετον, dissolutio, the omission of the copulative conjunctions; e. g. Cic. in Quintilian, ix. 3. 50.: Qui indicabantur, eos vocari, custodiri, ad senatum adduci jussi. — Correctio, ἐπανόρθωσις, the correction of an expression just made use of; e. g. Cic. in Cat. i. 1.: hic tamen vivit. Vivit? immo vero etiam in senatum venit, comp. atque adeo § 734. - Dubitatio, intentional doubt: to which the figure of an intentional forgetting and recalling to mind may also be added; e. g. ad Her. iv. 29.: Tu istud ausus es dicere, homo omnium mortalium-nam quo te digno moribus tuis appellem nomine?

[§ 824.] We must leave it to rhetoric to explain the figurae sententiarum: some of them, however, are at the same time figurae verborum; as e. g. the question and the exclamation, which are of very frequent occurrence in Latin. We may also mention the addressing of absent persons or things without life (aποστροφή); e.g. in Cic. p. Mil. 31.: Vos enim jam ego, Albani tumuli atque luci, &c.; further, personification; as e. g. Cicero in Cat. i. 7. introduces his native country as speaking; hyperbole, irony, simile, sentence, &c., whose manifold use must be learnt from the writings of the best authors, with which we strongly advise the student to combine the study of the eighth and ninth books of Quintilian's Institutio Oratoria, and the excellent fourth book of the Author ad Herennium among Cicero's rhetorical writings.

[§ 825.] We add in conclusion, as an example for imitation, a very simple proposition transformed according to the several figures mentioned above. The theme or subject is this, litteris delector.

Geminatio. Litterae, litterae, inquam, solae me delectant.

Repetitio. Litterae me puerum aluerunt, litterae me juvenem ab infamia libidinum servarunt, litterae virum in rep. administranda adjuverunt, litterae senectutis imbecillitatem consolabuntur.

Litterae honestissima voluptate oblectant, rerum Conversio. Colu - Dan n 3 day who ras of w

- novarum inventione oblectant, immortalitatis spe certissima oblectant.
- Complexio. Qui litteris delectatur, qui vero inveniendo delectatur, qui doctrina propaganda delectatur, eum vos malum esse civem putatis?
- Traductio. Quid vis? Tune litteris delectaris, qui litterarum fundamenta odisti?
- Polysyndeton. Litterae et erudiunt et ornant et oblectant et consolantur.
- Paronomasia. Qui possim ego litteris carere, sine quibus vitam ipsam agerem invitus?
- 'Ομοιόπτωτον, όμοιοτέλευτον. Num putas fieri posse, ut, qui litterarum studiis teneatur, libidinum vinculis obstringatur?
- 'Αντίθετον. Qui litteris delectari te dicis, voluptatibus implicari te pateris?
- 'Αντιμεταβολή. Non quia delector, studeo litteris: sed quia studeo, delector.
- Gradatio. Studia mihi litterarum doctrinam, doctrina gloriam, gloria invidiam et obtrectationem comparavit.
- Aposiopesis. Quid? Tu audes hoc mihi objicere, qui nihil unquam invita expetierim nisi virtutem et doctrinam: tu quid expetieris sed taceo, ne convicium tibi fecisse videar.
- 'Ασύνδετον. Quid dicam de utilitate litterarum? Erudiunt, ornant, oblectant, consolantur.
- Correctio. Litterae me delectant: quid dico delectant? Immo consolantur, et unicum mihi perfugium praebent inter has vitae laboriosae molestias.
- Dubitatio. Litterae me sive erudiunt, sive oblectant, sive consolantur: nam quid potissimum dicam nescio.

APPENDIX I.

OF METRE; ESPECIALLY WITH REGARD TO THE LATIN POETS.

[§ 826.] 1. The words of a language consist of long and short syllables. In measuring syllables the time consumed in pronouncing a short syllable is taken as a standard, and this portion of time is called mora. A long syllable takes two morae, and is therefore, in this respect, equal to two short syllables. Which syllables, in the Latin language, are considered short, and which long, has been shown in Chap. III. From the combination of syllables of a certain quantity arise what are called Feet (pedes), of which there are four of two syllables, eight of three syllables, sixteen of four syllables, thirty-two of five syllables, &c., since the respective number of syllables admits of so many variations. For the sake of brevity, specific names have been given to those feet which consist of two, three, and four syllables, as well as to some of five:—

- a) of two syllables:
- U Pyrrhichius; bone, pater, lege.
- - Spondeus; audax, constans, virtus.
- Jambus; potens, patres, legunt.
- _ _ Trochaeus, or Choreus; laetus, fortis, gaudet.
 - b) Of three syllables:
- Tribrachys; domine, dubius, legere.
- _ _ _ Molossus; mirari, libertas, legerunt.
- _ _ _ Dactylus; improbus, omnia, legerat.
- . _ . Amphibrachys; amare, peritus, legebat.
- . . Anapaestus; bonitas, meditans, legerent.
- _ _ Bacchius; dolores, amavi, legebant.
- _ _ _ Amphimacer, Creticus; fecerant, legerant, cogitans.
- _ _ _ Palimbacchius, Antibacchius; praeclarus, peccata, legisse.
 - c) Of four syllables:
- Proceleusmaticus; celeriter, memoria, relegere.
- _ _ _ Dispondeus; praeceptores, interrumpunt, perlegerunt.
- Jonicus a minori; adolescens, generosi, adamari.

- - - O Ionicus a majori; sententia, mutabilis, perlegerat.
 - O Ditrochaeus, Dichoreus; educator, infidelis, eruditus.
 - O Diïambus; amoenitas, renuntians, supervenis.
 - O Antispastus; verecundus, abundabit, perillustris.
 - O Choriambus; impatiens, credulitas, eximios.
 - O Paeon primus; credibilis, historia, attonitus.
 - - secundus; modestia, amabilis, idoneus.
 - - tertius; puerilis, opulentus, medicamen.
 - - quartus; celeritas, misericors, refugiens.
 - Epitritus primus; laborando, reformidant, salutantes.
 - - secundus; administrans, imperatrix, comprobavi.
- - tertius; auctoritas, intelligens, dissentiens.
 - quartus; assentator, infinitus, naturalis. [§ 827.] 2. These feet are, as it were, the material of which prose and verse are equally composed: but while in prose the sequence and alternation of long and short syllables is not particularly attended to, and only on certain occasions, ancient poetry, so far as the outward form is concerned, consists entirely in the adaptation of words, by the arrangement of long and short syllables, to the reception of the Rhythm. Rhythm, in this respect, is the uniformity of the duration of time, in the raising and sinking of the voice, or Arsis and Thesis. We raise and sink the voice also in common discourse, but not at definite intervals, nor with a regular return. In these intervals, or in the proportion of the duration of the Arsis to the duration of the Thesis, consists the difference of the Rhythm. The Arsis is either equal to the Thesis, or twice as long, as will be seen in the difference of the two feet, the Dactyl and the Trochee, 4 and \(\), the Arsis (marked thus ') being combined with the long syllable. The same proportion takes place when the Thesis precedes the Arsis in the Anapaest and Iambus . . . and . . The first species, in which the Arsis forms the beginning, is called the descending Rhythm; the other, in which the Thesis forms the beginning, the ascending. From these simple rhythms, the artificial are composed, by the combination of two simple series and the suppression of a Thesis, viz. the Paeonic, Choriambic, and Ionic rhythms. The three Paeonic feet are, the Creticus 2 , 4, the Bacchius , 2 4, and the Antibacchius 2 4 ; the Choriambus 4 0 0 4; the two Ionics 0 0 4 4 and 4 4 0 0. In verses of simple rhythm Arsis and Thesis are joined in alter-

nate succession; whilst in verses of a complicate rhythm, partly from the nature of the foot itself, and partly from the combination of two feet, one Arsis may meet another Arsis, which imparts to the verse an animated and impetuous character.

[§ 828.] Note. The metrical intonation, or Ictus, which falls on the syllable that according to the rhythm receives the Arsis, is, in Greek and Latin, entirely independent of the accent of words. The old Latin comic writers, indeed, have endeavoured to bring the accent of words into conformity with the rhythmical intonation, and this is the reason why they allowed themselves many shortenings of syllables which are long by position; but far from making the accent guide the rhythm, they only endeavoured to produce this coincidence in the middle dipodia, and even there by no means uniformly. In the other parts of Latin poetry, which more closely follow the regularity of the Greek, no regard at all is paid to the accent of words, any more than by the Greeks; nay, it should seem that the ancients derived a pleasure from the discordance between the metrical intonation and the ordinary accent. In

A'rma virúmque canó Trojaé qui prímus ab óris I'taliám fató profugús Lavínaque vénit,

it is only in the end of the verses that the prose accent and the metrical intonation coincide. In the recitation of verse the latter should predominate, but not so as entirely to suppress the ordinary accent of words. The metrical accent or ictus has the power of giving short syllables the value of long ones. This, however, is not frequent, except in the short final syllable of polysyllabic words, ending in a consonant, and especially where the force of the arsis is aided by the principal caesura of the verse: e.g. Virg. Ecl. x. 69.: Omnia vincit amor, et nos cedamus amori; Horat. Serm. i. 5. 90.: callidus ut soleāt humeris portare viator; Ovid, Art. Am. iii. 63.: Nec quae praeteriit iterum revocabitur unda; but also without the aid of the principal caesura; e.g. Hor. Serm. ii. 3. 260.: exclusus qui distat? | agīt ubi secum; eat, an non. Ovid, Metam. ix. 610.: non adiit apte | non legit idonea, credo. Final syllables ending in a vowel are much less frequently lengthened by the arsis. It has, however, been remarked (see Schneider's Elementarlehre, p. 752.), that this occurs surprisingly often with the enclitic que in the second foot of the hexameter, commonly supported by the caesura, of which we shall speak under No. 8.; e. g. Virg. Aen. iii. 91.: Liminaquē laurusque dei; Ovid, Met. v. 484.: Sideraque ventique nocent. Short monosyllabic words are never lengthened by the arsis.

[§ 829.] 3. Several feet, united in one simple rhythm, constitute a series (ordo). The dissyllable feet, i. e. trochees and iambs (when they do not pass into another rhythm, in which case a simple foot may be reckoned as a series), are united into such series, of two feet each, or dipodiae: a dipodia is also called a metre; hence, e. g. an iambic verse of six feet is called an iambic trimeter (trimeter iambicus). Of the feet of three syllables, the dactyl, according to the metrical writers, makes a metre by itself, though not the anapaest; but two anapaests, according to the analogy of two iambs, make an anapaestic

metre (metrum anapaesticum). In some cases, especially in the trochaic verse, the end of a series is marked by a syllaba anceps; i.e. a syllable whose natural quantity is not attended to, but which reckons long or short, as the rhythm requires; consequently in the trochaic rhythm (2) short. A verse consists of one or more series, of the same or different rhythms. It is, however, distinguished from the series itself by the circumstance, that the syllaba anceps, which is only allowed sometimes at the end of the series, always occurs at the end of the verse, that hiatus is allowed, and that a pause of the voice takes place. verse is called by the Greek name acatalecticus when the feet or metres of which it is composed are complete; catalecticus when they want one syllable or two or even a foot. Of verses which consist of trisyllabic feet, some are catalectici in syllabam, in which one syllable only remains of the defective foot; others catalectici in bisyllabum, when two syllables remain, as e.g. in the hexameter. Trochaic and iambic feet can be catalectic only in syllabam.

[§ 830.] Caesura is the interruption of the rhythm by the end of a word. In the dactylic hexameter of Ovid:

Dónec erís felix, multós numerábis amícos,

or in the one of Virgil:

I'nfandum regina jubés renováre dolórem,

the end of the foot is throughout at variance with the end of the word; and while the rhythm requires the union of two words, the sense is opposed to it inasmuch as it requires a pause at the end of each. On this circumstance, however, rests the connection of the feet, and a long verse cannot exist without some caesurae, especially one towards the middle of the line, generally called emphatically the caesura. We must carefully distinguish incision from caesura. Incision is the coincidence of the end of the foot with the end of the word; and in some species of verse (in the trochaic tetrameter, in the dactylic pentameter, and in choriambic verses), it is essential, and is often used also in the hexameter under certain forms.

[§ 831.] 4. In what follows, we shall give a brief account of those species of verse which the Roman poets have used and of the laws they observed in their structure. We shall first speak of verses with simple rhythm, then of those with artificial

rhythm, and shall lastly add some remarks on compound verses and on the combination of different kinds of verse to a lyric strophe. We shall thus be enabled at the same time to see the difference of the forms of the several species of ancient poetry. The epic makes use only of one simple rhythm, the dactylic; whilst the drama (with the exception of its lyric part) with greater variety moves in the three other simple rhythms, the iambic trimeter, however, being its principal verse. In both species of poetry, verses of the same measure and of the same length are repeated in uninterrupted succession (κατὰ στίχον). Lyric poetry, on the contrary, on account of its lively character, makes use of the artificial rhythms, as well as of verses of compound or mixed rhythm; repeating, however, in succession, verses of artificial rhythm only in some of its species of verse; whilst in the others, compound verses are combined into a rhythmical whole, called strophe.

[§ 832.] 5. Trochaic verses, as has been observed above, are generally measured by dipodiae. But the tribrachys may stand for the trochee without injury to the metre; and as the last syllable of the series is doubtful, a spondee or an anapaest may stand in the second foot; or when the verse consists of several dipodiae, in the second, fourth and sixth foot. Consequently this is the measure of the trochaic dipodia,

As the arsis, when it falls on two short syllables after the resolution of the long syllable, cannot be expressed equally on both, the *ictus* is laid on the first of the two shorts.

Note. The spondee or anapaest in the uneven places (i.e. 1.3.5. &c.) is found only in the Latin comic writers, and is at variance with pure rhythm. The dactyl can only be admitted in the even places as the resolution of the spondees, but is very seldom used, and is still more unsuitable to the uneven places, where even the spondee is only admitted by too great a licence.

[§ 833.] The most common species of trochaic verse is the tetrameter catalectic, called in Latin quadratus, or, from the number of the complete feet, septenarius;

It has its incision after the second dipodia, where a word ends. In Plautus and Terence, that is, in the drama, many scenes are found in this measure, which is well adapted to express excitement and emotion. The following example, from Terentianus Maurus de Syllab., exhibits the pure measure: we have marked the first arsis of the dipodia with the accent;

Núlla vox humána constat|ábsque septem lítteris, Ríte vocalés vocavit|quás magistra Graécia: Quídquid audis praéter istas,|párs soni, non vóx erit. Quínque contenta ést figuris|Rómuli Latínitas.

This verse, however, is not found in such purity in the comic writers, but with all the changes mentioned above; so that the last catalectic dipodia alone shows the true measure. In the following passage from Terence, *Andr.* ii. 1. 18. foll., every arsis is marked with the accent:

A'd te advénio, spém, salútem, cónsilium, aúxilium éxpetens.
Néque pol cónsilí locum hábeo, néque ad auxilium cópiam.
Séd istuc quídnam est? Hódie uxórem dúcis? A'junt. Pámphile,
Si íd facís, hŏdié postrémum mé vidés. Quid ita? Eí mihi.
Véreor dícere, huíc dic, quaéso, Býrria. E'go dicám. Quid est?
Spónsam hic túam amat. Nae íste haud mécum séntit. E'hodum díc mihi.

[§ 834.] Note. The name of versus ithyphallicus is given to a trochaic verse of three feet. It is found in its pure measure in Horace, at the close of another verse, Carm. i. 4. appended to a dactylic tetrameter;

Solvitur acris hiems grata vice | véris ét Favóni. Ac neque jam stabulis gaudet pecus, | aút arátor ígni.

[§ 835.] 6. The *iambic* rhythm is the reverse of the trochaic, as it begins with the thesis, which may be regarded as an anacrusis (prelude) to the trochee. As such it has no necessary measure, and may therefore be long, whence arises the following measure of the iambic dipodia,

Consequently, we may say that instead of the iambus in all places the tribrachys may stand, except in the last place, since the last syllable of the verse is anceps, and cannot therefore be resolved; and that in the uneven places 1, 3, 5, the spondee, and as its resolutions the anapaest and dactyl, may stand instead of the iambic (of course so that the second half of the dactyl be in arsi, and the ietus rest on the first of the two short syllables).

[§ 836.] This is the general rule; but poets either impose restrictions on themselves, in order to produce greater harmony, or allow themselves greater latitude, to facilitate the composition of their verses. The earliest Greek iambographi are most careful in this respect, and seldom use even the tribrachys. The tragedians much more frequently admit the tribrachys in all

places but the last; the spondee and dactyl, in accordance with the general rule, in the uneven places: they do not like the anapaest; they use it almost exclusively in the first place and in a whole word, but in all places only in the case of proper names, in regard to which greater latitude must be allowed. The Greek comic writers introduced the anapaest in all places, the last being always excepted, with certain limitations, as when a dactyl precedes. The Roman comic writers and Phaedrus adopted all these licences, and besides admitted the spondee in the even places, so that in their verses the iambus maintains its right only in the last foot. On the other hand, Horace, in his Epodes, and Seneca the tragedian, returned to the original strictness, and they only use the tribrachys instead of the iambus occasionally in the even places; in the uneven, besides the iambus, they use the spondee, and rarely the anapaest or dactyl; e.g. Horat. Epod. 2.:

Hos inter ĕ'pŭlas, ut juvat pastas oves Videre pro'perantes domum, Positósque vernas, ditis examen domus, Circum renidentes Lares. Haec ŭ'bĭ locutus fenerator Alfius, &c.

[§ 837.] The iambic verse, which is in most common use, is the trimeter acatalecticus, or, from the number of its feet, called in Latin, senarius; which is the usual measure of the dialogue of the drama. It has generally a caesura in the third or fourth foot; the first is called penthemimeral ($\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \mu \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} s$) after the fifth half-foot, the second hephthemimeral ($\dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta \eta \mu \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} s$) after the seventh half-foot; e. g. Hor. Epod. 17.

Jam jam efficaci | do manus scientiae Supplex, et oro | regna per Proserpinae, Canĭdĭa, parce | vocibus tandem sacris, Citumque retro solve, | solve, turbinem.

It may be combined also with other caesurae, as is the case in the third and fourth verse of this passage. The metrical writers have pointed out many niceties in the structure of these verses, as, e.g. that the third and fourth foot ought not to consist of single words; that, when the last word is a creticus, a preceding long syllable ought not to be the last syllable of a polysyllabic word. As these rules and observations have reference to the Greek tragedians only, further particulars must be learned from the works on metre. As an example of this species of verse we take a passage from Phaedrus, and mark each arsis with the accent.

Ad rívum eúndem lử pửs et ágnus vénerant Sití compúlsi, sử pěriór stabát lupus, Longéque infériðr ágnus. Túnc fauce ímproba Latro íncitátus, júrgií causam íntulit: Cur, ínquit, túrbuléntam fécistí mihi Istám bibénti? Lánigér contrá timens: Qui póssum, quaéso, fá'cĕre, quód quĕrĕrís, lupe?

[§ 838.] Verses consisting of iambic dimeters are commonly found in Horace in the Epodes, subjoined to a longer iambic or dactylic verse; e. g. *Epod.* 2.:

Beátus ille, quí procul negótiis, Ut prísca gens mortálium, Patérna rura bóbus exercét suis, Solútus omni fénore.

Or *Epod.* 14.:

Móllis inértia cúr tantám diffúderit ímis Oblívionem sénsibus, Candide Maecenas, occidis saepe rogando: Déus, deus, nam mé vetat.

The metre in which a trimeter is followed by a dimeter was that in which Archilochus, the most ancient writer of iambic verse, composed his poems.

Dimeter catalectic verses, of which the first foot may be a spondee, or instead of it an anapaest, but of which the other feet are pure, are found among the Roman poets who have come down to us, only in Seneca in choruses; e.g. *Med.* 862. foll.

Ut tígris órba gnátis Cursú furénte lústrat Gangéticúm nemús, sic Frenáre néscit íras-Medéa, nón amóres.

And Terentianus Maurus uses this verse in speaking of it:

Et condere inde carmen Multi solent poëtae. Horatium videmus Versus tenoris hujus Nusquam locasse juges, At Arbiter disertus Libris suis frequentat.

[§ 839.] Tetrameter iambics, complete and incomplete, are common in the Roman comic writers; the first are called octonarii, the others septenarii, from the number of the complete feet. An example of octonarii is found Terent. Andr. i. 3. init.:

Enimvéro, Dave, níl locist | segnítiae něquě socórdiae, Quantum intellexi mo do senis | senténtiam de núptiis, Quae sí non astu próvidentur, me aút herum pessúmdabunt. Nec quíd ăgam certum est: Pámphilum | ne adjútem an auscultém seni.

Si illúm relinquo, ějŭs vítae timěo: sín ŏpitŭlor, hujús minas.

The septenarii have a very lively and animated rhythm. There is an incision in the middle. An example is, Terent. Andr. iv. 2. 11.:

Per ómnes adjuró deos, | nunquam eám me desertúrum, Non, sí capiundos míhi sciam esse inimícos omnes ho mines, Hanc mi éxpetivi: cóntigit, | conve niunt mores. Vá leant, Qui intér nos discidiúm volunt: | hanc ni si mors mi ădimet némo.

[§ 840.] 7. The halting iambus (*Choliambus*), or Scazon $(\sigma\kappa\acute{a}\zeta\omega\nu)$, called also *Hipponactean* verse, is a compound verse, and therefore properly belongs to another place, but may be conveniently treated of here, that it may not be separated from the other iambic verses.

The choliambic is an iambic senarius, instead of the last foot of which, however, a trochee is introduced, the fifth foot being for the sake of contrast usually a pure iambus. This species of verse is adapted to satire; the Roman poets, however, especially Catullus, have also employed it for the expression of the softer feelings; e.g. in the 8th poem, where it is particularly suitable.

Misér Catulle désinas inéptire,
Et quód vides perísse, perditúm dúcas.
Fulsére quondam cándidi tibí sóles,
Quum véntitabas, quó puella dúcébat
Amáta nobis quántum amabitúr núlla.
Ibi illa multa túm jocosa fiébant,
Quae tú volebas, néc puella nólébat.
Fulsére vere cándidi tibí sóles!
Nunc illa non volt, tú quoque impoténs nóli,
Nec quáe fugit sectúre, nec misér víve.

[§ s41.] 8. Of all the dactylic metres the Hexameter is the most used. Being employed especially in epic poetry, it has obtained the name of the heroic verse. It properly consists, according to its name, of six dactyls, for the last of which, however, a trochee (or, as the last syllable is doubtful, a spondee), is

always substituted. The four first places admit dactyls or spondees without distinction, and the verse gains in strength and variety by their intermixture,—all dactyls producing too tripping a movement; all spondees too heavy a movement. These effects Virgil has designedly produced in the verses

Quádrupedánte putrém sonitú quatit úngula cámpum. I lli intér sesé magná vi bráchia tóllunt.

In the fifth place a spondee seldom occurs, but when it does occur, a daetyl generally precedes. Such a verse is called *versus spondiacus*. It has a hard and heavy sound, but the slowness of its movement is often suited to the thought, and therefore constructed on purpose. In such lines the Roman poets are fond of placing a word of four syllables at the end of the verse, as Virg. *Ecl.* iv. 49. and *Aen.* ii. 68.:

Cara deum soboles, magnum Jovis incrementum. Constitit, atque oculis Phrygia agmina circumspexit.

Ovid, Met. vi. 69.: Et vetus in tela deducitur argumentum. Ibid. 128.: Nexilibus flores hederis habet intertextos.

Note. A word of three syllables at the end of a spondiacus would bring the ictus on the last syllable of the preceding word, and this is contrary to the Roman system of accentuation, which is not indeed generally attended to in the construction of the hexameter, but, at the end of the verse, is generally made, if possible, to harmonise with the ictus. For this reason the best metricians avoid using a word of three syllables at the end of the spondiac verse, or at least they make a monosyllabic word precede it (as e. g. Juvenal, Sat. iv. 87.: tum quo de fluviis aut aestibus aut nimboso Vere locuturi, and in many other passages). Proper names, however, render exceptions necessary.

[§ 842.] The poets bestowed especial care on the caesura of this verse, as it is too long to be read in one breath; and by this means it obtains a fresh variety, the caesura producing an apparent change in the rhythm. The caesura most natural and the most common is that in the third foot, either after the arsis, or in the thesis, i. e. after the first short syllable of the dactyl. The former is called the caesura $(\tau o \mu \dot{\eta})$ $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \mu \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} s$ (semiquinaria), or masculine; the other is called by the Greek writers on metre caesura κατὰ $\tau \rho i \tau o \nu \tau \rho o \chi a i o \nu$, and by the moderns the trochaic caesura, because a trochee 2, immediately precedes, or the feminine, because the half of the verse ends in the thesis. In ancient heroic poetry the masculine caesura is the prevalent one; the trochaic, which is really expressive of less force, is also often used according to the character of the thought or sense. If the

caesura is not in the third foot, it generally is in the fourth; but here only one species of it is allowed, that after the arsis. This is called $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\theta\eta\mu\nu\mu\epsilon\rho\dot{\eta}s$ (semiseptenaria), and is considered a beauty when at the same time there is a less considerable caesura in the second foot. Catull. Epithal. Pel. 139.:

Eumenides | quibus anguineo | redimita capillo.

Virg. Aen. i. 9.:

Quidve dolens | regina deum | tot volvere casus.

[§ 843.] Note 1. Every well-constructed hexameter has one of these three caesurae; with them may be combined several others made of the single feet. And in this respect the caesura after the first arsis is particularly deserving of notice, as giving great emphasis to a monosyllabic word. In the principal caesura of the verse, poets frequently introduce a pause in the sense, which must be attended to, in determining which caesura is the principal one. For it often happens that at the common place for the caesura, in the third foot, there is a caesura in the word, and in the fourth foot, besides the caesura in the word, also a pause in the sense. In this case the latter is to be considered as the principal caesura, and to be distinguished accordingly in reciting; e.g. Hor. Serm. i. 4. 61.:

Postquam discordia tetra Belli ferratos postes portasque refregit,

the caesura $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \mu \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \eta \varsigma$ would separate the adjective from the substantive; it is better, therefore, after *postes*, where by means of que at least a new noun is added. In like manner it does not appear doubtful to us that in Horat, Epist. ii. 3. 137. the caesura should be made thus:

Fortunam Priami cantab' | et nobile bellum,

and not

Fortunam Priami | cantabo et nobile bellum;

for the elision of the vowel does not prevent the caesura, the word being

regarded as closed before the elided vowel.

[§ 844.] A peculiar kind of incision is that which is called caesura bucolica, in which both the sense and the word close at the end of the fourth foot. It may also be joined with one of the before-mentioned principal caesurae, but the pause in the sense coinciding with the end of the foot, of course compels the reciter to make a longer pause than usual before the fifth foot. This caesura bucolica sometimes beautifully expresses the rapidity of a lively movement till it comes to a sudden check: but in consequence of the disproportionate shortness of the last member of the verse, it generally makes the impression of an uncultivated simplicity; and this appears to be the reason why it was so much used by the Greek bucolic poets, from whom it derives its name.

[§ 845.] Note 2. A monosyllabic word at the end of the verse, if another monosyllable does not precede, produces a singular effect, by compelling the reader, in order that it may be understood, to lay an accent upon it, which is not suited to the thesis. This effect, however, is sometimes designedly produced by the poets, either to express something harsh and rough, or in a

ludicrous way something which is unexpected, e.g.

Dát latus, însequitúr | cumulo praeruptus aquae mons. Virg. Aen. i. 106. Illic, út pĕrhibént | aut intempesta silet nox. Virg. Georg. i. 247. Parturiunt montes, | nascetur ridiculus mus. Horat. Art. Poet. 139.

[§ 846.] 9. Next to the hexameter the most common dactylic verse is the *pentameter*. It has this name from its containing the number of five complete metres; but it has properly six feet, of which the third and sixth are incomplete. The measure is the following:

2 55 2 55 2 1 2 5 5 2 5 5 2 5

In the second half there must be pure dactyls; and in the first, dactyls and spondees are commonly intermixed; for two spondees give a hardness to the rhythm. After the third arsis, where the caesura is invariable, a pause equivalent to two morae must be made in order to complete the rhythm. Hence the lengthening of a short syllable by the force of the arsis is, according to the strict rule, in this place not admissible; though there are several exceptions to be found in the Roman poets. (Respecting the Greek, see Friedemann, Dissert. de media Syllaba Pentametri, in Spitzner's work, De Versu Graecorum Heroico, Lips. 1816.) There is the same pause at the end of the verse, where, according to the general rule, a short syllable may stand, but in fact is rarely found in a word ending in a short vowel. This verse commonly ends in words of two syllables, and words of three syllables are not often used for the conclusion, as the accent in these falls unpleasantly. This verse is used only as an appendage to an hexameter, and both together constitute the elegiac verse. Although originally employed on mournful or amatory subjects, it was soon turned to lighter topics; but it is not adapted to a long poem, and is best suited to epigrammatic and sententious poetry; e.g. Martial, Epigr. v. 76.:

> Profecit poto Mithridates saepe veneno Tóxica né possént saéva nocére sibi: Tu quoque cavisti, coenando tam male semper, Né possés unquám, Cínna, períre fame.

[§ 847.] 10. Of the other dactylic verses we shall mention the dimeter catalecticus, called also versus Adonius,

40040

used as an appendage to other verses in lyric poetry. Further, the tetrameter catalecticus, or versus Alcmanius, from the lyric poet Alcman, who frequently used it: the last foot of it is preserved pure.

The trimeter catalecticus in syllabam,

used by Horace, after the example of Archilochus, before or after a dimeter iambicus; e.g. Epod. 11.:

I'nachiá furere | silvís honorem décutit Férvidióre mero | arcána promorát loco.

and *Epod.* 13.:

Tu vína Torquató move | cónsule préssa meo Leváre duris péctora | sóllicitúdinibus.

Horace uses the tetrameter catalecticus as an appendage to the heroic hexameter, Carm. i. 7.:

Albus ut obscuro | deterget nubila coelo Saepe Notus, neque parturit imbres Perpetuos, | sic tu sapiens | finire memento Tristitiam vitaeque labores.

Anapaests are used by the Greek tragic and comic poets most frequently in systems, in which there is no doubtful syllable, except at the end. But they are generally divided into dimeters. A system always ends in a dimeter catalecticus in syllabam, called versus paroemiacus. This is usually preceded by a monometer, hence called basis anapaestica; and sometimes such a verse is introduced in the middle of the system. In the Roman poets whose works have come down to us, we find but few anapaests of this kind; Terence nowhere uses them; Plautus not unfrequently, but with difficult measures and many licences; Seneca the tra-

gedian did not use the paroemiacus, whence his anapaests have no proper close. Besides these dimeters, the Greeks very frequently use the tetrameter catalecticus in syllabam, which has a caesura in the middle, after the second dipodia. Plautus likewise uses it; but as he indulges in great licences, and as his text is very corrupt, we must refer the reader to Hermann's Elementa Doctrin. Metr. p. 405. foll. We take a specimen of the dimeter anap. from Seneca, Hipp. 974.:

Res húmanas ordí ně nullo
Fortúna regit spargítque manu
Muně ră caeca, pejoră fövens.
Vincít sanctos diră' lĭbido,
Fraus súblimi regnă t in aula;
Tradě rě turpi fascés pŏpūlus
Gaudě t, ĕosdem cŏlĭt átque odit.
Tristís virtus pervérsă tŭlit
Praemĭ ă recti, castós sequitur
Mălă paúpertas: vitióque potens
Regnă't ădulter.
O váně pūdor falsúmque dĕcus!

[§ 849.] 12. The artificial rhythms arise from the simple, by the suppression of a thesis; hence each foot of these rhythms, having a double arsis, is equivalent to a metre. By this collision of one arsis' with another, the impression of vehemence and violence is produced; and the Roman lyric and dramatic poets with whom verses of this kind supply the place of the choral songs of the Greeks, have made good use of them. From the combination of the uneven rhythm (\(\subseteq \) or \(\supseteq \) arise the Paeonic rhythms, exhibited in their purity in the Creticus 4 , 4, the Bacchius 2 4, and the Antibacchius 2 4. This rhythm is called Paeonic, because these feet were regarded as originating from contractions of the four Paeons; for the Creticus 2 , 2 is equivalent to the first Paeon 4 , , and to the fourth ∴ ∠; the Bacchius ↓ ∠ ∠ to the second Paeon ↓ ∠ ∴; and the Antibacchius 2 2 , to the third Paeon 2 2 . From the even rhythm (2, or , 2) arose, by combination, the Choriambic and Ionic rhythm; the Choriambic 2 , , the Ionic in two forms, a majori 4 4 0 0, a minori 0 0 4 4.

[§ 850.] 13. The Creticus 2 , 2 allows the resolution of either arsis, but at the close of the verse only the resolution of the first into two shorts. In Plautus and Terence it is commonly used in tetrameters, a dimeter being occasionally inserted. In the following example, from Terence, Andr. iv. 1. a dactylic verse begins:—

Hócine crédibile aút memorábile,

Tánta vecórdia innáta cuiquam út siet,

U't malis gaúdeant átque ex incómmodis

A'lteriús sua ut cómparent cómmoda? ah

I'dne est verum? ímmo id est genús hominum péssumum, in

Dénegandó modo quís pudor paúlum adest,

Póst, ubi témpu' promíssa jam pérfici,

Túm coactí necessário se a'periunt.

An iambic verse forms the conclusion (clausula)

[§ 851.] 14. The *Bacchius* $\zeta \leq \zeta$ is frequently used by the Roman comic poets in systems and in verses. It admits the resolution of either arsis; the latter, however, not at the end of the verse, because the syllable is doubtful. The close of such verses is iambic or anapaestic; e. g. Terence, *Andr.* iii. 2.

níl opúst | ibi veréntur.

Adhúc, Archylís, quae adsolént quaeque opórtet Signa ésse ad salútem, omnia huíc esse vǐ'dĕo. Nunc prímum fac ístaec lavét, post deínde Quod jússi ei darí bĭbĕre, et quántum imperávi Date: móx ego huc revértor.

In the second verse, in the foot se video, the first arsis is resolved into two shorts, vide; in the fourth verse, in the foot dari bibe, the second arsis is resolved. The ictus, as it cannot be laid upon both syllables, is placed upon the first of the two shorts. The Antibacchius does not form any verse.

[§ 852.] 15. The most common kind of verse of the Ionic species a majori (2 2 0 0) is the tetrameter brachycatalectus, also called Sotadeus, the poet Sotades having written his poems in this metre. Its original measure is this:—

22001220012200120

But as the long syllables may be resolved, and a trochaic di-

podia may be substituted for an Ionicus, it admits a great variety of forms, and belongs altogether to the most difficult metres. Terentianus Maurus employs it in his poem on the letters, and generally uses the trochaic dipodia instead of the third Ionicus a majori. We take the beginning of his poem as an example, and divide the metres:

Elĕméntă ru|des quae pŭĕ|rós dŏcént ma|gistri Vocalia | quaedam mĕmŏ|rant, consŏnă | quaedam, Haec reddere | vocem quoni|ám vălént sĕ|orsa, Nullumque si|ne illis potis | ést coírĕ | verbum.

[§ 853.] 16. The Ionicus a minori was much used by the Æolic lyrists (Sappho, Alcaeus, Alcman). In Horace, Carm. iii. 12., we find, in imitation of Alcaeus, a system of ten feet, of pure measure throughout, and without hiatus. The division according to which twice four feet are combined and closed by a shorter verse of two feet, is arbitrary.

Misĕrárum ést, | nĕque ămórí | dărĕ lúdúm | neque dúlcí Mala vĭnó | lavere, aút éx|animárí | metuéntés Patruaé vérbera línguaé.

[§ 854.] 17. The so-called Anacreontic verse consists originally of two Ionici a minori,

If, however, we consider the two first short syllables as an anacrusis, and combine with this the change of the Ionicus a minori into a trochaic dipodia, we obtain the following measure:—

or
$$\frac{3}{30}$$
 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | \frac

And these are the different forms of the small Anacreontic poems, most of which were composed at a very late period, after the manner of the ancient lyric poet. The Romans did not use this verse, unless we consider the dimeter iambicus catalecticus mentioned in § 838. to be a specimen. It is, however, the foundation of the Galliambus.

[§ 855.] The Galliambus adds to a complete Anacreontic verse another but incomplete Anacreontic,

whence, with the licences above mentioned, may be produced,

and this is the form which Catullus has given to his Galliambi, the only complete specimens which remain in his 63d poem. The example in which Terentianus Maurus has given instructions respecting this metre is as follows:—

Sŏnăt hóc sŭbíndě mētrō | Cÿběléĭúm němus,
Nōménque Gálliambis | měmŏrátur hínc dătum,
Trěmŭlós quod ésse Gallis | hăbĭlés putánt mŏdos,
Adĕo út frequénter illum | prŏpe ăb últimó pedem,
Măgĕ quó sŏnús vĭbretur, | stŭdĕánt dărĕ' trībrăchyn.

Catullus accordingly has generally resolved the last arsis before the catalexis into two short syllables. The beginning of his poem is this—

Sŭpër áltă véctus Atys | cĕlĕrí rătĕ măria . Phrygiúm nĕmús cĭtātō | cŭpĭdé pĕdĕ tĕtigit.

[§ s56.] 18. The Choriambus 2 , , 2 admits only the resolution of the first arsis into two shorts, very seldom the contraction of the middle short syllables into one long. Only the dramatic poets have placed the iambic dipodia, which is of the same measure, in the place of the choriambus; yet always after another choriambus, the second arsis of which, followed by an iambic thesis, preserves the unity of the rhythm. The lyric poets, when they made use of the choriambus in verse, always preserved it pure.

[§ 857.] 19. As, however, the ancient poets did not compose verses entirely of the choriambic foot, in consequence of its impetuous movement, but prefixed or subjoined to it feet of a different rhythm, we are naturally led to speak of compound verses. A compound verse is one in which series of different rhythms are combined. This combination may be so accomplished, that either the alternation of arsis and thesis is not

interrupted, or two of each may come together. The first species, in which the rhythmical connection is preserved, and only a different proportion of the arsis and thesis takes place, is by far the most common. The second, in which the transition from thesis to thesis, or from arsis to arsis takes place, is in itself unrhythmical; but a poet may sometimes nevertheless desire to produce such an effect.

[§ s58.] 20. The simplest species of composition is seen in the logacedic verses, where, in order to produce a simple prosaic close, the verse descends from the trisyllabic feet, \angle \cup and \cup \cup to the dissyllabic \angle \cup and \angle \cup . This name is generally given only to dactylic verses ending in trochees; but the same relation exists in anapaests. Such a logacedic verse is that which closes the Alcaic stanza:

Logical Section 2 Control of the Con

As the trochee in this verse serves to moderate the lively rhythm, so a trochee is very often used before a dactylic series as a sort of introduction. Hence it is now commonly called a *Base*. As it supplies the place of a series, the final syllable is doubtful; i. e. a spondee may sometimes stand as base instead of the trochee; nay, occasionally this spondee is even resolved into a trisyllabic foot.

[§ 859.] 21. Logacedic dactylic verses with their base are called by the general name of *Aeolic* verses, from the lyric poets of that nation, who invented or used them. Some kinds have also special names.

The Pherecratean verse:

in which the dactyl is very seldom contracted.

The Glyconian verse is lengthened half a foot; and has, in Horace, always a spondee as its base:

Note. The unconnected juxtaposition of the Glyconian and Pherecratean verses produces the *Priapean* verse (which is consequently a versus asynartetus); e. g. Catull. xviii.

Hunc lucum tibi dedico|consecroque, Priape, Qua domus tua Lampsaci est, | quaque silva, Priape, Nam te praecipue in suis | urbibus colit ora Hellespontia, caeteris | ostreosior oris.

[§ 860.] The *Phalaecian* verse consists of a dactyl and three trochees;

X 5 1 4 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5

This verse has eleven syllables, and is therefore called *Hende-casyllabus*, and under this name it has often been used by the Latin poets, especially Catullus and Martial, in smaller poems; e. g. Catull. iii.

Lúgete, O' Venerés Cupidinésque E't quantum est hominum venustiorum: Pásser mórtuus ést meaé puéllae, Pásser, déliciaé meaé puéllae, Quém plus illa oculis suis amábat. Nám mellítus erát, suámque nórat I psa tám bene quám puélla mátrem, Néc sese á gremio illiús movébat, Séd circúmsiliéns modo húc modo illuc A'd solám dominam úsque pípilábat. Quí nunc it per itér tenébricósum, I'lluc, únde negánt redire quémquam. A't vobis male sit, malaé tenébrae O'rci, quae ómnia bélla dévorátis, Tám bellúm mihi pásserem ábstulístis! O' factúm male! O' misélle pásser! Cúja núnc operá meaé puéllae Fléndo túrgidulí rubént océlli.

The base, as we here see, is commonly a spondee, and Catullus is the only one among the Latin poets who has allowed himself greater licence, and occasionally uses the original trochee.

[§ 861.] 22. As the dactyls in the logacedic verse finish with trochees, so choriambi must be resolved at the close into iambi, because in the choriambus the arsis closes, and according to the most common mode of composition a thesis should be subjoined to it. In this way we find in the Roman poets (especially in Horace) a choriambus with an incomplete iambic dipodia.

- 00/0/5

Sanguine viperino.

Commonly, however, the choriambus has a base prefixed, and

thus we find in Horace two or three choriambi closing with one jambus.

This poet makes his metre still more difficult by using only the spondee as a base, and by making an incision after every choriambus except the last. Such verses are called *Asclepiadei*, and are either short, as

Maecenás atavis | édite regibus
O et praésidium et | dúlce decus měum !

or longer, as

Nullam, Váre, sacra | víte prius | séveris arbŏrem Circa míte solum | Tíburis et | moenia Catĭli. Siccis ómnia nam | dúra deus | próposuit: nĕque Mordacés aliter | díffugiunt | sóllicitudĭnes.

[§ 862.] 23. In the species of compound verse hitherto mentioned, the base may be regarded as the smallest trochaic series, from which a transition is made to another rhythm. In other verses, however, we find a more complete trochaic series; in Horace, Carm. i. 8, before a choriambic verse of two choriambic with an iambic close. The poet has imposed on himself the restraint of using the spondee throughout instead of the second trochee.

- 0 4 - 14 11 0 0 4 4 0 0 4 0 4 5

The caesura after the arsis of the first choriambus is remarkable, and cannot be considered appropriate. In the poem referred to, this verse is combined with a shorter choriambic of the kind mentioned above.

Lýdia dic, per ómnes Té deós oró, | Sybarin cúr properas amándo.

The same trochaic dipodia before a logacedic dactylic series produces the hendecasyllabic Sapphic verse:—

2 02 5 2 00 2 0 2 5 Persicos odi puer apparatus.

The transition from iambi to dactyls may take place, if the rhythmical connection is to be regarded, only by the iambic series being catalectic. And this is the case in the hendecasyllabic *Alcaic* verse,

04 0 4014 004 09

Frui paratis et valido mihi.

[§ 863.] Note. We may here mention the Saturnian verse, an old Roman measure, which in later times was rarely used. It consists of a dimeter iambicus catalecticus, to the thesis of which three trochees are added. The early Roman poets, however, allowed themselves many licences in the use of this measure, and it is difficult to reduce the fragments which are here and there quoted, to the proper measure. We shall therefore quote the regular Saturnian verses, which Terentianus Maurus composed upon it as a model:

ut, sí vocét Camoénas | quís novém soróres
Et Naevio poëtae | sic ferunt Metellos
quum saepe laederentur | esse comminatos:
dabunt malum Metelli | Naevio poëtae.

Dabunt malum Metelli | clauda pars dimetri,
post Naevio poëtae: tres vides trochaeos,
nam nil obest trochaeo, | longa quod suprema est

[§ 864.] 24. This may be sufficient for the compound verses which are used by the Latin lyric poets. A poem may consist of a succession of verses of the same kind, as is usually the case with simple verses, and the choriambic among compound; or verses of different measure and rhythm are combined into a rhythmical whole, called a strophe, the single verses remaining separate (which is chiefly indicated by the doubtful syllable). In the combination of different verses into a strophe the poet is guided by his feeling, and it is impossible to enumerate all the varieties of the strophe that may be made. Horace (whom we have here chiefly to attend to), without having any Grecian model (as it appears) formed short strophes either of choriambic verses alone, or of choriambic and Aeolic verses, of which we spoke above. It will not be found difficult to resolve these strophes into their elements. Of the more artificial Greek strophes we find in this poet the Sapphic and the Alcaic. both he has introduced some changes, according to his own views.

[§ 865.] The Sapphic strophe consists of a Sapphic hendecasyllabic verse thrice repeated, and closed with an Adonic (see § 847.). Horace, instead of the syllaba anceps at the end of the trochaic dipodia, uses only a spondee, and introduces a caesura after the fifth syllable, but exchanged it sometimes for a trochaic caesura after the sixth syllable. In some of his poems (especially Carm. iv. 2.) he allows himself the use of versus

hypermetri; i. e. verses which with their final syllable extend by elision into the following verse; rarely however, and chiefly with enclitics. Sometimes he unites in a singular manner the Adonic verse with the preceding hendecasyllabic; e. g. Carm. i. 2. 19.:

> labitur ripa Jove non probante uxorius amnis,

so that it might seem as if he regarded them both as one. The hiatus; however, is also found, and m is not elided when the following verse begins with a vowel. The former practice therefore is to be considered only as a licence which Horace assumed after the example of Sappho. But in point of rhythm the verses are indeed so connected together that no chasm exists anywhere, but the thesis is always succeeded by the arsis.

Integer vitaé scelerisque purus Non eget Maurís jaculis neque arcu Nec venenatís gràvida sagittis, Fusce, pharetra.

[§ 866.] The Alcaic strophe consists of the Alcaic hendecasyllabic verse twice repeated, a dimeter iambic hypercatalectic, and a logacedic of two dactyls and two trochees.

The Greek metre is the following,

Horace strengthens the three first verses by spondees, making it his rule to use the long syllable in all the places in which by the above scheme it is *allowed*, with the exception of the syllaba anceps at the end of the verse, which remains anceps. The metre therefore, according to the usage of Horace, is commonly given thus:—

It is, however, useful to keep the original Greek measure in view, because the Roman poet sometimes deviates from his own rule, just because it is arbitrary, using an iambus instead of the spondee at the beginning of the first three verses. (In the first verse of the strophe, i. 9. 1, 31. 9, 35. 37.; ii. 9. 5.; in the second, i. 37. 22.; ii. 1. 6, 14. 6, 19. 22.; iii. 1. 2, 1. 26, 3. 34, 5. 22.; in the third, i. 35. 15, 37. 15.; ii. 3. 3.; iii. 29. 11.; but never in the fourth book.) But he never makes use of a short syllable before the caesura, according to Bentley's remark on Carm. iii. 2. 1.; compare iii. 5. 17. The caesura of the Alcaic hendecasyllabus is always observed by Horace, and is an excuse for the hiatus; Carm. ii. 20. 13. The caesura, however, is sometimes made in a compound word; it very rarely (iv. 14. 17. and i. 37. 14.) falls on an uncompounded word of more than two syllables. Horace is also careful in observing the caesurae, and accordingly does not use two, or in the third verse three, dissyllabic words one after another at the beginning. The hiatus between several verses is not unfrequent: the third and fourth verses are sometimes united by elision; as e. g. in the last strophe of Carm. ii. 3.;

> Omnes eodem cogimur, omnium Versatur urnā serius ocius Sors exitura et nos in aeternum Exilium impositura cymbae.

APPENDIX II.

THE ROMAN CALENDAR.

[§ 867.] THE Roman names of the days of the month are entirely different from our own. Without entering here upon

the manner in which in the early times the year was divided and defined, we shall commence at once with the Julian year and its division into months. According to this the month of February in a common year had twenty-eight days; April, June, September, and November thirty, and the others thirtyone days. The days of these months are not reckoned in an uninterrupted series, from one to thirty or thirty-one, but are calculated backwards from three days, which are fixed in every month. These three days are the first, fifth, and thirteenth, which are called by their Roman names the Calendae, Nonae, and Idus, of a month. (The names of the months, as was remarked in § 38., are used as adjectives, and as such they are joined to the three feminine names just mentioned.) In the Roman system of counting from a certain point, this point itself is included in the calculation. Thus, e. g. the third day before the *nonae*, i. e. before the fifth of the month, is not the second of the month, but the third. Hence we may give it as a practical rule, that in calculating the days of the month, we must add one to the number from which we deduct. When the point from which we have to count backward is the first of the month (Calendae), it is not sufficient to add one to the number of days of the current month, but the Calendae itself must also be taken into the account, i. e. the number of days of the current month must be increased by two before we deduct from them. Hence dies tertius ante Cal. Julias is the 29th of June, as June has thirty days. This is the cause of the whole apparent difficulty in calculating the Roman dates. But besides this we have to consider another peculiarity, which is a remnant of the ancient arrangement of the Roman year, ascribed to king Numa, viz. in the months of March, May, July, and October, the Nonae fall on the 7th, and the Idus on the 15th, instead of the 5th and 13th. In leap-years (i. e. according to the Roman expression every fifth year) February has one day more, but this intercalary day was not added at the end of the month, as is the custom in modern times, but was inserted in the place where formerly the intercalary month (mensis intercalaris) had been inserted to make the lunar year of king Numa harmonise with the solar year, that is, after the 23d of February, so that the 24th of February, i. e. the sixth day before the *Calendae* of

March, was reckoned double, and was called bis sextus or bis sextum, whence the leap-year itself was called annus bis sextus. On this subject see the classical work of Ideler, Handbuch der mathematischen und technischen Chronologie, Berlin, 1825, in the beginning of vol. ii.

[§ 868.] Respecting the grammatical form of stating the day of a month the following points must be observed. The ablative indicates the time when a thing occurs; hence we say, e. g. die tertio ante Calendas Martias, but die and ante may be omitted, and we may say tertio Calendas, or in figures iii. Cal. Cicero and Livy, however, use a different form either exclusively, or at least much more commonly than others; e.g. ante diem tertium Calendas, or Nonas, Idus (abridged a. d. iii. Cal.). This peculiarity, instead of the correct die tertio ante Calendas, cannot be explained otherwise than by the supposition that ante changed its place, and that afterwards the ablat. was changed into the accusat., as if it were dependent on ante; while the real accusat. Calendas remained unchanged. Pridie, the day before, and postridie, the day after, are either joined with the genitive, as pridie ejus diei, or in the case of established calendar names and festivals, with the accusative, to which people were more accustomed, as pridie Idus, pridie Compitalia, pridie natalem, postridie ludos Apollinares.

[\$ 869.] This expression ante diem must be considered as an indeclinable substantive, since we often find it preceded by prepositions which govern the accusat. or ablat., e. g. Cic. in Cat. i. 3.: dixi ego idem in Senatu, caedem te optimatum contulisse in ante diem V. Cal. Novembris (or Novembres, is being probably only the ancient termination of the accusat., instead of es); Liv. xliii. 16.: in ante dies octavum et septimum Calendas Octobres comitiis dicta dies; xlv. 2.: supplicatio indicta est ex ante diem quintum Idus Octobres, cum eo die in quinque dies; and in the same manner postridie, e. g. Cic. ad Att. ii. 11.: nos in Formiano esse volumus usque ad pridie Nonas Majas.

[§ 870.] In order to facilitate the calculation of a date in the ancient calendar (such as it was established by C. Julius Caesar, in B. C. 45), we have annexed Bröder's table, in which the beginner may easily find his way.

Our Days of the Month.	March, May, July, and October have 31 Days.	Jan., Aug., Decemb. have 31 Days.	April, June, Septemb. and Novemb. have 30 Days.	Febr. has 28 Days, and in Leap Years 29.
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31.	Calendis. VI. VI. VI. Nonas III. Pridie Nonas Nonis. VIII. VII. VII. ante VIII. VII. VIII. VIIII. VIII. VIII. VIIII. VIII. VIIII. VIII. VIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIII. VIIIII. VIIIII. VIIII. VIIIIIII. VIIIIIIII	VII. VI. V. IV. III. Prid. Calend.	Calendis. IV. ante III. Nonas Pridie Nonas Nonis. VIII. VII. VII. Ante Idus IV. Idus IV. Idibus. XVIII. XVII. XVIII. XVIII. XVIII. XVIII. XVIII. XVIII. XIII. XIII. XIII. XIII. XIII. XIII. XIII. XIII. XIII. VIII. VIII.	Calendis. IV. ante III. Nonas Pridie Nonas Nonis. VIII. VII. VII. VII. Pridie Idus Idibus. XVI. Sepunding VIII. XII. Sepunding VIII. XII. Sepunding VIII. XII. Sepunding VII. XII. Sepunding VIII. XIII. S

APPENDIX III.

ROMAN WEIGHTS, COINS AND MEASURES.

[§ 871.] 1. The Roman pound (libra, pondo) is about $\frac{2}{3}$ of the Paris pound, that is 11 ounces and $1\frac{1}{2}$ drachm. (According to Romé de l'Isle it contained 6048 Paris grains, according to Ca-

gnazzi 6135, according to Letronne 6154, according to Paucker and Böckh 6165, 9216 of which make a Paris pound.) It is divided into 12 parts (unciae), and these twelve parts together are called an as. The names of the fractions are: $\frac{1}{12}$ is uncia (about an ounce in weight); $\frac{2}{12}$ sextans, that is, $\frac{1}{6}$; $\frac{3}{12}$ quadrans, that is, $\frac{1}{4}$; $\frac{4}{12}$ triens, that is, $\frac{1}{3}$; $\frac{5}{12}$ quincunx; $\frac{6}{12}$ semis or semissis; i. e. half an as; $\frac{7}{12}$ septunx; $\frac{8}{12}$ bes or bessis, i.e. two parts out of three or $\frac{2}{3}$; $\frac{9}{12}$ dodrans, compounded from dequadrans, i. e. $\frac{3}{4}$; $\frac{10}{12}$ dextans or decunx; $\frac{11}{12}$ deunx, i.e. one ounce less, scil. than These names are also applied to other relations; thus we say; e.g. he was instituted heir ex dodrante; i.e. he received $\frac{9}{12}$; ex deunce, he received $\frac{1}{12}$ of the whole property. An uncia contains 2 semiunciae, 3 duellae, 4 sicilici, 6 sextulae, 24 scrupula or scripula. One ounce and a half is sescuncia (from sesquiuncia). Compounds of as are tressis, 3 ases; octussis, 8 ases; decussis, 10 ases; centussis, 100 ases.

[§ 872.] 2. The most ancient Roman money was of copper, and the as as a coin, was originally a pound of copper coined. At the time when the Romans commenced to coin silver (some years before the first Punic war), the copper as was reduced, at first to $\frac{1}{6}$, afterwards to $\frac{1}{12}$, and at last to $\frac{1}{24}$ of the original weight, so that the coin which had originally weighed a pound of copper, was afterwards only half an ounce in weight.

Silver coins were the denarius, originally equal to 10 ases, and subsequently, after the reduction of the as to $\frac{1}{12}$, equal to 16 ases. Half a denarius was called quinarius; $\frac{1}{4}$ of a denarius sestertius, that is, originally 2 ases and a half (hence it is written HS; i. e. $2\frac{1}{2}$), but when the denarius had become equal to 16 ases, it was worth 4 ases. Silver coins of still smaller value were the libella, $=\frac{1}{10}$ of a denarius; the sembella, $=\frac{1}{20}$ of a denarius; teruncius, $=\frac{1}{40}$ of a denarius, 3 unciae of the ancient, and 4 unciae of the reduced copper money. A denarius weighed a little more or less than 73 Paris grains, but was gradually reduced, under the first emperors, to 63 grains; hence the Roman pound in the times of the republic contained about 84 denarii (which, according to Plin. Hist. Nat. xxxiii. 46., was the legal amount), and in the reign of Domitian from 96 to 100.

Gold was coined in various ways: an aureus in the times of the emperors was equal to 25 denarii or 100 sestertii; conse-

quently 1000 HS are equal to 10 aurei, 100,000 HS to 1000 aurei, and decies HS to 10,000 aurei. The emperor Honorius made 25 pounds of copper coin equal to one solidus (aureus), that is, a pound of copper equal to a silver denarius.

[§ 873.] 3. The Romans generally calculated according to sestertii, and a nummus is simply a sestertius. Instead of mille sestertii, we may say with equal correctness, mille sestertium (genit. plur.), just as we commonly say mille passuum. A million, as was remarked in § 115., is expressed by the form of multiplication: decies centena milia sestertium, or more commonly by decies alone, centena milia being omitted; centies therefore is 10 millions, and millies 100 millions. As people were thus accustomed to hear the word sestertium in connection with mille, they came by a kind of grammatical blunder to consider sestertium as a substantive of the neuter gender, and hence they said unum sestertium, septem sestertia, bis dena sestertia, sexcenta sestertia, &c., instead of unum mille sestertium, septem milia sestertium, &c. In Cicero it does not often occur, but is yet found in some passages, as in Verr. iii. 50.; Parad. 6. 3.; but in the writers of the silver age it is quite common.

Decies sestertium, a million of sestertii, centies sestertium, &c. is used as a singulare tantum of the neuter gender; e.g. Cic. in Verr. ii. 7.: HS decies numeratum esse; Philip. ii. 16.: amplius HS ducenties acceptum hereditatibus rettuli. But the mistake was carried still further by declining this expression; e.g. Liv. xlv. 4.: argenti ad summam sestertii decies in aerarium rettulit, up to the sum of one million sestertii; Cic. Philip. ii. 37.: syngrapha sestertii centies, a bill of ten millions of sestertii; Tacit. Ann. xii. 58.: Bononiensi coloniae, igni haustae, subventum centies sestertii largitione, by a present of ten millions of sestertii; Sueton. Caes. 50.: Serviliae sexagies sestertio margaritam mercatus est, he bought her a pearl for six millions of sestertii; Sueton. Octav. 41.: Senatorum censum duodecies sestertio taxavit, he fixed the senatorial census at 1,200,000 sestertii; Cic. p. Font. (Niebuhr, Fragm.) § 4.: Testis non invenitur in ducentis et tricies sestertio; ad Att. iv. 2.: superficiem aedium aestimarunt HS (sestertio) decies.

[§ 874.] 4. With regard to Greek weights and money, we can here add only a few remarks. An Attic talent (talentum) is equal to 80 Roman pounds; a mina $(\mu\nu\hat{a})$ is the sixtieth part

Downson or return to

of it; i.e. equal to $1\frac{1}{3}$ Roman pound; and 100 drachmae make one mina. Consequently a talent has 60 minae or 6000 drachmae. The same names and proportions occur in the Greek coins. The most common silver coin, which forms the unit in calculations, is the *drachma* (which is worth 6 *oboli*). It varies very much in weight, according to the different places and times, but in general it is considered equal to the Roman denarius. The Attic drachma, however, is somewhat better than the Roman denarius. (See Böckh, The Public Econom. of Athens, chap. 4. 2d edit. Engl. transl.) When compared with Roman money, a mina is equal to 4 aurei, and a talent to 240 aurei, or to 24,000 sestertii.

[§ 875.] 5. The basis of Roman measures is the foot, pes, which, according to the most accurate calculations of modern scholars, contained 131 Paris lines, 144 of which make a Paris foot. The Roman foot is divided either, according to the general fractional system, into 12 unciae, or into 16 digiti (δάκτυλοι). measures are: semipes, $\frac{1}{2}$ foot; palmus, $\frac{1}{4}$ foot or 4 digiti, i. e. the breadth of a hand $(\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta})$, but in later times, and even down to the present day in Italy, the name palmus is transferred to the length of a span, and is equal to 3/4 of a foot. Greater measures are: palmipes, a foot and a palmus, i. e. 11 foot; cubitus $(\pi \hat{\eta} \chi vs)$, $1\frac{1}{2}$ foot; passus, a pace, or 5 feet; actus, 120 feet, or 12 decempedae. The Greek stadium has 600 Greek and 625 Roman feet; 40 stadia are somewhat more than a geographical mile. On the Roman roads mile-stones were erected at intervals of 1000 passus, and such a Roman mile of 5000 feet contains 8 stadia, amounting to very little more than $\frac{1}{3}$ of a geographical mile, whereas a modern Italian mile is $\frac{1}{4}$ of a geographical one. A Gallic leuca is $1\frac{1}{2}$ Roman mile. From leuca the French lieue is formed, but the Franks assigned to it the length of 3 Roman miles.

[§ 876.] A jugerum is a square measure of 240 feet in length, and 120 in breadth, that is 28,800 Roman square feet.

Roman cubic measures for fluids are: the amphora or quadrantal, i. e. a Roman cubic foot; it contains 2 urnae, 8 congii, 48 sextarii, 96 heminae, 192 quartarii, and 576 cyathi. There is only one larger measure, viz. the *culeus*, containing 20 amphorae. Greek cubic measures are: the *metretes* or *cadus*, equal to $1\frac{1}{2}$ amphora; it is divided into 12 χοῦς, and 144 κοτύλαι, so that

one $\kappa o \tau \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta$ is half a sextarius. An amphora of water or wine is said to weigh 80 Roman pounds, and consequently a congius would weigh 10, and a sextarius $1\frac{2}{3}$. As the sextarius, being the most common measure, contains 12 cyathi, these twelfths are denominated, like the 12 unciae of an as, according to the common fractional system, e. g. sextans, quadrans, triens vini, for $\frac{1}{8}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{3}$ of a sextarius.

Dry substances were chiefly measured by the modius, which is the third of an amphora, and accordingly contains 16 sextarii: 6 modii make a Greek medimnus. Respecting this whole subject the reader is referred to the excellent work of Joh. Fr. Wurm, De Ponderum, Nummorum, Mensurarum ac de Anni ordinandi Rationibus apud Romanos et Graecos, Stuttgardiae, 1821. 8vo.

APPENDIX IV.

NOTAE SIVE COMPENDIA SCRIPTURAE; OR ABBREVIATIONS OF WORDS.

[§ 877.] Many words and terminations of frequent occurrence are abridged in ancient MSS. as well as in books printed at an early time; e. g. atque is written atq3, per p; the termination us is indicated by 9 , as in quib 9 , non by \bar{n} , and m and n are frequently indicated by an horizontal line over the preceding vowel. Such abbreviations are no longer used in books, and whoever finds them in MSS. or early prints, may easily discover their meaning with the assistance of a modern text. Praenomina, however, and certain political words, i. e. names of offices and dignities, are still abridged in modern editions. We shall subjoin a list of those which occur most frequently, for the assistance of beginners.

1. Praenomina.

A. Aulus. Ap. Appius. C. or G. Gajus. Cn. or Gn. Gnaeus. D. Decimus.

K. Kaeso.

L. Lucius.

M. Marcus. M'. Manius.

Mam. Mamercus.

N. Numerius.

P. Publius.

Q. or Qu. Quintus.

S. or Sex. Sextus.

Ser. Servius.

Sp. Spurius.

Titus. Т.

Ti. or Tib. Tiberius.

2. Constitutional Designations.

Aed. Aedilis.

Cal. or Kal. Calendae, or other Pl. Plebis.

cases of this word.

Cos. Consul.

Coss. Consules, or Consulibus.

D. Divus.

Des. designatus.

Eq. Rom. Eques Romanus.

F. Filius.

Imp. Imperator.

Leg. Legatus, or Legio.

Non. Nonae, or other cases.

a surname of Juppiter.

P C. Patres Conscripti.

Pop. Populus. P.R. Populus Romanus.

Pont. Max. Pontifex Maximus.

Pr. Praetor.

Praef. Praefectus.

Proc. Proconsul.

S. Senatus.

S.P.Q.R. Senatus populusque Romanus.

O. M. Optimus Maximus, as SC. Senatus consultum.

Tr. Tribunus.

3. Other Abbreviations which are still in use.

A. Anno.

A. c. Anno currente.

A. D. Anno Domini.

A. pr. Anno praeterito.

A. M. Anno mundi.

A. u. c. Anno urbis conditae.

A. Chr. Anno Christi.

a. Chr. ante Christum.

caput.

cf. confer or conferatur.

B. M. Bene merenti.

Dn. Dominus.

D. D. Dono dedit.

D. D. Dono dedit dicavit.

D. M. Diis Manibus.

D. S. De suo.

D. S. P. P. De sua pecunia posuit.

F. C. Faciendum curavit.

Ictus. Iureconsultus.

L. M. Libens merito.

L. S. Loco Sigilli.

MS. Manuscriptus.

i. e. id est.

l. loco or lege: h.l. hoc loco or hac lege.

l. c. or l. l. loco citato or loco laudato.

pag. m. pagina mea.

Ps. Postscriptum.

Q. D. B. V. Quod deus bene

vertat.

S. V. B. E. E. V. Si vales bene

est, ego valeo.

scil. scilicet.

seq. sequens; and seqq. sequentes or sequentia.

v. versus.

vide or videatur. vid.

S. Salutem.

S. D. P. Salutem dicit pluri-

mam.

INDEX.

* * The numbers indicate the paragraphs in brackets.

A (ab, abs, absque), its meaning, 304, 305, 306. 396.

a pedibus, ab epistolis, a rationibus esse, and similar phrases, 305. in fin.

a principio, 304.

a puero, a pueris, 304. a. ab initio, 304.

a Platone, and similar expressions, 304.

abalienare, construction of, 468.

abest mihi, 420. note, 469. Non multum abest quin, 540. Tantum abest ut—ut, 779.

abhinc, 478. and note.

abhorrere, construction of, 468.

abbreviation, in case of several persons having the same praenomen and cognomen, 785.

ablative, with passive verbs, 451. Ablativus instrumenti, 455. Ablativus causalis, 452. Paraphrased by the partic. perf. passive, 454, 719. Ablative denoting price or value, 456. denoting in regard to, 457. with verbs denoting abundance or Ablat. with the adject. want, 460. full and empty, 462. Ablat. of quality, 471. Ablativus modi, 472. Ablat. denoting the time when? 475. Ablat. denoting how long before or after? 476. foll. Ablat, in answer to the question, "how long before the present time?" 478. To the question, "in what time?" 479. Ablat. denoting duration of time, 396. Ablat. of place, 481. Ablat. in poetry and prose instead of ex or a with the ablat., 481, 482. Ablat. with comparatives, 483. Ablat. of measure, 488. Ablat. absolute, 640. foll.; formed with the partic. fut., 643. Ablat. absolute in passive constructions has no reference to the subject. 640. Ablatives absolute, of which the subject occurs in the leading proposition, are rare, 641. Ablat, of the partic. perf. pass. as ablat. absolute, 647. Ablat. absolute as an adverb 648. Ablat. of the gerund denoting instrumentality, 667. Ablat. of the gerund with ab, de, ex, in, pro, 667.

abscissum and abscisum, 189. absolvere, with the genit., 446.

abstract nouns used for concrete ones, 675.

abundare, construction of, 460.

abunde, 267.; with the genitive, 432. ac, use of, 332. foll.; instead of quam, 340. Ac and atque after acque, juxta,

&c., 340. accedere, construction of, 415.

accedit ut, 621, 622.; accedit quod, 626. accent of final syllables, 34. Accent in verse, 828.

accidit ut, 621.

accipere, with the participle future, 653.

accusare, with the genit., 446.

accusative, 382.; with intransit. verbs, 383-386.; with impersonal verbs, 390. Accusativus Graecus, 458.; the same in prose, 459. Accus. denoting space and time, 395. Accus. in answer to the question, "how long before the present time?" 478. Accus. without a preposition in poetry, 401. Accus. in exclamations, 402. Accus. with prepositions, 404; with the verbs of remembering and reminding, &c., 439, 440. Accus. of the subject · in the construction of the accus, with the infinit., 605. Accus. with neuter verbs indicating a particular part, 458. Accus. to denote dress, 458. Accus. in relative clauses with the accus. with the infinit., 774. Accus. of the gerund, 666.

accusative with the infinit. as subject or object, 600.; as nominat. of the predicate, 600. note; with the verbs of saying, declaring, &c., 602.; after relative pronouns and conjunctions, instead of the subjunctive, 603.; used as an exclamation or a question ex-

pressed with indignation, 609.; alternates with ut, 620. Difference between the accus, with the infinit, and the accus. of the gerund, 655.

acquiescere, construction of, 415, 416.

ac non, 334. 781.

ac si, with the subjunctive, 572. active verbs used as deponents, 207.

ad, meaning of, 296.; with the gerund, 666.

ad id locorum, 434.

ad tempus, meaning of, 296.

ad unum omnes, phrase, 296.

adde quod, 628.

adeo, meaning of, 281.

adesse, construction of, 415.

adhibere, construction of, 416. adhuc, meaning of, 292.; adhuc locorum,

434.

adjectives, used as adverbs, 266. 383. in fin., 682.; used substantively, 363.; their neuter gender with substantives of other genders, 368.; used for adverbs of place, 685.; used for ordinal adverbs, 686. Adject. denoting origin, Adject. without a substantive in the construction of the ablat. ab-Adjective, solute, 645, 646. 648. position of, 683. 793. 796. Adject. derived from proper names, and used instead of the genitive of the latter, The same is not frequent in the case of adjectives derived from appellative nouns, 684. note. Construction of two adjectives being compared with each other, 690. Adjectives from which no adverbs are formed, 267. Adjectives in arius, 684. note. jectives formed from names of towns, 255, 256. Relative adjectives, their construction with the infinit, is poetical, 598. 659. in fin.

adipisci, 466.

adire, construction of, 387.

adjutare, construction of, 388. note.

adjuvare, with the accusat., 388.

admonere, construction of, 439.; with ut

or the accusat. with the infinit., 615.

adolescentia, 675.

adscribo, orthography of, 325.

adspergere, construction of, 418.

adulari, construction of, 389. 413.

advenire and adventure, construction of,

adverbs in e, 263.; in o, 264.; in ter, 265.; in im, 268.; in itus, 269.; with double terminations, 265. note. verbs in the form of neuters, 266. Adverbs in the form of a particular case, and in composition, 270. verbs of place with a genitive, 434. Adverbs joined to substantives, 262.

note; used as prepositions, 276.; with participles, 722. Ordinal adverbs instead of numeral adverbs, 727.

adversus, meaning of, 299. ae, diphthong, 2.

aedes, ellipsis of, 762.

aemulari, construction of, 389. note 3., 413

aequalis, construction of, 411.

aequare and aequiparare, construction of, 389. note 2.

aeque ac, 340.

INDEX.

aequi boni facio, &c., 444. note.

aequius and aequum erat, the indicative instead of the subjunctive, 518.

aestimare, with the genitive, 444. affatim, with the genitive, 432.

afficere, construction of, 461.

affinis, construction of, 411. 436.

affluere, construction of, 460.

agere cum aliquo, with the genitive of the crime, 446.; id agere ut, 614.

aggredior, construction of, 387.

ain' for aisne, 218.

ait, ellipsis of, 772.; its position, 802.

Alcaic strophe, 866.

ali, dropped, 136. 70%.

alias and alioqui, difference between, 275.

alienare, construction of, 468.

alienus, construction of, 468. 470.

aliquanto and paulo, difference between, 108. in fin., 488.

aliquantum, with the genitive, 432.

aliquis and aliqui, meaning of, 129.; de-Aliquid joined with an clension, 135. adjective, 433.; aliquid as an adverb, 385. 677. Aliquis and quis, difference in the use of, 708.

aliquispiam, 129.

aliquo, adverb of place, 434.

-alis, the termination, 251.

aliter, adverb, 264. note 1.

alius and alter, difference between, 141. alius-alius, 712.; alius-alium, with the plural, 367.

alius, with the ablative in poetry, 484. Aliud, with the genitive, 432.

allatrare, construction of, 417.

alter and alius, difference between, 141. alter-alter, 700. note. Alter-alterum,

with the plural, 367. altero tanto, 487.

alteruter, 130. 140.

amb (ἀμφί), inseparable preposition, 330. ambire, conjugation of, 215. in fin.

amicior, with the accusative, 458. amicus, construction of, 410.

amplius, with the omission of quam, 485.

an, use of, 353, 354; in indirect questions, 353. and note at the foot of the page. An-an, a poetical and unclas-

sical form of a question, 554. in fin.

anacoluthon, 757. 815. anacrusis, 835. anapaestic verse, 849. angor, construction of, 627. animans, gender of, 78. in fin. animi, in some expressions used for animo, 437.

animo, 472. note 1. animus, used as a circumlocution, 678. an minus, 554. in fin. anne, in double questions, 554. an non, use of, 454. in fin. annus, compounded with numerals, 124.

answer, implied in the question, 716. unte, meaning of, 297.; its position, 324.; with the ablative, 476.

antea and antehac, 323. antecedere, construction of, 488. antecellere, construction of, 417. 488. antequam, construction of, 576. —anus, the termination, 254. aorist of past time, 500.

apage, 222. aposiopesis, 758. 823.

appellare, with two accusatives, 394. appetens, with the genitive, 438. apposition, 370.; its place, 796.

apprime, meaning of, 273. aptus, construction of, 409.; aptus qui, with the subjunctive, 568.; aptus, with the dative of the gerund, 664.

apud, meaning of, 297.; with the names of authors, 297.

aqua, ellipsis of, 763.

arcere, construction of, 468.; with quominus, ne, or quin, 543.

arcessere or accersere, 202.; construction,

ardeo, construction of, 452.

Argos and Argi, 89. arguere, with the genitive, 446.

-arium, the termination, 242.

-arius, the termination, 252. arsis, 827.; lengthened, 828.

as, and its division, 871. -as, the ancient form of the genitive

singular, 45. -as, the termination of the Greek accus.

plural, 74. -as, the derivative termination, 255. c.

Asclepiadean verse, 861.

assentio and assentior, 206.

assequi ut, 618.

assimilation in verbs compounded with prepositions, 325. foll.

assis non habere, 444. note.

-asso, the termination, instead of avero, 161. e.

assuescere, construction of, 416. assuetus, meaning of, 633. asynartetus versus, 859. note. at, use of, 349.; is superfluous, 756.; at vero, use of, 349.

Athos, Mount, declension of, 52. 3. atque, use of, 332. note; meaning, 333.; used for quam, 340. Atque adeo, 737. atqui, use of, 349.

attendere, construction of, 417,

-atus, the termination, 253. attraction, with the dative with licet esse, 601.; with mihi nomen est, 421. Attraction to the case of the leading proposition with the particle quam, in the case of the accusative with the infinitive, 603.; sometimes also in the case of a participle, 774.

audio te canentem and te canere, difference of. 636.

auditur, construed like dicitur, with the nominative and infinitive, 607, note. auscultare, construction of, 413.

ausim, 161. 181.

aut and vel, 336.; aut in a negative sense, 337. Aut-aut, 338. 809.; with the singular, 374.

autem, its position, 355.; ellipsis of autem, 781.

avarus, with the genitive, 436. avidus, with the genitive, 436.

-ax, the termination, 249. 4.

Bacchic verse, 851.

base, of a verse, 858. belle, 294. note.

bello, 475. note, in fin. bellum, construed like the names of towns, 400.

bene te! 759.

benedicere, construction of, 413.

biduum, triduum, 124.

-bilis, the termination, 249. 3.

boni consulo, 444. note. bos, decleusion of, 69.

brevi, scil. tempore, 763.

-bŭlum, the termination, 239.

—bundus, the adjective termination, 248.

C. for Gajus, 4.; its pronunciation, 6. caesura (τομή), 830.; in the senarius, 837; in the hexameter, 842, foll.; caesura bucolica, 844.; caesura in the Sapphic verse, 865.; in Asclepiadean verse, 861.; in Alcaic verse, 862.; in the Saturnian verse, 863.

calendar, calculation of, 867. foll.

canere receptui, to sound a retreat, 422.

capax, with the genitive, 436.

capitis and capite damnare, accusare, 447. caro, ellipsis of, 763.

causa and gratia, joined with mea, tua, sua, &c., 424. 659. 679.; its position,

792.; is omitted, 663. 764. cave, used as a circumlocution for the imperative, 586.; with the subjunc-

tive, without ne, 624.

cavere, construction of, 414. 534. conari, construction, 610. cedere, construction of, 413. concedere, with ut and the accusative with ccdo, the imperative, 223. the infinitive, 613. 624.; with the celare, with two accusatives, 391. participle future passive, 653. censeo, followed by ut, instead of the acconcessive mood, 529. and note. concrete nouns, used for abstract ones. cusative with the infinitive, 617. Ceos, declension of, 52. 3. 673.; for names of public offices, 674. cerneres, 528. condicione, 472. note 1. certe and certo, 266. note 1. conducere, 444.; with the participle fucervices and cervicem, 94. ture passive, 653. cetera and reliqua, for ceteris, 459. conducit, with the dative, 412. ceterum, meaning of, 349. conferre, construction of, 415, 416. cetos and cetus, 89. conficitur ut, 618. ceu, poetical, 340.; with the subjunctive, confidere, construction of, 413. 452. 572. congruere, construction of, 415. Chaos and Chaus, 89. conjugation, ancient forms of, 161. foll.; choriambic verse, 856. 861. paraphrased conjugation, 168. 498. ci or ti, 6. note 1. conjungere, construction of, 415, 416. cingor, construction of, 458. conjunctions, 331. foll. 356.; conjunccio and cieo, 180. tions repeated, 756.; omitted, 782. circa and circum, meaning of, 262. 298. conjunctus, with the ablative alone, 474. circumdare, construction of, 418. conscius, construction of, 437. note 2. circumfundere, construction of, 418. consecutio temporum, 512. foll. cis, citra, meaning of, 298. consentaneum erat, the indicat, used for the subjunctive, 518. citare, with the genitive, 446. clam, adverb and preposition, 321. consentire, 415. clanculum, 321. considere, construction of, 489. Cn., that is, Gnaeus, 4. consors, with the genitive, 436. coarguere, construction of, 446. constare, construction of, 444. 452. coenare and habitare, with the genitive, constituere, construction of, 489.; with 444. note. the infinitive and with ut, 614. coepi, used pleonastically, 753. constructio ad synesim, 368. coeptus sum, 221. in fin. consuescere, 143.; construction of, 416. cognomen, placed after the gentile name, consuctudo est, with the infinitive and 797. with ut, 622. in fin. cogo, construction of, 613. consulere, construction of, 414. collective nouns, with the plural of the consumere, with the dative of the gerund, verb, 366. 664. collocare, construction of, 489. contendere ut, 614. com for cum, in compound verbs, 329. contentus, construction of, 467. Contentus comitari, construction of, 388. note 1. sum with the infinit. perfect, 590. comitiis, 475. note in fin. conterminus, with the dative, 411. commiserari, construction of, 442. contineri, construction of, 452. commonere, commonefacere, construction contingit ut, 621. continuo, meaning of, 272. of, 439. 615. communicare, construction of, 416. contra, meaning of, 299.; contra ea, communis, with the dative and genitive, 349.; contra auro, 323. contraction, 11. commutare, construction of, 456. convenio, construction of, 387. comparare, construction of, 415, 416. convenit, construction of, 413.; used in comparative, with the ablative, 483.; the indicative instead of the subjunct., used pleonastically, 690. 518. compedes, 76. convertere, 145. compertus, with the genitive, 446. note. conviciari, with the dative, 412. complere, construction of, 463. convincere, with the genitive, 446.

complures, construction of, 463.
complures, meaning of, 65. in fin.
componere, construction of, 415.
compos, with the genitive, 436, 437. note
2.
compound words, 260.; verbs compounded with prepositions, 325. foll.; compound numerals, 116. 118.; compounds of sequor and sector, 388. note1.

convinceré, with the genitive, 446.
corpus, used instead of the personal pronoun, 678.
correlative pronouns, 130.; adverbs, 288.
Cos, declension of, 52. 3.
creare, with two accusatives, 394.
credo, 777.; crederes, 528.
Creticus, verse, 850.
cretus, with the ablat., 451.

587 INDEX.

crimine, ellipsis of, 446. -crum, the termination, 239. cui, a monosyllabic word, 11. cui bono fuit, 422. note. cujus, a, um, 139. 2. -culum, the termination, 239.

-culus, diminutive termination of com-

paratives, 104. note. cum, meaning of, 307.; in answer to the question "in what manner?" 472.;

ellipsis of, 473.; appended to the ablative of personal and relative pronouns, 324. in fin.

cumprimis, meaning of, 273.

-cunque, the suffix, 128.

cupere, construction of, 414.; with the nom. or the accus. with the infinit., 609. cupido, gender of, 75. in fin. cupidus, with the genitive, 436.

cupiens, with the genit., 436. cupio tibi, tua causa, 414.

cur, 276. 2.; est cur, 562.

curare, construction of, 614. 653. 713. curiosus, with the genitive, 436.

Damnare, construction of, 446. damnas, indeclinable, 103.

dare, quantity of, 152 note; with the dative, 422.; with the participle future passive, 653.; with the infinit. it is poetical, except with bibere, 653.

Darius and Dareus, 2.

dative with verbs compounded with prepositions, 415.; with verbs of difference, 468.; with verbs of separation, Dative of attraction with licet esse, 601.; with mihi nomen est, 421. Dative with passive verbs instead of ab, 419.; with the participle perf. pass., 419. note. Dativus commodi and incommodi, 405. Dativus ethicus, 409. Dative of the gerund with esse, 664.; with names of dignities and offices, 665.

de, meaning of, 308.; its position, 324.; is used instead of the genitive, 430.; de nocte, 308.

debebat, the indicat. instead of the subjunct. 518.

decedere, construction of, 468. decernere, construction of, 619.

decet, dedecet, with the accus., 390.; decet, with the infinit, active and passive, 608.

declarare, with two accusat., 394. dedocere, construction of, 391.

deesse, with the dative of the gerund,

deest mihi, 420. note.

defective nouns, in case, 88. foll.; in number, 91. foll.

defendere, construction of, 469.

deferre, scil. nomen, with the genit., 446.

deficere, construction of, 388. defungi, construction of, 465. deficere, construction of, 468. dein, as a monosyllable, 11. delectari, construction of, 629. delectat me, 390. note.

deligere, with two accusatives, 394. demonstratives, omitted, 765. note; used

instead of relatives, 805. demovere, construction of, 468.

denique, meaning of, 727. depellere, construction of, 468.

dependence of tenses on one another,

deponents, derived from nouns, 147. note; deponents with the ablat., 465. derivation of verbs from nouns, 235.;

from adjectives, 235. desiderative verbs, 232.

designare, with two accusatives, 394.

desitus sum, 200.

desperare, construction of, 417.

desuetus, 633.

deterior and pejor, difference between, 111. note.

deterrere, with quominus and ne, 543. deturbare, construction of, 468.

dicere, with two accusatives, 394.; ellipsis of, 620, 769.

diceres, 528.

dicit, ellipsis of, 772.

dicitur, construction of, 607.

dies, its gender, 86.; compounded with numerals, 124.; die, by day, 475.; dies repeated, 743.

differre, construction of, 468.

difficile, adverb, 267. and note 2. Difficile est, the indicat. being used for the subjunct., 520.

difficilis, with the infinit., the supine, or ad, 671.

dignari, with the ablat., 467. note.

dignus, with the ablat., 467.; with qui and the subjunct., 568.; with the supine, 670.

diminutive verbs, 233.; substantives, 240.; adjectives, 250.; comparatives, 104. note.

dis or di, the inseparable preposition, 330.

discerneres, 528.

discordari cum aliquo, 469.

dissidere, construction of, 413, in fin.

dissimilis, construction of, 411. distare, construction of, 468.

distinguere, construction of, 468. foll. distributive numerals and their use, 119.

diu, 294. diversus with ab, dat. and genit., 468.

divertor, as a deponent, 209. in fin.

dives, its declension and comparison, 102. note; its construction, 437. note 2.

division of words into syllables, 14. 2. docere, construction of, 391. dolere, construction of, 452, 629. dominari, construction of, 413. domus, its declension, 83.; is construed like names of towns, 400. donare, construction of, 418. donec, 350. 575. donicum, 350. note. doti dico, 422. note. dubitative mood, 530. dubito or non dubito, construction of, 540, 541.; dubito an, meaning of,

354. 541. ducere, like habere, with two accusatives, 394.; in numero or in loco, 394. note 3.; with the genit. 444.; with the dative, 422.

dudum, 287.

duim for dem, 162.

dum, meaning and construction, 350. note. 506, 507. 575.; compounded with a negative, 733.

dummodo, dummodo ne, its meaning, 342.; construction, 572. in fin.

dumtaxat, meaning of, 274. duum, for duorum and duarum, 115. duumviri, is doubtful, 124.

E or ex, meaning of, 309.; is used instead of the genitive, 430.; cases in which it may be omitted, 468.

e re publica, for the good of the republic, 309. in fin.

-e, the ancient termination of the dat. of the third declension, 63.

-e for ei in the fifth declension, 85. 3. e, elided in the imperfect of the fourth conjugation, 162.

ea, quantity of, 16. note 1.

ecce, compounded with pronouns, 132. in fin.; with the nominat. and accus.,

ecqua and ecquae, 136. note. ecquid, meaning of, 351. note. ecquis and ecquisnam, meaning of, 136. edepol, 361. note. edicere ut, 617. edim for edam, 162, editus, with the ablat., 451. edocere, with two accusat., 391. efficere ut, 618. efficiens, with the genit., 438. note. efficitur, with the accus. with the infinit.,

or ut, 618. note. effugere, construction of, 388.

egere, construction of, 463. ei was used anciently instead of i, 2.

ejus used for suus, 550.; (quoad) ejus fieri potest, 434.

-ela, the termination, 237. note.

elision, 8.

ellipsis, 758. foll.; of a preposition, 778.

emere, construction of, 444.

en, compounded with pronouns, 132. in fin.; the interrogative particle, 351.; the interjection with the nominat.,

έν διὰ δυοίν, 741.

-endus and undus, terminations of the part. fut. pass., 167. enim and nam, 345. note.

enimvero, meaning of, 348. note.

ens, 156.

-entissimus, termination of certain superlatives, 105. c. enunquam, 351.

-er, in the lengthening of the infinit. pass., 162.

eo, 344. note; with comparatives, 487.; as a conjunction, 444. note; as an adverb of place with the genitive, 434. eodem, with the genit., 434.

epicene (nomina epicoena), 42.

epistola, with a possessive pronoun, 684. epistolary style, requires the perfect and imperfect instead of the present, 503.

epodus, gender of, 54. in fin.

έποίει and έποίησεν, 500.

equidem, 278.

-ēre instead of ērunt, 163. erga, meaning of, 299.

ergo, 679.

-errimus, termination of certain superlatives, 105.

=ērunt (3d pers. plur. perf. act.), shortened in poetry, 163.

esse, joined with adverbs, 365.; esse a pedibus, ab epistolis, a rationibus, &c., 305. in fin. Esse with the dative, 420. 422.; with the genit. of quality, 427. 448. note 1.; with the dative of the gerund, 664.; with the genit. of the gerund, 662.; with the ablat. of quality, 471.

esse videtur, to be avoided at the end of a

sentence, 819.

esse in the infinit. perf. pass., 592. esse, est, ellipsis of, 776.

est equivalent to licet, 227.

est, qui, with the subjunct., 561.

est, quod, with the subjunct., 562.

est ut, 621. 752.; equivalent to est cur,

et, whether used for ac, 340. note; for etiam, 335.; rarely by Cicero, 698.; is superfluous, 756.; ellipsis of, 783. Difference between et and que, 333.

et-et (que), 337. 809.

et ipse, for etiam, 698.; for idem, 697.

et is (quidem), 699.

et-neque (nec), 337. 809.

et non, 334. 781.

etenim, 345. note.

etiam, its difference from quoque, 335.; with comparatives, 486.

etiamnunc and etiamtum, difference between, 285. etsi, 341. 809. -ētum, the termination, 243. etymology, 231. eu, the diphthong, 1. -ĕus, the termination, 250. evadere, construction of, 468. evenit ut, 621. exacquare, construction of, 389. note 2. excedere, with the accusat., 387. excellere, construction of, 488. excludere, construction of, 468. exire, construction of, 468. existimare, with two accusat., 394.; is used pleonastically, 750. existunt qui, with the subjunct., 561. expedire, construction of, 468. expedit, with the dative, 412. experiens, with the genit., 438. note. expers, with the genit., 436, 437. note 2.; with the ablat., 437. note. 2. ex quo (scil. tempore), 309. 478. 763. exscissum and excisum, 189. extemplo, meaning of, 272. extra, meaning of, 300. extremum est ut, 621. exuere, construction of, 418. exulare, construction of, 468.

exuor, construction of, 458.

Fabula, ellipsis of, 39. in fin.

ing a circumlocution for the impera-

tive, 586.; with the subjunct., 618.

Fac form-

fac (facio), quantity of, 24.

facere de aliquo, aliquo, alicui, and facere cum aliquo, 491.; facere, with two accusatives, 394.; with the genitive, 444.; with a participle, 618. facere certiorem, construction of, 394. note 1. facere non possum quin, 538. facere quod, 628. facere ut, 618, 619. 816. facere, ellipsis of, 771. facile, adverb, 267. facilis and difficilis, with the supine or ad, 671. factum, egregie factum, 722. fallit me, 390. note. familiaris, with the dative and genit., 410. familias, the ancient genit., 45. note 1. fando audire, 220. fas, with the supine in u, 670. faxo, faxim, &c., 161. e. fearing, verbs of, with ut and ne, 533. febris, ellipsis of, 763. fecundus, with the genit., 436. fer, quantity of, 24. ferax, with the genit., 436. fere and ferme, their difference from paene and prope, 279.

fertilis, with the genit., 436, 437, note 2. fertur, 607. fidere, construction of, 413. 452. fieri and esse, with the genit., 444. 448. fieri non potest ut, 621.; quin, 538. figures, 821., &c. filius and filia, ellipsis of, 761. finitimus, with the dative, 411. fit, construction of, 621. flagitare, with two accusat., 393. flocci habere, 444. note. florere, with the ablat., 460. foras and foris, 400. in fin. forem, 156. in fin. 224. fore ut, 594. forte, fortasse, forsitan, 271. 728. fortuna fortes, 759. fortuitus, as a word of three syllables, 11. fractions, how expressed, 120. freni, proved to occur, 99. frequentative verbs, 231. 1. fretus, construction of, 467. frui, construction of, 465, 466. frustra, meaning of, 275. fugere, construction of, 388.; fugit me, 390. note. fuisse instead of esse, with the part. perf. pass., 592. fulgurat and fulminat, difference of, 228. fungi, construction of, 465, 466. future tense, its use, 509, 510. 516.; in the sense of the imperat., 586.; future perfect, 511.; future perfect with ero and fuero, 168. note. futurum esse ut, 594, 621. futurum fuisse ut, 595. G, the letter, 4. gaudeo, construction of, 629.

gender, of the predicate, 376. genitive, of the subject and object, 423. and note 1. The genitive instead of a noun in apposition, 425. genit. with adverbs of place, 434.; with neuters of adjectives, 435.; with relative adjectives, 436.; with the participle present active, 438.; with neuters of pronouns, and adjective pronouns, 432. Genitive of quality, 426, 427. Pleonastic genitive in expressions denoting time, 434. in fin. Genitive paraphrased by prepositions, 430.; of value and price, 444, 445.; of guilt and crime, 446.; of punishment, 447.

genitive of the gerund, 425. 659. foll.; joined with the genit. plural of substantives, 661.

genitive, of the participle fut. passive with esse, 662.

genitivus partitivus, 429. 431. genitive, its position, 791.; instead of the ablat., 437. 470.; instead of the accusat., 661.; the genit. animi with adjectives, 437. 1.

genitus, with the ablat. alone, 451. gentium, used pleonastically, 434.

genus, used in circumlocutions, 678.; (hoc, id, illud, omne) genus, used adverbially, 428.; genus clari, for genere, in Tacitus, 458.

gerund, in a passive sense, 658.

gerundivum, 656.

gladiatoribus, in answer to the question, "when?" 475. note.

gloriari, construction of, 452. gnarus, with the genit., 436.

gradatio, a figure, 822.

gratia and causa, 679.; their position, 792.

gratias agere, construction of, 628. grutias, 271.

gratis constat, equivalent to nihilo constat, 445.

gratulari, construction of, 629. gratum mihi est, construction of, 626. Greek words in pos, Latinized, 52. 1.

Habere, with two accusat., 394.; in numero, or in loco, 394. note 3.; with the genit., 444.; with the dative, 442.; with the participle perf. pass.,

habeo (non habeo) quod, with the subjunct., 562.; habeo facere, 562. 653.

hac, 291.

hactenus, meaning of, 291. fin.

haec, instead of hae, 132.

haud and non, difference between, 277.;

haud scio an, 354. 721 hei, with the dative, 403.

heu, with the accusat., 402.

hexameter, 841.

hiatus, 8. 10.; within a word, 11.

hic, meaning of, 127.; in expressions of time, 479. note; as an adverb of place with the genit., 434.

hic - ille, 700. foll.; hic joined with talis and tantus, 701. note; hic et hic, hic et ille, 701.

hic, huc, hinc, adverbs of place, 291.

hinc, 344. note, 291.

historical infinitive, 582.; historical period, 817.

hoc, pleonastic, 748.; with the genit., 432.

hoc, with comparatives, 487.

hoc dico, 700.

homo, homines, ellipsis of, 363. 381. 760.

honor and honos, 59.

hortor, construed with ut, 615. hortus and horti (hortuli), 96.

hostis, with the genit. and dative, 410. huic, as a monosyllable, 11.

huc, with the genit., 434.

hujus non facto, 444, note.

hypothetical sentences, 519. 524.; in the infinitive, 593. 595, 596. Hypothetical subjunctive, 529.

I and u, middle sounds, 2.; i and e for the Greek e., 1. The letter i, 3.; i in the genit. singul. instead of is, 61.1.; in the ablative of names of towns, 63. in fin., and the note at the foot of page; i, for ii in the genit. sing. of the second declens., 49.; in the perfect, 160.; i, instead of ji in the compounds of jacere, 183.

-ia, the termination, 246. 2. -ia, quantity of, 16. note 1.

jam, e. g. nihil jam, 286. iambic verse, 835. foll.

jamdudum, 287.

jampridem, 287. in fin.

-ibam, instead of iebam, 162.

—ibo, instead of iam, 162.

-icio, instead of jicio, in the pounds of jacio, 183, in fin.

-icus, the termination, 250. 2.

ictus, 828.

id, with the genit., 432.; id aetatis, id temporis, 459.; id agere ut, 614.; id quod, instead of quod, 371.

idem, meaning of, 127.; with the genit.. 432.; instead of etiam and tamen, 127. 697.; idem qui, ac, atque, ut, cum, 704.; idem with the dative, 704. in fin.

identidem, 276. 2.

idoneus, with qui and the subjunctive, 568.; with the dative of the gerund, 664.

jecur, declension of, 57.

igitur, 355.; placed first in Cicero, 357.; equivalent to "I say," 739.

ignarus, with the genitive, 436.

-ile, the termination, 244.

iidem and iisdem, pronunciation of, 132. note.

-ĭlis, the termination, 249. 3.

- $\bar{\imath}lis$, the termination, 250. 3. illac, 291.

illacrimare, construction of, 416.

illaec, instead of illae, 132. ille, meaning of, 127. 700.; in expressions denoting time, 479.; as a pronoun of the third person, 125. note; the preceding substantive is understood, 767 note. Ille and hic, 700.

702.; ille joined with talis and tantus, 701 note; ille et ille, 701. note; ille quidem, 744.

illic, illuc, illinc, 291.

-illimus, termination of superlatives, 105. b.

illo, 291. note.

illud, with the genit., 432.; used pleonastically, 748.

illudere, construction of, 417.

INDEX.

imitari, 388. immemor, 436. immunis, 437. note 2. 468. immo, meaning of, 277.

impatiens, with the genit., 438.

impedire, with quominus and ne, 543. c.;

with the infinitive, 544. imperare, construction of, 617.

imperative, 583.; paraphrased by fac, noli, cave, 586.; the imperative of direct speech becomes the subjunctive

in the oratio obliqua, 603. Use of the imperative future, 584.; the imperat. fut. passive has no second person plur., 151. 164. The imperat. perf. passive, 587.

imperfect of the indicative, 502.; the imperfect in hypothetical sentences, 524.; used instead of the pluperfect, 525. 528.

imperfect, of the subjunctive, in doubtful questions, 530. note; its difference from the perfect of the subjunct., 504. imperitus, construction of, 436.

impersonal verbs, with the accusat., 390.; with the genit., 441.; with the ablat., 464.; with the dative, 412.

impertire, 418. impetrare ut, 618. implere, 463. imponere, 490. impos, 436. impotens, 436.

imprimere, 416. imprimis, meaning of, 273.

impune, adverb, 267.

in, meaning of, 314. foll.; with the accusat, instead of the ablat., 316.; with the ablat. in answer to the question "whither"? 489.; omitted in expressions denoting place, 481, 482.; in expressions denoting time, 475.; with names of towns, 398. In manibus esse, habere, 316.; in dies, with comparatives, 315.

in, the negative prefix in compounds, 328.

inanis, 437. note 2. incassum, meaning of, 275.

incedere, 387.

incertum est an, 354.

inchoatives, 234.; list of them, 204. foll. incidit ut, 621.

incommodure, 412.

increpare, 446.

incumbere, 415, 416.

incuriosus, with the genit., 436.

incusare, 446.

inde, 344. note.

indicative, in sentences of a condicio imperfecta, 518, 519. b. 520, 521, 522.; in inserted clauses, 516.; as a circumlocution, 547, 548.

indigere, 463. indignari, 629.

indignus qui, with the subjunct., 568.; indignus with the ablative, 467.

591

indirect speech, 545. foll., 603.

induere, 418. inesse, 415, 416.

infamare, 446.

infinitive perfect, instead of the infinit. present, 590. 611.; infinit. future with verbs of promising and hoping,

605.; infinit. future, paraphrased; the historical infinit., 599. note; the infinit. as the subject, 597, 598.; as the object, 597.; as the predicate, 600. note. The infinit, with relative adjectives is poetical, 598. 659. in fin.; the infinit. instead of the genit. of the gerund, 659.; instead of ut with the subjunct., 616.

infinitum est, the indicat. instead of the

subjunct., 520.

infra, meaning of, 300. inimicitiae, a plurale tantum, 94.

inimicus, with the dative and genit., 410. initio, at first, without a preposit., 495.

innitor, 452.

inquam, "I say," 739.

inquit, ellipsis of, 772.; its position, 802.

insatiabilis, with the genit., 436.

inscius, with the genit., 436.

inscribere, 416. 490. insculpere, 490.

inserere, 490.

insimulare, 446. insolens, 436.

insolitus, 436.

inspergere, 418.

instar, 89.

instituo, 615.

insuesco, 416.

insuetus, with the genit., 436.

insumere, with the dat. of the gerund, 664.

integrum est ut, 623.

intentum esse, with the dative of the gerund, 664.

inter, meaning of, 300.; with the gerund, 666.; instead of the genit., 430.; repetition of, 745.

intercedere, with quominus and ne, 543.

intercludere, 418. interdicere, 418, 469.

interdiu, 475.

interea, 270.

interea loci, 434.

interesse, construction of, 415. 449, 745. interjections, 359. foll.; construction of, 403.

interrogare, with two accusat., 393.; with the genit., 446.

interrogative particles, 351. note.

terrogative sentences, 552, 553, 554.; in the accusat. with the infinit., 603. intra, meaning of, 300.

intransitive verbs used in a transitive sense, and governing the accusat., 383.; with the dative, 412.

invadere, 387.

invenire, with two accusat., 394. inveniuntur qui, with the subjunct., 561.

inventum, as a substant. joined with an adverb, 722.

invidere, 412, 413.

invidendus, as an adjective, 657.

—inus, the termination, 252.

inutilis, 409.; with the dative of the gerund, 664.

-is, the termination, 237.

Ionic verse, 852. foll.

ipse, meaning of, 125. note, 695. 702.; used as the subject, 696.; with possessive pronouns, 696. note; in the oblique cases, 702. Ipsum joined to an infinitive, 598.; to nunc and tum, 270.; et ipse, instead of etiam, 698.

irasci, with the dative, 412.; its perfect succensui, 209.

ire, with the supine, 669.

irony, implied in certain particles, 345.

note, 526.

is, meaning of, 127.; at the beginning of a sentence, 699.; instead of the reflective pronoun, 604.; ellipsis of, 765, 766.; used pleonastically with quidem, 744.; isque, et is (quidem), 699.; is—qui, 556. 704.

is (eis), in the accusat. plur. instead of ēs, 68. note.

-is, dropped in the preterite tenses,

161. islands, names of, construction of, 398.

note 1. istac, 291.

iste, meaning of, 127. 701.; iste joined with talis and tantus, 701. note.

istic, istuc, istinc, adverbs of place, 291.

isto, as an adverb, 291. note. istud, with the genitive, 432.

ita, 281. 726.; used pleonastically, 748.; ita ut, 726.

itaque, 344. note; its position, 355.; comp. 739.

__itas, the termination, 246.

iterum, 123.

itinere without the preposit. in, 482. juheor facere, vetor, 607.

jubere, construction of, 412.; with the accus. with the infinit. &c., 617.

jucundus, with the supine, infinit., or ad, 671.

judicare, with two accusat., 394.; with the genit. of a crime, 446.

jugerum, declension of, 97.; its meaning, 875.

-ium, derivative termination, 241.

-ium, in the genit. plur. of the third declens., 66.

junctus, with the ablat. alone, 474. Juppiter, declension of, 69.

—ius, quantity of, 16.; termination, 251.6

juratus, with an active meaning, 123. juris (e) consultus, 437. note 2.

justum erat, the indicat. instead of the subjunct., 518.

juvare, 388.; juvat me, 390. note.

juxta, as an adverb, 323.; juxta ac (atque), 340. note.

K, the letter, 5.

Laborare, 452.

laetari, with the ablat., 452.

latet me and mihi, 390. note. lavere, instead of lavare, 171.

laurus, declension of, 97.

laxare, 468.

legatus, joined with the genit. and dative, 681.

lege, 472. note 1.

legem dare, construction of, 617.

—lentus, the termination, 252. 10.

levare, 468.

liberare, with the genit., 446.; with the ablat. alone or with ab, 468.

liber, 468.

licere, with the genit., 444.

licet, construed as a conjunction, 574.; licet esse, with the accus. and the dative, 601.; with the infinit. act. and pass., 608.; with the subjunct., 624.; licet, the indicat. instead of the subjunct., 518.; used pleonastically, 750.

litterae, orthography of, 12.; with distributive numerals, 119.; with pos-

sessive pronouns, 684.

locare, with the genit., 444.; with in and the ablat., 489.; with the participle fut. pass., 653.

loci, locorum, 434.; loci and loca, difference between, 99.

loco and locis, without the prepos. in,

locus, in apposition to names of towns, 399.

logaoedic verse, 858.

long syllables, 15.; long vowels, 16 note 1.; in certain words, 17.

longe, with comparatives and superlatives, 108.

longus, with the accusat., 395.

longum est, the indicat. instead of the subjunct., 520.

luci, in the phrase cum primo luci, 78.

ludere, transitive and intransitive, 383. ludis, in answer to the question "when"? 475. note.

-lus, la, lum, the termination, 240.

Mactare, 418.

macte, 103., and macti, 453.

magis, used in circumlocutions, 106. 114. 690.; pleonastically, 747.

magnam, maximam partem, 459.

magni, parvi, &c., with the verbs of estimating, 444, 445.

magno, parvo, &c., with the verbs of buying, 445.

maledicere, 412.

malim, with the subjunct., 624.

malle, construction of, 488.

mallem, meaning of, 528. mandare, 617.

mane, 89. 270.

manere, with the accusat., 383.

manifestus, with the genit., 446. note. mare, ellipsis of, 763.

me, before the names of gods, 361. note. mederi, with the dative, 412. medicari and medicare, construction of,

413.

meditari ut, 614.

mediusfidius, 361. note.

mehercule, 361. note.

melius erat, the indicat. instead of the subjunct., 518.

melos, melus, and melum, 89.

memini, construction of, 439, 440.; with the infinit. present, 589.

memor, 436.

-men, the termination, 238.

in mentem venit, construction of, 439, 440.

-mentum, the termination, 238. -met, the suffix, 131. 139.

metuens, with the genit., 438.

metuere, construction of, 414. 533. meum est, 448.

mi, for mihi, 131.

mihi crede, instead of profecto, 801.

mile, Roman, 875.

miles, instead of milites, 364.

militia, construed like the names of towns, 400.

military expressions without the prepos. cum, 473.

mille and milia, 116.

millies, in the sense of "very often," 692.

million, how expressed, 115.

minimum, with the genit., 432. ministrare, construction of, 412. 653. minus, with the genit., 432.; instead of

non, 731.; without quam, 397. 485. miror, 629.

miserari, 442.

miserescere and misereri, 442.

miseret, 390. 441.

mittere, with the particip. fut. pass., 653.; with the particip, fut. act., 668.

mode of an action, 271. moderari, 414.

modi, joined with a pronoun, supplies the place of a pronoun of quality, 678.

modo, 472. note 1. modo-modo, 723.

modo non, i. e. "nearly," 729.

modo ne. 572.

modus, used in circumlocutions, 678.

monere, with ut, or the accus. with the infinit., 615.

mora, in verse, 826.

mos or moris est, construction of, 622.

movere, as an intransitive verb, 145.

mox, meaning of, 287.

multi et, 756.

multo, with superlatives, 108.; with comparatives, 487.

multum, with the genit., 432.

multus and plurimus, the singul. instead of the plural, 109.

muta cum liquida, 31.

mutare and commutare, construction of, 456.

mutuo, 264.

Nae, 360. note.

nam and enim, 345. note; nam, namque, 345. note, 739, 808. note.

names in ius used as adjectives, 254.

nascitur, 615.

natu, 90. 670.

natura fert, ut, 622. in fin.

natus, "old," with the accus., 397.; with the ablat., 451.

nauci habere, 444. note.

ne, inseparable particle, 330. in fin.

ne, 24. note, 347. 532. 573.

ne, the interrogative particle, 352. 554.; with the accus. with the infinit., 609.

ne-ne, a poetical and unclassical form of a question, 454.

ne aliquid, 708.; ne multa, ne multis, ne plura, 769.; ne non, 535.; after vide, 754. note.

ne, with the subjunct. instead of the imperat., 529. note.

ne, with the imperative, in Plautus and Terence, 585.

nec-nec, with the singular, 374.

nec, instead of ne-quidem, 277.

nec, instead of neu, 535. in fin.

nec ipse, 698.

nec is, 699.

necne, 554. nec non, 334.

necesse, 103. in fin.; necesse est, construction of, 625.; with the infinit. act. and pass., 608.

necesse fuit, the indicat. instead of the subjunct., 519.

nedum, with the subjunct., 573. 724. a. nefas, with the supine in u, 670.

negatives, doubled, 337.; instead of an affirmative expression, 754. foll.; joined with a conjunction, 738.

negligens, with the genit., 438.

nego, instead of non dico, 799.

negotium, ellipsis of, 448. nemo and nullus, 88. 676.; nemo est qui,

with the subj. 561.; nemo non, 755. nempe, meaning of, 278. 345. note.

nequa and nequae, 137. note.

nequaquam, 289.

neque and et non, 334.

neque, instead of et ne, 535.

neque—neque, or nec—nec, neque—nec, nec—neque, 338.

neque enim, neque vero, neque tamen, 808. neque (nec)—et (que), 338.

neque non, 754.

neque quisquam, ullus, unquam, &c., 738. nequicquam, meaning of, 275.

ne-quidem, 277.; its position, 801. ne quis, 137. 709. 738.

nescio an, meaning of, 354. 721.; nescio an nullus, nunquam, 721.; nescio quis, equivalent to aliquis, 553. in fin,

nescius, with the genit., 436.

neve, 347. 535. 585.

neuter, pronunciation of, 1.; difference between neuter and ullus, 431.

neuters of adjectives, used as adverbs, 267.; as substantives with a genit., 435.; neuters of pronouns with intransitive verbs, 385.; neuters of pronouns, used as substant. with a genit., 432, 433.; used as substantives, 368.; the neuters of possessive pronouns used instead of the genit. of personal pronouns, 448, 449.

neutralia passiva, 148.

ni, use of, 343.

nihil, with the genit., 432.; instead of non, 677.; nihil aliud quam, 735. 771.; nihil est quod, with the subjunct., 562.; nihili facio, 444. note; nihil non, 755.; nihilo secius, 283.

nimirum, 271. 345. note.

nisi and si non, 343.; nisi, with the indicat., 526.; nisi, "except," 732. b. 735.; nisi forte, with the indicat., 526.; nisi quod, 627.; nisi vero, with the indicat., 526.

nocte, noctu, 475.

voli, used in paraphrasing the imperat.,

nolim, with the subjunct., 624.

nollem, meaning of, 528.

nomen est, construction of, 421.

nominare, with two accusatives, 394.

nominative, with the infinit., in the case of the passive verbs of thinking and declaring, 607.; with the active of the same, 612. Import of the nominative, 379.; nominative instead of the vocative, 492.

nomine, 679.

non, followed by a negative, 754. in fin.; non without a conjunction, 781.; its position, 799.; non with the imperative, 585. note; difference between non and haud, 277.

nondum, necdum, &c. 733.

non est quod, with the subjunct., 562.

non ita, non item, 730.

non magis-quam, 725. 809.

non minus-quam, 725.

non modo—sed (verum), equivalent to non dicam—sed, 724. 809.

non modo, instead of non modo non, 724.
b.

nonne, 352.

non nemo, nihil, nullus, nunquam, 755.

nonnihil, 677.

non nisi, "only," 755.; its position, 801. non possum non, 754.

non quo (quod, quin), 536, 537. 572.

non quia non, instead of non quin, 537. non tam—quam, 724.

nos, instead of cgo, and noster instead of meus, 694.

nostri and nostrum, difference between, 431. 694.

not, expressed by parum, minus, 731.; by non item, 730. b.

not, how expressed with an imperat., 585.

not only—but (also), expressed by non solum—sed etiam, 724.; sed et, 335.

noun, placed in the dependent clause, 814.; proper nouns used as adjectives, 258.

novum est ut, 523.

noxius, with the genit., 446. note; with the dative of the gerund, 664.

nubere, with the dative, 406. nubilo. 646.

nudius tertius, 270.

nullius and nullo, instead of neminis and nemine, 676.

nullus and neuter, difference between, 431.; nullus est qui, with the subjunct., 561.; nullus, 676.; used for non, 688.; nullus non, 755.; nullus dubito, 688. in fin.

num, meaning of, S51. note; is not used in double questions, 554.; num—num, in poetical and unclassical forms of a question, 454. in fin.

number, of the verb when belonging to several subjects, 373, 374.; when they

are connected by cum, 375.

of, 773.

numerals, with the genitive, 429. numerus, oratorical, 818.; poetical, 827. numqua and numquae, 137. numquid, 351. note. nuncupare, with two accusat., 394. nunc-nunc, 723.; nunc and tunc, 732. nunquam non, 755. nuntiatur, like dicitur, with the nominat. and the infinit. 607. nuper, 287. nuspiam, 284. nusquam, 433. O, instead of u after v, 2.; instead of au, 2. o, the termination of verbs, its quantity, 26. note. o, with the accusat., 402.; with the vocat., 492. o si, with the subjunct., 571. ob, meaning of, 300. obire, 387 oblivisci, 439. obruo, 460. obsequi, 388. note 1. obstare, with quominus and ne, 543. obtrectare, 412, 413. obumbrare, 417. occumbere, 387. occurrit, ut, 621. a. oe, the diphthong, 2. Oedipus, declension of, 59. officere, with quominus and ne, 543. olere, 383. olli, olla (from ollus, i. e. ille), 132. note. omnino, 266. note 2. omnium, with superlatives, 691. $-\bar{o}n$, termination of the genit. plur., 73. b. operā meā, equivalent to per me, 455. operam dare, ut, 614. a. oportebat, oportuit, the indicat. instead of the subjunct., 518. oportet, construction of, 600. 625. oppetere mortem, 387. oppido, 107. note, 266. note 2. oppidum, in apposition to names of towns, 399. oppleo, 460. optabilius erat, the indicat. for the subjunct. 518. optare, 610. 613. opus, with the supine in u, 670.; opus est, construction of, 464. 625.; with the

infinit. active and passive, 608.

-or, the termination, 236.

oratio obliqua, 603.

ordine, 472. note 1.

ordo, ellipsis of, 763. oriundus, meaning of, 210.

orbare, 460.

orbus, 462.

orthography, 12. ortus, with the ablat. alone, 451.; ortus ab aliquo, 451. note. -osus, the termination, 252, 9, Puene and prope, differ from fere and ferme, 279. palam, 321. par ac, 340. note. par erat, the indicat. for the subjunct., parare, with the infinit. and ut, 611. parcere, 194.; with the dative, 412. pariter ac, 340. note. pars, its use in fractional numerals, 120.; ellipsis of, 763.; pars-pars with the plural, 367. particeps, with the genit., 436. participles, with the genit., 438.; used in paraphrases, 454. 718. participle perf. pass., used in circumlocution for the ablat. denoting cause, 454. 719.; denoting a permanent condition, 495. participle fut. pass., its signification, 499. 631. 649.; in the infinit., 596. participle perfect of deponents, in a passive sense, 632. participle, used for the infinit., 636.; for a substantive, 637. participle fut. act., its genit. not in use, its plural, 639.; in the infinit., 593. participle perf. pass., used alone as an ablat. absolute, 647. participle perfect, of both passives and deponents, denoting merely priority, 635. participle fut. pass., 631. 649. foll.; with the indicat., 518.; comp. 650. participle pres. act., with a genit., 438. 714. partim, 271. 723. parum, with the genit., 432.; in the sense of "not enough," 731. parumper, 276. parvi, see magni. parvo, see magno. passive verbs, with a reflective meaning, 146.; with the accusat., 391. note. passive construction, in the accusat, with the infinit., 606. pathetic word, 789. patiens, 438. patior, 613. patrocinari, with the dative, 412. patronymics, 245. paulisper, 276. paulo and aliquanto, difference between, peculiaris, 411. QQ2

oro, construction of, 393. 615.; ellipsis

polleo, 460.

pondo, 87.

pone, 302.

ponere, 489.

position, 30, 31.

pecuniae, ellipsis of, 763. pejor and deterior, difference between, 111. note. pellere, 468. penděre, with the genit., 444. penes, meaning of, 300. pensi and pili habere, 444. note. . pentameter verse, 846. penus, penum, 84. -per, the suffix, 276. per, meaning of, 301.; used to denote the means, 455.; in adjurations, 794. per and prae, strengthen the meaning of adjectives, 107. percontari, 393. perditum ire, for perdere, 669. perduin, for perdam, 162. perfect, used as an aorist, 513. foll.; perfect indicat., 500.; perfect subjunct., equivalent to the present, 527. perficere ut, 618. perinde and proinde, 282.; perinde ac (atque), 340. note. period, 810.; its structure, 810. foll. periodus μονόκωλος, 810. peritus, 436. permittere, with the infinit. or ut, 613.; with the subjunct. alone, 624.; with the participle fut. pass., 653. Perseus, declension of, 52. 4. persuadcre, 407.; with ut, or the accusat. with the infinit., 615.; persuasum mihi habeo, 634. pertaesus, construction of, 442. 633. pertinere, ellipsis of, 770. petere, construction of, 393.; with ut, 615. phalaecian verse, 860. piget, construction of, 390. 441. plane, 263. in fin. plenus, with the genit., 437. 2. pleonasm, 742. foll.; in quoting the words of another person, 749.; in certain verbs, 750. plerique and plurimi, difference between,

109. note.

plerumque, 266.

persons, 785.

plurimo, 445.

pluralia tantum, 93.

109. note; plurimi, 444.

plurimum, with the genit., 432.

how used by historians, 508.

possessive pronouns, ellipsis of, 768. possum, for possem, 520. post, with the ablat., 476.; with the accusat., 477, 478. postea, 276. in fin.; postea loci, 434. posterior and postremus, for posterius and postremum, 686. postquam and posteaquam, with the perfect indicat., 506.; with the imperfect or pluperfect, 507. postulare, construction of, 393. 613.; with the genit., 446. potens, with the genit., 436. poterat, the indicat. for the subjunct., 518. potiri, 465, 466. potius, used pleonastically, 747.; ellipsis of, 779. si potuero, 510. potus, pransus, in an active sense, 633. prae, meaning of, 107. 310. praebere, with two accusat., 394. praecedere, 387. praecipue, 273. praeditus, 460.; ellipsis of, 471. note. praeesse, 415. praefectus, with the genit. and dative, 681. praescribere, 617. praesente and praesenti, difference between, 64. note 1. praesertim, meaning of, 273. praestare, with the dative, 387.; with pluperfect, in English and Latin, 505.; two accusat., 394.; with the ablat., praestolari, 207. 413. plural, of verbs with collective nouns, 366.; the plural of abstract nouns, praeter, meaning of, 302.; used as an adverb, 323.; praeter modum, 302. 92.; the plural of pronouns instead of the singular, 694.; in praenomens praetereā, 270. and cognomens common to several praeterquam quod, 627. 735. praeterit me, 390. note. praevertor, deponent, 209.; construction plurimi and plerique, difference between, of, 417. precari, 615. prece, defective in the singular, 89. predicate, 365.; its number, 373.; its plus, with the genit., 432.; rarely used gender, 376. for mugis, 725.; non plus for non maprepositions, put after their case, 324.; gis, 725.; plus without quam, 485. inseparable prepositions, 330.; pre-

poenitendus, used as an adjective, 657.

poetical arrangement of words, 795.

pondo libram, libras, 428.

pono, posui, positum, 18. 3.

porro, meaning of, 289. 348. note.

with the subjunct. alone, 624.

poscere, construction of, 393.; with ut

or the accus, with the infinit., 613.;

poenitet, construction of, 390. 441, 442.

positions used as adverbs, 323.; in composition with other words, 325.; their position, 324. 794.; expressed by participles, 454.; repeated, 745.; ellipsis of prepositions, 778.

present tense, used for the future, 510. as an historical tense, 501.

pretii and pretio, ellipsis of, 445.

prior and primus, for prius and primum,

prius, used pleonastically, 747. in fin. priusquam, 576.

pro, meaning of, 311.; pro nihilo habere, 444. note.

pro eo and proinde ac, 340. note.

pro se quisque, 312.; with the plural of the verb, 367.

probare alicui, meaning of, 419. note. procul, 321.

profecto, 266. note 2.

prohibere, construction of, 468.; with quominus and ne, 543.; with the infinit., 544.; especially in the passive,

proin, makes one syllable, 11. proinde, 282. 344. note.

pronoun, relative, in the gender and number of the noun following, 372.; attracts the noun of the leading sen-Personal pronouns, how tence, 814. their genit. arose, 660.; with the accusat. with the infinit., 604.; use, Possessive pronouns, omitted, 768.; used for the personal ones with a preposition, 424. 684.

pronominal relations, expressed

special sentences, 715.

prope, 267. note 1., 323.; its construction, 411.; prope and propter, 802. propemodum, 279.

propinguus, with the dative, 411. proprium, ellipsis of, 448.

proprius, construction of, 411.

propter, meaning of, 302.; used as an adverb, 265. note 1. 323.

prospicere, 414. prostare, 444.

proverbs, elliptical expressions in, 759.

protinus, meaning of, 272. providere, construction of, 414.

providus, with the genit., 436. prudens, with the genit., 436.

-pse, the suffix, 132. in fin. -pte, appended to suo, sua, 139. note.

pudendus, used as an adjective, 657. pudet, construction of, 390. 441. 443. pugna, for in pugna, 375. note.

pugnam pugnare, 384. punior, a deponent, 206. in fin.

purgare, with the genit., 446. purus, construction of, 468.

putare, with two accusatives, 394.; with

the genit., 444.; used pleonastically, 750.

putares, 528.

Qu, 5. 31. 106. note.

qua, as a correlative, 288.

qua-qua, 723. quaero, construction of, 393.

quaeso, 223.; with the accusat., 393. qualis, 765. note.

qualisqualis, 128.

qualis-talis, 704.

quam and ac, 340.; quam, with comparatives, 483, 484.; ellipsis of, 485.; with superlatives, 108. 689.; quam or quum and ex quo after ante and post, 478.; quam est (erat), ellipsis of, 484. in fin.; quam, with the subjunctive after comparatives, 560. note; quam, pro, with comparatives, 484. in fin.; quam qui, with comparatives, 560.; with superlatives, 774. note.

quamquam, peculiar use of, 341. note; construction of, 574.

quamvis, construction of, 574.

quando, quandoquidem, meaning of, 346. quantity, 15. foll.; of derivative words, 17. 1.; of Greek words, 16. note 1.;

of derivative syllables, 20. quanto, with comparatives, 487. quantuluscunque, with the indicat., 521.

quantum, with the genit., 432. quantum possum, the indicat., 559.

quantus, for quam with posse with superlatives, 689.; quantus-tantus, 704.; quantuscunque, with the indicat., 521.

quasi, adverb, 282.; with the subjunct., 572.; quasi and quasi vero, used in an ironical sense, 572. 716.

que, its generalising character when appended to pronouns and adverbs, 288.; its position, 358.; used pleonastically, 807.; is lengthened in verse by the arsis, 828. in fin.; difference between que and et, 333.; queet, que-que, 338.

queo and nequeo, 261.

qui, the ablat., 133. note; quicum for quocum and quacum, 133. note, comp. 561. in fin.

qui, for quis, 134.; difference between qui and quis, 134. note.

qui, with the subjunct., 556. foll., 559.; with esse and a substantive instead of quo, 705.; qui vero, qui autem, 805.

quia, meaning of, 346. quicunque and quisquis, difference between, 128.; quicunque, with the in-

dicat., 521.; instead of omnis and quivis, 706. quid, with the genit., 432.; in the sense

of cur, 677. 711.; quid and aliquid, 708.; quid aliud quam, 771.; quid est

quod, with the subjunct., 562.; quid mihi cum hac re? 770.; quid? quid censes? 769.; quid ergo? quid enim? quid ita? quid tum? quid quod? quid multa? quid plura? 769.

quidam, meaning of, 129. 707.

quidem, its meaning and position, 278. 355. 801.

quidquam or quicquam, 137.; used as an adverb, 677.

quidquid, with the genit., 432.

quilibet, quivis, 137.; use of, 710.

quin, 538. foll.; with the indicat., 542.; with the imperative, 542.; non quin, 536.; quin in the sense of even or rather, 542. in fin.; instead of quod non (accus.), 539.; instead of quo non, after dies, 539.; after dubito, 540. quippe, 346.; quippe qui, 565.

quippiam and quidquam, with the genit.,

432.

quis (queis), for quibus, 133. note.

quis and qui, difference between, 134. note; between quisnam and quinam, 134.; quis and uter, 431.; quis and aliquis, 136. 708.; quis est qui, with the subjunct., 561.

quispiam, quisquam, and aliquis, 129.

708. in fin.

quisquam, 129. 676. 709.

quisque, as a relative, 710.; joined with the superlative, 710. b.; position, 800.; pro se quisque, 367.

quisquis, quicunque, difference between, 128. 706.; quisquis, with the indicat.,

521.

quo, the correlative, 288.; with a comparative, 487.; for ut eo, 536.; with the genit., 434.; quo magis—eo magis, 690. note; quo mihi hanc rem? 770.; quo secius, 544.

quoud, meaning and construction of,

quocum, quacum, quibuscum, instead of cum quo, qua, &c., 324. in fin.

quod, a conjunct. expressing cause, 346.; with the subjunct. of dicere, putare, 551.; in a limiting sense, quod sciam, quod intelligam, 559.; quod in the sense of "in regard to," 627.; quod is unclassical in a purely objective proposition, 629.

quod, with the genit., 432.; prefixed to conjunctions, quodsi, quodnisi, &c.,

342. note, 807.

quominus, 543.

quoniam, meaning of, 346.

quopiam, 288.

quoquam, 288.

quoque and etiam, difference between, 335.; its position, 355.

quotquot, 128.; construed with the indicat., 521.

quot-tot, 130.

quotusquisque, 710.; quotusquisque est qui, with the subjunct., 561.

quum and cum, 5.

quum, the chief rule concerning it, 579. in fin.; construed with the indicat. and subjunct., 577, 578. foll.; with the present indicat., 580.; with the perfect, 581.; with the historical infinit., 522.; in lively descriptions, 580.; difference between quum and si, 579. note; quum primum, with the perfect indicat., 506.; quum-tum, 723. 809.

quummaxime and tummaxime, 285.

R and s, kindred sounds, 7. raptum ire, for rapere, 669.

ratio, used in circumlocutions, 678.; ratione, 472. note 1.

-re, the termination for ris, 166. re, the inseparable preposition, 330.

reapse, 132.

recens, an adverb, 267. recordari, with the genit., 439.

rectum est, ut, 623.

recusare, with quominus and ne, 543.

reddere, equivalent to facere, 394.; reddi, equivalent to fieri, is rare, 394, note 1.

redolere, with the accusat., 383.

refert, 23. in fin.; 449. note. refertus, construction of, 437. 2. 462.

regnare, with the genit. (Horat.), 466. relative adjectives, with the genit., 436.;

the same principle applied to other adjectives, 437.

relative clauses, in the construction of the accusat, with the infinit., 603.; in relation to two different sentences, 804.

relative construction, changed into the

demonstrative one, 806.

relative pronouns, used instead of demonstrative ones with et, 803.; joined with conjunctions, 545. 547.; in quoting the sentiments of another person, 549.; used for ut, 556. 560. 567.; in general expressions, 561.; used for quum, 564.; after dignus, &c., 568.; to express a repeated action,

relinquitur ut, 621. reliqua, "for the rest," 459.

reliquum est ut, 621.

reminisci, construction of, 439.

remunerari, 461.

repente, 272.

reperire, with two accusat., 394. reperiuntur qui, with the subjunct., 561.

repetundarum, 763.

reponere, 490.

reposcere, with two accusatives, 393.

repugnare, with quominus and ne, 543.

res, used in circumlocutions, 678. resipere, construction of, 383. restat ut, 621. revertor, as a deponent, 209. in fin. reum facere, with the genit., 446. reus, with the genit., 446. note. rhythm, of speech, 818.; of verse, 827. -rimus, -ritis, termination, 165. rite, 280.

rivers, names of, in us, used as adjectives, 257.

rogare, with two accusatives, 393.; with ut, 615.

rudis, with the genit., 436.
rursus, used pleonastically, 747. in fin.
rus, construed like the names of towns,
400.

Saepe, 267. note 1.; its degrees of comparison, 294.

saltem, meaning of, 274. sapere, with the accusat., 383.

Sapphic verse, 862, 865.

esse, with the genit., 432.; satis esse, with the dative of the gerund, 664.; satis habeo and satis mihi est, with the infinit. perfect, 590.

satrapes, declension of, 46. satus, with the ablat. alone, 451. sciens, with the genit., 438. note.

scilicet, 345. note. scito for sci, 164.

se and suus, in explanatory sentences with the accusat. with the infinit., 604.; se in the accus, with the infinit., after the verbs of promising and hoping, 605.

se, the inseparable preposition, 330. secundum, meaning of, 303.

secus, adverb, 283.; a substantive for sexus, 84. 89. 428.

secutum and sequutum, 159. in fin.

sed and autem, 348. note; sed, sed tamen, in the sense of "I say," 739.; ellipsis of sed, 781.; sed et, 335.; sed is, 699.

semideponents, 148. semis, 87. 103.

seorsus and seorsum, 290.

sequor and sector, with the accusat., 388.

sequitur ut, 621, 622.

sereno, 646.

serere, in two significations, 200. in fin. servitutem servire, 384.

sestertius, sestertium, 873.

seu, 336.; seu-seu, with the plural of the predicate, 374.

sexcenti, sexcenties, in a general and indefinite sense, 692.

short vowels, 16.; in certain words, 17. si, for num, 354. in fin.; differs from quum, 579. note; ellipsis of, 780.; si quid and aliquid, 708.; si and nisi, with the imperfect subjunct. instead of the pluperfect, 525.; si minus, 343.; si nihil aliud, 771.; si quisquam, 710.

sibi, used pleonastically with suo, 746.sic, 281.; used pleonastically, 748.; has different accents, 33. note.

sicut, meaning of, 282.; with the subjunct., 572.

siqua and siquae, 137. note.

siqui, siquis, 136. 708. 740.

similis, with the genit. and dative, 411. 704.; similiter ac, 340. note.

simul, with the ablat., 321.; simul-simul, 723.

simulac and simulatque, with the perfect indicat., 506.; with the pluperfect, 507.

sin, 342.; sin minus, sin aliter, 343. 731. sine ullo, &c., 709.

singular, the, has a collective meaning in the names of different fruits, 92.; is used for the plural, 364. 373. note 1.

singuli, 119. siquidem, 346.

sis, for si vis, 360.

sive, meaning of, 336.; sive—sive, 339.

374. 522. sodes, 360.

solere, for saepe, 720.

solum, 274.

solus, for solum, tantum, modo, 687.

spoliare, with the ablat., 460. sponte, 90.

stare, with the genit., 444.; with the ablat., 452.; stat per me, construction of, 543.; stare ab aliquo, 304. b.

statim, 272. statuere, construction of, 489.; with the infinit. and ut, 611.

sterilis, with the genit., 436.

strophe, 831.

studere, with the dative, 412.; with the infinit. or ut, 610. 614.; with the dative of the gerund, 664.

studiosus, with the genit., 436.

suadeo, 615.

sub, meaning of, 319.

subject and predicate, 362. foll.

subjunctive, of the future, 496, 497.; subjunctive in Latin and English, 512.; in hypothetical sentences, 524.; in interrogative sentences, 552, 553.; after relative pronouns, 555. foll.; in explanatory clauses, 545. foll.; the subjunct. of the present and perfect, instead of the indicat. of the future, 527.; subjunctivus concessivus, 529.; in doubtful questions, 530.; the subjunctive without ut, 625.; subjunct of the present, used as an imperative, 386. 529.; subjunct. perfect the same

as the subjunct. of the future perfect, 497. 524.; the subjunct. perfect, a softened indicative, 527.; subjunct. perfect, instead of the subjunct. present, 528.; instead of the indicat., 551.; subjunct. denoting repeated actions, 569.

subito, meaning of, 272. sublime, an adverb, 267.

substance and origin of things, expressed by a termination, 250. 252.

substantives, verbal, used only in the ablat. sing., 90. in fin.; substantiva mobilia, used as adjectives, 102.; comp. 41. 365.; verbal substantives, construed like their verbs, 681.; substantives expressed by a participle, 637.; used instead of participles, 644.; used as adjectives, 257. foll.; instead of adjectives, 102. 672.; in circumlocutions, 678.; pleonastically, 773.; substantives, paraphrased by qui with a verb, 714.; two substantives connected by a preposition and extended into a proposition, 715.; two substantives joined together, the latter of which defines the former, 741.

subter, 320. in fin., 489.

succensere, construction of, 412, 413. sufficere, with the dative of the gerund, 660.

sui, sibi, se, 125. note; in parenthetical clauses, 550.; sui for se, with the genit. of the gerund, 660.

summe and maxime, 106.

summum, 271.

sunt qui, with the subjunct., 563.; sunt, ellipsis of, 776.

super, meaning of, 320.

superare, with the ablat., 488. superesse, construction of, 415.

superest ut, 621.

superlative, its formation, 104. 3. 105. 109. foll.; strengthened, 108.; with the genit., 429.

supersedere, construction of, 416. superstes, construction of, 411. supine, 153. note; 668. foll. supplicare, with the dative, 406.

supra, meaning of, 303. sus, declension of, 69.

suscipere, with the participle fut. pass.,

sustuli, not derived from sufferre, 213. suus, instead of ejus, 550.; opposed to alienus, 125. 550.; suus sibi, 746.

syllables, division of words into, 14. 2.; doubtful syllables, 829.

synaeresis, 11.

synesis, constructio ad synesim, 368. syncope, in verbs, 160.

Taedet, construction of, 390. 441.

talentum, 874.

talis, followed by qui, and ellipsis of, 556, 557.; talis ac, 340. note; talis — qualis, 704.

tam, 281; tam-quam, 374.724.

tamen, used pleonastically, 341. note. tamquam, an adverb, 282.; a conjunc-

tion, 572. tandem, meaning of, 287.

tanti est, 444. note 1.

tantisper, 276.

tanto, with comparatives, 487.

tantum, "only," 274.; with the genit., 432.; tantum abest ut-ut, tantum non, 729.; tantum ut, 726.

tantus, followed by qui, and ellipsis of, 556, 557.; tantus-quantus, 704.

taxare, construction of, 444.

-te, the suffix, 131.

temere, 280.

temperare, construction of, 414.

templum, ellipsis of, 762.

tempore and in tempore, 475. tempori, "in good time," 63. note.

tempus est abire and abeundi, 659.; ellipsis of tempus, 763.

tempus impendere, with the dative of the gerund, 664.

tenax, with the genit., 436.

teneri, with the participle perf. pass., 592. tenses, of the verb, 493. foll.; tenses of the subjunct., 524.; tenses used in the epistolary style, 503.

tentare, with the infinit, and ut, 614.

tenus, meaning of, 313.

-ter, 264.

Teos, declension of, 52. 3. terra marique, 481.

Thales, declension of, 71. in fin.

Thebaicus and Thebanus, difference between, 256. in fin.

thesis, 827.

-ti, pronunciation of, 6.

ti or ci, orthography of, 6. note 1. time, particles expressing time, 270.;

expressions of time in the accusat., 395.; in the ablat., 396.

timens, with the genit., 438.

timere, construction of, 414.

titles, where they are placed with names, 796.

tor, trix, 102. 236.

toto, tota, ablat. without in, 482.

towns, names of in e, in the ablat. e, 63. b.; construction of names of towns, 398.

tradere, with the participle fut. pass., 653. traditur, construction of, 507.

tranquillo, 646.

transjectus and transmissus, construction of, 392.

tribuere, with the dative, 422. trochaic verse, 832,

-tudo, the termination, 247. tui, feminine, with the particip. fut. pass. in the masc. gender, 660.

tum and tunc, difference between, 285.; tum maxime, 270.; tum-tum, 723.; tum temporis, 434.

tu, in questions expressive of indignation, 693.

U instead of e, 2. v softened down into u, 3. note. u hardened into v, 3. note, 11. vacare, 406. vacuus, with the ablat., 462, 468. vae, construction of, 403. valde, 107. 266. valeo, construction of, 460. 463. vapulo, 148.

ubi, with the genit., 434.; with the perfect indicat., 506.; ubiubi, 128.

-ve, 330. in fin., 336, 337.

vehementer, 264, note 1. vehi, construction of, 455.

vel and aut, difference between, 336.; vel-vel, 339.; vel, strengthening, 734.; with superlatives, 108.; in the sense of "for example," 734.

velim, with the subjunct., 527. 624. velle, construction of, 424.; with the infinit. pass., 611.

vellem, meaning of, 528.

velut, "for example," 282.; velut and velut si with the subjunct., 572.

vendere, with the genit. or ablat., 444.; its passive reneo, 187.

venire, with the dative, 422.; with the

genit. and ablat., 444. venit in mentem, construction of, 439. foll. verbs, neuter passives, 148.; neuter verbs joined with an accusat., 144. 385.; used impersonally in the third person passive, 144.; transitive verbs, used as neuters, 143. 145.; verbs with a relative pronoun instead of a substant., 714.; verbs repeated, 716, 717.; their position, 787, foll.; ellipsis of verbs, 774.; verbs compounded with prepositions, 415.; with trans, 392.; passive verbs with the dative, 419.; with the accusat., according to the Greek fashion, 458.

vere and vero, 266. note I.

verisimile est, followed by the accusat. with the infinit., 600.; by ut, 623. veritum est, used impersonally, 390.

vero, meaning of, 266. 348.; use of in answers, 716.; in the apodosis, 716; ellipsis of, 781.

verses, feet of, 826.

versus, the preposition, 303.

vertere, in a reflective sense, 145.; with the dative, 422.

verum, verumtamen, 348. note, verum enimvero, 349.

verum est, followed by the accusat. with the infinit., 600.; by ut, 623.

vesci, construction of, 465, 466. vestri and vestrum, difference between, 431.

vetare, construction of, 607. 617.; with quominus and ne, 543, 544.

vi and per vim, difference between, 455. note.

via, ablat. without in, 482.

vicem, instead of vice, 453.

vicinus, construction of, 411.

videlicet, 345. note.

videre ut, 614.; construed like the verbs of fearing, 534.

videres, cerneres, meaning of, 528. in fin. videri, construction of, 380.; used pleonastically, 751.

viri, compounded with numerals, 124. vitam vivere, and similar expressions, 383. in fin.

vitio creati magistratus, 472. note.

ullus, 129. 709.

ultra, meaning of, 303.; adverb, 323. ultro, 289.

ultum ire for ulcisci, 669.

— ĭlum, the termination, 239.

-um, instead of arum, 45.; instead of orum, 51.; in distributive numerals, 119. note 1.

-um, the genit. plur. for ium, 51. unde, 344.; with the genit., 434.

unde, 344. note.

undecunque, 288.

-undus, instead of endus, 167.

uni, unae, una, 115. note.

unquam, 284., comp. 709. a.

unus, for solum, tantum, modo, 687.; unus with a superlative and excellere,

unusquisque, declension of, 138.; meaning of, 710.

vocare, with two accusatives, 394.

vocative, its position, 492.; with interjections, 403.

volam, the future, use of, 509.

volo, with the nominat, or the accusat. with the infinit., 610.; with the infinit. or the participle perfect pass., 611.; with ut, 613.; with the subjunctive alone, 624.; si voluero, 510.

voti and votorum damnari, 447.

urbs, used in apposition to names of towns, 399.

urinor, 207.

-us, the termination, 237.

uspiam, 284.

usquam, 284.; with the genit., 434. usque, 286. 322.

usquequaque, 289. in fin. usu venit ut, 621.

usus est, used impersonally, 464. ut, an adverb of similitude, 282. 531. note; a conjunction with the subjunctive., 531. 613. foll.; in questions expressive of indignation, 609.; with the perfect indicat., 506.; its place, 356.; ellipsis of, 777.; ut after adjective expressions instead of the accusat. with the infinit., 623.; ut in the sense of "even if," and in negative sentences, ut non, 573.; ut equivalent to "because," 726.; ut-ita (sic), 726.; ut ne, 347. note, 535.; ut non, 347. note, 532. 573; ut non for quin, 539.; ut primum, with the perfect indicat., 506.; ut qui, 565. utcunque, with the indicat., 521. uter, its difference from quis, 431. uterque, 141. note 2.; with the plural of the verb, 367.; with a genit., 430.

uti, construction of, 465, 466.

utilis, construction of, 409.; with the

utile est ut, 623.

dative of the gerund, 664.: utilis fuit, 518.
utinam ne, utinam non, with the subjunct., 571.
utique, 282.
utpote qui, 565.

utpote qui, 565. utrique, use of, 141. note 2. utrum, 352.

utrumne, in double questions, 554. utut, 128.; with the indicat., 521.—uus, the termination, 249. uxor, ellipsis of, 761.

Words, their accent, in reference to rhythm, 828.; formation of words, 231. foll.; arrangement of words in the formation of sentences in prose, 786. foll.; in poetical compositions, 795.

Y, in Greek words, 1.

Zeugma, 775.

THE END.

THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE STAMPED BELOW

AN INITIAL FINE OF 25 CENTS WILL BE ASSESSED FOR FAILURE TO RETURN THIS BOOK ON THE DATE DUE. THE PENALTY WILL INCREASE TO 50 CENTS ON THE FOURTH DAY AND TO \$1.00 ON THE SEVENTH DAY OVERDUE.

MAR 24 1933

MAY 9 1933 6CT 12 1933

DEC 17 1935

FEB 3 1937

REC'D LD

JUL 16'64 -1 PM

U. C. BERKELEY LIBRARIES



C045931945

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LIBITARY.

